DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD - FINAL

MINUTES OF THE 1006TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 6, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:30 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.,	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Virginia Greiman	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice M. Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1005th December 16, 2020 meeting Jessica Tsymbal, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Benjamin Salzberg	Massachusetts Military Division
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Kathy Dionne	SMRT, Inc.
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Stephanie Livolsi	Dore and Whittier
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Pawel Honc	Amenta Emma
Brooke Wilson	CHA Companies
Diana Nicklaus	SAAM Architects
Tom Iskra	BVH
Jeffrey DeVeau	STV, Inc.
Miles McDonald	BVH
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering

A. Notes of gratitude – Alan wanted to the start the New Year with a shout out to Bill, Claire and Roberto for all their work implementing the Autocene platform and bringing the DSB into the digital era. Elise gave a shout out of gratitude to the architectural, engineering, DCAMM communities and other agencies that have worked with the Autocene team; their participation will make Autocene successful. Jessica gave a shout out to Ginny for going into the office during quarantine while others were able to safely stay home. Ilyas wanted to thank Roberto and Claire for all their help to him as a newcomer to the Board.

B. Public Comments - Alan would like to test drive public comments after the Board reviews the applications at this meeting. Jessica wants this item discussed by the full Board before public comment is allowed at meetings. Rebecca stated that the transparency of this Board is extremely important and making any decisions outside of public meetings that does not engage the full Board is not transparent. This item will be discussed by the full Board at the next meeting on January 20, 2021.

C. DSB List #20-14, #33, Study & Design of General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Massachusetts Military Division, Statewide, Fee: $500,000 (House Doctor)

Review of the fourteen (14) applications resulted in determination that two (2) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project:

Michael Baker International, Inc. did not meet the Massachusetts Ownership Requirement – Brian Lutes, CEO is not registered in Massachusetts. On a motion to disqualify Michael Baker International, Inc. by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved to disqualify Michael Baker International, Inc.

Leon Pernice & Associates did not submit resumes from Robert W. Hall Consulting Engineers, Inc. for Robert Griffiths (mechanical), James Sullivan (electrical) and from Safety Environmental Consultant, Inc. for Johnnie Lituma (hazmat). On a motion to disqualify Leon Pernice & Associates by Jessica Tsymbal, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved to disqualify Leon Pernice & Associates.

Benjamin Salzberg from the Massachusetts Military Division was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

There were some general comments to help firms improve their applications. When submitting resumes, please use a more detailed list of relevant experience describing the scope, scale and the role an individual played in working on a project; this would help the Board better understand the relationship. In the experience section, it would help to give a little more depth to the projects listed and keep the interest with examples not just a list. Section #5 is the opportunity for a firm to stand out and show why a firm should rise to the top; this is a chance to show why your firm would be a good candidate for the project. DCAMM has added a diversity statement as a standard evaluation criteria and it should show how this enables your firm to provide better services and how you develop your team to diversify the field working on state projects and bring new perspectives, insights and values. When a firm is the Prime providing sub-consultant roles, please put it in the resume, experience and Section #5. Do not reorder the sections of the applications; this makes it very confusing to review. For out-of-state firms, you should give more clarity on who will be the team members and be able to service a project in Massachusetts when they are in another state. These are all areas of opportunities of improvement and will help a firm stand out with a robust application.

The following twelve applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project. Jessica requested that the user agency notify the Board if they are currently working with a firm and how much is left on their contract.

Below is a brief summary from the members:

Amenta Emma Architects – Their military experience in Massachusetts is not clear. In Section #5 it would have been an opportunity for them to bring forth items: limited experience, out of state firm, relationship between prime and sub-consultants and how they would fulfill these goals. They provided a good diversity statement and overall client relationship. They did miss the opportunity to add clarity on how they would bring the CT Guard experience to Massachusetts and blend that across several offices.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc. – Overall this is a good presentation, but diversity statement was weak and did not address climate resiliency. Section #5 did not directly address the criteria. This firm is in Chicopee.

CHA Architecture – This firm has a Portland and Boston office. They did not offer any direct discussion on how the MBE and WBE disciplines would be shared for this project. They showed no Massachusetts military experience and being based in Portland, ME they did not explain how they would provide for this project in Massachusetts. They did provide well documented out-of-state experience. The purchasing adviser has experience with MCPPO and it would have been a perfect opportunity to bridge this role in the experience. They did provide good consultants. The matrix in Section #5 identifying the sub-consultants and showed working with CHA was very clear and helpful.

CSS Architects, Inc. – The resumes of the Prime team clearly showed the relevant Massachusetts Military experience and was well done. Their experience was relevant, solid and well described.

Dore + Whittier Architects, Inc. – They provided excellent references. The PIC and PM demonstrated house doctor experience but not a lot of military projects; their MEP did provide relevant National Guard experience. They showed other relevant experience that would give them an opportunity to be selected. This firm would be a good fit for this project.

Edgewood Design + Architecture, Inc. – This firm has good WBE/MBE and VBE participation. This is a small firm with excellent references. They lack the precise military experience required but did a good job in covering it with their listed sub-consultants.

Edward Rowse Architects – This is one of the applications that was not collated so the segments of the application were out of sync and complicated to review. The sustainability and resiliency comments were light. They did provide relevant experience for this project. Their diversity statement could have been more detailed.

Helene Karl Architects, Inc. – They are a WBE firm and included a VBE firm. They have relevant Massachusetts military experience. They are a small firm and are performing sub-consultant work in-house and should have provided a little more experience in the resumes. In Section #5 they did provide a net zero energy project which was an interesting example with a good story behind and could have elaborated on it more.

RGB Architects – This firm is in Rhode Island with no employees in Massachusetts. They provided relevant experience and provided a good range of MBE/WBE sub-consultants. They have a strong Section #4 and #5 in response to the project criteria.

Saam Architecture – This is a very impressive team. They have a strong diversity team with a VBE firm as well. Their Section #5 was well done, and their working relationships were strong. This is a strong presentation and a good fit for this project.

