

Wednesday, January 18, 2012.

Met according to adjournment, at eleven o'clock A.M., with Mr. Donato of Medford in the Chair (having been appointed by the Speaker, under authority conferred by Rule 5, to perform the duties of the Chair).

At the request of the Chair (Mr. Donato), the members, guests and employees joined with him in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag. Pledge of allegiance.

Message from the Governor.

A message from His Excellency the Governor (under Section 8 of Article LXXXIX of the Amendments to the Constitution) recommending legislation relative to authorizing the town of Groton, acting by and through its board of selectmen and board of water commissioners, to convey a conservation restriction on certain parcels of land to the Division of Fisheries and Wildlife by its Department of Fish and Game (House, No. 3886), was filed in the office of the Clerk on Tuesday, January 17, 2012. Groton,—land.

The message was read; and it was referred, under Rule 30, with the accompanying draft of a bill, to the committee on the State Administration and Regulatory Oversight. Sent to the Senate for concurrence.

Statement Concerning Representative Walsh of Boston.

A statement of Mrs. Haddad of Somerset concerning Mr. Walsh of Boston was spread upon the records of the House, as follows:

MR. SPEAKER: I would like to call to the attention of the House the fact that one of our colleagues, Representative Walsh of Boston is unable to be present in the House Chamber for today's sitting due to a scheduling conflict. His missing of roll calls today is due entirely to the reason stated. Statement concerning Mr. Walsh of Boston.

Guests of the House.

During the session, Representative Nangle of Lowell took the Chair, declared a brief recess, and introduced former boxer Mickey Ward, Comedian Steve Sweeney and others. They were the guests of Messrs. Golden of Lowell, Murphy of Lowell and Nangle of Lowell. Mickey Ward and Steve Sweeney.

Resolutions.

The following resolutions (filed with the Clerk) were referred, under Rule 85, to the committee on Rules:

Resolutions (filed by Representatives Barrows of Mansfield, Poirier of North Attleborough and Kafka of Stoughton) congratulating Jonathon Butler on receiving the Eagle Scout Award of the Boy Scouts of America; and Jonathon Butler.

Resolutions (filed by Representatives Barrows of Mansfield, Poirier of North Attleborough and Kafka of Stoughton) congratulating Anthony Milani on receiving the Eagle Scout Award of the Boy Scouts of America; Anthony Milani.

Mr. Binienda of Worcester, for the committee on Rules, reported, in each instance, that the resolutions ought to be adopted. Under suspension of the rules, in each instance, on motion of Mr. Kafka, the resolutions (reported by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading to be correctly drawn) were considered forthwith; and they were adopted.

Papers from the Senate.

Dudley,—
town
elections.

A Bill validating certain elections in the town of Dudley (Senate, No. 2048) (on a petition) [Local Approval Received], passed to be engrossed by the Senate, was read; and it was referred, under Rule 7A, to the committee on Steering, Policy and Scheduling.

World
Voice
week.

A petition (accompanied by bill) of Michael O. Moore, Harriette L. Chandler, John J. Binienda and James J. O'Day for legislation designating World Voice Week, came from the Senate referred, under suspension of Joint Rule 12, to the committee on State Administration and Regulatory Oversight.

The House then concurred with the Senate in the suspension of said rule; and the petition (accompanied by bill, Senate, No. 2107) was referred, in concurrence, to the committee on State Administration and Regulatory Oversight.

Engrossed Bills.

Bills
enacted.

Engrossed bills

Requiring authorization by a town meeting vote of certain contracts made by the town of Middleborough regarding the sale by the town of water or wastewater treatment services (see Senate, No. 1948) (which originated in the Senate);

Authorizing the town of Becket to continue the employment of the chief of police, William Elovirta (see House, No. 3509, amended); and

Establishing a voting precinct in the city of Newburyport (see House, No. 3855);

(Which severally originated in the House);

Severally having been certified by the Clerk to be rightly and truly prepared for final passage, were passed to be enacted; and they were signed by the acting Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Reports of Committee.

State
government
and finance.

By Mr. Binienda of Worcester, for the committees on Rules of the two branches, acting concurrently, that the Bill to create the position of poet laureate for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (House, No. 891), ought to pass. Referred, under Rule 33, to the committee on Ways and Means.

Recess.

Recess.

At three minutes after eleven o'clock A.M., on motion of Mr. deMacedo of Plymouth (Mr. Donato of Medford being in the Chair), the House recessed until one o'clock P.M., and at that time the House was called to order with Mr. Donato in the Chair.

