

THE MOVEMENT OF POPULATION IN THE MASSACHUSETTS  
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION DURING 1972, AND ITS EFFECT  
ON THE SIZE OF THE INSTITUTIONAL POPULATIONS

Massachusetts Department of Correction

John O. Boone  
Commissioner

May, 1973

Produced By:

Correction-Parole Information System  
(Report prepared by Carroll Miller)

Publication # 6824-27-250-6-73-CR

Approved by Alfred C. Holland, State Purchasing Agent.

This report focuses on the numbers of people moving into, among and out of the Massachusetts Correctional Institutions (excluding Bridgewater) during 1972. More specifically, it describes the numbers of moves during 1972 of various types: (1) entrances into the custody of the Department by court commitment or return as a parole violator, and exits from its custody by parole, discharge or expiration, (2) transfers among Massachusetts Correctional Institutions, (3) transfers to and from other jurisdictions on the county and federal levels and in other states, (4) short-term moves out of the system and back in for such reasons as going to court or to a hospital, (5) other miscellaneous moves. Included are all moves into and out of MCI's with two exceptions: furloughs and routine trips away from the institution lasting less than a day.

The report will also present basic facts about the changes in the institutional populations during 1972 and relate these population changes to the movements of population during 1972. However, the major point of the report is not to examine population trends. This subject has been covered in more detail in two previous reports by the Division of Research and Planning.\*

The report describes population movements and population changes for all Massachusetts Correctional Institutions (MCI's) except Bridgewater. Bridgewater is excluded because the Department's computerized Information System, which has provided the data for this report, has initially focused only on MCI's other than Bridgewater. Thus the tables below, unless otherwise mentioned, refer only to MCI's other than Bridgewater.

---

\*"A Report on Population Trends: 1970-1973," Joseph Landolfi, March 1973, Report #61. "Drastic Decline in Institutional Population," Paul Bourgeois, November 1972, Memo #99.

The report is based on data taken from the Admission-Release Sheets, which are sent in from each MCI and list for each week all persons entering or leaving that MCI. These lists of people coming and going are entered into the Department's Information System, where they serve several functions, one of which is to produce periodic reports on population movements.

This is one of several statistical reports describing the state-level prison and parole system of Massachusetts during 1972. Other forthcoming major reports will describe the characteristics of admissions and releases during 1972 and of the current populations of the MCI's as of January 1, 1973. A set of three companion reports produced for the Parole Board describe parolees from MCI's during 1972. These reports together should give managers and planners a better feel for the types of information requests that can be rapidly responded to.

The core of the report consists of eleven tables, which are listed below:

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Title</u>
I	5	Population of Each MCI during 1972 - Largest and Smallest Population at Any Time During the Year, Average Population for the Year and for Each Month
II	7	Change in DOC Population During 1972 - By Institution
III	9	Movement of DOC Population - By Institution
IV	11	Movement of DOC Population - By Month
V	13	Transfers Among Mass. Correctional Institutions Other Than Bridgewater
VI	15	Transfers Between Bridgewater and Other Massachusetts Correctional Institutions
VII	17	Movements To and From Other Jurisdictions

VIII	19	Court Commitments - By Court and By Institution Committed to
IX	22	Numbers of Admissions From Street and Releases to Street: 1970-72
X	22	Population Change and Net Intake From Street: 1970-72
XI	24	Movement of DOC Population -- Gain and Loss of Population From Different Categories of Moves Into and Out of System

Tables I and II present the basic facts of trends in institutional populations during 1972. Table III and IV break down admissions and releases into certain standard categories, and present the numbers of each type of admission and release, by institution and by month. Tables V, VI, VII and VIII examine certain types of population movements in more detail -- transfers among MCI's, movements to and from other jurisdictions, and court commitments. Tables IX, X and XI use the data on population movements to explain the decline in institutional populations.

Each table will be presented on a separate page, preceded by a page describing what the figures refer to and drawing major implications from the table.

Explanation of Table I. Table I traces the average monthly populations of the institutions over the year. It also presents the average, largest and smallest population of each institution during 1972.

