WAIVER OF PROMPT ARRAIGNMENT

| I, | , Und | erstand that: |
|-------------------|---|---|
| -I have the | right to be brought to court as soon as reasonab | ly possible. |
| • | | legal holidays, or after court hours during the week. |
| • | If I am not brought to court within 24 hours a judge ordered that I be held without bail. | of my arrest, a court magistrate can release me even if court if closed, unless |
| I understand | nd that: | |
| • | at court I must be told what crimes I am bein | |
| • | at court a judge can appoint a lawyer for me | if I cannot afford one. |
| • | at court a judge can decide to release me. | |
| I understand | nd that: | |
| If I was agree to | | annot question me before I am brought to court, unless I sign this notice and |
| _ | lecide to stop answering questions when I want. | |
| | questioning, I will be brought to court as soon a | |
| I hereby wa | aive my rights, and agree to answer questions be | efore I go to court. |
| It is now | on | |
| | (time) (date) | |
| (off | ficer witnessing) | (person arrested) |

(second witness)



SJC's Rule on Interrogation Before Court Appearance

The Supreme Judicial Court announced a rule in 1992 regarding the interrogation of defendants who have been arrested. They did this in two opinions, **Commonwealth v. Rosario**, 422 Mass, 48, and **Commonwealth v. Ortiz**, 422 Mass 64. The rule states-No arrested person may be interrogated after six hours has past from the time of their arrest. However, an arrested person may be interrogated during the first six hours after arrest without violating Rule 7 of the Massachusetts Rules of Criminal Procedure.

This rule does not require that the arrested person be brought to court within six hours of arrest; however, the rule creates a "safe harbor" from the operation of Rule 7 only for the purposes of interrogation. The interrogation may be on the crimes for which the person was arrested, or on other suspected crimes. The new rule does not relieve the Commonwealth from the requirements of Miranda or rights under c.276, sec. 33A, to use the telephone.

The importance of this ruling is an arrested person may execute <u>a written (or recorded) waiver</u> of the right to be brought to court without unreasonable delay, even after the 6 hours have expired. The SJC offered its suggestion of what "warnings" should be included in this waiver. Finally, the six hours do not start running if the arrested person is "incapacitated" by drugs or alcohol, or for any reason "not attributable to the police."

The above is an enclosed "Waiver of Prompt Arraignment" form which should be used whenever a defendant is going to be interrogated beyond the **six hour safe harbor** which was created by the SJC.

This rule is sometimes referred to as the "safe harbor" or "six hour" rule. As long as we have a waiver we interrogation can occur beyond the six hour rule. The waiver has been copied on our letterhead. The interpretations of the law are from first assistant District Attorney Robert Weiner of the Essex County DA'S office.