Quorum.

Mr. Peterson of Grafton thereupon asked for a count of the House to ascertain if a quorum was present. The Chair (Mr. Donato), having determined that a quorum was not in attendance, then directed the Sergeant-at-Arms to secure the presence of a quorum.

Quorum.

Subsequently a roll call was taken for the purpose of ascertaining the presence of a quorum; and on the roll call 147 members were recorded as being in attendance.

Quorum,—
yea and nay
No. 182.

[See Yea and Nay No. 182 in Supplement.]

Therefore a quorum was present.

Subsequently a statement of Ms. Fox of Boston was spread upon the records as follows:

MR. SPEAKER: I would like to call to the attention of the House the fact that, during the previous quorum roll call, I was absent from the House Chamber on official business in another part of the State House and therefore was not recorded as being present. My missing of the quorum roll call was due entirely to the reason stated.

Statement
of Ms. Fox
of Boston.

*Motions to Discharge a Certain Matter
in the Orders of the Day.*

The House Bill making appropriations for the fiscal year 2012 for supplementing certain existing appropriations and for certain other activities and projects (House, No. 3878), reported by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading to be correctly drawn, was discharged from its position in the Orders of the Day, and read a third time, under suspension of Rule 47, on motion of Mr. Dempsey of Haverhill.

Supplemental
appropriations.

After remarks on the question on passing the bill to be engrossed, Mr. Peterson of Grafton moved that it be amended in section 2 by inserting after item 7066-0021 the following item:

“7077-0023 210,000.”

After remarks the amendment was rejected.

Mr. Turner of Dennis and others moved to amend the bill by adding the following section:

“SECTION 49. Item 4800-0038 of section 2 of chapter 68 of the acts of 2011 is hereby amended by adding ‘and, provided further that not less than \$65,000 shall be expended for the Children’s Cove Cape and Islands Child Advocacy Center,’”; and by striking the figures “242,757,069” and inserting in place thereof the figures “242,822,069”.

After remarks the amendments were rejected.

Mr. Koczera of New Bedford then moved to amend the bill by adding the following section:

“SECTION 49. Notwithstanding any law to the contrary the Executive Office of Health and Human Services and Medicaid shall make available to the so-called Group B hospitals the remainder of funds made available by the Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services in FY.11 for a Transitional Relief for Private Hospitals program.”

The amendment was rejected.

Messrs. Timilty of Milton, Ayers of Quincy and Cusack of Braintree moved to amend the bill by adding the following section:

Supplemental appropriations.

“SECTION 49. For the design and installation of necessary traffic lights at the intersection of state-owned Rt. 28 at North Main Street and the intersection of Pleasant and West Streets as determined by the final traffic design \$750,000.”.

The amendment was rejected.

The same members then moved to amend the bill by adding the following section:

“SECTION 49. For a traffic study along the Rt. 28 corridor to investigate turning lanes, traffic signal improvements, and other necessary improvements along Rt. 28 including the intersections of Russ and Scanlon; Chestnut and Oak; The Higashi School entrance; and Centre Street \$180,000.”.

The amendment was rejected.

Messrs. Walsh of Lynn and Mariano of Quincy moved to amend the bill by striking out section 17 and inserting in place thereof the following section:

“SECTION 17. Chapter 176J of the General Laws is hereby amended by inserting after section 11 the following 2 sections:

Section 11A. A select or limited network plan shall continue to provide coverage for medically necessary services that are part of the treatment program for patients, prior to joining the select or limited network, undergoing an active course of treatment or follow up treatment for a chronic disease at a comprehensive cancer center, pediatric hospital or pediatric specialty unit, as defined in section 1 of chapter 118G, that does not participate in a carrier’s select or limited network plan.

For services provided under this section, reimbursement shall be based on median in-network rates of that specific provider in such carrier’s private plans in a manner consistent with data filed by such carrier with the division of health care finance and policy; or if the specific provider does not participate in any other plan of the carrier, then based on negotiated rates. Patient cost sharing responsibility for the services sought may not exceed the lowest copayment obligation established by such carrier for the receipt of such services offered through the carrier’s select or limited network.