SMRT Architects & Engineers – They are a larger A/E firm and this proposal explains how they will pair with the MBE and WBE firms they are working with. This was a strong proposal. It was very helpful when they listed the key team members in the prime experience.

STV, Inc. – They have a substantiable amount of experience for this project.

The Board had a brief discussion and voted to select the following six (6) unranked finalists for the Massachusetts Military Division House Doctor project:

Amenta Emma Architects
CSS Architects, Inc.
Edgewood Design + Architecture, Inc.
Helene Karl Architects, Inc.
Saam Architecture
SMRT Architects & Engineers

A motion was made by Ken Wexler to approve the above Massachusetts Military Division House Doctor list to be sent to the Military Division, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved.

D. Reverse Order of Application – The Board agrees to reverse the order of reviewing the applications at the next meeting. The Board will review applications in reverse order at every other meeting.

4. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:11 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of January 6, 2021 by Kenneth Wexler, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

5. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1007TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 20, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:30 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice M. Bergeron	Public Member
Virginia Greiman	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1006th January 6, 2021 meeting Virginia Greiman, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Sayem Khan	Sayem Khan
Elizabeth Minnis	DCAMM
Stephen Furtado, Jr.	Global Learning Charter Public School
Stephen Furtado, Sr.	Global Learning Charter Public School
Robin Pfetsch	DCR
Stephanie Livolsi	Dore and Whittier
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Steven Habeeb	Habeeb Architects
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Patrick Grime	JMBA Architects
Jennifer Bentley	BH Plus
Miles McDonald	BVH
Paola Munoz	FM Architecture
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Jessica Bell	FM Architecture
Katherine McDonald	Arrowstreet
Molly Conner	JMBA Architects
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Laurence Spang	Arrowstreet
Lauren Carter	LDA Architects
Amir Kripper	Kripper Studio
Mary McKenna	MMA Architects
Sara Garber	Arrowstreet
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Nadia Zimo	DREAM Collaborative
Randall Luther	TSKP
Morgan Devlin	LLB Architects
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Marion Roosa	Habeeb Architects
Diana Ostberg	Saam Architects
Kathleen Chainey	Arrowstreet
Susan Cook	NV5
Emily Grandstaff	Arrowstreet
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Celeste Soares	T2 Architecture

Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Stephen Setterluns	BPLUSA
Will Ragano	Johnson Roberts
Jessica Brown	EDM

A. Notes of gratitude – Alan gave a shout out to DCAMM, BSA, ACEC and BOS NOMA for organizing the "get to know you" event that took place on January 7, 2021.

B. DSB List #20-17, DCR20A/E07, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Statewide, DCR, Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor – 6 contracts), 18 Applicants

There were some general comments to help firms improve their applications. When submitting resumes, please use a more detailed list of relevant experience describing the scope, scale and the role an individual played in working on a project; this will help the Board better understand the relationship. In the experience section, it would help to give more depth to the projects listed and keep the interest with examples not just a list. Section #5 is the opportunity for a firm to stand out and show why a firm should rise to the top; this is a chance to show why your firm would be a good candidate for the project. DCAMM has added a diversity statement as a standard evaluation criteria and it should show how this enables your firm to provide better services and how you think about developing your team to diversify the field working on state projects and bring new perspectives, insights and values. When a firm is the Prime providing sub-consultant roles, please describe your approach in the resume, experience and Section #5. Do not reorder the sections of the applications; this makes it very confusing to review. For out-of-state firms, you should give more clarity on who will be the team members and be able to service a project in Massachusetts when they are located in another state. It was also noted that on a few of the applications the design architect on project was not credited; this should be noted that they had a collaborating role in the project. These are all areas of opportunities of improvement to help a firm stand out with a robust application.

The following eighteen (18) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary from the members:

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype – This firm had a good supplemental response in Section #5 and clearly the team has extensive DCR experience.

Beacon Architectural Associates – The resumes were missing the point that DCR requested in the advertisement. Section #5 showed a good matrix and clear examples of work that was performed.

Clark & Green, Inc. – This firm is based in western part of the state. They have good diversity and experience working with DCR. It was challenging to read because of the re-order of sections but had good content. Some of the resumes were general. This firm seems to be very competent, but Section #5 was limited, and the diversity statement was not strong.

DHK Architects, Inc. – This firm showed strong diversity credentials. They showed impressive historic preservation projects and relevant project experience. The Section #5 was excellently presented. The specification is being done in-house and should have been referenced in the resume.

Dietz & Company Architects – This firm is in the western part of the state. The principal and project manager didn't seem to have the relevant experience in the area as described for DCR. Their Section #5 could have had a little more narrative to strengthen their proposal.

Dore + Whittier Architects – This firm did not have a lot of recreational experience. This is a large firm and they did address some of the smaller projects that they have worked on. They did a solid job on the diversity statement and reached out to new firms to be part of their team.

EDM Services, Inc. – This firm is in western part of the state. They have provided good references. It would have been useful if they showed how they would use their alternate consultants.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – This team has a lot of experience. The resumes for the PIC and PM were solid and they had a host of good project case studies in Section #4 and #5 that were well represented and nice design work. Some of the experiences are not as applicable to the project criteria. This is a large firm and the projects may not be relevant to what DCR is seeking.

JM Booth & Associates – They have relevant project experience with DCR. They are in New Bedford. They have a diverse team of consultants but did not submit a diversity statement. This is a well-qualified firm but needs to work on providing a better application with the resumes and Section #5 and answer the questions directly from the application evaluation.

Johnson Roberts Associates – They have relevant project experience with DCR. They have put together a strong application but Section #5 narrative could have been stronger. Robin Pfetsch did mention that they never receive additional services requests from them; they go out of their way to do the work and stick to budgets.

Kripper Architecture Studio – This is a new firm to the DSB. They seem to focus on housing in Boston rather than parks and recreation. Most of their DCR experience is represented in the sub-consultant team; maybe hoping to expand in the DCR realm. Section #5 would have been a great opportunity for them to bring something fresh to add value to the project.

