Quality and Patient Safety Division

Advisory
Venous Thromboembolism Risk Assessment and Prevention

December 2013

Background
The Quality and Patient Safety Division (QPSD) has received a number of Safety and Quality Review (SQR) reports of patient complications associated with venous thromboembolism (VTE). This advisory is issued to share some of the lessons learned by the reporting health care facilities, and to support health care facilities in the review and development of their protocols for assessing patients for VTE risk and initiating appropriate patient-specific VTE prophylaxis. While some references are provided, this advisory does not include a comprehensive review of the literature; nor is it intended to provide specific recommendations for evidence-based practice. Publication of this Advisory does not constitute an endorsement by the Board of any studies or practices described in the Advisory and none should be inferred.

Overview
Symptomatic deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolus (PE) occur annually in over 500,000 people in the United States. Without VTE prophylaxis, DVT can occur in 10-20% of medical patients, in 15-40% of general surgery patients and in as many as 60% of patients having major orthopedic surgery. A 300-bed hospital that lacks a systematic approach to VTE prevention may have 150 cases of hospital-acquired VTE per year with 50-75 of the cases potentially preventable. Both medical and surgical patients can be at high risk for VTE and together present a broad and complex set of issues. This advisory will focus on post-operative patients, primarily orthopedic, as they represent a more discrete and high risk population. The general principles discussed, however, may be applied to all hospitalized patients.

Pharmacological methods for VTE prevention/prophylaxis are numerous and increasing, and include both oral and injectable medications. The only mechanical prophylaxis option consists of an

intermittent pneumatic compression device with a monitor to ensure it is worn at least 18 hours a day. As one or more of these methods may be chosen by a surgeon for use for differing lengths of time, VTE prophylaxis regimens can be as idiosyncratic and variable as patient risk factors and surgeon preferences. The goal is achieving the appropriate balance between VTE prevention and minimizing post-operative bleeding.

The identification of medical and surgical VTE risk factors, safe and effective implementation of prophylactic measures, and comprehensive discharge planning are important facets of a hospital-based VTE reduction program. However, incongruous data, guidelines and opinions as to the choice of prophylaxis method, the risks of VTE versus bleeding complications, and the duration of treatment make it difficult to develop consistent and coordinated hospital-based approaches to VTE prevention. Studies have shown that management of VTE prevention is often suboptimal with many patients failing to receive appropriate dosing and monitoring of anticoagulant therapy. Key elements for a successful VTE prevention program include institutional support, a multi-disciplinary team, accurate data, specific and achievable goals, and a solid QI framework.

Cases and Lessons Learned
The SQR reports reviewed for this Advisory involved patients in the age range of 40 to 65 years, who developed VTE following orthopedic surgical procedures on the knee, (i.e., meniscus and ACL repair, total knee replacement). The cases illustrated the following:

- the need for careful evaluation of patient comorbidities and risk factors (for example, history of VTE, post-menopausal hormone replacement);
- the difficulty in establishing clear system wide protocols, due to the wide range of options available for VTE prophylaxis, and lack of clarity as to the best option;
- the importance of engaging the patient in discussion of the risks, so as to assure compliance with the treatment plan post-discharge (for example, early ambulation); and

---

4 Michota, op. cit.
8 Sidney, S et al. Recent Combined Hormonal Contraceptives (CHCs) and the Risk of Thromboembolism and Other Cardiovascular Events in New Users. Contraception 2013; 87:93-100.
the necessity for periodic assessment of the literature to assure that hospital-wide and departmental VTE prevention guidelines are up to date.

Areas for Health Care Facility Systems Review
The areas described below provide topics and references, as support for internal discussion and review of health care facility VTE prevention protocols.