Section 11B. Patients receiving an active course of treatment or follow up treatment for a chronic disease at a comprehensive cancer center, pediatric hospital or pediatric specialty unit, as defined in section 1 of chapter 118G, prior to joining a tiered network, shall not pay an amount for patient cost sharing responsibility that exceeds the cost-sharing tier with the second highest patient cost sharing responsibility.”;

By striking out section 18 and inserting in place thereof the following two sections:

“SECTION 18. Section 11A of chapter 176J of the General Laws is hereby amended by striking out the first paragraph and inserting in place thereof the following paragraph:—

For an insured member who is receiving an active course of treatment or follow up treatment for a chronic disease and is newly enrolled in a select or limited network plan, the carrier shall provide coverage for those medically necessary services that are part of that

treatment program provided by a health care provider not participating in a carrier's plan pursuant to section 11 of chapter 176J for the duration of that treatment; provided that: (1) the insured's employer only offers the insured a choice of plans in which the provider is not a participant; (2) said provider is a comprehensive cancer center, pediatric hospital or pediatric specialty unit as defined in section 1 of chapter 118G; and (3) said provider is providing the insured with an ongoing course of treatment otherwise not available in-network.

SECTION 18A. Chapter 176J of the General Laws is hereby amended by inserting after section 13 the following 2 sections:—

Section 14. If a medically necessary and covered service is not available to a member within the carrier's provider network, the carrier must cover the services out-of-network, for as long as the service is unavailable in-network.

Section 15. Any insurer offering a tiered network plan shall clearly and conspicuously indicate in all promotional and agreement materials, the cost sharing differences for enrollees in the various tiers. The division of insurance shall promulgate regulations for what constitutes clear and conspicuous as well as the potential cumulative effects of these differences.”;

By striking out section 43 (as published) and inserting in place thereof the following section:

“SECTION 41. Notwithstanding any general or special law, rule or regulation to the contrary, the division of insurance shall conduct a review into the network adequacy and cost and quality-effectiveness of insurance products pursuant to section 11 of chapter 176J of the General Laws for the health care needs of children and the health care needs of cancer patients. The division of insurance shall promulgate regulations to ensure the needs of children and cancer patients are being met, consistent with cost and quality goals, and shall submit an annual report of its actions and potential legislative actions to the house and senate committees on ways and means and the joint committee on health care financing by December 31, 2012.”; and

By striking out section 48 (as published) and inserting in place thereof the following section:

“SECTION 46. Sections 18 and 19 shall take effect on July 31, 2013.”.

The amendments were adopted.

Mr. Dempsey of Haverhill then moved to amend the bill by striking out section 15 and inserting in place thereof the following section:

“SECTION 15. Chapter 68 of the Acts of 2011, in item 1410-0010, is hereby amended by inserting after the words ‘department of veterans’ services;’ the following:— provided further, that not less than \$10,000 shall be expended for the Korean War memorial located in the Charlestown navy yard’.”; and

By adding the following three sections:

“SECTION 49. Chapter 68 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking out the clause:— “For the Plymouth sheriff's office, which may expend for the operation of the office an amount not to exceed \$16,000,000 from revenues received from federal inmate reimbursements” and inserting in place thereof the following:—

Supplemental appropriations.

For the operation of the Plymouth sheriff’s office; provided that the office may expend an amount not to exceed \$13,500,000 from revenues received from federal reimbursements;

SECTION 50. Chapter 68 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking out the clause:— For the Bristol sheriff’s office, which may expend for the operation of the office an amount not to exceed \$8,460,000 from revenues received from federal inmate reimbursements” and inserting in place thereof the following:— For the operation of the Bristol sheriff’s office; provided that the office may expend an amount not to exceed \$7,710,000 from revenues received from federal reimbursements;”

SECTION 51. Chapter 2 of the resolves of 2010, as amended by section 91 of chapter 142 of the acts of 2011, is hereby further amended by striking out the last sentence and inserting in place thereof the following:

The commission shall report to the general court the results of its investigation and study and its recommendations, if any, by filing the same with the clerk of the senate and the clerk of the house of representatives not later than July 31, 2012. The commission shall continue to meet on a quarterly basis thereafter, to monitor progress on the subject and to determine whether further action may be required to implement the results of its investigation and study.”.

The amendments were adopted.

Ms. Wolf of Cambridge moved to amend the bill in section 2 by adding the following item:

“9110-1500 \$2,600,000.”

The amendment was rejected.

Ms. Provost of Somerville moved that the bill be amended by adding the following section:

“SECTION 52. Item 4513-1000 of section 2 of chapter 68 of the acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking the figure \$4,656,797 and inserting in place thereof the following: \$5,956,797.”.