Comment. During the first half of the year, the population of each institution was generally stable, with somewhat of an increase in the Concord population and therefore in the total population. During the last half of 1972, the populations of Walpole and Forestry remained stable, the populations of Norfolk and Framingham declined, and the population of Concord sharply declined. Thus the sizable decrease in the Department's population occurred entirely during the last half of the year.

TABLE I

POPULATION OF EACH MCI DURING 1972 --- LARGEST AND SMALLEST  
POPULATION AT ANY TIME DURING THE YEAR, AVERAGE POPULATION FOR THE  
YEAR, AND FOR EACH MONTH

	Walpole	Concord	Norfolk	Frammingham	Forestry	Bost.St.	Shirley	TOTAL
Largest Number at Any Time During the Year	629	695	750	133	149	18	24	2307
Smallest Number at Any Time During the Year	570	383	604	92	123	0	0	1856
Daily Average Number During the Year	595	627	690	117	134	13*	14*	2167
Daily Average for Each Month:								
January	601	636	727	132	128			2224
February	617	657	723	132	126			2255
March	619	669	734	123	139			2284
April	578	673	732	118	144			2245
May	588	679	709	124	137			2237
June	615	683	705	127	136			2266
July	605	689	733	122	133			2282
August	577	649	692	119	141			2178
September	575	621	654	110	138			2098
October	575	589	631	108	128			2031
November	591	541	612	100	126	12	11	1993
December	594	441	622	92	130	17	18	1914

\*The average populations during the year for Boston State and Shirley Pre-Release Centers, are actually their average populations during the period after their openings.

Explanation of Table II. Table II presents, for each institution and for the whole Department of Correction (DOC) except Bridgewater, the population at the beginning of 1972, the population at the end of the year, and the change in the population over the year. Also presented are the total numbers of admissions and releases. The change in the population over the year of course equals the difference between the number of admissions and the number of releases.

Comment. During 1972 the DOC (i.e. Department of Correction) population decreased by 16%, from 2203 to 1856. A sizable majority of this reduction came at Concord, whose population decreased by 37%, from 614 to 387. Further, the populations of the walled institutions (Walpole, Concord, Norfolk, Framingham) decreased considerably; while the populations of the minimum security, pre-release facilities (the three Forestry Camps, Boston State and Shirley Pre-Release Centers) increased considerably. The populations of the walled institutions decreased by 19% (from 2078 to 1673), while the populations of the minimum security, pre-release facilities increased by 46% (from 125 to 183)

TABLE II

CHANGE IN DOC POPULATION DURING 1972-BY INSTITUTION

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Pop. on 1-1-72</u>	<u>Number of Admissions</u>	<u>Number of Releases</u>	<u>Pop. on 12-20-72</u>	<u>Net Change</u>
Walpole	609	1228	1261	576	-33
Concord	614	995	1222	387	-227
Norfolk	724	836	934	626	-98
Framingham	131	337	384	84	-47
Forestry	125	249	240	134	+9
Boston State	0	32	7	25	+25
Shirley	<u>0</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>+24</u>
TOTAL	2203	3703	4050	1856	-347

Explanation of Table III. Table III presents, for each institution and for the whole Department, the numbers of admissions of various types during 1972, as well as the numbers of releases of various types. The specific meaning of each type of admission or release is defined in the Appendix.

Comment. This table is perhaps the basic one in the report. Because of the great diversity of information presented, it is inappropriate to comment on any section of the table. One comment however, is in order: Two categories appear to be problems, "out to court" and "from out to court". While the instructions for filling out the Admission-Release Sheets, from which information this report is derived, state that all court trips are to be listed, it would appear from the small number of court trips that many of these trips are not listed. Quite probably these court trips not listed are those lasting less than a day.

It might be added that this table will be the model for the standard quarterly reports on population movements that will henceforth be produced.

