Criteria	Measure	Description		Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual	Health Metrics/Proxies								
		0	• •	•	Compared to 2040		Health Pathway			Future No-Build		Depressed / Same Alignment		Depressed / New Alignment		Elevated Viaduct
	DUITVANDA GOTGOD	WOR		BETTER	No Build				Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion
	City and its waterfron		prove the conveyand	ce of regional traffic through the co	rridor, while enhancing	g the connectivity of all	modes of transpo	rtation into and around								
1.1 Roa	dway Operational Fur	nctionality														
1.1.1	Provide acceptable intersection level of service	Delay or LOS change in total number of intersections		n minutes) and LOS for intersections Map Nos. 1, 2 and 3.	Synchro (Microsimulation Software), Mapping of intersections	Congestion, Stress levels, Commute Time, Less Time for Family		Number of Intersections experiencing LOS E/F and average delay (in minutes)	•	Total AM delay: 9.32 veh-min. Total PM delay: 13.99 veh-min. Intersections LOS E/F: Sin AM, 9 in PM	•	Total AM delay: 2.58 veh-min. Total PM delay: 14.16 veh-min. Intersections LOS E/F: 2 in AM, 9 in PM	•	Total AM delay: 7.29 veh-min. Total PM delay: 23.08 veh-min. Intersections LOS E/F: 4 in AM, 10 in PM	•	Total AM delay: 11.19 veh-min. Total PM delay: 12.18 veh-min. Intersections LOS E/F: 5 in AM, 9 in PM
1.1.2	Provide acceptable intersection level of service		Max. V/C (Volume Intersection	e to Capacity Ratio) at each signalized	d Synchro (Microsimulation Software)	Congestion, Stress levels, Commute Time, Less Time for Family	Air quality, Noise Mobility and Connectivity	Direction and magnitude of change in V/C per intersection; number of intersections with improved V/C	•	Average App. V/C AM: 0.3571 Average App. V/C PM: 0.4747	•	Average App. V/C AM: 0.381 Average App. V/C PM: 0.473	•	Average App. V/C AM: 0.4150 Average App. V/C PM: 0.5220	•	Average App. V/C AM: 0.376 Average App. V/C PM: 0.475
1.1.3	Provide acceptable intersection levels of service			ane and approach	Synchro (Microsimulation Software)	Congestion, Stress levels, Commute Time, Less Time for Family		Change in aggregate queue length, count of intersections with reduced 50th/95th queues	•	Total 50th Queue AM: 16,618 LF Total 50th Queue PM: 25,939 LF Total 95th Queue AM: 27,916 LF Total 95th Queue PM: 40,325 LF	•	Total 50th Queue AM: 22,731 LF Total 50th Queue PM: 32,292 LF Total 95th Queue AM: 36,400 LF Total 95th Queue PM: 49,900 LF	•	Total 50th Queue AM: 22,860 LF Total 50th Queue PM: 30,928 LF Total 95th Queue AM: 36,029 LF Total 95th Queue PM: 47,217 LF	· ·	Total 50th Queue AM: 22,172LF Total 50th Queue PM: 34,011 LF Total 95th Queue AM: 35,620 LF Total 95th Queue PM: 50,846 LF
1.1.4	Provide or maintai acceptable merge, diverge, and weave level of service on I-91 mainline	diverge and weave		LOS by location	Highway Capacity Software/Manual 2010	Safety, Accidents, Injury, Congestion, Stress levels, Commute Time, Less Time for Family	Air quality, Noise Mobility and Connectivity and Public Safety		•	LOCATIONS: Interstate 91 NB between Route 5 On-Ramp and Exit 2 - Longmeadow, MA: AM E, PM E Interstate 91 Exit 3 Off-ramp, between Route 5 SB off-ramp to East Columbus Avenue from South End Bridge, on-ramp to I-91 NB, off-ramp to East Columbus Avenue: AM E West Columbus Avenue: AM E West Columbus Avenue SB between I-91 SB Off-ramp, I-91 SB On-Ramp and On-ramp to South End Bridge WB: PM F Interstate 291 EB Ramp from I-91SB between the Route 20 On-ramp and the Exit 2 Off-ramp: AM E, PM E Interstate 91 NB between East Columbus Avenue On-ramp and Exit 8 On-ramp I291 EB: AM E, PM E Interstate 91 SB between On-ramp from East Columbus Avenue and Exit Off-ramp Route 5 SB in Longmeadow, MA: AM E, PM F	•	LOCATIONS: Interstate 91 NB from South End Bridge to Broad Street: AM F, PM, F Interstate 91 SB from Union Street to South End Bridge: AM E, PM E Interstate 291 WB from Liberty Street to Exits 1 and 2: AM F Interstate 291 EB from Interstate 91 to Liberty Street: AM F, PM F Interstate 91 NB from Union Street to Interstate 291: AM F, PM F	•	LOCATIONS: Interstate 291 EB from Interstate 91 to Liberty Street: PM F Interstate 291 WB from Dwight Street on- ramp Interstate 91 NB: AM F, PM F	•	LOCATIONS: Interstate 91 NB from South End Bridge to Broad Street: AM F, PM F Interstate 91 SB from Union Street to South End Bridge: AM E, PM E Interstate 291 WB from Liberty Street to Exits 1 and 2: AM F Interstate 291 EB from Interstate 91 to Liberty Street: AM F, PM F Interstate 91 NB from Union Street to Interstate 291: AM F, PM F
1.1.5	Provide acceptable I-91 mainline and on and off-ramp levels of service	Change in LOS on limited access ramps and highway segments			Highway Capacity Software/Manual 2010	Congestion, Stress levels, Commute Time, Less Time for Family		Change in number of on and off ramps; change in on/off ramp LOS.	•	RAMPS I-91 Exit 1 and 2 Interchange US Route 5 NB Onramp to I-91 NB: PM E I-91 Exit 3 Interchange I-91 SB On-ramp from West Columbus Avenue: PM F I-91 / I-291 Interchange - I-291 SB Ramp to I-91 NB: AM F, PM F MAINLINE All D or better	•	RAMPS All LOS D or better MAINLINE All D or better	•	RAMPS All LOS D or better MAINLINE All D or better	•	RAMPS All LOS D or better MAINLINE All D or better