The amendment was rejected.

Ms. Wolf of Cambridge then moved to amend the bill by striking out sections 38 and 39 (as published) and inserting in place thereof the following two sections:

“SECTION 31. Said section 6 of said chapter 171 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby further amended by striking out the words ‘provided further, that notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, 60 days before promulgating or amending any regulation or policy affecting eligibility, benefits or administration of this program, the department shall file with the house and senate committees on ways and means and the clerks of the senate and house of representatives a report setting forth justification for any such change including, but not limited to, any determination by the secretary of housing and economic development that available appropriations from the program will be insufficient to meet projected expenses;’ and inserting in place thereof the following words:— provided further, that notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, 60 days before promulgating or amending any regulations, administrative practice or policy that would alter eligibility for or the level of benefits under this program, other than that which would benefit the clients, the department shall file with

the house and senate committees on ways and means and the clerks of the senate and house of representatives a report setting forth justification for said changes, including but not limited to any determination by the secretary of housing and economic development that available appropriations from the program will be insufficient to meet projected expenses;

SECTION 32. Section 7 of said chapter 171 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking out the last clause and inserting in place thereof the following words:— provided further, that notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, 60 days before promulgating or amending any regulations, administrative practice or policy that would alter eligibility for or the level of benefits under this program, other than that which would benefit the clients, the department shall file with the house and senate committees on ways and means and the clerks of the senate and house of representatives a report setting forth justification for said changes, including but not limited to any determination by the secretary of housing and economic development that available appropriations from the program will be insufficient to meet projected expenses;.”

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Bastien of Gardner then moved to amend the bill in section 2, in line 70, by inserting after the words “*Department of Correction*” the following item:

“8900-0001 \$610,500”;

and by inserting after section 50 the following section:

“SECTION 50A. Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, the department of Corrections shall expend not less than \$610,500 for cities and towns hosting facilities”.

The amendments were rejected.

The same member then moved to amend the bill by inserting after section 3 the following section:

SECTION 3A. Section 3A of chapter 23A of the General Laws, as so appearing, is hereby amended by striking, in line 139, the figure “35,000” and inserting in place thereof the following:— “20,000”.

The amendment was rejected.

Mr. Murphy of Burlington then moved to amend the bill by striking out section 15; and the amendment was rejected.

Representatives Winslow of Norfolk and Peisch of Wellesley then moved to amend the bill by inserting after section 14 the following section:

“SECTION 14A. Section 6F of chapter 62 of the General Laws, as so appearing, is hereby amended by inserting, in line 53, after the word ‘date.’ the following:— In the case of a decedent dying after December 31, 2009 and before January 1, 2011, for property acquired from said decedent within the meaning of section one thousand and fourteen (b) of the Code, the initial basis of such property shall be determined under section one thousand and fourteen of the Code, without reference to sections one thousand fourteen (d) and (f) of the Code; except that in the case of an election by the executor pursuant to § 301(c) of the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (P.L. 111- 312), the initial

Supplemental appropriations.

basis of property acquired from said decedent shall be determined under section one thousand and twenty two of the Code as amended and in effect on January 1, 2005.”.

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Torrasi of North Andover then moved to amend the bill in section 16, in line 266, by inserting after the words “detached, shall.” (as changed by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading) the following sentence: “Furthermore, this change shall be applied retroactively to all certificates of approval administered within the last 12 months.”. The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Lombardo of Billerica moved to amend the bill by adding the following [A]four sections:

“SECTION 52. Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, for the days of March 18, 2012 through March 23, 2012 inclusive, the tax imposed upon meals pursuant to chapter 64H of the General Laws, as most recently amended by section 157 of chapter 27 of the Acts of 2009, shall be suspended.

Section 3. Reporting requirements imposed upon restaurants by law or regulation, including, but not limited to, the requirements for filing returns required by chapter 62C of the General Laws, shall remain in effect for sales on the days of March 18, 2012 through March 23, 2012 inclusive.

SECTION 53. On or before June 30, 2012, the commissioner of revenue shall certify to the comptroller the amount of sales tax forgone, as well as new revenue raised from person and corporate income taxes and other sources, pursuant to this Act. The commissioner shall file a report with the joint committee on revenue and the house and senate committees on ways and means detailing by fund the amounts under general and special laws governing the distribution of revenues under Chapter 64H of the General Laws which would have been deposited in each fund, without this act.

