DHCD Capital Benchmarks

December 18, 2015

Why are Performance Benchmarks Important?

Public Housing Reform Legislation (<u>Chapter 235 of the Acts of 2014</u>) mandated that the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) and Local Housing Authorities (LHAs) become more transparent about operations and performance. In conjunction with the launch of a new performance monitoring program, DHCD is sharing capital program benchmark data with the public. Internally, DHCD uses this information to manage the use of annual bond cap and to identify policy, program, and technical assistance needs. Externally, this information is meant to facilitate productive conversations between residents, LHA staff, and other stakeholders on how to best preserve and sustain state-funded public housing.

About These Reports

DHCD releases an annual Benchmark Document on the capital program performance for each LHA. There are two reports contained in the annual Benchmark Document:

- The Capital Spending Report presents the performance of all LHAs in terms of meeting spending targets during the previous three years of the Formula Funding (FF) program.
- The Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Submission Report lists the status of each LHA's most recent CIP that was due prior to July 1, 2015 (Submitted or Not Submitted).

This is the third benchmark report that DHCD has published and covers Fiscal Years 2013 through 2015 (FY13-15). The state FY closes on June 30 so the report does not account for capital spending or contracting activity that has happened since July 1, 2015. This and previous reports can be found online at: http://www.mass.gov/hed/housing/ph-mod/capital-benchmarks.html

Overview of CIP Submission Report

Every LHA is responsible for submitting an annual DHCD five-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) This plan is due 15 days after the end of the LHA's fiscal year. The CIP prioritizes the projects in accordance with available funding. A DHCD-approved CIP is required in order to initiate capital projects. As of July 1, 2015, overall CIP submission rates were as follows:

• 99% (231 of 234) of LHAs SUBMITTED their most recent CIP.

For the second year in a row, almost all LHAs submitted their CIPs, which suggests that the CIP has become incorporated into LHA standard practices.

Overview of Capital Spending Report for FY13-15

DHCD grants yearly Formula Funding (FF) awards to every LHA. The amount of the award is based upon each LHA's total number of state-supported public housing units and the physical conditions at each development. As of June 30, 2015, LHA utilization of FF is summarized as follows:

- On average, LHAs spent 89% of their FY13-15 net award.
- On average, LHAs had signed construction contracts for another 12% of their FY13-15 net award.
- On average, LHAs spent or contracted 101% of their FY13-15 net award for Formula Funded projects.

Capital spending and contracting is consistent with the previous year's report. More LHAs have moved closer to average spending, indicating that the program benefits continue to become more equally distributed.

The variation in spending across LHAs can be explained by the fact that DHCD allowed some LHAs to advance out year projects in order to compensate for underspending by other LHAs. Overspending is not an area for concern, as these same authorities are forecasted to spend less in future years. Regarding underspending, there may also be reasonable explanations. For example, an LHA might have received a lower than expected bid on a project or successfully secured in-kind resources that offset FF expenditures. In addition, some LHAs elected to combine several years of award to complete a larger project that is planned to be completed in a future fiscal year.

NOTE: Some LHAs are able to access leveraged funding for capital projects using non-DHCD sources, such as: local grants from the town or city (CPA, CDBG, HOME, etc), utility energy efficiency program grants, or other private sources. In this report, LHAs have self-reported the leveraged funds they secured in FY13-15. DHCD does not track or verify these awards or uses. If there is a question about how leveraged funds were applied in capital projects, please contact the LHA directly.

Background about Formula Funding and the Capital Program for State-Aided Public Housing:

DHCD initiated a Formula Funding (FF) program in June, 2010 to ensure that capital funds awarded to Local Housing Authorities (LHAs) for improvements to state-funded public housing are distributed in an equitable, transparent and predictable manner. Annually, each LHA is awarded a share of FF based on the physical conditions of its state-funded portfolio.

To spend FF, each LHA must submit a DHCD-approved annual Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) in which it prioritizes its capital projects based on funding. Once DHCD approves the plan, the LHA is authorized to spend a specific amount of capital funds during the next three state fiscal years, which each run July 1 to June 30. It's important that all LHAs stay on schedule with FF projects and spend in accordance with their CIP. LHAs should be taking full advantage of their annual FF cap share and improving physical conditions at state-funded public housing with each passing year.

In addition to FF, DHCD also provides LHAs with special awards to address targeted needs. The special award programs are:

- Accessible Unit For creating accessible units at LHAs with few or no accessible units.
- Compliance Reserve For FF projects that require extra funds to comply with requirements for addressing hazardous materials, lead paint, and resident requests for reasonable modifications.
- Elevator Initiative For providing 2nd elevator service in multi-story buildings.
- Emergency Reserve For urgent projects that cannot be fully funded with FF.
- Generator Initiative For providing back up power to elevators and common areas in case of power failure.
- Health & Safety For addressing potential health and safety hazards on site and in common areas.
- High Leverage Asset Preservation (HILAPP) For providing supplemental grants for comprehensive projects that leverage other funding sources to preserve units with significant capital needs that cannot be funded with FF.
- Sustainability For projects that reduce energy and water consumption.
- Vacant Unit For reoccupying long-term vacant units.

Each of the above programs has specific eligibility and prioritization criteria and only projects that meet the program criteria are considered for funding. Due to the targeted nature of these programs, Special awards and associated project spending are not included in this year's report.

How to Read the Capital Spending Report

The Capital Spending Report presents the performance of all Local Housing Authorities (LHAs) in terms of meeting spending targets.

The left side of the report, in **orange**, documents Formula Funding (FF) spending over fiscal years 2013 through 2015 (the period between July 1, 2012 and June 30, 2015). For each LHA listed,

- Line (a) "Awarded" equals the total amount of FF that the LHA has received since the beginning of the program.
- Line (b) The "Net Award" amount equals the "Awarded" amount minus amounts spent prior to July 1, 2012 and further reduced by a required 10 to 15% set aside for emergencies.
- Line (c) "Spent" equals the amount of FF that the LHA spent during the period. The percentage is relative to line (b).
- Line (d) "Contracted, not spent" equals the balance of FF that was allocated to signed construction contracts as of the end of this period (June 30, 2015). This percentage is relative to line (b).

The right part of the report, in **blue**, documents "Leveraged Funds." Some, but not all, LHAs are able to access leveraged funding for capital projects using non-DHCD sources, such as: local grants from the town or city (CPA, CDBG, HOME, etc.), utility energy efficiency program grants, or other private sources. Here, LHAs have self-reported the total leveraged funds they secured for state public housing capital projects during FY13-15. DHCD does not track or verify these awards or uses.

Where requested, DHCD has provided links to each LHA's website. An LHA's website can present a more in-depth view of its capital plan and accomplishments.

DHCD Capital Spending Report DHCD Capital Project Funding Formula Funding Local Housing Cap Share Authority FY 2013-2015 (7/1/12-6/30/15) (a) awarded Sample Housing (b) net award 221,000 Authority (c) spent 197,633 89% 31,789 14% (d) contracted, not spent LHA website: www.samplehousingauthority.com

Leveraged Funds									
	Self-Reported b	y LHA	S						
	Awards								
	FY 2013-201	.5							
6% of LH	lAs reported addition	al fundii	ng sources						
awarded	\$		56,500						
sources	City/Town	\$	53,000						
Utility/LIMF \$ 3,500									
Other \$ -									

DH	CD Capital Project Fur	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds	
	Formula	Fund	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Shar	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-20:	15		FY 2013-	2015	
ŕ			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of	LHAs reported addi	tional fundin	g sources
	(a) awarded	\$	383,139		awarded	\$		55,000
Abington	(b) net award	\$	344,825					
Abiligion	(c) spent	\$	404,900	117%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	55,000
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	562,174		awarded	\$		780,068
Acton	(b) net award	\$	505,957					
Accon	(c) spent	\$	598,116	118%	sources	City/Town	\$	447,682
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	28,273	6%		Utility/LIMF	\$	332,386
			_			Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	133,638		awarded	\$		-
Acushnet	(b) net award	\$	113,433					
Acusiniet	(c) spent	\$	59,778	53%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	14,624	13%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	448,886		awarded	\$		-
Adams	(b) net award	\$	392,629					
Adams	(c) spent	\$	284,847	73%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	753,614		awarded	\$		-
A	(b) net award	\$	640,572					
Agawam	(c) spent	\$	370,678	58%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,116,328		awarded	\$		-
A la	(b) net award	\$	626,487					
Amesbury	(c) spent	\$	408,271	65%	sources	City/Town	\$ \$	=
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	55,979	9%		Utility/LIMF		-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	992,351		awarded	\$		490,900
Ambarat	(b) net award	\$	893,116					<u> </u>
Amherst	(c) spent	\$	565,512	63%	sources	City/Town	\$	367,900
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	387,690	43%		Utility/LIMF	\$	123,000
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	970,069		awarded	\$		-
A al a	(b) net award	\$	582,684					
Andover	(c) spent	\$	754,216	129%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	51,286	9%		Utility/LIMF	\$	=
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	2,175,897		awarded	\$		-
AIt	(b) net award	\$	1,661,470					
Arlington	(c) spent	\$	1,300,218	78%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	153,919	9%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	=