TABLE III

MOVEMENT OF DOC POPULATION - BY INSTITUTION

ADMISSIONS

<u>Type of Admission</u>	<u>Walpole</u>	<u>Concord</u>	<u>Norfolk</u>	<u>Framingham</u>	<u>Forestry</u>	<u>Bost.St.</u>	<u>Shirley</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Court Commitments	484	535	--	84	--	--	--	1103
Parole Violators	162	208	--	23	--	--	--	393
From MCI other than Bridgewater	214	146	633	0	239	32	24	1288
From Bridgewater	42	19	25	0	--	--	2	88
From Houses of Correction	4	22	99	24	--	--	--	149
From Federal Auths.	179	--	--	9	--	--	--	188
From Other States	22	--	3	85	--	--	--	110
From Out to Court	70	34	23	17	1	--	--	145
From Hospitals	37	16	52	46	--	--	--	151
Return from Escape	5	11	--	19	9	--	--	44
Other	9	4	1	30	--	--	--	44
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1228</b>	<b>995</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3703</b>

RELEASES

<u>Type of Release</u>	<u>Walpole</u>	<u>Concord</u>	<u>Norfolk</u>	<u>Framingham</u>	<u>Forestry</u>	<u>Bost.St.</u>	<u>Shirley</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Paroled	126	749	287	84	112	5	1	1364
Discharge or Expiration	32	63	32	60	15	1	--	203
To MCI other than Bridgewater	671	214	305	0	96	1	1	1288
To Bridgewater	61	51	40	3	0	--	--	155
To House of Correction	40	33	121	16	6	--	--	216
To Federal Auths.	190	--	--	7	--	--	--	197
To Other States	33	1	7	93	--	--	--	134
Out of Court	26	65	77	18	1	--	--	187
To Hospitals	46	16	52	54	--	--	--	168
Escape	--	22	2	23	10	--	--	57
Other	36	8	11	26	--	--	--	81
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1261</b>	<b>1222</b>	<b>934</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4050</b>

Explanation of Table IV. Table IV presents, for each month, the number of admissions of various types, the number of releases of various types, and the resulting change in the DOC population. As explained in the footnote to the table, certain of the categories employed in Table III are combined in Table IV.

Comment. The central pattern displayed in this table is one of a population decrease beginning in March, and brought about by a large increase in the monthly number of paroles which also began in March. This population decrease beginning in March was temporarily reversed during May and June by the great increase in court commitments which occurs just before the summer court recess. With the exception of this temporary, seasonal increase in the monthly number of court commitments, the last ten months of the year witnessed a continuing decrease in the DOC population.

A secondary factor in the population reduction was that there were more transfers to other correctional facilities (i.e. Houses of Correction, other states, federal authorities) than from other correctional facilities. This excess of transfers out of the system over transfers into the system, was particularly large during November and December - and was an important part of the strategy to reduce the population of Concord.

Tables IX, X and XI below will further examine the roles played in the population decline by increased rates of parole and of transfers out of the system.

TABLE IV

MOVEMENT OF DOC POPULATION - BY MONTH

	"ADMISSIONS"				"RELEASES"				NET CHANGE	
	Court Coms.	P.V.'s	From Other Correctional Facilities (incl. Bridgewater)	"Other"	Transfers Among MCI's Other Than Bridgewater	Parole	Discharge	To Other Correctional Facilities (incl. Bridg.)		
January	85	31	50	13	98	53	14	60	19	+33
February	107	35	53	21	85	74	19	62	45	+16
March	100	28	57	34	126	110	30	54	35	-10
April	92	26	61	33	86	111	16	62	40	-17
May	157	26	45	38	96	110	15	72	42	+27
June	185	38	50	39	119	128	17	69	45	+53
July	31	37	44	16	107	101	13	56	30	-75
August	21	43	30	30	123	117	23	49	28	-93
September	54	36	24	46	81	120	18	37	52	-67
October	94	32	56	41	97	148	13	48	61	-47
November	107	32	46	51	132	122	11	81	74	-52
December	70	29	22	22	138	170	14	52	22	-115
TOTAL	1103	393	535	384	1288	1364	203	702	492	-347

Explanation of Table V. Table V presents the number of transfers from each MCI to each other MCI. Transfers to and from Bridgewater are not included in this table, but are rather covered in the next table. Framingham is not mentioned in the table because there were of course, during 1972, no transfers between Framingham and the male institutions.

