

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

AUDITOR OF THE COMMONWEALTH ONE ASHBURTON PLACE, ROOM 1819 BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02108 TEL. (617) 727-6200

A. JOSEPH DeNUCCI AUDITOR

STATE LIBRARY BY MASSACRUSETE

NO. 2004-0233-4T

MAY 1 1 2006

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY-RELATED CONTROLS FOR VIRUS PROTECTION AT THE LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SERVICES

October 9, 2003 through December 8, 2005

OFFICIAL AUDIT REPORT MAY 11, 2006



1

2

5

6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUDIT SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY

AUDIT CONCLUSION

AUDIT RESULTS

AP	PENDICES	10
1.	Agencies Visited	10
2.	Generally Accepted Management and Technical Control Practices	11
3.	Date of Virus Infection by Agency per ITD	16
4.	ITD's SAS Reported Security Alerts	17
5.	Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards	18

INTRODUCTION

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts annually appropriates funds to pay the joint operating expenditures of the state legislature (9700-0000). This appropriation includes funds for the Legislature's information services function, located in the State House in Boston, which is provided through two main departments: Customer Support and Operations. The Customer Support department provides technical service and user support to the entire legislative user community. This group is the initial customer interface for all questions, service requests and trouble shooting efforts for all supported products and services. The Operations department is responsible for managing and maintaining the local area network and the interface with the web.

Legislative Information Services' (LIS) business operations are supported by an IT configuration with a local area network (LAN) consisting of 9 file servers and 12 hundred workstations. LIS maintains a firewall to the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division (ITD) that was installed to provide increased access security and privacy that are essential to the operation and mission of the Legislative branch. LIS has connections to MAGNet, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' wide area network (WAN). LIS has twenty-two individuals in information technology positions who are responsible for the operations and security of IT systems.

-1-

AUDIT SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY

Audit Scope

Our audit, which was conducted from October 26, 2004 through January 7, 2005, and from December 5, 2005 through December 16, 2005, covered the period of October 9, 2003 through December 8, 2005 consisted of an examination of the Legislative Information Services' virus protection activities for the period covering October 9, 2003 through December 8, 2005. Our examination focused on a review of controls related to policies, procedures, and use of software tools to prevent and detect viruses and unauthorized intrusions, assess the level of risk of viruses, report on the occurrence of a potential virus, and to implement corrective measures. The audit was performed in conjunction with similar audits conducted at thirty-two other state agencies (see Appendix 1).

Audit Objectives

The primary objective of our audit was to determine whether the LIS's IT resources were adequately protected against virus attacks and malicious intrusions through appropriate preventive, detective and corrective measures. Specifically, we sought to determine whether adequate policies and procedures were in place to inform and guide personnel in addressing virus protection and to determine whether appropriate software tools, such as anti-virus software, were used to prevent and detect computer viruses. In addition, we sought to determine whether appropriate risk management procedures and tools were in place to limit malicious intrusions and virus entry points and to address vulnerabilities that viruses could exploit. We also sought to determine whether appropriate policies and procedures were in place to respond to detected viruses. Lastly, we determined the extent to which virus protection-related efforts were documented and monitored.

Audit Methodology

Before initiating audit field work we researched generally accepted management and technical control practices that addressed virus protection. We conducted preliminary research on various anti-virus software programs and their capabilities. We also researched the use of firewalls, intrusion detection systems, anti-adware and anti-spyware programs, patch management, alert notifications, and documentation of incident response and remediation efforts. Research was also performed on IT-related virus activities including the history, creation, detection and eradication of computer viruses. Our pre-audit work included identifying standard procedures undertaken by the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division to address virus protection and to support agencies in detecting and eliminating viruses. We developed survey questions and audit procedures based upon recommended control practices, including the use of software controls to identify and eliminate computer viruses. Our survey

questionnaire incorporated questions that focused on management and technical control practices used to address virus protection. The survey was developed to serve as a high-level checklist for agencies in reviewing their status with respect to generally accepted virus protection policies and procedures. Our pre-audit work included gaining and recording an initial understanding of the LIS's mission and business objectives through Internet-based research.