Screening for patient VTE and bleeding risk factors

- **Surgical and patient risk factors.** It is critical that consistent screening for surgical procedure and patient risk factors for VTE and bleeding is carried out in the pre-operative period. The screening data may then be used to tailor VTE prophylaxis for optimal patient safety and can help guide discharge planning and medication reconciliation. Surgical procedure factors (e.g. length of surgery, presence of trauma, orthopedic surgery) have a significant impact on VTE risk regardless of patient characteristics. Patients may have risk factors for VTE (e.g. advanced age, sepsis, history of VTE), bleeding (e.g. known bleeding disorder, severe liver or kidney impairment) or both.

- **Screening tools.** There are a number of effective screening tools for patient VTE and bleeding risk factors. The Caprini VTE Risk Assessment method is frequently used for surgical patients. Implementing a consistent VTE and bleeding screening protocol for surgical patients is recommended.

  - The Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement developed a VTE prophylaxis guide with a full checklist of standard VTE and bleeding risk factors, including the Caprini model, and is available at [https://www.icsi.org/_asset/ht2bhd/VTEProphy-Interactive1112.pdf](https://www.icsi.org/_asset/ht2bhd/VTEProphy-Interactive1112.pdf)
  - An online VTE incidence calculator for hospitals is available from Institute for Healthcare Improvement/Society of Hospital Medicine at [http://www.ihi.org/knowledge/Pages/Tools/HospitalAcquiredVTEIncidenceCalculator.aspx](http://www.ihi.org/knowledge/Pages/Tools/HospitalAcquiredVTEIncidenceCalculator.aspx)
  - An online bleeding risk calculator from the University of Massachusetts Medical School Center for Outcomes Research is available at [http://www.outcomes.umassmed.org/IMPROVE/risk_score/bleeding/index.html](http://www.outcomes.umassmed.org/IMPROVE/risk_score/bleeding/index.html)

---

**VTE prevention guidelines differences and impacts on hospital prevention programs**

There are several issues that may impede or complicate the implementation and success of hospital VTE prevention programs:

- There are several major guidelines (see additional references) on VTE prevention that have changed significantly over time. The differing recommendations between guidelines give providers wide latitude in VTE prevention options, allowing for individual variation and preferences, but also complicating efforts at standardization and consistency in hospital VTE prevention programs. **Table 1** highlights examples of these differences.

- National Hospital Inpatient Quality (NHIQ) VTE measures, derived initially from the 8th edition of the ACCP guidelines released in 2008, require pharmacologic VTE prophylaxis for most hospitalized patients unless the provider gave a reason for no prophylaxis or alternative choices. The ACCP guidelines are intended for patients at increased risk for VTE, and the broad application of the recommendations to most hospitalized and surgical patients raised concerns for the unnecessary disruption of existing effective hospital VTE prevention programs and the increased risk of bleeding and post-operative infections for low-moderate VTE risk patients.13,14

---

**Table 1: Guideline differences regarding VTE measures for Total Hip/Knee Arthroplasty (THA/TKA)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline for THA/TKA</th>
<th>LMWH preferred</th>
<th>Aspirin recommended</th>
<th>Length of treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008 ACCP1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 days min., consider up to 35 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 AAOS2</td>
<td>No preference</td>
<td>Yes, with several equal alternatives</td>
<td>Provider-patient discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 ACCP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10-14 days min., consider up to 28-35 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 NICE3</td>
<td>Yes, one of 5 options</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10-14 days TKA, 28-35 days THA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1American College of Chest Physicians, 2American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, 3National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (UK), 4low molecular weight heparin
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Examples of potential barriers for hospital VTE prevention programs\textsuperscript{15,16}

- **Provider**: lack of familiarity and/or agreement with guidelines; concern about bleeding; VTE not perceived as a problem; concern about infection from wound hematomas; difficulties with use of oral anticoagulants; prefer to make treatment decisions on a case-by-case difference.

- **Environmental/systems**: lack of adequate time, staff, counseling materials and/or reminder systems; lack of audit tools and feedback; undefined roles and responsibilities; no clear incentives for guideline adherence.