Comment. Over half of the transfers were between Norfolk and Walpole. There were more transfers from Walpole to Concord than in the other direction, while there were somewhat more transfers from Concord to Norfolk than in the other direction. About six-sevenths of the transfers to Forestry were from Walpole or Norfolk, while virtually all of the transfers to the Boston State and Shirley Pre-Release Centers were from Concord.

TABLE V

TRANSFERS AMONG MASS. CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS OTHER  
THAN BRIDGEWATER

<u>Institution Transferred From:</u>	<u>INSTITUTION TRANSFERRED TO:</u>								<u>TOT</u>
	<u>Walpole</u>	<u>Concord</u>	<u>Norfolk</u>	<u>Plymouth</u>	<u>Warwick</u>	<u>Monroe</u>	<u>Bost.St.</u>	<u>Shirley</u>	
Walpole	--	65	507	18	36	45	--	--	67
Concord	31	--	93	3	21	11	31	24	21
Norfolk	153	72	--	25	23	32	--	--	30
Plymouth	8	--	13	--	--	3	--	--	2
Warwick	9	2	6	8	--	2	1	--	2
Monroe	13	5	14	9	3	--	--	--	4
Boston State	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Shirley	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>TOTAL</b>	214	146	633	63	83	93	32	24	128

Explanation of Table VI. Table VI presents the numbers of transfers to Bridgewater from other MCI's, and the numbers of transfers from Bridgewater to other MCI's.

Comment. There were many more transfers to Bridgewater (155) than returns from Bridgewater (88). Of course a certain portion of this difference was brought by the commitment of people after transfer to the State Hospital or the Sex Treatment Center. Virtually all of the transfers between Bridgewater and other MCI's involved Walpole, Norfolk and Concord men.

It would be of interest to know which section of Bridgewater that residents were transferred to or from. However, this cannot be reliably determined from the source document used (the Admission-Release Sheets). It can be said, though, that a sizable majority of these transfers involved Phase II (Protective Custody) and the State Hospital.

TABLE VI

TRANSFERS BETWEEN BRIDGEWATER AND OTHER MASSACHUSETTS CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTIONS

<u>Other MCI</u>	<u>From Other MCI</u>	<u>To Other MCI</u>
Walpole	61	42
Norfolk	40	25
Concord	51	19
Forestry	—	—
Boston State	—	—
Shirley	—	2
Framingham	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>
TOTAL	155	88

Explanation of Table VII. Table VII lists the number of transfers both to and from other jurisdictions — i.e. to and from Houses of Correction, other states, federal authorities, and Youth Forestry Camps. Transfers to and from federal authorities refer primarily to persons spending brief periods at Walpole or Framingham either just before or just after trial.

Comment. The most striking finding contained in this table is that there were considerably more transfers to other jurisdictions than from other jurisdictions. As discussed in conjunction with Table IV above and Table XI below, this excess of transfers to other jurisdictions over returns from them explains a sizable portion of the population decrease during 1972.

Notes. Certain of the figures presented in Table VII should be broken down in more detail.

(a) Of the 149 transfers from Houses of Correction, 99 were transfers to Norfolk. Of the 216 transfers to Houses of Correction, 121 were from Norfolk. Most of these transfers between Norfolk and Houses of Correction were persons going to the Norfolk Hospital; there is no way from the Admission-Release Sheets to determine exactly how many.

(b) 82 of the 90 transfers from Rhode Island went to Framingham; 92 of the 107 transfers to Rhode Island came from Framingham.

(c) Of the 188 transfers from federal authorities, 179 went to Walpole and 9 to Framingham. Of the 197 transfers to federal authorities, 190 came from Walpole and 7 from Framingham.