1.2 Trav	vel Time															
1.2.1	Average vehicular travel time along I- 91 corridor			utes for a given distance during AM rs. See Map Nos. 4 and 5.	TransCAD (Macro Travel Demand Model)	Safety from Traffic, Congestion, Commute Time	Air quality, Noise	Change in Travel Time	•	NB From CT State Line to Plainfield Street  AM = 7 min 43 sec  PM = 8 min 42 sec  SB From Plainfield Street to CT State Line  AM = 7 min 37 sec  PM = 7 min 55 sec	•	NB From CT State Line to Plainfield Street AM = 18 seconds faster than No Build PM = 56 seconds faster than No Build SB From Plainfield Street to CT State Line AM = 11 seconds faster than No Build PM = 26 seconds faster than No Build	•	NB From CT State Line to Plainfield Street AM = 14 seconds slower than No Build PM = 12 seconds slower than No Build SB From Plainfield Street to CT State Line AM = 11 seconds faster than No Build PM = 25 seconds faster than No Build	•	NB From CT State Line to Plainfield Street AM = 18 seconds faster than No Build PM = 56 seconds faster than No Build SB From Plainfield Street to CT State Line AM = 10 seconds faster than No Build PM = 26 seconds faster than No Build
1.2.2	Average vehicular travel times throughout primar study area	Change in travel time ry between A to B travel pali	noints (through de	utes for a given distances for A to B elay reduction). See Map Nos. 6 and		Safety from Traffic, Congestion, Commute Time	Mobility and Connectivity	N/A	•	NB from E. Columbus @ Union St. to Springfield St.  @ Chestnut St. AM = 3 min 43 sec PM = 4 min 20 sec SB from Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. to E. Columbus @ Union St. AM = 4 min 11 sec PM = 4 min 17 sec	•	NB from E. Columbus @ Union St. to Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. AM = 18 seconds faster than No Build PM = 15 second slower than No Build SB from Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. to E. Columbus @ Union St. AM = 25 seconds faster than No Build PM = 53 seconds faster than No Build	O	NB from E. Columbus @ Union St. to Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. AM = 45 seconds slower than No Build PM = 1 min 18 seconds slower than No Build SB from Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. to E. Columbus @ Union St. AM = 29 seconds faster than No Build PM = 43 seconds faster than No Build	0	NB from E. Columbus @ Union St. to Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. AM =42 seconds faster than No Build PM = 4 seconds slower than No Build SB from Springfield St. @ Chestnut St. to E. Columbus @ Union St. AM = 25 seconds faster than No Build PM = 55 seconds faster than No Build

Crit	ria Measure	Description	Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Health Outcomes Health Pathway Health Metrics/Proxies Altern							natives			
		O	SE SAME BETTER	Compared to 2040 No Build				Ranking	Future No-Build Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / Same Alignment Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / New Alignment Discussion	Ranking	Elevated Viaduct Discussion	
1.3	Pedestrian and Bicvcle Fu	unctionality and Connectivit		NO Bullu				Kanking	Discussion	Kanking	Discussion	Kanking	Discussion	Kanking	Discussion	
1.3.1	Improve access from the downtown urban core to the riverfront (i.e. Connecticut Riverwalk, open space, environmental resources, and activity centers along )	Change in number of connections between downtown urban core and riverfront	Number of connections from downtown urban core, across I-91 and rail line, to the riverfront. This will include euclidian distance to nonulation reached with	in Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement		Count and quality (low/mid/high) of waterfront connections, mapped and tabulated	•	Limited Connections - No change	•	Reconfiguration of Clinton Street & West Columbus Ave to Create Greenspace Development Along Riverfront. Additional 600 LF of Sidewalk Along W. York Street. Improve Bike & Ped Access to Riverfront with Approximately 6000 LF of Shared-Use Paths Along South End Bridge, West Columbus Ave & Broad Street	•	Reconfiguration of Clinton Street & West Columbus Ave to Create Greenspace Development Along Riverfront. Improve Bike & Ped Access to Riverfront with Approximately 6000 LF of Shared-Use Paths Along South End Bridge, West Columbus Ave & Broad Street	•	Reconfiguration of Clinton Street Create Greenspace Development Along Riverfront. Improve Bike & Ped Access to Riverfront with Approximately 6000 LF of Shared-Use Paths Along South End Bridge & West Columbus Ave	
1.3.2	Improve access to community resources and social services	Change in number of connections to schools, health care, social services etc.	Number of connections to schools, health care, social services, etc. This will include euclidian distance to population reached within a 1/4 mile for walking, s, (bliking for 10 miles where feasible) from connection points.	Plans/GIS data layers	s Active Transportation		Mapping of public facilities and connectivity	•	No change	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No. 8	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No. 8	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternative 3)" See Map No.	