DH	CD Capital Project Fu	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds	
	Formula	Fund	ing		Self-Reported by LHAs			
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201			FY 2013-	2015	
-		(7/1/12-6/30/15)			6% of	LHAs reported addit	tional funding sources	
	(a) awarded	\$	131,600		awarded	\$	-	
Ashland	(b) net award	\$	62,428				1.	
	(c) spent	\$ \$	83,521	134%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	15,872	25%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	474,346		awarded	\$	-	
A.I. I	(b) net award	\$	350,619			¥		
Athol	(c) spent	\$	416,251	119%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	13,245	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
						Other	\$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	1,361,603		awarded	\$	-	
Attleboro	(b) net award	\$	1,146,319					
Attleboro	(c) spent	\$	905,936	79%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	9,343	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
						Other	\$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	261,681		awarded	\$	-	
Auburn	(b) net award	\$	208,136					
Adbuill	(c) spent	\$	107,550	52%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
		_				Other	\$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	157,181		awarded	\$	-	
Avon	(b) net award	\$	141,463					
Avon	(c) spent	\$	102,177	72%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
						Other	\$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	186,249		awarded	\$	-	
Ayer	(b) net award	\$	167,624				1.	
, -	(c) spent	\$ \$	83,902	50%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -	
							- -	
	(a) awarded	\$	1,698,245		awarded	\$	-	
Barnstable	(b) net award	\$	1,383,491	000/		City /To · · ·	l c	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$	1,237,201 2,391	89% 0%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ -	
	(u) contracted, not spent	٦	2,331	078		Other	\$ -	
			474 000					
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	174,680		awarded	\$	-	
Barre	(b) net award (c) spent	\$	98,008 173,931	177%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-13,331	0%	Sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- · ·		2,0		Other	\$ -	
	(a) awarded	\$	447,453		awarded	\$	-	
Dadfaud	(b) net award	\$	401,368			·		
Bedford	(c) spent	\$	407,656	102%	sources	City/Town	\$ -	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	41,498	10%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -	
						Other	\$ -	

DHC	D Capital Project Fun		Leveraged	Funds				
	Formula F	und	ing			Self-Reported	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	9		Award	ls	
Authority			FY 2013-201	.5		FY 2013-2	2015	
·			(7/1/12-6/30/	'15)	6% of I	-HAs reported additi	ional funding sou	urces
	(a) awarded	\$	308,964		awarded	\$ -		
Belchertown	(b) net award	\$	277,402					
Delcher town	(c) spent	\$	212,128	76%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,136	3%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	289,961		awarded	\$		-
Bellingham	(b) net award	\$	235,261					
beilingnam	(c) spent	\$	124,506	53%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	45,241	19%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
LHA website:	www.bellinghamma.org/pages	/Bel	linghamMA_B	comm		Other	\$	-
	/housing	_						
	(a) awarded	\$	875,889		awarded	\$		-
Belmont	(b) net award	\$	718,698					
Beimont	(c) spent	\$	715,100	99%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	3,500	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	82,708		awarded	\$		-
D 1 1: 6: D	(b) net award	\$	23,526					
Berkshire Cty. Reg.	(c) spent	\$	41,447	176%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	_	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	=
	(a) awarded	\$	1,882,897		awarded	\$		-
	(b) net award	\$	1,471,960			Y		
Beverly	(c) spent	\$	1,155,590	79%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	332,302	23%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	360,661		awarded	\$		-
D:II :	(b) net award	\$	314,380			Y		
Billerica	(c) spent	\$	290,153	92%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	107,060		awarded	\$		-
-1 .	(b) net award	\$	93,365		awaraca	Ŷ		
Blackstone	(c) spent	\$	102,757	110%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	9,726,279		awarded	\$		-
_	(b) net award	\$	6,831,362		awarueu	·		
Boston	(c) spent	\$	5,536,170	81%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	109,992	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				L	Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	ć	774,071		awarded	\$		
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	691,280		awarueu	· ·		-
Bourne	(c) spent	\$	880,033	127%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	30,448	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	.,.		Other	\$	-
						1	<u> </u>	

DH	CD Capital Project Fu	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds	
	Formula	Fund	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Shar	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-202	L5		FY 2013-	2015	
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	-HAs reported addi	tional funding sources	
	(a) awarded	\$	527,990		awarded	\$		-
Braintree	(b) net award	\$	411,109					
Diamitiee	(c) spent	\$	441,750	107%	sources	City/Town	Y	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	43,683	11%		Utility/LIMF	Ψ	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	341,365		awarded	\$,	-
Brewster	(b) net award	\$	297,355			_		
Diewstei	(c) spent	\$	304,940	103%	sources	City/Town	Ψ	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	Ψ	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	670,000		awarded	\$		-
Dridgowator	(b) net award	\$	598,486					
Bridgewater	(c) spent	\$	453,674	76%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	33,614	6%		Utility/LIMF	Y	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	102,930		awarded	\$		_ 7
	(b) net award	\$	92,637			Ŧ		
Brimfield	(c) spent	\$	76,086	82%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,000	1%		Utility/LIMF		-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	2,113,984		awarded	\$		-
- 1.	(b) net award	\$	1,795,849			T		
Brockton	(c) spent	\$	1,770,494	99%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	7,457	0%		Utility/LIMF	1	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	39,807		awarded	\$		-
D 16:11	(b) net award	\$	35,826			·		
Brookfield	(c) spent	\$	10,982	31%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	2,530,803		awarded	\$	1,061,1	191
B 11'	(b) net award	\$	2,056,833			·	, ,	
Brookline	(c) spent	\$	2,095,468	102%	sources	City/Town	\$ 410,4	416
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	77,340	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$ 650,7	
						Other	\$	-
					awarded	\$		-
	(a) awarded	Ś	290.771			3		
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	290,771 261,694		awaraca	Ş		
Burlington	•	\$ \$ \$	290,771 261,694 102,802	39%	sources	City/Town	1.	_
Burlington	(b) net award	\$	261,694	39% 76%			\$	- -
Burlington	(b) net award (c) spent	\$ \$	261,694 102,802			City/Town	\$	
Burlington	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$ \$	261,694 102,802 199,481		sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ \$ \$	-
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded	\$ \$ \$	261,694 102,802 199,481 770,475			City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ \$ \$	-
Burlington Cambridge	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$ \$ \$	261,694 102,802 199,481 770,475 693,428	76%	sources awarded	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ \$ \$	-
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded	\$ \$ \$	261,694 102,802 199,481 770,475		sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ \$ \$	-

DH	CD Capital Project Fui	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds
	Formula	Fund	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201	15		FY 2013-	2015
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of L	HAs reported addi	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	992,699		awarded	\$	-
Canton	(b) net award	\$	817,055				
Canton	(c) spent	\$	682,179	83%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	13,882	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	207,683		awarded	\$	-
Carver	(b) net award	\$	182,840				
Carver	(c) spent	\$	105,343	58%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	5,597	3%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	151,824		awarded	\$	-
Charlton	(b) net award	\$	123,846				
Chariton	(c) spent	\$	222,403	180%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	235,830		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	168,895		awaraca	Y	
Chatham	(c) spent	\$	198,744	118%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	660,671		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	534,989		avvarucu	Ÿ	
Chelmsford	(c) spent	\$	581,200	109%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,746,857		awarded	\$	_
	(b) net award	\$	1,314,871		awaraca	Ÿ	
Chelsea	(c) spent	\$	1,155,949	88%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	11,429	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	3,232,464		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	2,600,356		awarueu	Ş	-
Chicopee	(c) spent	\$	2,476,155	95%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	273,237	11%	3041663	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	(4)	Т.	,			Other	\$ -
	(a) amondad	_ ^	007.500				
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	967,506 641,712		awarded	\$	-
Clinton	(b) net award (c) spent	\$	561,075	87%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	12,843	2%	Sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	(a) contracted, not spent	<u> </u>	12,043	2/0		Other	\$ -
	(a) assented		107.500				
	(a) awarded	\$ ¢	197,590		awarded	\$	-
Cohasset	(b) net award	\$	172,793 166,209	96%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	100,203	0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	(a) contracted, not spent	٧	<u> </u>	370		Other	\$ -
		_				Other	· -