Our on-site audit work included verifying our initial understanding of the Legislative Information Services' mission and business objectives and identifying the entity's IT environment and how IT resources were configured. To determine whether appropriate policies and procedures were in place to provide direction and guidance on addressing virus protection, we determined whether the LIS had identified the level of virus infection risk and established control mechanisms to mitigate the risk. We requested policies and procedures related to virus protection and other documentation regarding the use of anti-virus software. We reviewed and evaluated the LIS's stated policies and procedures regarding virus protection. We identified whether the LIS had access to MAGNet and were MassMail users, and the extent to which anti-virus programs had been deployed and kept up to date.

We interviewed the information technology personnel responsible for managing the IT environment to identify specific controls directed toward virus protection. We assessed the level of understanding of virus risks, use of anti-virus programs, and risk management and incident response procedures. With respect to protective measures, we determined whether the LIS's IT environment was subject to firewall protection, intrusion detection, and appropriate update and patch management procedures. Specifically, we ascertained whether the installed anti-virus software had been adequately maintained with the latest software and definition updates.

We reviewed the LIS 's experience regarding virus attacks and the steps taken to protect their IT environment. We determined whether Legislative Information Services had incident handling procedures to investigate, isolate and eliminate viruses if detected on IT equipment. In addition to Inquiring how the LIS may have been affected by viruses, we documented the use of software to detect, eradicate and prevent viruses. We determined whether control practices were in place to support safe recoveries under business continuity procedures should a virus render systems inoperable and recovery procedures needed to be initiated.

Our audit was conducted in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS) and industry auditing practices. The audit criteria used for our examinations were based on applicable control objectives and generally accepted IT control practices. Included in the report's

- 3 -

Appendix is a list of generally accepted control practices for virus protection (see Appendix 2). In addition to generally accepted control practices, audit criteria for management control practices were drawn from CobiT. CobiT (Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology) is a generally applicable and accepted standard for information technology security and control.

Virus Background And History

A computer virus is man made software used to infiltrate and attack a computer's operating system, applications or data files. In most instances, the attack happens without the knowledge of the computer's owner, with the first indication that an attack has occurred when the computer either does not work or starts to perform incorrectly.

Legislative Information Services relies heavily on information technology, including access to MAGNet, to help carry out its mission and business objectives. We note that over the last few years MAGNet has experienced infection from computer viruses from time to time. According to ITD there have been fifteen successful virus attacks in the fifteen-month period from October 2003 to December 2004 (see Appendix 3). To maintain a record of the viruses, ITD in 2003 created a software program called Security Alert System (SAS) which allows ITD to track and rank the virus threats with a threat level of low, medium, high, and critical. According to ITD's threat table there were 42 tracked virus incidents between October 9, 2003 and January 5, 2005 (see Appendix 4).

In order to protect the Commonwealth, ITD requires that agencies use anti-virus software; provides a downloadable copy of anti-virus software for agency use; maintains the SAS tracking program, a Help Desk, and firewalls; sends out alerts to IT personnel at state agencies; and monitors MAGNet so that agencies with virus infections are disconnected if necessary until the virus has been removed. ITD has also created policies which agencies are required to follow if they are to use ITD resources (see Appendix 5).

To effectively reduce the risk of computer viruses and worms infiltrating an organization, a comprehensive and dynamic anti-virus program needs to be established. There are two major ways to prevent and detect viruses and worms that infect computers and network systems. The first is by having sound policies and procedures in place, and the second is by technical means, including anti-virus software. Both administrative controls and technical tools are required to effectively provide virus protection.

AUDIT CONCLUSION

We determined that sufficient controls were in place at Legislative Information Services (LIS) to provide reasonable assurance that information technology resources would be adequately protected against known virus attacks through appropriate preventive, detective, and corrective measures. We determined that appropriate software tools were in place and in effect, such as anti-virus software and firewall protection, to prevent and detect computer viruses and questionable or malicious intrusion. The LIS, which has connections to MAGNet, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' wide area network (WAN), uses anti-virus software for scanning of the LAN and all individual workstations.