TABLE VII

MOVEMENTS TO AND FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS

<u>Houses of Correction:</u>	<u>In From</u>	<u>Out To</u>
Billerica	26	31
Worcester	21	22
Salem	21	20
Deer Island	16	22
Plymouth	9	19
New Bedford	9	18
Charles Street	11	15
Springfield	8	17
Barnstable	9	11
Northampton	2	15
Dedham	8	7
Lawrence	6	5
Pittsfield	4	5
Greenfield	--	5
Not Available	--	4
SUB TOTAL H.C.	<u>149</u>	<u>216</u>
 <u>Other States</u>		
Rhode Island	90	107
New Hampshire	5	8
Connecticut	4	5
Maine	3	3
Vermont	1	2
States Outside New England	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>
SUB TOTAL Other States	110	134
<u>FEDERAL AUTHORITIES</u>	188	197
<u>YOUTH FORESTRY CAMPS*</u>	1	4
<u>TOTAL</u>	488	551

\*Tables III, IV and XI includes these transfers to and from Youth Forestry Camps in the "Other" category.

Explanation of Table VIII. Table VIII presents the number of commitments from each court to each committing institution -- i.e. Walpole, Concord, and Framingham. The table also contains the total number of court commitments from each court and to each committing institution.

Comment. The largest number of commitments of course come from Suffolk Superior, with the bulk of the remaining commitments coming from five other superior courts (Essex, Bristol, Hampden, Middlesex, Worcester). Municipal and district courts account for a majority of Framingham commitments, 17% of Concord commitments, and no Walpole commitments. Interestingly, certain superior courts are more likely to commit men to Concord, while others are more likely to commit men to Walpole.

TABLE VII ICOURT COMMITMENTS - BY COURT AND BY INSTITUTION COMMITTED TOInstitution Committed To

<u>Court Committed From</u>	<u>Walpole</u>	<u>Concord</u>	<u>Framingham</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Suffolk Superior	196	156	17	372
Essex Superior	46	60	1	107
Bristol Superior	54	34	6	94
Hampden Superior	43	47	6	96
Middlesex Superior	45	46	--	91
Worcester Superior	38	32	3	73
Plymouth Superior	24	21	1	46
Norfolk Superior	17	25	2	44
Barnstable Superior	5	13	--	18
Hampshire Superior	3	6	--	9
Berkshire Superior	2	2	--	4
Franklin Superior	2	1	--	3
Boston Municipal	--	42	20	62
Other Municipal and District Courts	--	50	28	78
Not Available	<u>6</u>	<u>---</u>	<u>--</u>	<u>6</u>
TOTAL	484	535	84	1103

With the examination of particular types of population movements completed, Tables IX, X and XI use the data on population movements to explain the decline in the DOC population during 1972.

Explanation of Tables IX and X. Table IX traces over the last three years the numbers of court commitments, parole revokes, and total admissions from the street. It also traces for the last three years the numbers of paroles, discharges or expirations, and total releases to the street.

Table X presents the difference, for each of the last three years, between the number of admissions from the street and the number of releases to the street. It also presents the population change during each of these years.

Comment. As displayed in Table X, both 1970 and 1971 saw sizable increases in the DOC population, with a correspondingly greater number of street admissions than street releases. 1972 saw a greater number of street releases than street admissions, and a corresponding decline in the population.

Table IX helps us to more specifically understand these changes. From 1970 to 1971, the number of court commitments markedly increased, the number of paroles almost correspondingly increased, and the population change during 1971 (+120) was thus only slightly larger than the population during 1970 (+70). From 1971 to 1972, the number of court commitments held constant, the number of paroles increased even more markedly, and thus the population sharply declined. There were no major changes over the three year period in the number of parole revokes or in the number of discharges or expirations.

It is important to add that this sharp increase in the yearly number of court commitments and paroles was concentrated almost entirely at Concord. Between 1970 and 1972, the yearly number of Concord court commitments and paroles both doubled. Accompanying these increases was a change in the type of men committed to Concord. As described in other reports\*, more recent commitments to Concord were more likely to be first offenders and drug users. In terms of basic changes in population flow, the changes for institutions other than Concord were much more minimal.