LDA Architecture & Interiors – This application is very good and has a good representation of DCR work. They currently have a $600,000 balance left on their current contract. This is a large firm and a 2nd contract would not overload them.

Maryann Thompson Architects – This is an excellent firm. They have relevant project experience with DCR. They have reached the limit on their current house doctor project. The entire team is very familiar with DCR.

Rode Architects – They provided nice design projects but not relevant to DCR projects. Section #5 could have had more narrative information showing how they would work with DCR.

Schwartz Silver Architects – They have a strong diverse team. They are a larger firm and lack park and recreation project experience. They did a good job in Section #4 showing some relevant work. The in-house specification writer is detailed in the resume of the PIC.

Stephen Kelleher Architects – This is a small firm and the diversity statement does not show a lot of strengths. They have relevant DCR experience. They have an expired house doctor contract. This is another case of adding more relevant information in Section #5.

Turowski2 Architecture, Inc. – This is a strong application and the response to the criteria was good. Section #5 had a strong response to the evaluation questions.

Westfaulkner – This is a small firm with higher education experience. They assembled a team of consultants to cover the DCR recreations experience. This is a new firm to the DSB. They have a strong diversity statement and a strong proposal.

Robin Pfetsch from DCR was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. She stated that it would be advantageous to select a firm from the western part of the state as well as the Cape Cod area. The Board had a brief discussion and voted to select the following six (6) unranked finalists for the DCR House Doctor project:

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype
DHK Architects, Inc.
JM Booth & Associates
Johnson Roberts Associates
Maryann Thompson Architects
Turowski2 Architecture, Inc.

A motion was made by Elise Woodward to approve the above DCR House Doctor list to be sent to DCR, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved.

C. DSB List #20-18, CP-DS-2020-001, GLCPS Convent Renovation Project, Global Learning Charter Public School, ECC: $6,095,781-$6,580,134, Fee: To Be Negotiated - 10 Applicants

The following ten (10) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project. MBE and WBE were not required but were encouraged for this project.

Below is a brief summary from the members:

Arrowstreet – They have relevant charter school experience. They have similar experience in converting a convent into a school. This is an excellent proposal and consistent throughout.

Flansburgh Architects – This is a strong firm and has great experience with K-12 with excellent references. In Section #5 they took the opportunity to provide design ideas.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – They have strong relevant experience with charter school projects. Their response to sustainability was very good.

Jones Architecture, Inc. –They provided good references and evaluations. Their expertise seems to be in higher education. They listed a charter school but were not the prime firm working on the project. The Section #5 was good.

LLB Architects – They have relevant experience with charter school projects and K-12 experience. This is a good application.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was a very good application with relevant experience and good focus on projects. They have also dealt with convent renovations.

Olinger Architects – They do not have any charter school experience. This is a new firm providing charter school experience with their sub-consultants. They provided limited graphics and should have provided more narrative on how they would perform on this project.

SAAM Architects – They have a lack of charter school experience but do have relevant educational experience. They did seek to show the relevance to this project and included the same MEP consultant who is currently working at this charter school.

TSKP Studio – This firm is in Connecticut with no Massachusetts connection. They do have relevant experience in K-12 education in Connecticut. They provided a thoughtful and informative Section #5.

Turowski2 Architecture – They gave great references. They have relevant experience with K-12 and charter school projects. They have strong sub-consultants including the MEP that has experience with this charter school. This was a nice proposal, and if selected for an interview, their challenge would be to convince the client that they are not stuck with the ideas that they have presented thus far and to keep current and look forward with different ideas.

Stephen Furtado, Sr. and Stephen Furtado, Jr. both representing Global Learning Charter Public School were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. The Board had a brief discussion and voted to select the following three (3) unranked finalists to be interviewed on February 3, 2021 for the Global Learning Charter Public School:

Arrowstreet
Habeeb & Associates Architects
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears

A motion was made by Elise Woodward to approve the above list to be interviewed for the Global Learning Charter Public School, seconded by Virginia Greiman. Motion was approved.

D. Public Comments – There would be a window of time during which we would invite public to comment. This would be moderated on Zoom by Bill and the public would send a chat message to Bill and he would elevate them to comment and limit them to one minute.

Some of the concerns by the members:

- This would go much smoother when we go back to in-person meetings and not on Zoom.
- Public comment could be done offline by sending a letter or contacting the Chair. This seems like it would cause more problems by allowing public comment.
- Is there a limit to the comments; there could be 25+ people that want to comment. This could end up being a very long meeting.
- It is very interesting and helpful for the public to have a voice during a public meeting. The Chair would be able to disallow any derogatory or judgmental comments about a firm. The Chair must moderate the public comments.
- It is important to recognize that a Board or Committee need not have any response to any public comment nor does a Board or Committee need to answer any question that is raised by any public comment.
- For the first trial of public comments we will put 15 mins with one minute per person on the agenda and revisit again and make sure that it is productive.
- Will the comments be allowed before the review or after review of applications?
- There will be two test drives, one meeting to do before review and the other test to do after review (before vote). This will be tested at the meeting of the Westfield State University House Doctor reviews.

E. Informational Interview Discussion – This will be discussed as Board Business at a future meeting.

F. Farewell to Virginia Greiman

The Board thanked Ginny for the many years that she has served as a public member to the DSB. Ginny was presented with a citation from the Governor and a donation was made in her name to the New England Center Home for Veterans. A photo book from DCAMM will be sent to Ginny with some quotes from the Board.