SECTION 54. The commissioner of revenue shall issue instructions or forms, or promulgate rules or regulations, necessary for the implementation of this act.

SECTION 55. No part of this act shall affect the provisions of chapter 64L of the General Laws, as most recently added by section 60 of chapter 27 of the acts of 2009.”.

Pending the question on adoption of the amendment, Mr. Kaufman of Lexington moved to amend it by striking out the text of said amendment [at “A”] and inserting in place thereof the following: “section:

SECTION 52. Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, the tax expenditure commission established by section 160 of chapter 68 of the acts of 2011 shall examine the issue of tax holidays including, but not limited to a meals tax holiday and furnish a report of their impacts on the state’s economy and revenue cost to the commonwealth, its transportation infrastructure and its cities and towns in the form of local aid, including, but not limited to, the current practices of other states, any anticipated change in employment or business growth and ancillary economic activity. The commission shall file the report with the joint committee on revenue by July 31, 2012.”.

Pending the question on adoption of the further amendment, Mr. Jones of North Reading asked for a count of the House to ascertain

Quorum.

if a quorum was present. The Chair (Mr. Donato of Medford), having determined that a quorum was not in attendance, then directed the Sergeant-at-Arms to secure the presence of a quorum.

Subsequently a roll call was taken for the purpose of ascertaining the presence of a quorum; and on the roll call 152 members were recorded as being in attendance.

Quorum,—
yea and nay
No. 183.

[See Yea and Nay No. 183 in Supplement.]

Therefore a quorum was present.

After debate on the question on adoption of the further amendment, the sense of the House taken by yea and nays, at the request of Mr. Lombardo of Billerica; and on the roll call 116 members voted in the affirmative and 39 in the negative.

Further
amendment
adopted,—
yea and nay
No. 184.

[See Yea and Nay No. 184 in Supplement.]

Therefore the further amendment was adopted, thus precluding a vote on the pending amendment.

Mr. Scaccia of Boston then moved to amend the bill by adding the following two sections:

“SECTION 53: Section 4 of chapter 57 of the General Laws, as most recently amended by chapter 153 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking and replacing all of the paragraph following ‘Seventh Suffolk’ with the following:

Consisting of precincts 8, 9 and 10 of ward 4, sub-precinct 2A of ward 5, precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 of ward 12, precincts 4 and 5 of ward 9, and precinct 1 of ward 21 of the city of Boston, in the county of Suffolk.

SECTION 54: Section 2 of chapter 153 of the Acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking and replacing with the following:

Notwithstanding the provisions of any general or special law to the contrary, for the purpose of electing representatives in the general court as provided in section 1, the election commissioners for the city of Boston shall include census blocks 0102032000, 0102032001, 0102032004, 0102032005, 0102033000, 0102033001, 0102033002, 0102033003, and 0102033004 in sub-precinct 2A of Ward 5 of the city of Boston. Notwithstanding and general or special law to the contrary, the city of Boston shall divide precinct 2 of Ward 5 along the representative district boundary into 2 sub-precincts. If the polling place for a sub-precinct created under this act is located in the same building as for an existing precinct, no additional election officers need be appointed for such sub-precinct.”

Pending the question on adoption of the amendment, Mr. Dempsey of Haverhill moved to amend it by adding the following two sections:

“SECTION 55: Section 1 of chapter 177 of the acts of 2011 is hereby amended by striking out the words “*Seventh Congressional District* - Consisting of wards 1, 2, 3, precinct 1 of ward 4, ward 5, precinct 3 of ward 10 and ward 11 of the city of Cambridge and the cities of Everett and Somerville, all in the county of Middlesex; wards 1 and 2, precincts 7 and 8 of ward 3, ward 4, precincts 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of ward 5, precinct 10 of ward 7, wards 8, 9 and 10, precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of ward 11, ward 12, precincts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9 of ward 13, wards 14 and 15, precincts 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 11 of ward 16, wards 17 and 18, precincts 7, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of ward 19, precinct 3 of ward 20, and wards 21 and 22 of the city of Boston and the city of

Supplemental appropriations.