- A 2008 survey of U.S. orthopedic surgeons found that 97\% of respondents used VTE prophylaxis but that there were wide variations in prophylaxis practices.\textsuperscript{17} While most surgeons recalled VTE episodes more often than clinically important bleeding, 49\% were more concerned about bleeding than VTE. This concern may be seen in findings that 23\% of surgeons using warfarin preferred a target International Normalized Ratio (INR) of \textless{}2.0 and only 23\% followed the ACCP recommended INR range of 2.0-3.0.

Factors to consider when developing/updating hospital VTE prevention programs

- The lack of a standardized approach can potentially lead to communication difficulties during provider and facility transitions; confusion about medications, dosing and length of therapy; and failure to use hospital and quality improvement resources.

- Components of a hospital VTE prevention program may include:\textsuperscript{18,19,20,21,22}
  - VTE order sets: VTE and bleeding risk screens, mechanical and pharmacologic options, and dosing parameters.
  - Electronic reminders: EMR alerts for VTE prevention have been shown to have a significant impact on VTE rates.\textsuperscript{23}

\textsuperscript{15} Worel, JN. op. cit.
\textsuperscript{16} Merli, op. cit.
\textsuperscript{18} Worel, JN. op. cit.
\textsuperscript{20} Merli, op. cit.
\textsuperscript{21} Michota, op. cit.
- Discharge planning: medication reconciliation, pharmacist involvement, improved inter-facility communication and scheduling of follow up appointments and testing (if needed).
- VTE prevention multi-disciplinary teams, and multi-disciplinary education strategies for maximum impact.
- Institutional support is critical for successful implementation.
- Audit and feedback: regular auditing of VTE and bleeding risk assessments and use of appropriate VTE prevention measures, with feedback to providers. An iterative process of audit and feedback can refine or improve a VTE prevention strategy and help providers improve their prophylaxis practice.
- The use of reliable benchmarks to facilitate patient risk assessment and inform data collection.24

- **Anticoagulation drug interactions** can lead to increased risk of bleeding and other complications.25 Medication reconciliation upon admission, post-operatively and at discharge can reduce adverse drug events.

- **Pharmacist led or assisted** VTE prevention program26 and/or inpatient pharmacist-directed anticoagulation service27 can reduce the risk of adverse drug events, particularly with warfarin, and improve the effectiveness of a VTE prevention program. Hospitals that do not have access to an anticoagulation service can involve pharmacists and hematologists in the development and implementation of a VTE prevention program. The August 2010 Quality and Patient Safety Division newsletter highlights the successful team approach to anticoagulation therapy at Baystate Franklin Medical Center.28

- **Medical inpatients** may be at equal or higher risk for VTE and often do not receive appropriate VTE prophylaxis.29,30 Many of the measures noted previously can be applied to medical inpatients as well as surgical patients.

---

26 Dobesh, op. cit.
Conclusion
Venous thromboembolism is a serious risk for surgical and medical inpatients. VTE prevention guidelines differences, resource limitations, and the wide variety of provider approaches and biases present significant challenges. Multidisciplinary teams can be used to review screening protocols and VTE prophylaxis strategies in the development of hospital VTE prevention programs. Pharmacist-led or pharmacist-assisted programs and the use of anticoagulation services should be considered. An iterative development and implementation process can identify potential problems and address provider concerns, allowing for a more successful and effective VTE prevention program.

VTE Prevention Guidelines and Other Resource Materials
ICSI: Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement
https://www.icsi.org/guidelines_more/catalog_guidelines_and_more/catalog_guidelines/catalog_cardiovascular_guidelines/vte_prophy/
NHIQ/The Joint Commission measures:
http://www.jointcommission.org/performance_measurement.aspx
Premier Safety website: https://www.premierinc.com/quality-safety/tools-services/safety/topics/Venous-Thromboembolism/venous-thromboembolism-resources.jsp