DHC	D Capital Project Fun	din	3			Leverage	d Funds	
	Formula F	und	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201	L5		FY 2013-	2015	
·			7/1/12-6/30/	′ 15)	6% of L	HAs reported addi	tional funding	sources
	(a) awarded	\$	417,752		awarded	\$		-
Concord	(b) net award	\$	174,127				1.	
	(c) spent	\$	113,873	65%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	7,796	4%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ \$	-
							ې	-
	(a) awarded	\$	290,252		awarded	\$		-
Dalton	(b) net award	\$	253,445	1220/		C:tu /Taura	l ċ	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$	310,981	123% 0%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ \$	-
	(u) contracted, not spent	Ş	-	0%		Other	\$	<u> </u>
							Ÿ	
	(a) awarded	\$	488,187		awarded	\$		-
Danvers	(b) net award	\$ \$	297,783	70%	courses	City/Town	\$	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	209,569	0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
LHA website:	www.danvershousing.org	٧		070		Other	\$	-
ZIIV Website.							Ÿ	
	(a) awarded	\$	292,748		awarded	\$		-
Dartmouth	(b) net award	\$ \$	226,435	1150/		City/Town	خ ا	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	259,910	115% 0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ \$	-
LHA website:	www.dartmouthhousingautho		rg	070		Other	\$	-
							,	
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	1,032,053 817,652		awarded	\$		-
Dedham	(c) spent	\$	679,682	83%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	173,335	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
			·			Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	423,583		awarded	\$		61,855
	(b) net award	\$	371,719		awaraca	Ÿ		01,033
Dennis	(c) spent	\$	449,349	121%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	61,855
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	194,549		awarded	\$		-
D!-l-t.	(b) net award	\$	102,206			•		
Dighton	(c) spent	\$	139,935	137%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	=
LHA website:	www.dightonhousingauthorit	y.org				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	444,034		awarded	\$		-
Dracut	(b) net award	\$	388,831					
Dracut	(c) spent	\$	296,276	76%	sources	City/Town	\$	=
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	<u> </u>					Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	198,574		awarded	\$		-
Dudley	(b) net award	\$	174,824					
Duuley	(c) spent	\$	168,670	96%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-

Cap Share Formula Funding Cap Share F 2013-2015 F 7	DHC	D Capital Project Fur	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds
Cap Share		Formula	Fund	ing				
Compared FY 2013-2015 FY 2013-2015 G% of LHAs reported additional funding sources Givent G	Local Housing				e		<u> </u>	•
Ci awarded S 34,201				•			FY 2013-	2015
(a) net award S 30,781 C Spent S 23,942 78% C Spent S 314,485 C Spent S 314,485 C Spent S 314,485 C Spent S 32,221 17% C Spent S 312,532 C Spent S 32,231 T Spent S 32,231 T Spent S 32,231 T Spent S 32,231 T Spent S 32,305 S6% C Spent S 32,305 S6% C Spent S 32,306 S6% C Spent S 32,306 S6% C Spent S 32,306 S6% C Spent S 402,666 Spen			\perp	(7/1/12-6/30/	/15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addit	cional funding sources
Columbia						awarded	\$	-
Contracted, not spent S	Dukes County	` '	_				t	1.
Duxbury	,			23,942		sources		
Duxbury (b) net award \$ 314,485 \$ 301,800 96% \$ 60% \$ 53,221 17% \$ 60% \$ 6		(d) contracted, not spent	ļŞ	-	0%			
Duxbury (b) net award \$ 314,485 \$ 301,800 96% \$ 60% \$ 53,221 17% \$ 60% \$ 6		(a) awarded	Ś	357.582		awarded	Ś	
Calcaborate		• •				awaraca	Ÿ	
Cother S S S S S S S S S	Duxbury	(c) spent			96%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
East Bridgewater Contracted Sazurage		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	53,221	17%		Utility/LIMF	
Contracted, not spent Sources City/Town Sources City/Tow							Other	\$ -
Contracted, not spent Sources City/Town Sources City/Tow		(a) awarded	\$	321,532		awarded	\$	-
Contracted, not spent S 194,516 08% 08	Fact Prideawater							
Cother S	East Bridgewater	(c) spent	_	192,516	68%	sources		
East Longmeadow a warded \$ 498,523		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%			
East Longmeadow Colorated, not spent Sources Sources City/Town Sources Cit							Other	\$ -
Comparison Saccitive Sac		(a) awarded	\$	498,523		awarded	\$	-
Clase Salar Sala	Fast Longmeadow	(b) net award		442,666				
Cother S	Last Longineadow				86%	sources		
Casthampton		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	26,768	6%			
(b) net award \$ 422,769							Other	\$ -
Column		(a) awarded				awarded	\$	-
Contracted, not spent S 408,188 97%	Fasthampton	()	_					T .
Cother S	Lastinainpton	• • •				sources		
Calcal		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%			
Easton (b) net award \$ 642,672								- -
Color						awarded	\$	-
Contracted, not spent \$ 275,714 43% Utility/LIMF \$ - Other \$ -	Easton				4050/		C: /T	
Cother \$ -						sources		\$ - 6
Column Sources City/Town Sources C		(u) contracted, not spent	Ą	2/3,/14	45%			
(b) net award \$ 69,399								
C spent \$ 36,590 53%						awarded	\$	-
(d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% (a) awarded \$ 1,485,620 (b) net award \$ 1,262,777 (c) spent \$ 1,089,206 86% (d) contracted, not spent \$ 451,948 36% (b) net award \$ 859,572 (b) net award \$ 730,636 (c) spent \$ 591,684 81% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0%	Essex	. ,	_		E 20/	sources	City/Town	l ċ
Cother S City/Town City/Town S City/Town			_	30,330		sources		
Column		(a) contracted, not spent	Y		070			
(b) net award \$ 1,262,777		(a) awarded	ċ	1 //95 620		awarded		
(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent \$ 1,089,206 86% (d) contracted, not spent \$ 451,948 36% Other \$ - Othe			_			awarueu	Ş	-
(d) contracted, not spent \$ 451,948 36% (a) awarded \$ 859,572	Everett	` '	_		86%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
Calcaptage Cal			_					
(b) net award \$ 730,636		·						
(b) net award \$ 730,636		(a) awarded	Ś	859.572		awarded	Ś	
(c) spent \$ 591,684 81% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% Utility/LIMF \$ -						awaracu	Y	
(d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% Utility/LIMF \$ -	Fairhaven	. ,	_		81%	sources	City/Town	
Other \$ -		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%			\$ -
							Other	\$ -

DHCD Capital Project Funding						Leverageo	d Funds
	Formula F	Fund	ling			Self-Reported	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201			FY 2013-2	2015
,		(7/1/12-6/30/15)			6% of I	-HAs reported addit	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	2,830,204		awarded	\$	-
Fall River	(b) net award	\$	2,405,673	/			1.
	(c) spent	\$	1,972,823	82%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	41,242	2%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	496,084		awarded	\$	-
Falmouth	(b) net award	\$	421,322	2221		a:. /=	
	(c) spent	\$ \$	374,630	89%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş		0%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,880,965		awarded	\$	-
Fitchburg	(b) net award	\$	1,524,670				Γ,
	(c) spent	\$	1,006,768	66%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	43,477	3%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -
						Other	Ş -
	(a) awarded	\$	805,868		awarded	\$	-
Foxborough	(b) net award	\$	724,775			_	
TOXBOTOUGH	(c) spent	\$	641,987	89%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	26,443	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_				Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,267,066		awarded	\$	-
Framingham	(b) net award	\$	1,023,311				
Frammignam	(c) spent	\$	1,296,197	127%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,479	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_				Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	363,788		awarded	\$	-
Franklin	(b) net award	\$	244,726				
FIGIIKIIII	(c) spent	\$	279,234	114%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	499,682		awarded	\$	-
Franklin Cty. Reg.	(b) net award	\$	435,683				
rialikilli Cty. Keg.	(c) spent	\$	210,211	48%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	61,329	14%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,033,006		awarded	\$	-
Gardnar	(b) net award	\$	869,895				
Gardner	(c) spent	\$	674,154	77%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	252,609	29%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	399,815		awarded	\$	-
Cooractorin	(b) net award	\$	359,834			-	
Georgetown	(c) spent	\$	274,387	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,200	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	www.georgetownhousingaut						\$ -

DHC	D Capital Project Fur	nding	3			Leverageo	d Funds	
	Formula I	undi	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201	L5		FY 2013-	2015	
·		(7/1/12-6/30/	/15)	6% of I	HAs reported addit	tional fundin	g sources
	(a) awarded	\$	1,397,910		awarded	\$		470,221
Gloucester	(b) net award	\$	1,118,025			_		
Giodeestei	(c) spent	\$	942,503	84%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	24,759	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	470,221
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	432,059		awarded	\$		-
Grafton	(b) net award	\$	388,853					
	(c) spent	\$	531,919	137%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	24,135	6%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ \$	-
						Other	Ş	_
	(a) awarded	\$	138,279		awarded	\$		-
Granby	(b) net award	\$	109,140			_		
Granby	(c) spent	\$	78,628	72%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	2,157	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	297,901		awarded	\$		-
Great Barrington	(b) net award	\$	268,111					
Great barrington	(c) spent	\$	318,221	119%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	23,820	9%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	966,085		awarded	\$		-
0 (: 11	(b) net award	\$	820,386					
Greenfield	(c) spent	\$	794,947	97%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	144,349	18%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	122,832		awarded	\$		-
	(b) net award	\$	110,549		awaraca	Y		
Groton	(c) spent	\$	83,093	75%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	5,893	5%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	20,871		awarded	\$		-
	(b) net award	\$	18,784		awaraca	*		_
Groveland	(c) spent	\$	5,600	30%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	ć	15/ /16		awarded	\$	*	
	(b) net award	\$ \$	154,416 134,292		awarded	· P		-
Hadley	(c) spent	\$	75,851	56%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$. 5,551	0%	oodi ees	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	, ,	т.		-,-		Other	\$	-
	(-)		444.674				<u>'</u>	
	(a) awarded	\$	141,150		awarded	\$		-
Halifax	(b) net award	\$	105,570	710/	COLURGOS	City/Town	\$	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	74,972	71% 0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	(u) contracted, not spent	Ą	-	U70		Other	\$	-
						Juliei	۲	-