4. <u>MOTION TO ADJOURN</u>: The Board adjourned at 11:45 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of January 20, 2021 by Virginia Greiman, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

5. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>:

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1008TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 3, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Janice M. Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1007th January 20, 2021 meeting was made by Elise Woodward, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Elizabeth Lewis	Habeeb Architects
David Pereira	GGD
Steven Habeeb	Habeeb Architects
Jack Shea	Habeeb Architects
Scott Bancroft	Habeeb Architects
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Kate Wonkka	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Gabriela Baierle	Arrowstreet
Emily Grandstaff-Rice	Arrowstreet
Kevin Provencher	Habeeb Architects
Stephen Furtado, Sr.	GLCPS
Stephen Furtado, Jr.	GLCPS
Susann Schlaud	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Laurence Spang	Arrowstreet
Steven Thomas	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Lorraine Robin	Lorraine Robin Associates
Daryl-Ann Lewis	Daryl-Ann Lewis
Joshua Teas	Joshua Teas
Susan Elmore	Cambridge Seven
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Neil Joyce	CMS-MA
Jacquie Hughes	BER Engineering
Stephanie Beals	TSKP Studio
Marion Roosa	Habeeb Architects
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
Clair Colburn	FAA, Inc.
Kara Gruss	TSKP
Sara Garber	Arrowstreet
Katherine McDonald	Arrowstreet
Dorrie Brooks	Jones Whitsett
Steven Karan	BER Engineering
Kevin Griffin	CMS-MA
Kevin Riordon	Dietz Architects
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
B. Hunter	DiNisco
Steven Thomas	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears

A. Interview for DSB List #20-18, CP-DS-2020-001, GLCPS Convent Renovation Project, Global Learning Charter Public School, ECC: $6,095,781-$6,580,134, Fee: To Be Negotiated

After preliminary review of the original ten (10) submissions, on Wednesday January 20, 2021 and after considerable discussion, the Board selected for interviews, the following three (3) unranked applicants exhibiting qualifications to perform the requested services:

Arrowstreet & Associates Architects
Habeeb & Associates Architects
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears

The Board interviewed at length the three (3) applicants listed above. All finalists displayed considerable skills and similar experiences with this type of project. The Board voted to select the following ranked firms for the Global Learning Charter Public School:

Arrowstreet (21 points)
Habeeb & Associates Architects (19 points)
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears (14 points)

A motion was made by Janice Bergeron to approve the above ranked list for the Global Learning Charter Public School, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

B. Public Comments – There were no public comments at this meeting. Through Autocene, Bill will reach out to the registered firms for their interest in the public comment opportunity.

C. Informational Interview Discussion – The Board agreed to begin scheduling informational interview when the agenda permits. The Board agreed to place these interviews at the beginning of future DSB meetings with one project deliberation. These interviews will be 25-minutes (15-minute presentation with 10-minute Q&A).

D. Sub-committee Updates – Autocene subcommittee has not met for several weeks. The one remaining item for Board discussion is the "team lead" category, whether it should be the individual responsible for the application or the responsible licensed professional who signs the contract and is responsible for the project. Elise proposed to change "team lead" to "team contact" so that entry will no longer require a licensed professional but would identify the person to be contacted regarding questions for the application. The Board agreed to this proposal and Autocene will make a change from "Team Lead" to "Team Contact" in the application.

The Evaluation, Analytics and Project Criteria subcommittee held its last meeting on September 22, 2020. Alan suggested this subcommittee meet to brainstorm ideas of analytics that could be developed leveraging the Autocene database and come back to the full Board with some ideas. It will be important for the Autocene group to figure out how to automate what is proposed. The successful application elements for use as an evaluation criteria. David would like to see a better description of work anticipated in the RFP, especially in "House Doctor' projects; this is a way to have more smaller firms involved. A subcommittee meeting will be scheduled to discuss these items.

E. Voting in Autocene – The Board will not be submitting their votes into Autocene. The members will continue with the roll call vote and Claire and Roberto will record the vote into the Autocene portal.

4. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:34 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of February 3, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

5. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire S. Hester

Approved by: A. Rick

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1009TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 17, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Janice M. Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1008th February 3, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Ryan Moore	DCAMM
Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Jennifer Gaffney	DOC
Liz Minnis	DCAMM
Pamela Couchon	Soldier's Home in Holyoke
Liz Minnis	DCAMM
John Prudente	DCAMM
Kyle Pelletier	DCAMM
Rachel Corey	Rachel Corey
Mallory Hanora	Justice As Healing
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Kelsey Goetz	DCAMM
Pamela Starks	Pamela Starks
Aminata Kaba	Aminata Kaba
Debbie Yelle	Kleinfelder
Karen Reichenbacher	STV
Robin Greenberg	Perkins Eastman
Jackey Goldb	Jackey Goldb
Timothy Benoit	Timothy Benoit
Jude Glaubman	Jude Glaubman
Cathy DeFrances-Vittorio	Cathy DeFrances-Vittorio
Leslie Credle	Leslie Credle
Nora Vincent (she/her)	Nora Vincent (she/her)
Elizabeth Landry	Elizabeth Landry
Price Jepsen	STV
Diane Bruining	CGL Companies
Connie Chow	UMB
Joel Goodmonson	ARC Engineers
Anna Nathanson	Anna Nathanson
Elijah Patterson	Black and Pink MA Organization
Jim Bell	MPN – Arch
Ceclia Dawn	Ceciia Dawn
Vee Nevills	Vee Nevills
Diane Sedar	MPN – Arch
William Pevear	William Pevear Architects
David Weimer	David Weimer
Cloe Pippin	Cloe Pippin
Terie Starks	Terie Starks
Harold Levkowicz	HDR
Michael Tyre	Amenta Emma