We believe that Legislative Information Services should strengthen virus protection by documenting their risk assessments regarding the impact of virus attacks on operations and business continuity planning and enhance their IT policies to include risk assessment and business continuity. The documented policies and procedures would be strengthened by containing virus protection controls, including incident handling procedures, that address the requirements identified by the detailed risk assessment. While virus protection efforts appear to be monitored, documented status reports should be prepared for management review.

Due to the evolution of virus programs and the nature of virus attacks, the risk of virus infection is not eliminated, even though entities may have generally-accepted virus protection and security controls in place.

- 5 -

AUDIT RESULTS

All IT equipment, including servers, desktop microcomputers, and notebooks, had up-to-date anti-virus software installed. Because Legislative Information Services (LIS) uses the enterprise version of antivirus software on all IT computer devices, all files on removable media or files downloaded from the Internet are scanned for viruses prior to installation or opening. According to the Commonwealth's Information Technology Division (ITD), Legislative Information Services was infected once during the period from October 9, 2003 to July 28, 2004 (see Appendix 3). The virus logs of Legislative Information Service show the effective quarantining of the one virus detected. This virus infection was on an individual desktop, and Legislative Information Services was able to isolate and remove the virus intrusion and therefore did not have to recover data or systems as a result of the virus attack.

We found that IT security controls were in place to help limit the risk of unauthorized access or malicious intrusion. While the LIS, as a client of the Commonwealth's wide area network, MAGNet and Mass-Mail, relies on the Information Technology Division's (ITD) firewall protection, LIS also has its own additional firewall protection to further safeguard IT resources. Furthermore, LIS relies upon ITD's intrusion detection system to provide additional security. We acknowledge that LIS's own firewall protection, in addition to ITD's firewall, significantly increases the likelihood that electronic traffic is properly filtered. We found that ITD's firewall management addressed e-mail filtering and blocking capabilities to ensure that all multi-part MIME messages will be blocked at the gateway and that emails, which could be affiliated with a virus are discarded. To help keep viruses isolated, Legislative Information Services also has a separate anti-virus program on its Firewall and the e-mail exchange server is located and under the care of ITD's Chelsea location.

We found that Legislative Information Services had established ground rules with respect to the use of authorized software and the appropriate use of IT resources. Although existing policies for the use of IT resources provide an acceptable use statement and list unacceptable uses, the latter could be strengthened by specifically stating additional uses that would be unacceptable. We suggest that the list of unacceptable uses include the restriction that software obtained from external, non-agency sources should not be installed onto agency systems unless reviewed and approved by management. We suggest that software should be reviewed and tested on an isolated machine before being installed on the Legislative Information Services' IT environment.

Although management indicated that the need for software tools to scan, enhance access security, and push updates or patches to connected machines has been assessed, we recommend that documented policies and procedures be strengthened to require such assessment on an annual basis, or as a result of a virus infection or malicious intrusion. To enhance the level of awareness with respect to appropriate

- 6 -

control practices, we recommend that IT policies include language that would prohibit users from connecting portable drives, including floppy disks, CDs, DVDs, USB devices, or any other portable electronic media, to any workstation or server on the network that is not running an up-to-date version of anti-virus protection. Regarding the LIS's policy on the use of information technology resources, which states that "employees should exercise reasonable precautions in order to prevent the introduction of a computer virus into the local or wide area network", we recommend that the policy define what would be considered "reasonable precautions" to help ensure an appropriate level of guidance to all users. For example, if the user does not know the sender or source of an e-mail or its attachments, the user should determine whether the e-mail should be deleted before opening it.

According to existing practices, operating system vendor-provided updates, designated as "critical updates", are deployed in a timely manner. The Legislative Information Services' IT policies should document the requirement that "critical" updates, designated as update patches, be reviewed and tested by the IT personnel on a stand alone machine before being installed. Critical security updates should be reviewed, tested if possible, and applied within time sensitive duration after receiving notification.