DHC	D Capital Project Fur	ndin	g			Leverage	d Funds
	Formula I	und	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Shar	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-202	15		FY 2013-	2015
			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addit	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	187,910		awarded	\$	-
Hamilton	(b) net award	\$	167,963			_	
Tidiiiitoii	(c) spent	\$	101,885	61%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	176,760		awarded	\$	-
Hampshire Cty.	(b) net award	\$	157,554				1.
Reg.	(c) spent	\$	139,607	89%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,376	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_		_		Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	536,533		awarded	\$	-
Hanson	(b) net award	\$	431,897				
Halison	(c) spent	\$	347,150	80%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	129,817	30%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	181,623		awarded	\$	-
Harwich	(b) net award	\$	147,398				
Harwich	(c) spent	\$	163,541	111%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	34,116	23%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	200,222		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	155,633			7	
Hatfield	(c) spent	\$	125,518	81%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,074	5%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	2,825,813		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	2,345,125			Y	
Haverhill	(c) spent	\$	1,898,154	81%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	72,945	3%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	71,238		awarded	\$	-
112 1	(b) net award	\$	61,588				
Hingham	(c) spent	\$	111,999	182%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	3,136	5%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	439,903		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	374,297		awarucu	7	-
Holbrook	(c) spent	\$	285,215	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	191,856	51%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
					L	Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	ć	240 507		awarded.	Ė	
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	249,587 207,454		awarded	\$	-
Holden	(c) spent	\$	122,555	59%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	12,635	6%	3001 CC3	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	(a) contracted, not spent	Υ	12,000	070		Other	\$ -
						30101	7

DH	CD Capital Project Fur	nding	3			Leverage	d Funds
	Formula I	Fundi	ng			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201	L5		FY 2013-	2015
·		(7/1/12-6/30/	′ 15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addi	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	406,683		awarded	\$	-
Holliston	(b) net award	\$	361,267			_	
Homston	(c) spent	\$	277,781	77%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	396,087		awarded	\$	-
Holyoke	(b) net award	\$	350,380				Τ.
nony one	(c) spent	\$	378,530	108%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	37,052	11%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
			_	_		Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	175,431		awarded	\$	-
Hopedale	(b) net award	\$	157,888				
поречате	(c) spent	\$	136,995	87%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	74,650	47%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	253,096		awarded	\$	-
Handdon an	(b) net award	\$	227,786			<u>-</u> -	
Hopkinton	(c) spent	\$	202,767	89%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	93	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	365,021		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	322,072			Y	
Hudson	(c) spent	\$	220,369	68%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	121,573		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	72,174		awaraca	Ŷ	
Hull	(c) spent	\$	-	0%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	427,613		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	384,852		a via a ca	T	
Ipswich	(c) spent	\$	245,375	64%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	33,561	9%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	·					Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	144,506		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	126,913		awarueu	Ų	-
Kingston	(c) spent	\$	120,913 114,565	90%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$,505	0%	JJ 41 603	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	, , ,	<u> </u>				Other	\$ -
	(a) a		100 010				
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	108,312		awarded	\$	-
Lancaster	(b) net award	\$	96,886 88,156	91%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	88,156	0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	ta) contracted, not spent	۲	-	0/0		Other	\$ -
						Journal	

DH	CD Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds				
	Formula I	Fund	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Shar	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201	15		FY 2013-	2015	
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addit	tional fundin	g sources
	(a) awarded	\$	1,506,776		awarded	\$		-
Lawrence	(b) net award	\$	1,152,284					
Lawrence	(c) spent	\$	1,351,141	117%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	24,706	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	602,717		awarded	\$		-
Lee	(b) net award	\$	511,972					
LCC	(c) spent	\$	387,039	76%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	169,598	33%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	276,160		awarded	\$		-
Leicester	(b) net award	\$	233,849					
Ecidestei	(c) spent	\$	240,356	103%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	405,858		awarded	\$		-
Lenox	(b) net award	\$	302,857					
Lenox	(c) spent	\$	431,277	142%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	11,218	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,599,933		awarded	\$		-
Leominster	(b) net award	\$	1,288,266					
Leominster	(c) spent	\$	1,672,544	130%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	84,525	7%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	737,373		awarded	\$		1,283,959
Lexington	(b) net award	\$	563,890					
	(c) spent	\$	504,100	89%	sources	City/Town	\$	1,283,959
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	481,748	85%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	258,384		awarded	\$		18,000
Littleton	(b) net award	\$	218,941					
	(c) spent	\$	81,743	37%	sources	City/Town	\$	18,000
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	Ş	-
	(a) awarded	\$	876,399		awarded	\$		-
Lowell	(b) net award	\$	763,773					
	(c) spent	\$	600,277	79%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	586,038		awarded	\$		-
Ludlow	(b) net award	\$	493,788					
	(c) spent	\$	351,030	71%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	102,304	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-

DHC	CD Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds				
	Formula F	und	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-202	15		FY 2013-	2015	
•			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	-HAs reported addi	tional funding s	ources
	(a) awarded	\$	231,011		awarded	\$		-
Lunenburg	(b) net award	\$	202,951					
Lunenburg	(c) spent	\$	84,190	41%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	2,088,121		awarded	\$		-
Lynn	(b) net award	\$	1,654,254					
_y	(c) spent	\$	1,792,218	108%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	37,745	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	132,501		awarded	\$		-
Lynnfield	(b) net award	\$	119,251					
Lymmera	(c) spent	\$	143,387	120%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	410,376		awarded	\$		-
Malden	(b) net award	\$	369,338					
Ivialueli	(c) spent	\$	483,776	131%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	53,635	15%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
LHA website:	: www.maldenhousing.org					Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	402,475		awarded	\$		122,236
Manchester	(b) net award	\$	345,083					
ivianichester	(c) spent	\$	265,350	77%	sources	City/Town	\$	48,000
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	74,236
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	606,274		awarded	\$		-
Mansfield	(b) net award	\$	485,617			_		
Widiisheid	(c) spent	\$	283,702	58%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	21,193	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,057,449		awarded	\$		-
Marblehead	(b) net award	\$	861,089					
iviaibiciicaa	(c) spent	\$	858,711	100%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,309	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	846,573		awarded	\$		-
Marlborough	(b) net award	\$	705,390					
ividi ibol odgil	(c) spent	\$	1,034,807	147%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	110,271	16%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	292,394		awarded	\$		-
Marshfield	(b) net award	\$	240,831					
iviai siiliela	(c) spent	\$	401,108	167%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	45,991	19%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-

DHC	D Capital Project Fun		Leverage	d Funds			
	Formula F	undi	ng			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201	L5		FY 2013-	2015
,		(7/1/12-6/30/	′ 15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addi	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	106,558		awarded	\$	-
Mashpee	(b) net award	\$	84,546				
iviastipee	(c) spent	\$	97,493	115%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
LHA website:	www.mashpeehousing.org					Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	109,239		awarded	\$	-
Mattapoisett	(b) net award	\$	98,315				T .
	(c) spent	\$	87,462	89%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	88,575		awarded	\$	-
Maynard	(b) net award	\$	79,718				
11101711010	(c) spent	\$	76,534	96%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	146,110		awarded	\$	-
Medfield	(b) net award	\$	59,549			_	
Wicancia	(c) spent	\$	10,986	18%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_				Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	472,811		awarded	\$	-
Medford	(b) net award	\$	425,530			_	
Micarora	(c) spent	\$	363,847	86%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	193,142	45%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	211,638		awarded	\$	-
Medway	(b) net award	\$	189,214				
y	(c) spent	\$	143,819	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	916,506		awarded	\$	-
Melrose	(b) net award	\$	735,318				
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(c) spent	\$	419,415	57%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	63,152		awarded	\$	-
Mendon	(b) net award	\$	56,837			_	
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(c) spent	\$	80,640	142%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	500	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	223,434		awarded	\$	-
Merrimac	(b) net award	\$	201,091				
	(c) spent	\$	224,801	112%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	3,486	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -

DHO	CD Capital Project Fur		Leveraged	d Funds				
	Formula I	Fund	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201	15		FY 2013-2	2015	
·			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	HAs reported addit	tional funding	sources
	(a) awarded	\$	1,999,984		awarded	\$		-
Methuen	(b) net award	\$	1,665,014					
Wictingeri	(c) spent	\$	1,841,496	111%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	184,668	11%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	566,374		awarded	\$		430,003
Middleborough	(b) net award	\$	381,835				1.	
	(c) spent	\$	149,951	39%	sources	City/Town	\$	430,003
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	114	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	309,124		awarded	\$		-
Middleton	(b) net award	\$	274,207					
Wildaleton	(c) spent	\$	241,509	88%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,036	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,090,672		awarded	\$		-
Milford	(b) net award	\$	927,071					
IVIIITOTA	(c) spent	\$	808,518	87%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	226,887	24%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	479,340		awarded	\$		-
	(b) net award	\$	380,204			Y		
Millbury	(c) spent	\$	414,515	109%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,613	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	336,403		awarded	\$		_
	(b) net award	\$	287,701		awaraca	Ÿ		
Millis	(c) spent	\$	282,617	98%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	333,192		awarded	\$		_
	(b) net award	\$	299,085		awarueu	¥		-
Milton	(c) spent	\$	296,972	99%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%	Joan 603	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7		3,0		Other	\$	-
	(a) assembled	_ ^	224.452			•	-	
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	321,152		awarded	\$		-
Monson	(b) net award (c) spent	\$	289,037 333,485	115%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	3,149	115%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	(a) contracted, not spent	7	3,173	1/0		Other	\$	-
							۲	
	(a) awarded	\$	424,082		awarded	\$		-
Montague	(b) net award	\$	338,266	6001		City /T		
3	(c) spent	\$	201,280	60%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-

DHC	D Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds				
	Formula F	und	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201	L5		FY 2013-	2015	
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	′ 15)	6% of L	_HAs reported addi	tional funding	sources
	(a) awarded	\$	128,868		awarded	\$		-
Nahant	(b) net award	\$	108,033					
Ivalialit	(c) spent	\$	142,705	132%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,804	2%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	107,983		awarded	\$		-
Nantucket	(b) net award	\$	93,507			_		
Hantacket	(c) spent	\$	36,975	40%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,302,147		awarded	\$		291,350
Natick	(b) net award	\$	1,012,475					
INGLICK	(c) spent	\$	799,218	79%	sources	City/Town	\$	291,350
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	9,167	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	301,513		awarded	\$		-
No o dla ova	(b) net award	\$	259,551					
Needham	(c) spent	\$	159,909	62%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	181,263	70%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	2,649,490		awarded	\$		_
	(b) net award	\$	2,108,865			Ŧ		
New Bedford	(c) spent	\$	2,341,208	111%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	213,036	10%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	431,785		awarded	\$		-
	(b) net award	\$	388,607			T		
Newburyport	(c) spent	\$	312,979	81%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	30,257	8%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,029,130		awarded	\$		_
	(b) net award	\$	887,224			Ŧ		
Newton	(c) spent	\$	546,497	62%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	190,541	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	291,338		awarded	\$		-
_	(b) net award	\$	242,864		awarueu	7		
Norfolk	(c) spent	\$	324,186	133%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$		0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			L	Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	ć	//21 EE/		awarded	ć		
	(a) awarded	\$	421,554		awarded	\$		-
North Andover	(b) net award	\$	379,399	78%			İş	
North Andover				78% 0%	awarded sources	\$ City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ \$	- -

DHC	D Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds			
	Formula I	und	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	9		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201	.5		FY 2013-	2015
·			(7/1/12-6/30/	'15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addi	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	995,324		awarded	\$	-
North Attleborough	(b) net award	\$	739,633				
North Attieborough	(c) spent	\$	814,336	110%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	44,030	6%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_				Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	324,270		awarded	\$	-
North Brookfield	(b) net award	\$	291,843				
North brookned	(c) spent	\$	-	0%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	219,235		awarded	\$	-
North Booding	(b) net award	\$	197,006				
North Reading	(c) spent	\$	185,884	94%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	90,125	46%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,435,630		awarded	\$	-
Manthamatan	(b) net award	\$	1,193,846				
Northampton	(c) spent	\$	1,122,971	94%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	283,326	24%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	543,792		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	378,237		awaraca	Ÿ	
Northborough	(c) spent	\$	273,406	72%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	25,955	7%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	228,071		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	169,235		awaraca	Ŷ	
Northbridge	(c) spent	\$	71,156	42%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	604,595		awarded	\$	2,718,791
	(b) net award	\$	526,996		awaraca	Ÿ	2,710,731
Norton	(c) spent	\$	518,600	98%	sources	City/Town	\$ 671,002
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	23,165	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$ 2,047,789
	·					Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	287,303		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	256,944		awarueu	7	-
Norwell	(c) spent	\$	133,447	52%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	85	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	,					Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	ċ	616,664		awarded.	\$	
	tai awarueu	\$			awarded	Ģ	-
		¢	511 260				
Norwood	(b) net award	\$ \$	511,360 433.451	85%	sources	City/Town	Ś -
Norwood		\$ \$ \$	511,360 433,451 40,821	85% 8%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ -

DH	CD Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds				
	Formula I	Fund	ling			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-202	15		FY 2013-	2015	
ŕ		\perp	(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of L	HAs reported addi	tional funding	sources
	(a) awarded	\$	265,816		awarded	\$		-
Orange	(b) net award	\$	181,947			_		
Orange	(c) spent	\$	215,448	118%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	461,470		awarded	\$		-
Orleans	(b) net award	\$	415,323					
Oricans	(c) spent	\$	308,148	74%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	448,251		awarded	\$		-
Oxford	(b) net award	\$	403,426					
Oxioid	(c) spent	\$	284,143	70%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_	_			Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	77,625		awarded	\$		-
Palmer	(b) net award	\$	69,750					
railliei	(c) spent	\$	102,210	147%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
		_				Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,320,031		awarded	\$		371,567
Peabody	(b) net award	\$	1,029,096					
reabody	(c) spent	\$	827,335	80%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	139,205	14%		Utility/LIMF	\$	371,567
		_		_		Other	\$	
	(a) awarded	\$	474,616		awarded	\$		-
Pembroke	(b) net award	\$	301,085					
Tellibroke	(c) spent	\$	263,887	88%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	360,275	120%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	290,636		awarded	\$		-
Pepperell	(b) net award	\$	219,407					
repperen	(c) spent	\$	204,275	93%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	6,314	3%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,943,670		awarded	\$		-
Pittsfield	(b) net award	\$	1,451,558					
rittsiiciu	(c) spent	\$	1,008,949	70%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,349	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	90,958		awarded	\$		-
Plainville	(b) net award	\$	81,862					
rialliville	(c) spent	\$	120,589	147%	sources	City/Town	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,501	10%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
						Other	\$	-

DHO	CD Capital Project Fun		Leverage	d Funds			
	Formula F		Self-Reported by LHAs				
Local Housing			Cap Shar	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-201			FY 2013-	2015
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	HAs reported addit	tional funding sources
						·	<u> </u>
	(a) awarded	\$	790,320		awarded	\$	-
Plymouth	(b) net award	\$	633,792	1100/		a:. /=	
<i>'</i>	(c) spent	\$ \$	697,708	110% 0%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	-	0%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ -
							ع -
	(a) awarded	\$	209,952		awarded	\$	-
Provincetown	(b) net award	\$	188,957	F.F.0./		C: /T	T &
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$	104,802 68,232	55% 36%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	68,232	36%		Other	\$ -
							- -
	(a) awarded	\$	3,780,042		awarded	\$	-
Quincy	(b) net award	\$	3,196,732				Т.
ζ	(c) spent	\$	4,081,533	128%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	660,968	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	440,192		awarded	\$	-
Randolph	(b) net award	\$	364,606				
Kanaoipii	(c) spent	\$	258,186	71%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	235,725	65%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
LHA website	: www.randolphhousingauthor	ity.or	g			Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	265,320		awarded	\$	-
Reading	(b) net award	\$	202,417				
Reduing	(c) spent	\$	237,313	117%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	8,050	4%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_	_			Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	3,456,314		awarded	\$	-
Revere	(b) net award	\$	2,891,446				
Kevere	(c) spent	\$	2,010,019	70%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,430,499	49%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	93,735		awarded	\$	-
Rockland	(b) net award	\$	84,362				
NUCKIAIIU	(c) spent	\$	41,289	49%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	325,060		awarded	\$	-
Pocknout	(b) net award	\$	292,554				
Rockport	(c) spent	\$	402,417	138%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	18,925	6%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	245,753		awarded	\$	-
Davides	(b) net award	\$	212,718			·	
Rowley	(c) spent	\$	209,914	99%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -

DHO	CD Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds					
	Formula I	Fund	ing		Self-Reported by LHAs				
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds		
Authority			FY 2013-201	15		FY 2013-	2015		
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	HAs reported addit	tional funding sources		
	(a) awarded	\$	2,546,375		awarded	\$	-		
Salem	(b) net award	\$	2,085,850				•		
	(c) spent	\$	1,783,349	85%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	343,834	16%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	303,826		awarded	\$	-		
Salisbury	(b) net award	\$	273,443				Γ.		
	(c) spent	\$	356,821	130%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	154,751		awarded	\$	-		
Sandwich	(b) net award	\$	125,765						
Sandwich	(c) spent	\$	160,187	127%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
		_				Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	451,014		awarded	\$	-		
Course	(b) net award	\$	339,983						
Saugus	(c) spent	\$	460,866	136%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	57,444	17%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	493,107		awarded	\$	-		
	(b) net award	\$	443,796			7			
Scituate	(c) spent	\$	424,659	96%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	89,591	20%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	481,641		awarded	\$	-		
	(b) net award	\$	417,614		awaraca	Y			
Seekonk	(c) spent	\$	315,531	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	256,396	61%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	381,520		awarded	\$	-		
	(b) net award	\$	294,866		awarucu	<u> </u>	-		
Sharon	(c) spent	\$	346,601	118%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	53,425	18%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awardad	ć	115 022		awardad	•			
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	115,833 104,250		awarded	\$	-		
Shelburne	(c) spent	\$	78,909	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%	30di CC3	Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
	(=) some second flot spent	~		0,3		Other	\$ -		
		_							
	(a) awarded	\$	493,784		awarded	\$	-		
Shrewsbury	(b) net award	\$	423,708	1120/		City/Toy:	lė		
•	(c) spent	\$ \$	476,994	113%	sources	City/Town	\$ - \$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	126,030	30%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -		
						Joulei	-		