Chelsea, both in the county of Suffolk; and precincts 1, 5, and 10 in the town of Milton and the town of Randolph, both in the county of Norfolk.” and inserting in place thereof the following: *Seventh Congressional District* - Consisting of wards 1, 2, 3, precinct 1 of ward 4, ward 5, precinct 3 of ward 10 and ward 11 of the city of Cambridge and the cities of Everett and Somerville, all in the county of Middlesex; wards 1 and 2, precincts 7 and 8 of ward 3, ward 4, precincts 1, 2, sub-precinct 2A, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of ward 5, precinct 10 of ward 7, wards 8, 9 and 10, precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 of ward 11, ward 12, precincts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9 of ward 13, wards 14 and 15, precincts 1, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 11 of ward 16, wards 17 and 18, precincts 7, 10, 11, 12 and 13 of ward 19, precinct 3 of ward 20, and wards 21 and 22 of the city of Boston and the city of Chelsea, both in the county of Suffolk; and precincts 1, 5, and 10 in the town of Milton and the town of Randolph, both in the county of Norfolk.

SECTION 56: Chapter 177 of the acts of 2011 is further amended by inserting after Section 9 the following: Section 9A. Notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary, for the purpose of electing representatives in the Congress of the United States and delegates and alternate delegates to the national conventions of political parties as provided in section 1, the election commissioners for the city of Boston shall create sub-precinct 2A of ward 5 including census blocks 0102032000, 0102032001, 0102032004, 0102032005, 0102033000, 0102033001, 0102033002, 0102033003, and 0102033004, which shall be included within the Seventh Congressional District.”.

The further amendment was adopted.

The amendments previously offered by Mr. Scaccia of Boston, as amended, then also were adopted.

Pending the question on passing the bill to be engrossed, Mrs. Hadad of Somerset asked for a count of the House to ascertain if a quorum was present. The Chair (Mr. Donato of Medford), having determined that a quorum was not in attendance, then directed the Sergeant-at-Arms to secure the presence of a quorum.

Subsequently a roll call was taken for the purpose of ascertaining the presence of a quorum; and on the roll call (the Speaker having taken the Chair) 155 members were recorded as being in attendance.

[See Yea and Nay No. 185 in Supplement.]

Therefore a quorum was present.

After debate on the question on passing the bill, as amended, to be engrossed, the sense of the House was taken by yeas and nays at the request of Mr. Dempsey of Haverhill; and on the roll call 155 members voted in the affirmative and 1 in the negative.

[See Yea and Nay No. 186 in Supplement.]

Therefore the bill (House, No. 3887, published as amended) was passed to be engrossed. Sent to the Senate for concurrence.

Orders of the Day.

Mr. Donato of Medford being in the Chair,—
Senate bills

Authorizing the town of Milton to grant a license for the sale of wines and malt beverages to be drunk on the premises of a certain restaurant (Senate, No. 2019); and

Quorum,—
yea and nay
No. 185.

Bill passed to
be engrossed,—
yea and nay
No. 186.

Third
reading
bills.

Authorizing the city of Somerville to incur debt to maintain property leased, licensed, or otherwise under the control of the city pursuant to an agreement between the city and the Commonwealth (Senate, No. 2025);

Severally reported by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading to be correctly drawn, were read a third time; and they were passed to be engrossed, in concurrence.

House bills

Requiring school bus operators and monitors to be mandated reporters of suspected child abuse and neglect (House, No. 50); and

Second reading bills.

Relative to extending the deadline for mailing quarterly tax bills (House, No. 3854);

Severally were read a second time; and they were ordered to a third reading.

The House Bill authorizing the town of Topsfield to convey certain conservation land (House, No. 3719), reported by the committee on Bills in the Third Reading to be correctly drawn, was read a third time.

Topsfield,—land.

Pending the question on passing the bill to be engrossed, Mr. Speliotis of Danvers moved to amend it in section 1 by adding the following sentence: “Parcel A is a portion of an open space parcel granted to the town of Topsfield by deed dated May 20, 1991 and recorded in book 10857, page 271 in the Essex south district registry of deeds.”

The amendment was adopted; and the bill (House, No. 3719, amended) was passed to be engrossed. Sent to the Senate for concurrence.

Order.

On motion of Mr. DeLeo of Winthrop,—

Ordered, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet tomorrow at eleven o’clock A.M.

Next sitting.

Accordingly, without further consideration of the remaining matters in the Orders of the Day, at seven minutes after five o’clock P.M., on motion of Mr. deMacedo of Plymouth (Mr. Donato of Medford being in the Chair), the House adjourned, to meet the following day at eleven o’clock A.M., in an Informal Session.