Hannah Zack	Hannah Zack
Kay McLaurin	CGL Companies
Jeffrey DeVeau	STV
Andrea James	The National Council
Sashi James (she/her)	The National Council
John Garcia	Linea 5
Joe DiTizio	EBI Consulting
Chad Reilly	HDR
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Yaira Matos	Yaira Matos
Dawne Young	Dawne Young
Hannah Henkin	UMICH
Rachel Roth	Rachel Roth
Cherry Russell	Cherry Russell
Jordan Mazurek	The National Council
Ash Trull	Ash Trull
Robyn Minella	CES Engineers
Olivia Feldman	Justice 4 Housing
Deborah Goldfarb	BMC Org
Erica Taft (she/her)	BC EDU
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Arch
Jane Kennedy	Chelsea Schools
Robin Greenleaf	ARC Engineers
Michael Lazo	DCAMM
Eurs Choi	Harvard
Susan Wisler	ARC Engineers
Katy Naples-Mitchell	Harvard
Robert Fisch	CGL Companies
Valerie Jimenez	STV
Robert Simmons	Studio 4 Living
Michael Cox	Michael Cox
Norma Wassel	Norma Wassel
Ryan Critchfield	CGL Companies
Alexandra Dorn	William Pevear Architects
Hannah Long	Tufts
Caitlin Donnelly	Caitlin Donnelly
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Renee Laplante	SMRT
Sarah Nawab	PLSMA Org
Sherilyn Tkacz	Sherilyn Tkacz
Crystale Wozniak	Kleinfelder
Gina Bourque	Gina Bourque
Sarah Betancourt	Mass Inc. Org
Sara Ruggiero	STV
Rich Polwrek	DCAMM
Lauren Hickey	FAA Inc.
Oliva Dubois	Olivia Dubois
Kevin Sullivan	Kevin Sullivan
Elizabeth Rucker	Elizabeth Rucker
Mike Sears	RDK/NV5
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Ashley Tarbet	UMB
Margaret O'Meara	Kleinfelder
Kimberly Jane	Kimberly Jane
Jeffrey Quick	DOC
Frank Greene	Greene Justice
Elizabeth Whalley	Framingham Edu
Stacey Borden	New Beginnings Reentry Services
Allison Rutz	FAA Inc.
Annarose Shaver	Annarose Shaver
Hayley Veillette	Hayley Veillette
Betsey Chace	Alum MIT Edu
Autstin Frizzell	Austin Frizzell
Valerie Puchades	Gund Partnership
Miles McDonald	BVH
Sean Foley	DOC

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #21-01, DOC2106, Study and Design of a Correctional Center for Women, Statewide (DCAMM), Fee for Study: $550,000 – Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design is To Be Negotiated, 5 Applicants

Jennifer Gaffney from the Department of Correction thanked the Board for considering this project. Trauma informed care acknowledges how women have been traumatized. These women need to be treated and healed for rehabilitation to lead a productive life outside of prison. Emmanuel Andrade from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

All the applicants have met the requirements of the project criteria. The Board reviewed and commented on the following five (5) applications in reverse alphabetical order:

STV, Inc. – This firm has experience in this type of project and exceeds the qualifications requested in the advertisement. They presented the correctional experience and noted the projects that have been implemented with phasing. They showed experience in women's facilities as well as psychiatric facilities. STV had a diverse team of sub-consultants and noted that their own population is 28% women and 29% minority but did not focus on the constituency in STV leadership. It would have been helpful to have more specific narrative on how STV would team with their sub-consultants. They provided a matrix that demonstrated their experience with similar correctional facilities and tied that experience to the evaluation factors that DCAMM requested in the advertisement. It was noted that STV and other firms have added a justice consultant to their team.

Ken asked a question if the chats should be addressed. Elise stated that some of the chats are from advocacy groups seeking to affect the outcome of this project. The DSB is not an advocacy board. This Board identifies architectural and engineering firms that have the experience and appropriate skills to be able to assist DCAMM and DOC when and if they decide to design this facility. The Board's charge is to focus on the applications, to be prepared to address questions and comments and open to receive public comment. There were several individuals that requested in advance to make a public comment and those will be heard as noted in the agenda.

SMRT Architects and Engineers – They have extensive experience related to women's correctional facilities in NH. The architect is taking on a lot of disciplines in-house with secondary partners for the same disciplines and it would have been nice to see more information on how these relationships were going to take place within the team. The firm has multiple offices and much of their work is in another state. The planning consultant is based in NY but does have experience throughout the country. The Washburn House was a good example for a different approach to this project. They did not show work for renovation projects in an occupied building, everything in Section #4 was for new construction; they did not show work inside a secure setting. They had a strong diversity statement. In Section #5 they did mention project phasing but in a general way. Much of their experience was in the Maine Correctional system.

Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc. – This team has extensive experience in women's correctional facilities. They have highlighted a correctional planning consultant as well. Kleinfelder is a large firm and their Section #10 was well done and noted specific examples to the evaluation response. The PIC and PM have both correctional and courts experience.

HDR Architecture, P.C. – They provided excellent evaluations from relevant agencies. There is no confusion on which consultant is doing the work. They provided concise and specific project information. There are more women involved in this project which will give it a different perspective. This is a good proposal.

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. – Finegold is teaming with HOK for the corrections planning and corrections security consultants and show how they will work together in Section #5. The PIC and PM showed more justice center experience than correctional facilities. Their supplemental response in Section #5 was specific to their experience in correctional facilities, facilities that support women and in sustainability.

Rebecca wanted to know what DCAMM/DOC are looking for, what are their goals and what firms are a good fit for this project. She stated that all the applications brought good strengths to the process.

Emmanuel stated that DCAMM/DOC is listening to the concerns of the public and advocacy groups. They have come back a year later with a stronger project approach. The strategic plan is not complete yet and there will be stakeholder engagement and a chance for public discussion. The first firm was responsive and the other four firms were more responsive. DCAMM/DOC is looking for a diverse firm with experience in correctional facilities and buildings that focus on incarcerated women and a team that can deliver trauma informed care design in a large project.

The Board voted to select the following three (3) unranked finalists to be interviewed on March 3, 2021:

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
HDR Architecture, P.C.
Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc.