DHO	CD Capital Project Fur		Leverage	d Funds			
	Formula I	Fund	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds
Authority			FY 2013-202	15		FY 2013-	2015
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	-HAs reported addi	tional funding sources
	(a) awarded	\$	256,228		awarded	\$	-
Somerset	(b) net award	\$	230,605				
Somerset	(c) spent	\$	344,809	150%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,220,533		awarded	\$	-
Somerville	(b) net award	\$	960,215				1.
301113111113	(c) spent	\$	1,045,134	109%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	87,051	9%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
		_	_	_		Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	517,556		awarded	\$	-
South Hadley	(b) net award	\$	465,800				
South Hauley	(c) spent	\$	366,190	79%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	168,353		awarded	\$	-
Canadalaananala	(b) net award	\$	75,340			,	
Southborough	(c) spent	\$	185,839	247%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	422,099		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	297,620		awaraca	Ÿ	
Southbridge	(c) spent	\$	271,327	91%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	41,593	14%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	324,630		awarded	\$	
	(b) net award	\$	292,167		awaraca	Ÿ	
Southwick	(c) spent	\$	198,825	68%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	131,388	45%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
						Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	603,982		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	532,663		a varaca	Ŧ	
Spencer	(c) spent	\$	479,040	90%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	4,360	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -
					<u></u>	Other	\$ -
	(a) awarded	\$	1,119,297		awarded	\$	-
	(b) net award	\$	951,402		awarueu	Ų	-
Springfield	(c) spent	\$	853,089	90%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%	JJ 41 0CJ	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	, , ,	, T				Other	\$ -
	(a) avvended	<u> </u>	125 226		a v v a v d a d		
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	135,336 114,644		awarded	\$	-
Sterling	(c) spent	\$	114,644 111,021	97%	sources	City/Town	\$ -
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	628	1%	Sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ -
	(a) contracted, not spent	7	020	170		Other	\$ -
						Toure	

DHC	DHCD Capital Project Funding						Leveraged Funds				
	Formula	Fund	ing			Self-Reporte					
Local Housing			Cap Share	e	Awards						
Authority			FY 2013-201			FY 2013-	2015				
			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	LHAs reported addit	tional funding sources				
	(a) awarded	\$	145,825		awarded	\$	-				
Stockbridge	(b) net award	\$	108,608	4020/		C: /T	T A				
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$	197,819 16,000	182% 15%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	16,000	15%		Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	829,483		awarded	\$	-				
Chamalaan	(b) net award	\$	669,765								
Stoneham	(c) spent	\$	646,612	97%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	728	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -				
						Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	711,206		awarded	\$	-				
Chaushtan	(b) net award	\$	604,525			·					
Stoughton	(c) spent	\$	186,709	31%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -				
						Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	278,839		awarded	\$	-				
Cudhum	(b) net award	\$	166,020								
Sudbury	(c) spent	\$	131,079	79%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -				
						Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	99,732		awarded	\$	-				
Sutton	(b) net award	\$	78,746								
Sutton	(c) spent	\$	80,937	103%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -				
		_				Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	474,910		awarded	\$	-				
Swampscott	(b) net award	\$	427,419								
3 Train pococc	(c) spent	\$	306,333	72%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -				
						Other	\$ -				
	(a) awarded	\$	272,749		awarded	\$	-				
Swansea	(b) net award	\$	236,950				1.				
	(c) spent	\$	301,620	127%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
I HA wobsito	(d) contracted, not spent www.swanseahousingauthor	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -				
LHA WEDSILE:	www.swanseanousingauthor	ity.org					Ş -				
	(a) awarded	\$	1,005,928		awarded	\$	-				
Taunton	(b) net award	\$	855,039	0 /							
	(c) spent	\$	548,859	64%	sources	City/Town	\$ -				
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	549,409	64%		Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ -				
							1 7				
	(a) awarded	\$	443,808		awarded	\$	-				
Templeton	(b) net award	\$	343,516	070/		City /T	l c				
·	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$	332,662 7,171	97% 2%	sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ -				
	(u) contracted, not spent	Þ	/,1/1	Z70		Other	\$ -				
						To the l	l Ÿ				

DHC	D Capital Project Fun		Leverage	d Funds				
	Formula F	und	ing			Self-Reporte	d by LHAs	
Local Housing			Cap Share	9		Awar	ds	
Authority			FY 2013-201			FY 2013-	2015	
		1	(7/1/12-6/30/	15)	6% of I	HAs reported addi	tional funding	sources
						T .		
	(a) awarded	\$	751,320		awarded	\$		-
Tewksbury	(b) net award	\$ \$	676,188 633,673	94%		City/Town	L	_
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	055,075	0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$ \$	-
	(a) contracted, not spent	۲		070		Other	\$	-
		Á	420.005				<u> </u>	
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	129,025		awarded	\$		-
Topsfield	(c) spent	\$	114,945 89,078	77%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%	30ui ces	Utility/LIMF	\$	
LHA website:	www.topsfieldhousingauthor		I	070		Other	\$	-
							<u> </u>	
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	457,235		awarded	\$		-
Tyngsborough	(b) net award (c) spent	\$	373,256 249,706	67%	sources	City/Town	\$	
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	48,036	13%	Sources	Utility/LIMF	\$	
	(a) contracted, not spent	<u> </u>	40,030	1370		Other	\$	-
							1 *	
	(a) awarded	\$	87,357		awarded	\$		-
Upton	(b) net award	\$	72,684 36,547	50%	COURCOS	City/Town	\$	
	(c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$	30,347	0%	sources	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ą		070		Other	\$	-
							1 *	
	(a) awarded	\$ \$	505,836		awarded	\$		-
Uxbridge	(b) net award (c) spent	\$	385,703 381,048	99%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%	Jources	Utility/LIMF	\$	_
	(4)					Other	\$	-
	(-)dd	ć	E00.0E6			À		
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$	599,056 468,562		awarded	\$		-
Wakefield	(c) spent	\$	479,815	102%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	17,759	4%	3041663	Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	, ,		, ,			Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	ć	291,340		awarded	\$		
	(b) net award	\$ \$	262,206		awarueu	Ş		-
Walpole	(c) spent	\$	254,006	97%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	1,000	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	,					Other	\$	-
	(a) awarded	\$	1,806,887		awarded	\$	•	/ 017 251
	(b) net award	\$	1,510,068		awarueu	Ş		4,917,351
Waltham	(c) spent	\$	1,449,511	96%	sources	City/Town	\$	3,879,110
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	204,270	14%		Utility/LIMF	\$	985,252
	,	-	,			Other	\$	52,989
	(a) awarded	ć	381,463		awarded	\$	•	
	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	343,317		awarueu	ş		-
Ware	(c) spent	\$	333,935	97%	sources	City/Town	\$	_
Ī		\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-
	(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	- 1	070		Othicy/Lilvir	Ş	-