According to IT personnel, records or logs are maintained of critical security alerts of Windows updates, as well as the determination of applicability and status of the updates. We confirmed that the LIS's antivirus software was configured to automatically obtain (auto-update) vendor-provided definition files that identified known viruses. In addition, we found that the LIS had centralized monitoring and administration of anti-virus software to ensure that the most recent versions of the anti-virus software are installed across the network. To ensure that IT personnel are aware of potential or new virus-driven risks, Legislative Information Services has access to virus alert information from their anti-virus provider, ITD, as well as Microsoft's System Management Server (SMS). SMS servers, like Security Update Servers (SUS), find and install missing critical updates to all Microsoft software at workstations as well as servers. SMS is constantly checking the legislative domain for missing security updates. We also found that the LIS logged all virus and security alerts received. Although there appears to be a general understanding that users should not disable anti-virus software, the restriction should be documented within existing policies to reinforce the understanding by all staff.

Regarding incident response, while the Legislative Information Services' IT personnel demonstrated a good working knowledge of appropriate steps to execute to identify, isolate, and eliminate viruses, incident response policies and procedures needed to be formally documented. Such policies would require that users and IT personnel follow established procedures. When notified by users of a possible virus attack, IT personnel should determine which incident response steps should be followed, whether all users should be notified and provided with instruction, and whether assistance should be requested from

- 7 -

outside sources. Incident response procedures should provide guidance as to when infected computers should be disconnected from the Service's LAN and that the computers be kept off the network should a new virus be reported for which no solution has been made available. In addition, the incident response policies should require that following each virus attack, virus protection, notification, and remediation measures and procedures should be reevaluated to promote a sufficient understanding of the event and how it was resolved, and to determine whether changes to virus protection are required.

From a business continuity planning perspective, risk assessment efforts should include virus attacks as one of the potential risks to continued availability of automated systems. In addition, risk management and recovery strategies need to consider what is required to mitigate virus threats. Furthermore, recovery procedures should require that all backup copies of data files and application and system programs, utilities and tools be scanned by anti-virus software as they are reinstalled. If performing a full restoration of the system to recover from a virus attack, one should ensure that current anti-virus software is installed prior to installing data files and application software and other utilities to enable appropriate scanning.

Recommendations:

We recommend that existing IT policies and procedures be enhanced by requiring that periodic risk assessments be performed to identify and re-evaluate gateway vulnerabilities. In addition, the policies and procedures should document anti-virus protection and business continuity planning including the requirement that anti-virus software be installed before application programs and related data files. The procedures for risk assessment should identify existing virus and intrusion access points, determine whether there have been changes to the enterprise configuration requiring updates to installed IT resources or security-related software, and determine whether currently-installed anti-virus tools and procedures adequately meet virus protection objectives. We recommend that policies and procedures be improved upon to reflect the deleting of non recognizable e-mails and attachments, as well as the downloading of .exe (executable files).

We recommend that incident response policies and procedures be documented. Such policies and procedures should emphasize preventing security breaches through containment and eradication of the infection or problem. Incident response procedures should include: planning and notification; identification, containment and eradication of the problem; recovering from the incident, and follow-up analysis. The policy should also clearly state that the objective is to eradicate the virus, and not to retaliate against the attacker.

We recommend that Legislative Information Services policies and procedures be benchmarked against those of ITD to ensure that the policies are in sync with each other. For example, a review of policies would help ensure compliance with the requirement that instant messaging not be allowed within MAGNet. We recommend that appropriate monitoring and evaluation procedures be established to ensure that IT-related policies are being carried out.

Although the Legislative Information Services' policies detail unacceptable use, we recommend that the policies strictly prohibit the creation of computer viruses through the intentional writing, producing, generating, copying, propagating or attempting to introduce any computer code designed to self-replicate, damage, or otherwise hinder the performance of any IT resources. From an administrative perspective, we recommend that formal IT policies be dated indicating version or tracking number and that employees be required to acknowledge receipt and understanding by signature of all IT user policies, which would include, among other responsibilities, virus protection.

We suggest that the Legislative Information Service consider installing anti-adware and anti-spyware software as part of their security and control strategy.