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to interview the above firms for the Women's Correctional Center project on March 3, 2021, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

B. DSB List #21-02, HLY2100, Study and Design for General Building Renovation, Repairs, and Upgrades, Soldiers' Home Holyoke (House Doctor – 4 contracts) Fee: $250,000, 7 Applicants

All the applicants met the requirements for this project. The Board reviewed the applications and commented below:

Edward Rowse Architects, Inc. – They showed experience in community centers and congregate living situations. This application was separated by prime and sub-consultants and it would be helpful to the Board if future application were integrated so that each of the sections included prime and sub-consultants together. It was difficult to read. They are showing diversity on their team and they do show their experience as requested in the advertisement.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This firm is a veteran-owned firm. They have a strong diversity statement. They provided good references and evaluations along with relevant experience as requested in the advertisement. This was a well-coordinated and presented application with specific information for all of the criteria categories.

Moser Pilon Nelson Architects, LLC – This firm showed new and rebuilt veteran projects, but their sub-consultants have extensive DCAMM experience. They did not address all the evaluation criteria in Section #5. In general, they did put together a good proposal even though they did not provide a lot of experience for this house doctor project.

Linea 5, Inc. – They provided strong references. The prime team has strong health care experience but the veterans and DCAMM experience is captured in their sub-consultant's experience. This would be a good chance for them to expand their experience. It was a good proposal and the team is capable of performing the work.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – They provided a diverse team. Their Section #4 reflected experience in the type of work that is required. Section #5 was good and discussed the in-house specification writer. They have good comments from the agency. Overall this was a good proposal.

DHK Architects – This firm specifically addressed the projects that they have competed at the Chelsea Soldier's Home in Section #5. They have the experience for this house doctor project. The addressed the items in the evaluation criteria.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Chicopee. They provided good references. The team has veterans experience. They have relevant experience with the criteria requested in the advertisement.

Pamela Couchon from Soldiers' Home Holyoke was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. The Board voted to select the following four (4) unranked firms for the Soldiers' Home Holyoke House Doctor project:

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc.
Dietz & Company Architects, Inc.
Linea 5, Inc.
William Pevear Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the above unranked firms for the Soldier's Home Holyoke House Doctor project, seconded by Kenneth Wexler. Motion was approved.

C. DSB List #21-03, DOC2020-MEP, Study and Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Department of Correction (DOC), Statewide (House Doctor – 3 contracts) Fee: $500,000, 9 Applicants

All the applicants met the requirements for this project. The Board reviewed the applications and commented below:

STV, Inc. – This firm submitted a good proposal and could handle multiple projects. The evaluation criteria match what they highlighted in the prime experience; this was very helpful.

SMRT Architects and Engineers – They have a very diverse team. The PM brings correctional experience to this project. Section #5 was excellent and provided a good statement regarding security during renovations.

RDK Engineers/NV5 – This firm has an outstanding balance on a house doctor contract that will conclude this year with DOC. They have good evaluations and experience with DOC and DCAMM. This is an engineering firm. They provided a solid proposal.

Pristine Engineers, Inc. – They provided good references and resumes. They had a good diversity statement and showed their correctional experience.

Garcia, Galuska, DeSousa, Inc. – Their resumes could be more detailed and tailored to their qualifications and experience as requested in the advertisement. This proposal was confusing to read and should be integrated for future applications. The diversity statement was missing from Section #5. This is an accomplished firm but not with prime experience.

Fuss and O'Neill, Inc. – Their primary office is in Manchester, CT and personnel hold Massachusetts licenses. They have a good diverse team. The secondary MEP sub-consultants are MBE/WBE firms; this should be addressed in Section #5.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – This was a good proposal. They have experience working with DCAMM and correctional facilities. Section #5 was solid and addressed the evaluation criteria requested in the advertisement.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – Section #5 was light and did not address all the evaluation criteria.

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – They submitted a strong diverse team. They have extensive correctional experience working with house doctor projects. This was an excellent proposal.

Sean Foley from Department of Correction was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. The Board voted to select the following three (3) finalists for the DOC MEP House Doctor project:

Architectural Engineers, Inc
Consulting Engineering
RDK/NV5

Motion was made by Martha Blakey Smith to select the above unranked firms for the DOC MEP House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

D. Public Comments – (Attached is the Zoom Chat)

The following speakers requested to speak to the Board in advance and were given 2 minutes each to address their comments in opposition to building a new Correctional Facility for Women:

1. Stacey Borden – New Beginnings of New Entry Services, Inc.
2. Leslie Credle – Director of Information Technology for the National Council for Incarcerated and formerly Incarcerated Women and Girls in Roxbury
3. Pam Sparks – formerly incarcerated woman
4. Norma Wassel – National Association of Social Works, Massachusetts Chapter
5. Elijah Patterson – Communication and Outreach Coordinator for Black and Pink Massachusetts
6. Dr. Elizabeth Whalley – Professor of Sociology and Criminology at Framingham State University
7. Elizabeth Rucker – resident of Roxbury and volunteer member of Showing up for Racial Justice Boston Chapter and member of Deeper Than Water Coalition
8. Betsey Chace – resident of Cambridge

In addition to opposing this project, speakers opposed building new prisons for women without a strategic plan in place, disputed the efficacy of "trauma-informed" design, requested firms to withdraw their applications, urged restorative justice and alternatives to incarceration for healing, denounced the lack of empathy of DOC for families and LGBTQ populations, the unsafe environment for female inmates supervised by male guards, and the poor existing facilities including lack of clean water, Covid protocols, excessive mold, cages for inmates and the policy allowing solitary confinement to exceed the international limit of the "Mandela" rules.