It might also be added -- by way of justifying 1970 as a baseline year -- that 1968 and 1969 were very similar to 1970 in terms of the population increase during the year as well as in terms of the numbers of court commitments, parole violators returned, paroles, and discharges or expirations.

---

\*"Population Trends at MCI-Concord," Frank Carney, March 1972, Memo #58.

"Number of Drug Users and First Offenders Committed to Concord: Trends Over the Last Four Years and Projection for the Next Year," Edward Callahan, May 1973, Memo #156.

TABLE IX

NUMBERS OF ADMISSIONS FROM STREET AND RELEASES TO STREET: 1970 - 1972

<u>Year</u>	<u>Street Admissions</u>			<u>Street Releases</u>		
	<u>Court Commitments</u>	<u>Parole Revokes</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Paroles</u>	<u>Discharged or Expiration</u>	<u>Total</u>
1970	859	349	1208	759	253	1012
1971	1091	368	1459	946	242	1188
1972	1103	393	1496	1364	203	1567

TABLE X

POPULATION CHANGE AND NET INTAKE FROM STREET: 1970 - 1972

<u>Year</u>	<u>Pop. on Jan. 1</u>	<u>Pop. Change During Year</u>	<u>Number of Street Admissions Minus Number of Street Releases</u>
1970	2013	+ 70	+196
1971	2083	+120	+271
1972	2203	-347	- 71
1973	1856		

Explanation of Table XI. Table XI compares the number of admissions and releases of various types. The purpose is to spotlight the contribution of various categories of movements to the loss of population in the Department during 1972. This table uses the standard categories of types of admissions and releases that are also used in Table III and IV and that are specifically defined in the Appendix.

Comment. The most striking finding contained in this table is that there was a major loss of population to the following facilities: Bridgewater, Mass. Houses of Correction, federal authorities, correctional systems in other states, hospitals. There were 184 more releases to these facilities than there were admissions from these facilities. This was clearly a major factor in the population decline during 1972.

The question raised by this table is "how much of the population decline was due to various types of population movements?" No precise answer can be given to this question !! However, a fairly high degree of confidence could be attached to the following general estimates: (a) As presented in Table X, during 1972 there were 71 more releases to the street than there were admissions from the street. Examining population trends over the last decade, population stability has historically been associated with about 100 more street admissions than street releases. We might then say that about half of the population decline during 1972 (100+71 out of 347) could be attributed to the increase in paroles. (b) Most of the remaining population decline would then be due to the excess of releases to, over admissions from, the types of facilities discussed above (i.e. Bridgewater, Mass. Houses of Correction, federal authorities, correctional systems in other states, hospitals). Table IV above examines the months of the year during which each of these two major population reduction forces operated.

TABLE XI

MOVEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION POPULATION -- GAIN AND LOSS OF POPULATION  
FROM DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF MOVES INTO AND OUT OF SYSTEM

<u>Type of Movements</u> <u>To/From:</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Admissions</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Releases</u>	<u>Net</u> <u>Change</u>
Street*	1496	1567	-71
MCI's Other than Bridgewater	1288	1288	0
Bridgewater	88	155	-67
Houses of Correction	149	216	-67
Federal Authorities	188	197	-9
Other States	110	134	-24
Hospitals	151	168	-17
Out to Court	145	187	-42
Escape	44	57	-13
Other	<u>44</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>-37</u>
TOTAL	3703	4050	-347

\*Admissions from street include court commitments and parole violators. Releases to street includes paroles, discharges and expirations.

APPENDIX

EXPLICIT DEFINITION OF CATEGORIES OF TYPES OF ADMISSIONS AND RELEASES

(Note: The numbers in parenthesis indicate the numbers of people falling in each category and subcategory.)