1.3.3	Improve access to retail, goods, commercial activit centers	connections to goods and	Number of connections to goods and employment centers. This will include euclidian distance to population reached within a 1/4 mile for walking, (biking for 10 miles where feasible) from connection points.	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans GIS data layers for environmental, open space, and activity centers	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity		Mapping of current/proposed land use and connectivity	0	No change	•	Improvements to bike/ped access (such as enhanced sidewalks, Bike Accomodations, longer walk times, countdown heads, lead pedestrian intervals, and/or exclusive pedestrian phases) within 0.25mi of 313 commercial, industrial, or public/institutional properties within Primary Study Area. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No. 10	•	Improvements to bike/ped access (such as enhanced sidewalks, Bike Accomodations, longer walk times, countdown heads, lead pedestrian intervals, and/or exclusive pedestrian phases) within 0.25mi of 313 commercial, industrial, or public/institutional properties within Primary Study Area. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No.10	•	Improvements to bike/ped access (such as enhanced sidewalks, Bike Accomodations, longer walk times, countdown heads, lead pedestrian intervals, and/or exclusive pedestrian phases) within 0.25mi of 321 commercial, industrial, or public/institutional properties within Primary Study Area. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternative 3)" See Map No. 11	
1.3.4	Improve connections to Union Station	Change in vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian and transit network to promot connectivity to Union Station	Additional sidewalk, bike path, bicycle facilities, bus stops and amenities. This will include euclidian te distance to population reached within a 1/4 mile for walking, (biking for 10 miles where feasible) from connection points.	ARCGIS Conceptua Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement		Mapping of public facilities and connectivity	•	No change	•	2,370 LF of Bike Accomodations added within 1/4 mile of Union Station	•	1,690 LF of Bike Accomodations added within 1/4 mile of Union Station	•	760 LF of Bike Accomodations added within 1/4 mile of Union Station	
1.3.5	Provide regional bicycle and pedestrian connectivity	Promote longer distance commuting and recreational trips through improved access to regional bicycle and pedestrian facilities	Change in number of connections (population reached)	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity		Mapping of projected jobs and connectivity	•	No change	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Connectivity and Employment (Alternative 1)" See Map No. 12	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Connectivity and Employment (Alternative 2)" See Map No. 13	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront; additional north/south connector along waterfront. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Connectivity and Employment (Alternative 3)" See Map No. 14	
1.4	Mode Shift															
1.4.1	Increase transit mode share	Improve access to public transportation or increase in transit services	Change in access to or amount of transit services	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation	Air quality, Noise Mobility and Connectivity		•	No change	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 21 transit stops, providing enhanced first/last mile access to existing transit service. No proposed route/ service changes.	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 21 transit stops, providing enhanced first/last mile access to existing transit service. No proposed route/ service changes.	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 21 transit stops, providing enhanced first/last mile access to existing transit service. No proposed route/ service changes.	
1.4.2	Increase bicycle and pedestrian mode share	Improve access or quality of bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Increase pedestrian and bicyclist perception of safety	Change in linear feet of sidewalk, linear feet of designated bicycle facilities	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic	Air quality, Noise Mobility and Connectivity and Public Safety	bike/ped facilities:	•	No change	•	54,100 LF of Sidewalk, 26,150 LF of Bike Accomodations, 13,180 LF of Shared-Use Paths. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No. 10	•	54,100 LF of Sidewalk, 26,150 LF of Bike Accomodations, 13,180 LF of Shared-Use Paths. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)" See Map No. 10	•	54,100 LF of Sidewalk, 26,150 LF of Bike Accomodations, 13,180 LF of Shared-Use Paths. See map "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternative 3)" See Map No. 11	
2	SAFETY To create a sa	afer and more user friendly	pedestrian and bicycle system through and across the t	ransportation corridor												
2.1	Pedestrian and Bicycle Sa															
2.1.1	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety	Minimize conflicts (between Bike/Peds & Vehicles)	Change in number of conflict points between vehicles and bicycles or pedestrians, mapping of conflict point				Change in conflict points, mapping of conflict points	•	11 Conflict Points Exist	•	Conflict Points Reduced to 10 locations	•	Conflict Points Reduced to 10 locations	•	Conflict Points Reduced to 10 locations	
2.1.2	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety	ADA compliance	ADA Compliant Ramps at Primary Study Area Intersections, Improvements to ramps and Crossings, Pedestrian Clearance Times at numerous locations	Field observations, measurements			Intersections modified to ADA compliance.	•	No change	•	RRFBs & Detectable Warning Strips @ Highway Ramps Where Crosswalks Exist. See Map No.1	•	RRFBs & Detectable Warning Strips @ Highway Ramps Where Crosswalks Exist. See Map No.2	•	RRFBs & Detectable Warning Strips @ Highway Ramps Where Crosswalks Exist. See Map No.3	