Auditee Response:

The auditee agreed with the conclusions and recommendations presented in the report, but chose not to respond in writing.

- 9 -

APPENDIX 1 Agencies Visited

Name

Architectural Access Board Bureau of State Office Buildings **Commission Against Discrimination** Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing Department of Fish and Game Department of Revenue Department of Social Services Developmental Disabilities Administration **Disabled Persons Protection Commission** Divisions of Career Services and Unemployment Assistance Division of Professional Licensure George Fingold State Library Group Insurance Commission Human Resources Division Information Technology Division Legislative Information Services Massachusetts Highway Department Massachusetts Hospital School Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism Massachusetts Office on Disability Massachusetts Rehabilitation Commission Massachusetts State Lottery Commission Massachusetts Turnpike Authority Merit Rating Board Municipal Police Training Committee Newton Housing Authority Office of Child Care Services Office of Inspector General Registry of Motor Vehicles State Ethics Commission Teachers' Retirement Board University of Massachusetts Boston Victim and Witness Assistance Board

APPENDIX 2 Generally Accepted Management and Technical Control Practices for Virus Protection

Control	Type of Control	Applies to
Administrative Controls		24
Management Control Practices		
 Organizational policies should address virus protection. The virus protection policies should be documented and formally reviewed and approved and should include the following requirements: To effectively reduce the risk of computer viruses and worms infiltrating an organization, a comprehensive and dynamic antivirus program needs to be established. There are two major ways to prevent and detect viruses and worms that infect computers and network systems. The first is by having sound policies and procedures in place, and the second is by technical means, including antivirus software. Neither is effective without the other. All IT equipment, such as microcomputer workstations, laptops, and servers, must have up-to-date anti virus software installed. All IT-related equipment upon which a virus could execute or propagate should be subject to anti-virus software. Virus scanning software should be installed at the workstationi, LAN, WAN and Mail Server levels. For all possible Internet gateways, access should be obtained through a firewall. IT equipment that connects to the Internet must be behind a firewall. Prohibit access to the Internet or external networks through modems or by wireless. All updates should be reviewed or tested prior to installation. Appropriate incident response procedures should be in place to guide entity personnel in identifying, quarantining and eradicating IT viruses. 	Policy Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT environments

Control	Type of Control	Applies to			
 Organizations should assess the requirements for having anti-virus software installed in IT equipment in addition to workstations, notebooks, servers and mainframes. Organizations should assess the need for software tools to scan, enhance access security, and push updates or patches to connected machines. Organizations should assess whether the installation of an IPS or IDS is warranted to provide enhanced security. Organizations should assess whether the installation of anti-adware and anti-spyware software is warranted to provide enhanced security. 	Policy Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT environments			
The acquisition of additional software tools should be based upon risk analysis, cost, and resource capabilities to support and use the software.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All IT environments			
Removable media, software or files downloaded from the Internet, or unknown files, should be scanned with anti-virus software prior to installing or opening.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All IT environments			
All users of computer equipment should be trained regarding the risks of computer viruses, indications of infected machines, and notification and incident response procedures.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff			
All security-related programs, such as firewall, intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, anti-virus and anti-spyware programs, should be maintained with the most recent vendor updates in a timely manner.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All security programs			
Vendor-provided updates, designated or determined to be "critical updates" should be deployed in a timely manner after testing by the IT department or the security administrator.	Procedure Preventive	All Windows OS			
Entities having anti-virus software installed on their workstations, notebooks, and servers where IT resources are configured in LANs or WANs should ensure that centralized monitoring and administration of anti-virus software is in effect.	Procedure Preventive Detective	All centralized control monitors			
 An objective of centralized monitoring and administration of anti-virus software For LAN and WAN environments is to ensure that all IT resources upon which anti-virus software is installed have the most recent versions of the anti-virus software. Organizations should use software tools to the extent possible to determine whether IT resources have the most recent versions of anti-virus software installed when the resources log on. Organizations should consider implementing centralized capabilities to push software or updates. 	Policy Preventive Detective	All centralized control monitors			