Elise thanked each person that spoke and to all that the Board had not heard from today. The Board appreciated all the articulated observations and knowledge that was shared during the meeting. The Board looks forward to reading the chat in depth and future conversations regarding this complicated matter.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:43 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of February 17, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

08:25:53 From Jessica Tsymbal to All panelists : Bill - I didn't get the invite, so I'm not logged on as a panelist.
08:25:59 From Jessica Tsymbal to All panelists : Do you want to resend?
08:26:06 From Jessica Tsymbal to All panelists : I can log off and then back on again
08:27:20 From Willard Perkins to All panelists : everyone starts as an attendee and then I can elevate
08:29:42 From Willard Perkins to rebecca sherer(Direct Message) : I am going to make you a co-host in case my internet goes down
08:32:35 From Willard Perkins to Elise Woodward(Direct Message) : I made Rebecca a cohost in case my internet goes down
08:38:53 From Olivia Dubois : There is no such thing as a trauma informed prison.
08:39:40 From Kimberly Jane to All panelists : Being confined in a space that looks like a living room is still confinement, it's still separation from one's family.
08:39:40 From Elizabeth Rucker : Women belong in their communities, not in cages
08:39:46 From Jane Kennedy : Prison is not rehabilitative. Prisons INFLICT trauma.
08:40:02 From Aminata Kaba to All panelists : “good” citizens? yuck
08:40:18 From Annarose Shaver : Redesigning a site of inherent trauma is a load of nonsense
08:40:26 From Rachel Corey : “living room-ish” spaces…they could just not be incarcerated and instead be released and be in actually living rooms – theirs and their families’
08:40:29 From Annarose Shaver : Free them all
08:40:39 From Rachel Corey : incarceration is traumatizing
08:40:42 From Rachel Corey : COs are traumatizing
08:40:43 From Anna Nathanson to All panelists : You’re traumatizing them. There is no such thing as a trauma-informed jail
08:40:47 From Deborah Goldfarb : I am here as a social worker who has worked at MCI-Framingham and stand in solidarity with all of the women and families affected by the continued incarceration of our community members, I speak on behalf of the National Association of Social Workers MA Chapter. We support the decarceration of Women in Massachusetts. And we are urging the Commonwealth to invest taxpayers dollars in community resources not a new women's prison would only retraumatize women in custody.
08:40:49 From Rachel Corey : cages are traumatizing
08:41:17 From Anna Nathanson to All panelists : You don’t understand because if you did you wouldn’t be trying to put them in cages
08:41:22 From Elijah Patterson : How does the physical structure of a prison address trauma, Jennifer Gaffney?
08:41:22 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : Prisons are a site of trauma and the Department of Corrections inflicts it
08:41:22 From Willard Perkins to Jessica Tsymbal(Direct Message) : Can I also make you a cohost in case I lose internet?
08:41:31 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : There is no such thing as a trauma informed care prison. I am a formerly incarcerated woman and there is no way to heal inside a prison who's culture is a punitive approach.

08:41:39 From Jordan- No New Women's Prison! to All panelists : Yeah all the women who've been locked quip by MA DOC have said that the DOC traumatized them. Being locked in cages away from their community and family is traumatizing.
08:41:47 From Elizabeth Whalley : Please consider if you would want your mother in a "trauma-informed" prison.
08:41:55 From Jessica Tsymbal to Willard Perkins(Direct Message) : Yes, sorry that I missed your note. Lots to keep up with.
08:43:00 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : There are professional standards and designers and architects who think building any prison (which necessarily will include solitary confinement cells, will it not?) is not an ethical project for architects to engage in. Building a new prison is a project of systemic racism.

Read more:
https://www.dezeen.com/2020/12/15/aia-bans-design-of-execution-facilities-and-solitary-confinement-spaces/

And more here:
https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/11/arts/design/american-institute-of-architects-execution.html
08:43:06 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : The atmosphere inside the environment the sexual assaults', the beatings by correctional officers, the verbal and mental abuse inflicted on the women by staff and administration is so cruel and harsh.
08:43:17 From Elijah Patterson : We're here today because you want to put women in cages
08:44:36 From Stacey Borden : Unless anyone here has experienced living in a cage, you cannot speak from as an expert to what you think we need. It's traumatizing , its demeaning, and no woman can receive Trauma Informed Care while being housed in a cage! I am speaking form a place that was harmful to me as a formerly incarcerated woman!
08:44:36 From Anna Nathanson : Emmanuel Andrade let women stay in their communities and heal there, with the resources they need. A jail is a jail, however you whitewash it.
08:44:42 From Pamela Starks to All panelists : Dcam is not transparent to public
08:44:55 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : What if that strategic plan shows that we should not be relying on incarceration or prisons at all?
08:44:56 From Deborah Goldfarb : $50 million for a new prison would take funding away from more effective community-based services. Women in custody, all Massachusetts residents, deserve assistance like housing and health care - not state-sanctioned further trauma
08:44:57 From Elizabeth Rucker : You can best utilize our resources to serve women by decarcerating them and redirecting those resources into health care, housing, education, and jobs
08:45:00 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : I was in Framingham and a "shiny new jail" is not going to heal women, correction is not an expert on mental health and healing women that is not what they are good at they are experts in punishment and locking women down
08:45:02 From National Council to All panelists : there will come a time

in the near future when you will realize the barbarism of how you are wielding your power.
08:45:02 From Jane Kennedy : No one is best served by a prison. Resources need to be invested in our communities, not in incarceration.
08:45:15 From National Council to All panelists : we know the best solutions and a prison is nothing one of them
08:45:39 From Elizabeth Rucker : Formerly incarcerated women are the experts, and they no the solution is no new prisons
08:45:43 From National Council to All panelists : you are not qualified to make these decisions and it's applauding that any of you do
08:45:48 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : It is inappropriate to be certain we need a physical location at all
08:45:48 From Elizabeth Rucker : *know
08:45:53 From Rachel Corey : An architect is not the answer – formerly incarcerated women are the experts necessary to find this "solution" you speak of
08:46:12 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Architects are not the experts on how women can be rehabilitated. There is no answer in prison. The women and the funding belong in the communities
08:46:13 From Aminata Kaba to All panelists : Prisons are trauma inducing and sustaining and there is no reality of repair there. They are harmful and dehumanizing institutions. Why would you want to be apart of such generational pain?
08:46:48 From National Council to All panelists : you can't expect people such as the DOC, who's staff feed their children and pay their mortgages and provide health and well being to their families to make sound decisions that don't include keeping their paychecks
08:47:10 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Look at what they are doing now. They have women who have been locked in cages for a year 23 hrs a day, that is their response to the COVID pandemic. Women are currently being deprived they are deprived of basic human necessities, such as shower and proper food and toilet paper
08:47:11 From Aminata Kaba : Prisons are trauma inducing and sustaining and there is no reality of repair there. They are harmful and dehumanizing institutions. Why would you want to be apart of such generational pain?
08:47:36 From National Council to All panelists : this is not a public process
08:48:01 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : Being LEED certified does not give someone the right to destroy families, lock people away and rob them of their dignity
08:48:25 From Annarose Shaver : How can you people have the gall to suggest building new prisons at a time when incarcerated people are not being cared for, when CO's are refusing vaccines, when folks inside are getting ill and dying from an absolutely incompetent COVID-19 response, and those outside are facing eviction, food insecurity ? 50 million tax dollars to incarcerate more women - NO ! ! !
08:48:43 From National Council to All panelists : prisons have been in existence for far too long. they are ineffective and cause further harm
08:49:22 From Rachel Corey : diverse oppressors are still oppressive
08:49:23 From Anna Nathanson : Elise Woodward don't pretend to care about racism when you're working to hold what will undoubtedly Black and brown women in