2.1.3	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety	Provide safe crossing accommodations at I-91 o and off-ramps	n Pedestrian and bicyclist crossing provisions at intersections with highway off-ramps	Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic	Mobility and Connectivity and Public Safety	accommodations.	•	I-91 NB: 6 On-Ramps, 6 Off-Ramps I-91 SB: 6 On-Ramps, 5 Off-Ramps I-91 EB: 3 Off-Ramps, 2 On-Ramps I-291 WB: 2 Off-Ramps, 3 On-Ramps	•	All ramps to be improved with safe crossing accommodations: I-91 NB: 4 On-Ramps, 4 Off-Ramps I-91 SB: 3 On-Ramps, 4 Off-Ramps I-291 EB: 3 Off-Ramps, 2 On-Ramps I-291 WB: 2 Off-Ramps, 3 On Ramps	•	I-91 NB: 2 On-Ramps, 3 Off-Ramps I-91 SB: 3 On-Ramps, 3 Off-Ramps I-291 EB: 3 Off-Ramps, 2 On-Ramps I-291 WB: 2 Off-Ramps, 3 On Ramps	•	I-91 NB: 4 On-Ramps, 4 Off-Ramps I-91 SB: 3 On-Ramps, 4 Off-Ramps I-291 EB: 3 Off-Ramps, 2 On-Ramps I-291 WB: 2 Off-Ramps, 3 On Ramps	
2.1.4	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety	Improve intersection crossing times for bicycles and pedestrians	Improved intersection design and adequate crossing timing	Intersection Plans, Conceptual Plans/Synchro			Count of intersections with likely increase or decrease in crossing times.	•	No change in crossing times	•	Likely increases in crossing times at 6 intersections	•	Likely increases in crossing times at 6 intersections	0	Likely increases in crossing times at 7 intersections	
2.1.5	Improve bicycle and pedestrian safety	Provision of separated facilities	Additional pedestrian corridors and/or bicycle facilitie created and separated from typical on-street situation		Air quality, Noise, Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic	,	Mapping of improved corridors	•	No change	•	Addition of 13, 180 LF of Shared-Use Paths	•	Addition of 13, 180 LF of Shared-Use Paths	•	Addition of 13, 180 LF of Shared-Use Paths	

Criteria	Measure	Description		Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual	Health Metrics/Proxies				Alternat	ves			
		0	•	0 0	Compared to 2040		Health Pathway			Future No-Build		Depressed / Same Alignment		Depressed / New Alignment		Elevated Viaduct
			DRSE S	SAME BETTER	No Build				Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion
2.2 Vehic	Improve interaction	Reduction of conflict poi n - based on the reduction y intersections and weavir segments	of Change in n	umber of conflict points between vehicles	Conceptual Plans	Safety from Traffic	Public Safety	Direction and magnitude of change in conflict points	•	16 Weaving Segments, 24 intersections	•	9 Weaving Segments, 24 Intersections	•	10 Weaving Segments, 19 intersections	•	10 Weaving Segments, 24 Intersections
2.2.2	and roadway safety	n Mitigate High Crash y locations	Existing con alternatives	ditions crash data inventory, new maps	Conceptual Plans	Safety from Traffic	· doi:e sarety	Number and mapping of high crash locations redesigned	•	27 crash clusters identified on/adjacent to I-91 or I 291	•	15 crash clusters redesigned	•	15 crash clusters redesigned	•	15 crash clusters redesigned
2.3 Publi	c Safety															
2.3.1	Improve public safety	Minimize factors that would contribute to increased crime and fear crime		ghting, land uses, network isolation veillance, other environmental factors)	Qualitative review o improvements (i.e. lighting, open spaces, line of sight) to safety/crime of Conceptual Alternative Plans	f Active Transportation Safety from Crime, Economic Opportunities	, Public Safety	N/A	•	Improved lighting under viaduct, installation of video surveillance, promote under viaduct recreational or slightly better	•	Remove section overhead viaduct, create green space over depressed viaduct, natural light, redevelopment, connection to river over railroad	•	Remove section overhead viaduct, create green space over depressed viaduct, natural light, redevelopment, connection to, river over railroad	•	New, modern elevated viaduct, improved lighting under viaduct, land- use/redevelopment under less visual obstruction/better visual surveillance
3 ENVI	RONMENTAL EFFECT	'S Improve the overa	ıll environment	al quality of the transportation corridor												
3.1 Susta	inability															
3.1.1	Impacts on environmental resources (i.e. wetlands, floodplains, aquifers)	Specific environmental resources impacted critiresources in study area	Square foot created	age of specific resource impacted or	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans/GIS data layer for environmental, open space etc.	S Environmental Contamination, Greer Space		Approximate square footage of resources affected by designs and development concepts	•	No change	O	20,200 SF of 100' FEMA Floodway; 57,100 SF of 500' FEMA Floodway; 1,155,000 SF NHESP Priority Habitat; 26,900 SF of DEP Wetlands. See Maps 015 and 018.	O	33,900 SF of 100' FEMA Floodway; 57,000 SF of 500' FEMA Floodway; 1,155,000 SF NHESP Priority Habitat; 26,900 SF of DEP Wetlands. See Maps 016 and 018.		20,200 SF of 100' FEMA Floodway; 57,000 SF of 500' FEMA Floodway; 1,155,000 SF NHESP Priority Habitat; 26,900 SF of DEP Wetlands.  See Maps 017 and 018.
3.1.2	Inclusion of Low Impact Development (LID) standards	Net change in pervious surface area to facilitate natural stormwater drainage and runoff	Square foot removed	age of pervious surface area created or	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans/GIS data layer for environmental, open space etc.	S Environmental S Contamination, Greer Space	Environmental Contamination		•	No change	•	Up to 468,800 SF of Greenspace Development Over Existing Viaduct Footprint	•	Up to 553,800 SF of Greenspace Development Over Existing Viaduct Footprint	•	Up to 13,800 SF of Greenspace Development Over Existing Viaduct Footprint
3.1.3	Reduction of pavement footprin	Net change in imperviou surface area within the I Corridor between East a West Columbus Avenue under existing condition (within the Primary Stud Area)	-91 nd Square foot removed	age of impervious surface area created or	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans/GIS data layer for environmental, open space etc.	s Environmental S Contamination, Greer Space	Environmental Contamination	Approximate square footage of impervious surface area created or removed	•	Total Impervious = 136.1 Acres / Total Pervious = 16.9 Acres	•	Total Impervious = 118 Acres / Total Pervious = 34.9 Acres	•	Total Impervious = 124.7 Acres / Total Pervious = 28.3 Acres	•	Total Impervious = 130.9 Acres / Total Pervious = 22 Acres
3.2 Air Q	uality															