Control	Type of Control	Applies to				
Security and LAN administrators should determine in a timely manner as to whether notified alerts apply to their entity's IT environment.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	If no LAN or administering console, users must update				
If applicable, Security and LAN administrators should determine whether established incident response steps should be followed, whether users should be notified and provided with instruction, and whether assistance should be requested.	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	Security and LAN administrators				
Management should ensure that backup copies of security-related software, such as firewall, intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, anti- virus and anti-spyware programs, are included with the backup copies of data files and application and system programs needed for the restoration of IT operations at an alternative processing site.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All recording media				
 All backup copies of data files and application and system programs, utilities and tools should be scanned by anti-virus software before use. When performing a full restoration of the system to recover from a virus attack, one should ensure that current anti-virus software is installed prior to installing data files and application software to enable appropriate scanning. 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective	All recording media				
 Entities should perform periodic risk assessments to identify and reevaluate gateway vulnerabilities. The risk assessment should identify any existing virus and intrusion access points, determine whether there have been changes to the enterprise configuration requiring updates to installed IT resources or security-related software, and determine whether currently-installed anti-virus tools and procedures adequately meet virus protection objectives. 	Policy Procedure Preventive	All IT environments				
All reasonable steps should be taken to eliminate the sources of viruses. Recipients of emails for which the sender is unknown should consider deleting the emails without opening them.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users				
 Only authorized software should be installed on IT systems. Management should inform the IT user community as to what has been designated as the enterprise's approved or "authorized software." 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective Corrective	All users				
• Installation of software obtained from external, non-agency sources should not be installed onto agency systems unless reviewed and approved by management. All software should be reviewed and tested on an isolated machine or environment before being installed on the entity's system.	•					
 Incident response policies and procedures should emphasize preventing security breaches through containment and eradication of the infection or problem. Incident response procedures should include: planning and notification, identification and assessment of the problem, containment and quarantining of the problem, eradication of the problem, recovering from the incident, and the follow-up analysis. Incident response should never include retaliation. 	Policy Procedure Preventive Detective Corrective	All IT administrators				

Control	Type of Control	Applies to				
Entities should have access to alert information to ensure that they are aware of potential or new virus-driven risks and new critical security risks, either directly from a alert provider or by relying on a trusted source external to the entities. (Alerts may be obtained from a Commonwealth source, such as ITD)	Policy Procedure Preventive	All agencies				
Infected computers with reported viruses without solutions require keeping the computer off the network until a solution is found.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff				
Following each virus attack, agencies should formally reevaluate virus protection, notification, and remediation measures and procedures to promote sufficient understanding of the event and how it was resolved, and to determine whether changes to virus protection should be incorporated into contingency planning, notification, and remediation measures is required.	Policy Procedure Corrective	All staff				
End users should be administratively restricted from disabling or uninstalling anti-virus or security-related software.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All staff				
Policies should strictly prohibit the creation, copying, or propagating of computer viruses.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users				
Each user is responsible for the IT resources assigned to, or used by, them (computer and peripherals). When an infection due to malicious code is suspected, the user should immediately stop computing and follow the emergency procedure provided by management and/or the security officer. In addition he/she should inform the appropriate parties (security department, help desk, etc.) about the problem in order to mitigate consequences and probability of malicious code propagation within the organization. If the user is not able to follow the procedure, he/she should immediately power off the computer and call the appropriate party (security department, help desk, etc.) for assistance.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users				
Management should assign responsibility for evaluating, updating, and monitoring compliance with IT policies.	Policy Procedure Preventive	Administrators				
Employees are required to acknowledge receipt and understanding of IT policies relating to their responsibilities for the integrity, security, use and availability of IT resources.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All users				
Policies should be reviewed and approved by IT and entity management and be dated with appropriate version or tracking numbers included.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT and entity management				
Technical Controls						
All IT equipment, such as PCs, laptops, and servers must have up-to- date anti-virus software installed.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators				
There should be a firewall for all possible Internet gateways.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators				