Admissions:

1. "Court Commitments" (1103) include both new commitments (1066) and drug treatment commitments (39). Not included are court commitments for "B" or "C" sentences, in which the resident completes one sentence and begins serving another but never leaves the walls.
2. "Parole Violators" (393) are persons reincarcerated on parole revocation warrants. Persons reincarcerated both as parole violators and on new court commitments are categorized as court commitments.
3. "From Other Regular MCI" (1288) refers to transfers among MCI's, except for Bridgewater. These transfers of course show up both as admissions to one institution and releases from another.
4. "From Bridgewater" (88) indicates transfers from Bridgewater to other MCI's. Included are transfers from the State Hospital (50), Bridgewater DSU (7), Phase II i.e. Protective Custody (6), the Treatment Center (15), and the Drug Addiction Treatment Center (10).
5. "From Houses of Correction" (149) includes both the transfer of House of Correction commitments to MCI's, and the return of MCI commitments from Houses of Correction.
6. "From Federal Authorities" (188) refers predominantly to persons spending brief periods of time at Walpole or Framingham either just before or after trial in federal courts. Also included are a few federal prisoners serving time in MCI's.
7. "From Other States: (110) includes persons awaiting trial in another state (primarily Rhode Island women); persons committed in other states transferred to Massachusetts to serve time; and persons committed to MCI's, transferred to other states, then transferred back to Massachusetts either for a parole hearing or to serve time here.
8. "From Out of Court" (145) refers to people remanded or habeused to court, then returned. All court trips were apparently not listed on the Admission-Release Sheet.
9. "From Hospitals" (151) indicates MCI commitments returned from temporary transfers to medical hospitals or to, in a few cases, mental institutions. Not included are returns from the Bridgewater State Hospital or the Norfolk Hospital.
10. "Return from Escape: (44) is self-explanatory.
11. "Other" (44) includes a wide variety of miscellaneous categories: court commitments for "B" (12) or "C" (4) sentences, voluntary alcoholic commitments to Framingham (20), transfers from Youth Forestry Camps (1), and received on from and after sentence (7).

## Releases

1. "Paroled" (1364) indicates parolees to the street.
2. "Discharge or Expiration" (203) includes good conduct discharge (155), expiration of sentence (25), discharge from Framingham drunkenness sentence (23).
3. "To Other Regular MCI" (1288) refers to transfers among MCI's, except for Bridgewater.
4. "To Bridgewater" (155) indicates transfers to Bridgewater from other MCI's. Included are transfers to the State Hospital (70), Bridgewater DSU (3), Phase II i.e. Protective Custody (63), the Treatment Center (11), and the Drug Addiction Treatment Center (8).
5. "To Houses of Correction" (216) refers predominantly (208) to the transfer of House of Correction commitments to MCI's, and the return of MCI commitments from Houses of Correction. Also included are the parole of residents to from and after sentences at Houses of Correction (6) and the discharge of residents to from and after sentences at Houses of Correction (2).
6. "To Federal Authorities" (197) refers predominantly to persons briefly held at Walpole or Framingham either just before or after trial in federal courts. Also included are a few federal prisoners who had been serving time in MCI's, as well as five (5) persons paroled to or by federal authorities.
7. "To Other States" (134) primarily indicates residents released to correctional institutions or courts (predominantly Rhode Island women) in other states (127). Also included are residents paroled (5) or discharged (2) to the authority of other states.
8. "Out to Court" (187) refers to people remanded or habeused to court. All court trips were apparently not listed on the Admission-Release Sheet.
9. "To Hospitals" (168) indicates transfers of residents to hospitals outside the Department.
10. "Escape" (57) is self-explanatory.
11. "Other" (81) is a residual category consisting of the following: transfers to Youth Forestry Camps (4), death (6), sentence revoked (9), sentence vacated (3), released on bail (1), sentence stayed (1), discharge from voluntary alcoholic commitment (3), released on discharge by federal authorities (5), released by authority of other states (3), voluntary commitment released by own request (9), paroled to "B" (8) or "C" (1) sentence, paroled to warrant (6), paroled to from and after sentence (8), discharged to "B" (9) or "C" (1) sentence, and discharged to warrant (5).