3.2.1	Improve air quality	Health impact to vehicle occupants, bicyclists, and pedestrians		egional NOx, VOC, CO	CTPS emissions modeling	Acute and chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases including asthma/other respiratory diseases, heart attack, and premature mortality	Air quality	Change in VMT and associated changes in NOx, VOC, CO emission estimates.	•	Model VMT = 753,940 miles AM/ 1,091,945 miles PM Model VOC emissions: 110.73 kg AM/ 75.4 kg PM Model CO emissions: 1,573 kg AM/ 1,753 kg PM Model NOx emissions: 75.55kg AM / 96.56 kg PM	•	Model change in VMT = +3,808 miles AM/ +9,240 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.17 kg AM/ + 0.24 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: +2.66 kg AM/ +12.26 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: +0.21 kg AM / +0.65 kg PM	•	Model change in VMT = +6,619 miles AM/ +19,668 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.31 kg AM/ +0.54 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: +3.74 kg AM/ 19.99 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: +0.30 kg AM / +1.13 kg PM		Model change in VMT = -32 miles AM/ +955 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.04 kg AM/ +0.05 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: -1.65 kg AM/ +2.84 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: -0.04 kg AM / +0.15 kg PM
3.2.2	Improve air quality	Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions	e Change in C	:02 emissions	CTPS emissions modeling	Health impacts associated with climate effects		Change in VMT	•	Model VMT = 753,940 miles AM/ 1,091,945 miles PM Model CO2 emissions: 188,445 kg AM/ 280,386 kg PM		Model change in VMT = +3,808 miles AM/ +9,240 miles PM Model change in CO2 emissions: +981 kg AM/ +2,462 kg PM	•	Model change in VMT = +6,619 miles AM/ +19,668 miles PM Model change in CO2 emissions: +1,825 kg AM/ +5,978 kg PM	0	Model change in VMT = -32 miles AM/ +955 miles PM Model change in CO2 emissions: +66 kg AM/ +393 kg PM

Criteria	Measure	Description	Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual	Health Metrics/Proxies				Alternat	ives			
		O	O 0 0	Compared to 2040		Health Pathwa	У		Future No-Build		Depressed / Same Alignment		Depressed / New Alignment		Elevated Viaduct
3.3 Noi:	e	WOR	SE SAME BETTER	No Build				Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion
3.3.1	Noise impacts	Impacts to abutting residences and businesses (Expected change in	Expected change in distance from roadway experiencing decibel levels above Noise Abatement Criteria	Conceptual Alternative Plans, VHB Conceptual Level Noise Assessment	Myocardial infarction, Stroke, Ischaemic heart disease, Hypertension, Respiratory system diseases in children, Annoyance, Sleep loss, Mental health	Noise	Change in distance from alignment experiencing given noise level	•	Impact distances of 350 - 575 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 625 - 800 feet (residential use, >66db). See Map 019	•	Impact distances of 65 - 300 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 70 -730 feet (residential use, >66db) See Map 020	•	Impact distances of 65 - 275 feet (commercia use, >71dB) and 70 - 615 feet (residential use >66db). See Map 021		Impact distances of 65 - 465 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 70 - 800 feet (residential use, >66db). See Map 022
3.3.2	Noise impacts	decibel levels or number of vehicles at corridor intersections)	f  Expected change in number and type (commercial/residential) of impacted receptors.	Conceptual Alternative Plans, VHB Conceptual Level Noise Assessment	Myocardial infarction, Stroke,Ischaemic heart disease, Hypertension, Respiratory system diseases in children, Annoyance, Sleep loss, Mental health	- NOISE	Change in numbers of residences and businesses impacted	•	88 impacted commercial receptors and 240 impacted residential receptors. See Map 019	•	42 impacted commercial receptors and 88 impacted residential receptors. See Map 020	•	36 impacted commercial receptors and 69 impacted residential receptors. See Map 021	•	39 impacted commercial receptors and 110 impacted residential receptors. See Map 022
	O USE AND ECONOM  space and new oppo	IC DEVELOPMENT To ortunities for economic dev	design transportation based improvements that create elopment	beneficial land use or	pportunities for the City a	and the region th	at promote both access to								
	omic Development P														
4.1.1	Parcel growth - increase in available land suitable for private institutional, or public developmen	space	Change in square feet/acreage by land use type - residential, commercial, recreational, open space. Population reached within a 1/4 mile for walking, (biking for 10 miles where feasible).	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Green Space, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement		Estimated change in developable land area in waterfront and Columbus Avenue areas	•	No change	•	1,120,800 SF / 25.73 Acres of Accessible Greenspace/Development Land Created	•	1,111,400 SF / 25.51 Acres of Accessible Greenspace/Development Land Created	•	54,100 SF / 1.24 Acres of Accessible Greenspace/Development Land Created
4.1.2	Improve accessibility to potential and existing development parcels	Vehicular, bicycle and pedestrian connections to potential development parcels (Studies show that commercial corridors may benefit from bike and ped infrastructure)	Connections to existing and parcels provided	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement		Count and quality (low/mid/high) of waterfront/ development area connections, mapped and tabulated	•	No change	•	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area	•	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area	•	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area w/ additional connector along waterfront
4.1.3	Improved bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure	Studies show that commercial corridors may benefit from bike and ped infrastructure	Connections to existing and proposed development parcels provided	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement	Economic Opportunity	Change in count/ length of complete streets segments of commercial corridors in study area	0	No change	•	54,100 LF of Sidewalk & 26,150 LF of Bike Accomodations	•	53,100 LF of Sidewalk & 27,000 LF of Bike Accomodations	•	16,000 LF of Sidewalk & 19,900 LF of Bike Accomodations
4.1.4	Increase density with more intensified development	More compact, mixed, connected land use development patterns tend to improve overall accessibility, increase agglomeration efficiencies reduce public service cost:		ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Gentrification, Displacement		Estimated change in households, jobs, and businesses from development scenarios	•	No change	•	Increase of 550 persons, 271 households, and 1325 jobs within study area (vs. no-build)	•	Increase of 888 persons, 347 households, and 2330 jobs within study area (vs. no-build)	•	Increase of 104 persons, 51 households, and 136 jobs within study area (vs. no-build)