2004-0233-4T

÷

Control	Type of Control	Applies to			
Anti-adware and anti-spyware software should be used in addition to anti-virus software for protection of unauthorized intrusion.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All IT environments			
Ensure that insecure protocols are blocked by the firewall from external segments and the Internet	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators			
The use of Intrusion Prevention Systems (IPS) and Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS) should be in concert with firewalls.	Policy Procedure Preventive	IT Administrators			
No portable drive, including floppy disks, CDs, DVDs, or USBs, or any other portable electronic media shall be connected to a workstation or server on the network that is not running an up-to-date version of anti- virus protection.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All workstations, LAN environment			
All connections to external or third-party entities should be monitored and should pass through a firewall.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All MAGNet agencies			
To access the Internet from LAN or WAN environments, organizations should only use approved Internet gateways, such as those going through firewalls or by VPN.	Policy Procedure Preventive	LAN or WAN environment			
Security software should be maintained such that installed software is updated to ensure synchronization with the vendor's most recent versions and updates.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All security programs			
Anti-virus and anti-spyware software should be configured to automatically (auto-update) obtain vendor-provided definition files identifying known viruses and spyware.	Procedure Preventive	All Anti-virus software			
ITD Requirements					
All agency IT equipment that connects to the Internet through MAGNet must be behind ITD's MAGNet-supported firewall protection.	Policy Standard Preventive	All IT environments			
Firewalls should have virus-scanning software installed.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All firewalls			
All outside connections from vendors, contractors or other business partners must pass through the ITD-managed firewall.	Policy Procedure Preventive	All MAGNet agencies			
 Management should ensure that appropriate email filtering and blocking capabilities are employed at the firewall level, including: (a) Blocking all multi-part MIME messages at the gateway, (b) Discarding emails containing files with extensions, that are affiliated with a virus. (c) Disallowing private email that is separate and apart from an agency's primary email system. 	Policy Procedure Preventive	All mail gateways			

APPENDIX 3 Date of Virus Infection by Agency per ITD

	-	_			_		0			N				_	10
Virus Infection Date	12/28/04	12/15/04	11/19/04	1/19/04	10/29/04	7/8/04	6/30/04	5/1/04	3/22/04	2/25/04	1/27/04	1/23/04	12/22/03	10/31/03	10/9/03
Agency Name Virus	Randex.CCF	Erkez.D@mm	Sober.I@MM	Femot. Worm	Beagle.AV@m	Spybot	korgo.q	Sasser	Netsky.P	Netsky.C	Mydoom	Slammer	Randex	Mimail	Welchia
Architectural Access Board															
Bureau of State Office Buildings						Y	Y	Y		Y					Y
Commission Against Discrimination	1				1			Y							
Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing						Y	Y	Y							
Department of Fish and Game															
Department of Revenue		1	1												
Department of Social Services	1°					Y		Y		Y					Y
Developmental Disabilities Administration											ŀ				Y
Disabled Persons Protection Commission			1	1	1	Y					1				Y
Divisions of Career Services & Unemployment Assistance		1	1		1						1				1
Division of Professional Licensure					1						1				
George Fingold State Library					1								23		
Group Insurance Commission	1		1		1										Y
Human Resources Division			1			Y									Y
Information Technology Division	Y		1	1	1	Y	Y	Y		Y	1				Y
Legislative Information Services			1			Y									
Massachusetts Highway Department		1	1	1	1	Y		Y			1		Y		Y
Massachusetts Hospital School			1		1										
Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism			İ	1							F				
Massachusetts Office on Disability	1	1	Į.			Y		Y			1				
Massachusetts Rehabilitation Commission	Y		1	1	1	Y	Y	Y		Y	1				-
Massachusetts State Lottery Commission		İ	1				10			Y	1				
Massachusetts Tumpike Authority		1		1											
Merit Rating Board			1	1											
Municipal Police Training Committee		1	1			Y		Y			1				Y
Newton Housing Authority	1	1		1											
Office of Child Care Services		1		1		Y		Y		Y					
Office of Inspector General		1	1	1		1					1				
Registry of Motor Vehicles	Y	1				Y	Y	Y							
State Ethics Commission		1	1	1											
Teachers' Retirement Board		ľ	Î	1		Y					1				
University of Massachusetts Boston		İ	1								Í				
Victim and Witness Assistance Board		1	1		1	Y		Y							

The system does not record all instances of virus activity. The viruses recorded on the ITD SAS system are based upon viruses detected through scanning or through notification from individual agencies.

