IN RE: DAVID STANTON MOYNIHAN

NO. BD-2011-093

S.J.C. Order of Disbarment entered by Justice Botsford on January 13, 2012.[1]

SUMMARY[2]

On May 19, 2011, the respondent, David Stanton Moynihan, was disbarred by the Supreme Court of California. The disbarment was based upon the respondent's conviction upon a guilty plea on August 3, 2010, of grand theft, a felony and "serious crime" as defined by S.J.C. Rule 4:01, § 12(3).

The respondent did not report the California disbarment to bar counsel in Massachusetts, as required by S.J.C. Rule 4:01, § 16(6).

On September 21, 2011, bar counsel filed a petition for reciprocal discipline with the Supreme Judicial Court for Suffolk County. The Court issued an order of notice giving the respondent thirty days to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be ordered in Massachusetts. The parties waived hearing and assented to the entry of an order of disbarment, with the respondent's reinstatement to the Massachusetts bar subject to his reinstatement in California. On January 13, 2012, the Court (Botsford, J.) entered a judgment of disbarment, effective immediately, striking the respondent's name from the Roll of Attorneys and providing that the respondent's reinstatement in Massachusetts will be conditioned on his reinstatement in California and subject to S.J.C. Rule 4:01, §18.

---

[1] The complete order of the Court is available by contacting the Clerk of the Supreme Judicial Court for Suffolk County.

[2] Compiled by the Board of Bar Overseers based on the record filed with the Supreme Judicial Court.