4.1.5	Incur new tax generation		s, Increase in property values and property taxes generated within study area (accruing to Springfield)	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans, Municipal records	Improve municipal services that improve health	_	N/A	•	No change	•	Development scenario yields est. \$2.2M in annual tax revenue for City of Springfield at full buildout	•	Development scenario yields est. \$3.5M in annual tax revenue for City of Springfield at full buildout	•	Development scenario yields est. \$0.3M in annual tax revenue for City of Springfield at full buildout
4.2 Soc	o-Economic Impacts														
4.2.1	Increase employment	Change in jobs in area	Net changes in jobs post project	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Chronic stress, chronic diseases, overall morbidity and mortality	Land Use	Estimate of new jobs to City of Springfield from development scenarios	•	No change	•	Increase of 1325 jobs (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 2330 jobs (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 136 jobs (vs. no-build) within PSA
4.2.2	Increase population	n Change in number of people living in area	Net changes in population post project	Census, Municipal Sources	Efficiency of service delivery	Land Use	Estimate of new residential population to Springfield from development scenarios	•	No change	•	Increase of 550 persons (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 888 persons (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 136 persons (vs. no-build) within PSA
4.2.3	Increase housing	Number of new housing units	New housing starts	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active transportation, gentrification	Land Use	Estimate of new housing units to Springfield from development scenarios	•	No change	•	Increase of 285 housing units (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 460 housing units (vs. no-build) within PSA	•	Increase of 54 housing units (vs. no-build) within PSA

Crit	ria Measure	Description	Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual Health Pathway	Health Metrics/Proxies				Alternat	ives			
		O wors	© <b>⊕ ⊕</b> SE SAME BETTER	Compared to 2040 No Build				Ranking	Future No-Build Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / Same Alignment Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / New Alignment Discussion	Ranking	Elevated Viaduct Discussion
4.2.4	Improve affordability - housing in proximity to trans	New housing to be developed within close proximity of major transit it facilities	Euclidian distance from Union Station (Transportation Hub) to housing units reached within a 1/4 mile for walking	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Limits exposure to environmental toxins that impact health; reduces stress from financial burden and control over ones environment, particularly to vulnerable populations, including the elderly, people with disabilities, and homeless individuals and families.	Land Use	Estimate of change in housing units proximate to Union Station	•	No change	•	No direct change in housing units within 0.25mi walk radius.	•	160,000 SF development within 0.25mi walk radius could include approx. 100 housing units with bicycle/pedestrian connectivity to Union Station.	•	No direct change in housing units within 0.25mi walk radius.
4.2.5	Improved public service provision	New tax generation	Change in municipal tax revenue	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Improve municipal services that improve health	Land Use	Estimate of revenue from development scenarios	•	No change	•	Development scenario yields est. \$2.2M in annual tax revenue at full buildout	•	Development scenario yields est. \$3.5M in annual tax revenue at full buildout	•	Development scenario yields est. \$0.3M in annual tax revenue at full buildout
4.2.6	Promote reduced travel costs	Reduced costs for bicycle and pedestrians, and potentially transit users - frees up spending for othe purposes like housing, necessities, disposable, etc.	r Change in transit mode	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Reducing travel costs allows money to then be used to support healthier expenditures	Land Use	N/A	•	No change	•	Significantly improved walkability/ bike- ability, greater extent and continuity of pedestrian environments, greater critical mass of bike/ ped/ and potential transit use	•	Significantly improved walkability/ bike- ability, greater extent and continuity of pedestrian environments, greater critical mass of bike/ ped/ and potential transit use	•	Significantly improved walkability/ bike- ability, greater extent and continuity of pedestrian environments, greater critical mass of bike/ ped/ and potential transit use
4.2.7	Improve social cohesion	Potential improved connections (Acre/linear feet Complete Streets or pedestrian corridor) from North End neighborhoods and the Urban Core and Riverfront; Creation of connected/linked open space.		Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Expected impacts to chronic diseases, Expected impacts to social determinants/cohesio n, mental health	Land Use	Count of new or newly connected open spaces in study area; Change in count of Complete Streets and/or bike/ped connections to North End	•	No change	•	2 additional bike/ped connections to North End; 6 additional high-quality bicycle and pedestrian connections to waterfront; additional 468,800 SF of greenspace over existing viaduct footprint	•	2 additional bike/ped connections to North End; 6 additional high-quality bicycle and pedestrian connections to waterfront; additional 553,800 SF of greenspace over existing viaduct footprint	•	2 additional bike/ped connections to North End; 6 additional high-quality bicycle and pedestrian connections to waterfront; additional 13,800 SF of greenspace over existing viaduct footprint
4.3	Freight Rail Impacts														
4.3.1	Operational impacts	Construction related impacts to freight operations	Displacement or delay on freight movement	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Air Quality, Environmental Contamination	Air Quality and Noise, Land Use		•	Potential impacts to freight operations which will require mitigation measures.	O	Potential impacts to freight operations which will require mitigation measures.	0	Potential impacts to freight operations which will require mitigation measures.	•	Potential impacts to freight operations which will require mitigation measures.