APPENDIX 4 ITD's SAS Reported Security Alerts

Severity	Date	Name
High	1/5/05	W32.Randex.SQ
Medium	12/14/04	W32.Erkez.D@mm
High	12/1/04	Critical Vulnerability in Microsoft Internet Explorer
Medium	11/19/04	W32.Sober.I@mm
Medium	10/29/04	W32.Beagle.AV@mm
Low	10/4/04	W32.Bagz@mm
High	08/16/04	W32.Mydoom.Q@mm
Medium	08/10/04	W32.Beagle.AO@mm
High	07/26/04	W32.Myddom.M@mm
High	07/15/04	W32.Beagle.AB@mm
High	07/08/04	New W32.Sasser.Worm
Low	06/25/04	JS.Scob.Trojan
High	06/02/04	W32.Korgo.R
Medium	05/14/04	Dabber
Medium	05/14/04	Multiple Vulnerabilities in Symantec Client Firewall Products
High	05/01/04	W32.Sasser.Worm
High	04/26/04	W32.Beagle.W@mm
High	04/21/04	W32.Netsky.Y@mm
High	04/16/04	W32.Gaobot.AAY
High	04/16/04	W32.Gaobot.AAY
Medium	03/29/04	W32.Netsky.Q@mm
Medium	03/26/04	W32.Beagle.U@mm
Medium	03/24/04	W32.Netsky.P@mm from 3/22/2004
Medium	03/18/04	W32.Beagle.Q@mm
Medium	03/08/04	W32.Sober.D@mm
Medium	03/03/04	W32.Beagle.J@mm
High	03/01/04	W32.Beagle.E@mm
High	03/01/04	W32.Netsky.D@mm
High	02/25/04	W32.Netsky.C@mm
Medium	02/24/04	W32.Mydoom.F@mm
High	02/19/04	W32.Netsky.B@mm
High	02/17/04	W32.Beagle.B@mm also Known as W32.Alua@mm
Critical	02/11/04	Microsoft Security Bulletin MS04-007 ASN.1 Vulnerability Could Allow Code
Madine	01/15/04	
Medium	01/15/04	1/27/04 W32/Mydoom@MM, WORM_MIMAIL.R
Medium	1,2/18/03	YS OCSCIC Cyber Security Advisory Re: Cisco PIX vulnerabilities
Medium	11/18/03	W32.Mimail.J@mm
Medium	11/13/03	New Microsoft Security Bulletin
Medium	11/6/03	Oracle Application Server SQL Injection Vulnerability
Medium	10/31/03	W32.Mimail.C@mm
Medium	10/16/03	Windows New Security Bulletins
Medium	10/09/03	W32.Welchia.Worm
Medium	10/06/03	Cumulative Patch for Internet Explorer (828750)

APPENDIX 5 Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards

Virus detection is identified in ITD's Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards as:

- Virus scanning software must be installed at the Workstation, LAN, WAN and Mail Server levels. ITD also has virus-scanning software at the firewalls.
- The software must be configured to:
 - Periodically scan all files that are stored on physically and logically connected disk drives attached to the computer
 - Automatically scan any file that is copied onto a disk drive from an external source including floppy disks and CD ROM disks
 - Automatically scan any file that is opened by an application such as a word processing or spreadsheet application.
- Virus scanning software and virus signatures must be kept current by incorporating the vendor's most recent versions. Software with auto-update capabilities is strongly recommended.

Norton Anti-Virus Corporate Edition is recommended.

The above text can be found by following the links on the following pages.

Go to ITD's page http://www.mass.gov/itd/

Then within the page go to Enterprise Architecture

Then within the page go to Information Technology Architecture and Enterprise Standards

Then within the page go to Security

Virus Detection is the fourth listed standard