Cap Share	DHCD Capital Project Funding						Leverage	d Funds		
### Authority Fr 2013-2015 (7/1712-6/30/15) (Formula F	ing		Self-Reported by LHAs					
(a) awarded S 795,270	Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds		
Wareham California Samurated Samur	Authority			FY 2013-201	15	FY 2013-2015				
(b) net award	,			(7/1/12-6/30/15) 6% of L			-HAs reported addi	tional funding sources		
Coloritacted, not spent S 145,593 24%		(a) awarded	_	795,270		awarded	\$	-		
Compared Society Soc	Wareham	(b) net award						_		
Warren	warenam	• • •	_			sources				
Warren		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	817,262	133%		- '			
Warren (b) net award \$ 140,594							Other	\$ -		
Calcant		• /				awarded	\$	-		
ClayForm S 11,5,565 845% 100 1	Warren	(b) net award		-				1.		
Watertown		• •		118,565		sources				
Watertown S 1,850,968 (b) net award S 1,529,832 (c) spent S 513,500 3456 (d) contracted, not spent S 75,627 5136 (d) contracted, not spent S 75,627 5136 (d) contracted, not spent S 687,649 (d) spent S 7,929 156 (d) contracted, not spent S 7,929 156 (d) contracted, not spent S 230,344 (d) spent S 230,349 (d) contracted, not spent S 135,699 5936 (d) contracted, not spent S 61,910 2756 (d) contracted, not spent S 63,214 (d) spent S 63,246 7756 (d) contracted, not spent S 52,496 7756 (d) contracted, not spent S 52,092 (d) contracted, not spent		(d) contracted, not spent	Ş	-	0%					
Webster							Otner	Ş -		
Company Comp		(a) awarded	\$	1,850,968		awarded	\$	-		
Contracted, not spent S 1,885,620 104% City/Town S	Watertown	(b) net award						_		
Cother S	vvatertown	• • •	_			sources				
Webster		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	513,500	34%					
(b) net award S			_				Other	\$ -		
Webster (c) spent \$ 75,627 51% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0%		(a) awarded	\$	196,408		awarded	\$	-		
Column	Mobstor	(b) net award	\$	148,748						
Wellesley	webster	(c) spent	\$	75,627	51%	sources	City/Town			
Wellesley		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF			
Wellesley							Other	\$ -		
Wellesley		(a) awarded	\$	813,271		awarded	\$	-		
Contracted, not spent \$ 402,317 59%	347 II I	• •								
Number Sample S	wellesley	(c) spent	\$	402,317	59%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
West Boylston Samurated		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	7,929	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
West Boylston S 230,344							Other	\$ -		
West Boylston S 230,344		(a) awarded	Ś	275.938		awarded	Ś	-		
Column							¥			
(d) contracted, not spent	wennam	•	\$		101%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
West Boylston Sawarded Samarded Samarded Samarded Sawarded Sawa		(d) contracted, not spent	\$	44,805	19%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
West Boylston S 230,349							Other	\$ -		
West Boylston S 230,349		(a) awarded	Ś	259.943		awarded	Ś	-		
C Spent \$ 135,699 59%						awaraca	Ŧ			
(d) contracted, not spent \$ 61,910 27% Utility/LIMF \$ - Other \$ - Other \$ - Other \$ - Other \$ - West Bridgewater (a) awarded \$ 76,394 (b) net award \$ 68,214 (c) spent \$ 52,496 77% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% (b) net award \$ 40,583 (c) spent \$ 133,635 329% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% (d) contracted, not spent \$	West Boylston				59%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
Calcaborate					-			\$ -		
(b) net award							Other	\$ -		
(b) net award		(a) awarded	¢	76 20/		awarded	¢	_		
West Brookfield (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (d) contracted, not spent (e) pent (e						awarueu	7	-		
(d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% Other \$ - Oth	West Bridgewater	• •			77%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
Calcal and Calcal an				-						
(a) awarded \$ 52,092		,					-			
West Brookfield (b) net award \$ 40,583 (c) spent \$ 133,635 329% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% City/Town \$ - Utility/LIMF \$ -		(a) awarded	ć	E2 002		awarded.	Ė			
(c) spent \$ 133,635 329% (d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% Sources City/Town \$ - Utility/LIMF \$ -						awarded	Þ	-		
(d) contracted, not spent \$ - 0% Utility/LIMF \$ -	West Brookfield	. ,			329%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
						30urces				
The state of the s		(a) some ascea, not spent	1 7		370		Other	\$ -		

DHC	DHCD Capital Project Funding					Leveraged Funds				
	Formula I	und	ling			Self-Reported by LHAs				
Local Housing			Cap Share	е	Awards					
Authority			FY 2013-201	15		FY 2013-2015				
,			(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of I	HAs reported addi	tional funding s	sources		
	(a) awarded	\$	200,459		awarded	\$		-		
West Newbury	(b) net award	\$	180,413							
vvc3t Newbary	(c) spent	\$	15,001	8%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$	_		
	(a) awarded	\$	830,882		awarded	\$		-		
West Springfield	(b) net award	\$	700,196							
West opinigneta	(c) spent	\$	633,697	91%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	7,113	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$	-		
	(a) awarded	\$	467,408		awarded	\$		-		
Westborough	(b) net award	\$	410,725							
Westbolough	(c) spent	\$	402,518	98%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	85,700	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
		_		-		Other	\$			
	(a) awarded	\$	1,376,960		awarded	\$		-		
Westfield	(b) net award	\$	1,148,602							
westlield	(c) spent	\$	1,079,812	94%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	242,858	21%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$	-		
	(a) awarded	\$	229,341		awarded	\$		-		
Westford	(b) net award	\$	194,098							
westioiu	(c) spent	\$	121,709	63%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	75,284	39%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
				_		Other	\$	-		
	(a) awarded	\$	345,143		awarded	\$		-		
Westport	(b) net award	\$	310,629							
westport	(c) spent	\$	110,891	36%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	307,474	99%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
		_		-		Other	\$			
	(a) awarded	\$	1,166,314		awarded	\$		-		
Weymouth	(b) net award	\$	980,710							
vveyilloutii	(c) spent	\$	754,495	77%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	73,156	7%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$			
	(a) awarded	\$	474,058		awarded	\$		16,500		
Whitman	(b) net award	\$	416,928							
vviiitiiidii	(c) spent	\$	438,992	105%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	2,734	1%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$	16,500		
	(a) awarded	\$	349,263		awarded	\$		-		
Wilbraham	(b) net award	\$	298,173							
vviibi aildili	(c) spent	\$	158,748	53%	sources	City/Town	\$	-		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$	-		
						Other	\$	-		

DHCD Capital Project Funding						Leverage	d Funds		
	Formula Funding					Self-Reported by LHAs			
Local Housing			Cap Share	е		Awar	ds		
Authority			FY 2013-2015			FY 2013-2015			
		\perp	(7/1/12-6/30,	/15)	6% of L	.HAs reported addit	ional funding sources		
	(a) awarded	\$	194,890		awarded	\$	-		
Williamstown	(b) net award	\$	126,604						
vviiilailistovii	(c) spent	\$	107,409	85%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	45,858	36%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
		_				Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	332,331		awarded	\$	-		
Wilmington	(b) net award	\$	284,853			_			
771111111111111111111111111111111111111	(c) spent	\$	216,915	76%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	53,645	19%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
		_				Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	556,831		awarded	\$	-		
Winchendon	(b) net award	\$	490,863						
willelidoll	(c) spent	\$	542,257	110%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	302,402		awarded	\$	-		
MAC la A	(b) net award	\$	269,770			_			
Winchester	(c) spent	\$	246,885	92%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	1,066,554		awarded	\$	-		
14.0	(b) net award	\$	804,024						
Winthrop	(c) spent	\$	888,222	110%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	175,417	22%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	\$	1,048,995		awarded	\$			
147 I	(b) net award	\$	814,912			,			
Woburn	(c) spent	\$	735,542	90%	sources	City/Town	\$ -		
	(d) contracted, not spent	\$	-	0%		Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
						Other	\$ -		
	(a) awarded	Ś	3.106.767		awarded	Ś	_		
14/	(a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$	3,106,767 2,534,367		awarded	\$	-		
Worcester				88%	awarded sources	\$ City/Town	\$ -		
Worcester	(b) net award	\$	2,534,367	88%			\$ -		
Worcester	(b) net award (c) spent	\$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821			City/Town	\$ -		
Worcester	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817		sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
	(b) net award (c) spent	\$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465			City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ -		
Worcester	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded	\$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817		sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765	0%	sources awarded	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award (c) spent	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765	76%	sources awarded	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$ City/Town	\$ - \$ - \$ -		
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765 130,872	76%	sources awarded sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$ City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Wrentham	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765 130,872 -	76%	sources awarded	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$ City/Town Utility/LIMF	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765 130,872 - - 251,707 226,536	76% 0%	sources awarded sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$ City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
Wrentham	(b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent (a) awarded (b) net award (c) spent (d) contracted, not spent	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,534,367 2,235,821 817 205,465 172,765 130,872 -	76%	sources awarded sources	City/Town Utility/LIMF Other \$ City/Town Utility/LIMF Other	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		

Current as of July 1, 2015

	Fi	scal Year	End March 31
Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status	Local Housing Aut
Amherst	CIP-2015	Submitted	Lawrence
Athol	CIP-2015	Submitted	Littleton
Barre	CIP-2015	Submitted	Lynn
Bellingham	CIP-2015	Submitted	Lynnfield
Beverly	CIP-2015	Submitted	Methuen
Bourne	CIP-2015	Submitted	Milford
Brookfield	CIP-2015	Submitted	Newburyport
Brookline	CIP-2015	Submitted	Northbridge
Cambridge	CIP-2015	Submitted	Randolph
Canton	CIP-2015	Submitted	Revere
Carver	CIP-2015	Submitted	Shrewsbury
Duxbury	CIP-2015	Submitted	Somerville
Easton	CIP-2015	Submitted	Spencer
Fall River	CIP-2015	Submitted	Springfield
Franklin	CIP-2015	Submitted	Stoneham
Greenfield	CIP-2015	Submitted	Sudbury
Great Barrington	CIP-2015	Submitted	Sutton
Haverhill	CIP-2015	Not Submitted	Tyngsborough
Holden	CIP-2015	Submitted	West Springfield
Hull	CIP-2015	Submitted	Westfield
Ipswich	CIP-2015	Submitted	Wilbraham
Kingston	CIP-2015	Submitted	Worcester

Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status
Lawrence	CIP-2015	Submitted
Littleton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Lynn	CIP-2015	Submitted
Lynnfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Methuen	CIP-2015	Submitted
Milford	CIP-2015	Submitted
Newburyport	CIP-2015	Submitted
Northbridge	CIP-2015	Submitted
Randolph	CIP-2015	Submitted
Revere	CIP-2015	Submitted
Shrewsbury	CIP-2015	Submitted
Somerville	CIP-2015	Submitted
Spencer	CIP-2015	Submitted
Springfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Stoneham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Sudbury	CIP-2015	Submitted
Sutton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Tyngsborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
West Springfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Westfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wilbraham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Worcester	CIP-2015	Submitted
Yarmouth	CIP-2015	Submitted

Current as of July 1, 2015

	F	iscal Yea
Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status
Acushnet	CIP-2015	Submitted
Adams	CIP-2015	Submitted
Attleboro	CIP-2015	Submitted
Avon	CIP-2015	Submitted
Ayer	CIP-2015	Submitted
Belmont	CIP-2015	Submitted
Billerica	CIP-2015	Submitted
Charlton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Chelmsford	CIP-2015	Submitted
Chicopee	CIP-2015	Submitted
Clinton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Cohasset	CIP-2015	Submitted
Concord	CIP-2015	Submitted
Danvers	CIP-2015	Submitted
Dartmouth	CIP-2015	Submitted
Dedham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Dennis	CIP-2015	Submitted
Dighton	CIP-2015	Submitted
East Bridgewater	CIP-2015	Submitted
Falmouth	CIP-2015	Submitted
Georgetown	CIP-2015	Submitted
Gloucester	CIP-2015	Submitted
Grafton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Granby	CIP-2015	Submitted
Groton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Groveland	CIP-2015	Submitted
Halifax	CIP-2015	Submitted
Hingham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Holbrook	CIP-2015	Submitted
Holliston	CIP-2015	Submitted
Hopkinton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Lancaster	CIP-2015	Submitted
Lee	CIP-2015	Submitted
Lexington	CIP-2015	Submitted
Manchester	CIP-2015	Submitted
Marlborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
Marshfield	CIP-2015	Submitted

End June 30		
Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status
Mashpee	CIP-2015	Submitted
Medway	CIP-2015	Submitted
Mendon	CIP-2015	Submitted
Middleton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Millis	CIP-2015	Submitted
Monson	CIP-2015	Submitted
North Attleborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
North Reading	CIP-2015	Submitted
Northampton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Oxford	CIP-2015	Submitted
Peabody	CIP-2015	Submitted
Pembroke	CIP-2015	Submitted
Pepperell	CIP-2015	Submitted
Pittsfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Quincy	CIP-2015	Not Submitted
Reading	CIP-2015	Submitted
Rockport	CIP-2015	Submitted
Sandwich	CIP-2015	Submitted
Seekonk	CIP-2015	Submitted
Sharon	CIP-2015	Submitted
Somerset	CIP-2015	Submitted
Southbridge	CIP-2015	Submitted
Southwick	CIP-2015	Submitted
Stoughton	CIP-2015	Submitted
West Bridgewater	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wakefield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Ware	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wareham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Westford	CIP-2015	Submitted
Westport	CIP-2015	Submitted
Whitman	CIP-2015	Submitted
Winchester	CIP-2015	Submitted
Winthrop	CIP-2015	Submitted
Woburn	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wrentham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Berkshire Cty. Reg.	CIP-2015	Submitted
Dukes County	CIP-2015	Submitted

Current as of July 1, 2015

	Fisc	al Year En	d :	September 30
Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status		Local Housing Authori
Agawam	CIP-2015	Submitted		Merrimac
Amesbury	CIP-2015	Submitted		Millbury
Arlington	CIP-2015	Submitted		Montague
Ashland	CIP-2015	Submitted		North Andover
Belchertown	CIP-2015	Submitted		Nahant
Blackstone	CIP-2015	Submitted		Norton
Brewster	CIP-2015	Submitted		Norwell
Chatham	CIP-2015	Submitted		Norwood
Dalton	CIP-2015	Submitted		Orleans
Dracut	CIP-2015	Submitted		Provincetown
Everett	CIP-2015	Submitted		Rowley
Gardner	CIP-2015	Submitted		Salem
Hadley	CIP-2015	Submitted		Scituate
Hanson	CIP-2015	Submitted		Shelburne
Harwich	CIP-2015	Submitted		Southborough
Hatfield	CIP-2015	Submitted		Stockbridge
Hopedale	CIP-2015	Submitted		Swampscott
Hudson	CIP-2015	Submitted		Swansea
Leicester	CIP-2015	Submitted		Topsfield
Lenox	CIP-2015	Submitted		West Boylston
Lowell	CIP-2015	Submitted		West Newbury
Ludlow	CIP-2015	Submitted		Waltham
Malden	CIP-2015	Submitted		Westborough
Mansfield	CIP-2015	Submitted		Williamstown
Mattapoisett	CIP-2015	Submitted		Wilmington
Medfield	CIP-2015	Submitted		Winchendon
Medford	CIP-2015	Submitted		Franklin Cty. Reg.

Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status
Merrimac	CIP-2015	Submitted
Millbury	CIP-2015	Submitted
Montague	CIP-2015	Submitted
North Andover	CIP-2015	Submitted
Nahant	CIP-2015	Submitted
Norton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Norwell	CIP-2015	Submitted
Norwood	CIP-2015	Submitted
Orleans	CIP-2015	Submitted
Provincetown	CIP-2015	Submitted
Rowley	CIP-2015	Submitted
Salem	CIP-2015	Submitted
Scituate	CIP-2015	Submitted
Shelburne	CIP-2015	Submitted
Southborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
Stockbridge	CIP-2015	Submitted
Swampscott	CIP-2015	Submitted
Swansea	CIP-2015	Submitted
Topsfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
West Boylston	CIP-2015	Submitted
West Newbury	CIP-2015	Submitted
Waltham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Westborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
Williamstown	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wilmington	CIP-2015	Submitted
Winchendon	CIP-2015	Submitted
Franklin Cty. Reg.	CIP-2015	Submitted

Current as of July 1, 2015

	Fisc	cal Year En	d	December 31
Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status		Local Housing Author
Abington	CIP-2015	Submitted		Nantucket
Acton	CIP-2015	Submitted		Natick
Andover	CIP-2015	Submitted		Needham
Auburn	CIP-2015	Submitted		New Bedford
Barnstable	CIP-2015	Submitted		Newton
Bedford	CIP-2015	Submitted		Norfolk
Braintree	CIP-2015	Submitted		Northborough
Bridgewater	CIP-2015	Submitted		Orange
Brimfield	CIP-2015	Submitted		Palmer
Brockton	CIP-2015	Submitted		Plainville
Burlington	CIP-2015	Submitted		Plymouth
Chelsea	CIP-2015	Submitted		Rockland
Dudley	CIP-2015	Submitted		Salisbury
East Longmeadow	CIP-2015	Submitted		Saugus
Easthampton	CIP-2015	Submitted		South Hadley
Essex	CIP-2015	Submitted		Sterling
Fairhaven	CIP-2015	Submitted		Taunton
Fitchburg	CIP-2015	Submitted		Templeton
Foxborough	CIP-2015	Submitted		Tewksbury
Framingham	CIP-2015	Submitted		Upton
Hamilton	CIP-2015	Submitted		Uxbridge
Holyoke	CIP-2015	Submitted		West Brookfield
Leominster	CIP-2015	Submitted		Walpole
Lunenburg	CIP-2015	Submitted		Warren
Marblehead	CIP-2015	Submitted		Watertown
Maynard	CIP-2015	Submitted		Webster
Melrose	CIP-2015	Submitted		Wellesley
Middleborough	CIP-2015	Submitted		Wenham
Milton	CIP-2015	Submitted		Weymouth
North Brookfield	CIP-2015	Submitted		Hampshire Cty. Reg.

Local Housing Authority	CIP Year	Status
Nantucket	CIP-2015	Submitted
Natick	CIP-2015	Submitted
Needham	CIP-2015	Submitted
New Bedford	CIP-2015	Submitted
Newton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Norfolk	CIP-2015	Submitted
Northborough	CIP-2015	Submitted
Orange	CIP-2015	Submitted
Palmer	CIP-2015	Submitted
Plainville	CIP-2015	Submitted
Plymouth	CIP-2015	Submitted
Rockland	CIP-2015	Submitted
Salisbury	CIP-2015	Not Submitted
Saugus	CIP-2015	Submitted
South Hadley	CIP-2015	Submitted
Sterling	CIP-2015	Submitted
Taunton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Templeton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Tewksbury	CIP-2015	Submitted
Upton	CIP-2015	Submitted
Uxbridge	CIP-2015	Submitted
West Brookfield	CIP-2015	Submitted
Walpole	CIP-2015	Submitted
Warren	CIP-2015	Submitted
Watertown	CIP-2015	Submitted
Webster	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wellesley	CIP-2015	Submitted
Wenham	CIP-2015	Submitted
Weymouth	CIP-2015	Submitted
Hampshire Cty. Reg.	CIP-2015	Submitted