4.3.2	Implementation costs	Capital or relocation costs	Displacement or delay on freight movement	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Air Quality, Environmental Contamination	Air Quality and Noise, Land Use	N/A	•	Limited impacts to freight operations	•	Moderate impacts based on East/West Columbus Ave. underpass widening and covering of railroad in vicinity of public esplanade	0	Significant impacts based on East/West Columbus Ave underpass widening, alignment change of I-91, covering of railroad in the vicinity of public esplanade	O	Limited impacts to freight operations
4.4	Parking Impacts														
4.4.1	Impacts to parking under I-91	Reduction/addition of parking spaces	Change in parking spaces	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans (map showing locations of parking spaces)	Air Quality, Active Transportation, Green Space	Air Quality and Noise, Land Use	Change in parking spaces	•	1,768 existing spaces beneath I-91	•	Remove highway North & South Garages with new parking location; net reduction of 700 spaces	•	Remove highway North & South Garages with new parking location; net reduction of 700 spaces	0	Remove highway South Garage, maintain North Garage; net reduction of 1,100 spaces
	COMMUNITY EFFECTS	Minimize temporary in	npacts to all stakeholders, while understanding and m	aximizing the future b	enefits of a completed p	project									
5.1	Visual Impacts														
5.1.1	Visual perception of I-91 Viaduct	Vertical location of Viaduct (Visual perception of I-91 Viaduct)	Change in vertical or horizontal alignment in number of feet relative to activity center proxies.	of ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Mental Health	N/A	N/A	0	No change - Remains Visual/Physical Barrier	•	25' Below Ground for 1600LF Covered	•	25' Below Ground for 1600LF Covered	•	Vertical change (TBD), higher than existing, reduced number of vertical piers/columns
5.2	Construction Impacts														
5.2.1	Construction duration	Impacts to residents, businesses, and visitors	(Assumed) Length of anticipated temporary and permanent closures	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Air Quality, Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic, Environmental Contamination, Access to Healthy Affordable Foods	Mobility and Connectivity, Public Safety, Economic Opportunity	N/A	•	Ongoing maintenance and future rehab projects antiicpated to be in the 0-5 year range.	0	10-15 years minimum	0	10-15 years minimum	O	8-12 years minimum

Criteria	Measure	Description	Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual	Health Metrics/Proxies				Alternativ	res			
		0	O O O	Compared to 2040		Ticalai Taaliwa			Future No-Build		Depressed / Same Alignment		Depressed / New Alignment		Elevated Viaduct
		WORS	SE SAME BETTER	No Build				Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion	Ranking	Discussion
5.2.2	Lane closures and lm detours bu	npacts to residents, usinesses, and visitors	(Assumed) Length of anticipated temporary and permanent closures	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Air Quality, Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic, Environmental Contamination, Access to Healthy Affordable Foods		N/A	•	Ongoing maintenance and future rehab projects antiicpated to be in the 0-5 year range.	0	12-15 years minimum	0	12-15 years minimum	G	10-12 years minimum
5.2.3	Maintenance of lm access to abutters bu	npacts to residents, usinesses, and visitors	(Assumed) Length of anticipated temporary and permanent closures	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Air Quality, Active Transportation, Safety from Traffic, Environmental Contamination, Access to Healthy Affordable Foods	Mobility and Connectivity, Public Safety, Economic Opportunity	N/A	•	Ongoing maintenance and future rehab projects antiicpated to be in the 0-5 year range.	0	12-15 years minimum	0	12-15 years minimum	G	10-12 years minimum
5.2.4	Disruption of local Im businesses bu	npacts to residents, usinesses, and visitors	(Assumed) Length of anticipated temporary and permanent closures(At minimum, the number and location of businesses and number of employees impacted by closure.	Census, Municipal Sources, Economic Data, ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity, Access to Healthy Affordable Foods		N/A	0	Ongoing maintenance and future rehab projects antiicpated to be in the 0-5 year range.	0	8-10 years	0	8-10 years	O	5-8 years
5.3 Coi	patibility														
5.3.1		nd regional transportatior ans, strategic plans and ans of conservation and		ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Green Space, Affordable Housing, Gentrification, Economic Opportunity	Land Use, Economic Opportunity	Qualitative assessment of compatibility	0	No change	•	Strongly supports Rebuild Springfield Plan; aligned with Longmeadow, West Springfield, Agawam, and regional plans	•	Strongly supports Rebuild Springfield Plan; aligned with Longmeadow, West Springfield, Agawam, and regional plans	•	Strongly supports Rebuild Springfield Plan; aligned with Longmeadow, West Springfield, Agawam, and regional plans
5.3.2	massDOT goals,	onsistency with MassDOT oals, policies, and rectives	General Compliance with MassDOT Qualitative (Yes or No)	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	N/A	N/A	Qualitative assessment of compatibility	•	No change	•	Conceptual plans meet the latest goals, policies and directives	•	Conceptual plans meet the bids & goals, policies and directives	•	Conceptual plans meet the bids & goals, policies and directives
5.4 Env	ironmental Justice Impact	ts													
5.4.1	Availability of jobs in EJ areas	ccess to jobs	Reduction in travel time from residential area to downtown business center	ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Active Transportation, Economic Opportunity		Estimate of new jobs from development scenarios; Mapping of current/proposed land use and connectivity	•	No change	•	Increase of 1325 jobs (vs. no-build); See Map No. 010"Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Increase of 2330 jobs (vs. no-build); See Map No. 010 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Increase of 136 jobs (vs. no-build); See Map No. 011"Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternative 3)"
5.4.2	Availability of education and Achealth services in EJ ser areas	ccess to community rvices	Qualitative assessment - spatial examination of the community assets	ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Access to care, economic opportunity	Land Use, Economic Opportunity	Mapping of public facilities and connectivity	•	No change	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No.008 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No.8 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No.009 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternative 3)"
5.4.3		ccess to transportation odes	Qualitative assessment - spatial examination of the transportation modes	ARCGIS Conceptual Alternative Plans	Active Transportation		Estimate of change in bike/ped facilities; Mapping of connectivity;Change in parking spaces	0	No change	•	54,100 LF of Sidewalk & 26,150 LF of Bike Accomodations	•	53,100 LF of Sidewalk & 27,000 LF of Bike Accomodations	•	16,000 LF of Sidewalk & 19,900 LF of Bike Accomodations

Cr	teria Measure	Description	Data	Source/Tool	Health Outcomes	Conceptual	Health Metrics/Proxies	Alternatives								
		O	C	Compared to 2040 No Build		Health Fatti Wa	7	Ranking	Future No-Build Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / Same Alignment Discussion	Ranking	Depressed / New Alignment	Ranking	Elevated Viaduct Discussion	
5.4.4	Improve local access from the downtown urban core to the riverfront (i.e. Connecticut Riverwalk), open space, environmental resources, and activity centers (i. Basketball Hall of Fame) in EJ areas	Change in number of connections between downtown and riverfront, to open space, environmental resources, retail, goods and social services, and activity centers in El areas	Number of connections across I-91 and rail line, to op	en	Active Transportation, Green Space, Safety from Traffic. Social Cohesion. Economic Opportunity.		Count and quality (low/mid/high) of waterfront connections, mapped and tabulated	()	No change	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area	•	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area	•	6 additional high-quality bike/ped connections to waterfront area w/ additional connector along waterfront	
5.4.5	Improve access to community resources and social services in E areas	connections to schools,	Number of connections to schools, health care, social services, etc. in EJ areas. This will include euclidian distance to population reached within a 1/4 mile for walking, (biking for 10 miles where feasible) from connection points.	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation. Safety from Traffic. Social Cohesion. Economic Opportunity.	Land Use, Economic Opportunity	Mapping of public facilities and connectivity	•	No change	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No. 008 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No. 008 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	Improved bike/ped access (within 0.25mi) to 4 libraries, 1 farmers market, 1 middle school within Primary Study Area. No improved access to healthcare facilities. See Map No. 009 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Public Facilities (Alternative 3)"	
5.4.6	retail, goods,	Change in number of connections to goods and cy employment centers in EJ areas		ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Active Transportation. Safety from Traffic. Social Cohesion. Economic Opportunity.		Mapping of current/proposed land use and connectivity	•	No change	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront. See Map No.010 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront. See Map No.010 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternatives 1 and 2)"	•	2 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to North End; 6 additional bike/ped connections from downtown to waterfront; additional north/south connector along waterfront. See Map No. 011 "Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Transit Access to Goods and Services (Alternative 3)"	
5.4.7	Environmental Impacts in EJ area	Environmental Impacts (Improvement of air s quality and noise Impacts in EJ areas)	Quantitative assessment (Expected change in decibel levels or number of vehicles at corridor intersections EJ areas. Feet of buffer between vehicular travel and bicycle/pedestrians in EJ areas)		Environmental Contamination, Air Quality, Noise		Change in VMT and associated changes in NOx, VOC, CO emission estimates.	•	Model VMT = 753,940 miles AM/ 1,091,945 miles PM Model VOC emissions: 110.73 kg AM/ 75.4 kg PM Model CO emissions: 15,73 kg AM/ 1,753 kg PM Model NOx emissions: 75.55kg AM / 96.56 kg PM Impact distances of 350 - 575 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 625 - 800 feet (residential use, >66db)	•	Model change in VMT = +3,808 miles AM/ +9,240 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.17 kg AM/ +0.24 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: +2.66 kg AM/ +12.26 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: +0.21 kg AM / +0.65 kg PM Impact distances of 65 - 300 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 70 -730 feet (residential use, >66db)	٠	Model change in VMT = +6,619 miles AM/ +19,668 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.31 kg AM/ +0.54 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: +3.74 kg AM/ 19.99 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: +0.30 kg AM / +1.13 kg PM Impact distances of 65 - 275 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 70 - 615 feet (residential use, >66db)	•	Model change in VMT = -32 miles AM/ +955 miles PM Model change in VOC emissions: +0.04 kg AM/ +0.05 kg PM Model change in CO emissions: -1.65 kg AM/ +2.84 kg PM Model change in NOx emissions: -0.04 kg AM / +0.15 kg PM Impact distances of 65 - 465 feet (commercial use, >71dB) and 70 - 800 feet (residential use, >66db)	
6	COST Developme	nt of Alternative Designs wil	I combine the approach of Feasibility, Creativity, and L	ong Term Sustainabilit	У											
6.1.1	Order of magnitude implementation cost	le Estimated capital costs of construction	Value in 2015 dollars	ARCGIS Conceptual	Economic Opportunity	N/A	N/A	•	\$750 million (assumes structural & piers replacement/repair)	0	\$3.78 Billion	0	\$3.74 Billion	0	\$3.14 Billion	
6.1.2	Dight of way	Impact to abutting right-o	f- Square footage/Acres Impacted	ARCGIS Conceptual	Active Transportation	14/7	N/A	•	No Impact	•	Approximately 34 AC Affected, See Map No. 023	•	Approximately 39 AC Affected, See Map No. 024	•	Approximately 31.4 AC Affected, See Map No. 025	
6.2	Maintenance Costs	,ay		į, iuris							323		324		023	
6.2.2	Anticipated annua maintenance cost		Value in 2015 dollars	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans	Economic Opportunity, Safety from Crime		N/A	•	\$500,000/year	O	\$1.75 million/year (est.)	O	\$1.75 million/year (est.)	•	\$1.25 million/year (est.)	
6.2.2	Life-cycle Cost- Benefit Analysis	Cost-Benefit Analysis: Including Construction Cost, Longevity of structure, Environmental, Annual Maintenance, Safety, Redevelopment Potential, Social/EJ	Cumulative Approach to Analysis considering Quantitative and Qualitative assessment of life-cycle elements based upon a value of 1-10, with 10 being extremely positive, 5 being no change and 1 being an extremely negative score when considering all described elements.	ARCGIS Conceptual Plans/Cost opinions Evaluation Criteria		N/A	N/A	•	Cost (5) Longevity (3) Environmental (3) Annual Maintenance (5) Safety (2) Redevelopment (2) Social (5) = Total of 25 Approximate Life Cycle Cost (2075) \$1.62 Billion	•	Cost (1) Longevity (7) Environmental (7) Annual Maintenance (4) Safety (7) Redevelopment (8) Social (8) = Total of 42 Approximate Life Cycle Cost (2075) \$3.88 Billion	•	Cost (1) Longevity (7) Environmental (7) Annual Maintenance (4) Safety (6) Redevelopment (8) Social (8) = Total of 41 Approximate Life Cycle Cost (2075) \$3.84 Billion	•	Cost (2) Longevity (4) Environmental (3) Annual Maintenance (6) Safety (5) Redevelopment (4) Social (6) = Total of 30 Approximate Life Cycle Cost (2075) \$3.24 Billion	