cages. These women belong in their communities.
08:49:23 From Stacey Borden : Design matters! Architect oaths! Ethical Standards! You must reconsider empathetic responses of what you would be participating in building a a new prison, the harm you will be participating in! Women deserve to be connected to their families and our communities are focused on What Difference Looks Like investing in our communities for that $50 million dollars!
08:49:28 From Norma Wassel to All panelists : I would like to inquire what research DOC is citing that supports the claim that there can be “trauma-informed” prisons. The data, which has been well researched, shows the exact opposite. My concern is that a state agency is making a public statement regarding this without an validation.
08:49:35 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : If you ask us formerly incarcerated women would you prefer a new jail or would you rather find alternatives to incarceration in the community. to decarcerate . Get to the route causes of incarceration the issues that bought us to prion in the first place. They would choose to decarcerate and get the support they need in community alternatives
08:50:33 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : Please reconsider this misuse of your expertise. Please listen to the people being directly harmed by the work you’re doing here today.
08:50:42 From Aminata Kaba : ^^^
08:50:59 From National Council to All panelists : please use correct language. prisons are not correctional facilities. they are prisons
08:51:20 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : How do you know what "similar correctional facilities" are, if you are purportedly waiting for the fruits of the strategic planning process to determine what this building project will even look like?
08:51:25 From National Council to All panelists : the national architectural board has stated that you shall not build
08:51:31 From National Council to All panelists : more prisons
08:52:02 From National Council to All panelists : they said solitary confinement cages....but they are moving in that dorectoon
08:52:24 From National Council to All panelists : wow this is absolutely inhumane and appalling
08:52:41 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : If you think the woman are going to have a trauma informed care look at how they treat our families who come visit us. they have license place readers to check for warrants . when our families come out after a visit they are targeted and arrested. our children and strip searched our babies are stripped searched
08:52:42 From Jane Kennedy : What in the world does "Women's Justice Planning" mean in this context?
08:52:47 From Stacey Borden : The National council for Incarcerated and Formerly Incarcerated Women and Girls is leading a Campaign to end Incarceration of Women and Girls, connect with us, we have solutions for healing, dignity, design treatment programs, healing centers, women are in prison Survived and Punished! We employ you to do your research, Women Suffer from Trauma before, during and after incarceration! We can do better! NO NEW JAILS
08:53:08 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : why does this independent consultant have access to the State over people who have lives experienced and

knowledge. invest in them!
08:53:11 From Anna Nathanson : Wow people find such creative way to profit off of holding people in prisons
08:53:14 From National Council to All panelists : who are Justice consultants?
08:53:50 From Aminata Kaba : ^^^
08:53:53 From National Council to All panelists : they're not "women's facilities" they are prisons
08:54:05 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : Was this justice consultant incarcerated in MA? The commonwealth has consistently refused to factor in the experiences of incarcerated and formerly incarcerated women who live/have lived in these facilities.
08:54:06 From Aminata Kaba : There is not justice in incarcerating human beings
08:54:17 From Olivia Dubois to All panelists : can you please define "women's justice planning"?
08:54:35 From National Council to All panelists : MA has one of the smallest incarceration populations in the country. we can do so much better
08:55:03 From National Council to All panelists : there is nothing inappropriate about our voices
08:55:07 From Annarose Shaver : Who's tax money is being spent here ?
08:55:09 From Cathy DeFrances-Vittorio : Serious question...are you suggesting that women do not commit crimes that deserve incarceration? Are you suggesting that there should be no prisons at all? For men or women?
08:55:09 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : A new structure cannot provide healing. It takes professionals to provide care. the staff mental health staff inside the prisons are worse than the correctional officers. they cause harm not heal.
08:55:10 From Anna Nathanson : Yes Ken you are right. This new prison is opposed by the community.
08:55:10 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : the public deserves transparency
08:55:12 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : you can deal with this! don't keep this process going. no new prisons
08:55:22 From Anna Nathanson : It was shut down previously by community opposition.
08:55:23 From Jane Kennedy : The public opposes building a new prison.
08:55:31 From Jane Kennedy : The correct choice of architect is NONE.
08:55:32 From National Council to All panelists : not all manner...we are all in protest of this prison
08:55:33 From Rachel Corey : Ken, are you saying, you're concerned about hearing from the public?
08:55:41 From Deborah Goldfarb : We do not want our tax dollars funding this project.
08:55:42 From Rachel Corey : Prisons cost public tax paying dollars
08:55:42 From Stacey Borden : WE DESERVE SO MUCH BETTER! We can serve our Mothers, sisters and daughters with better solutions! We won't go away! There are too many injustices and this is one of them!!
08:55:43 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : Architects and engineers have professional ethical responsibility to which these comments are

appropriate
08:55:51 From Elizabeth Whalley : I thought that was the reason for the public comment. To hear… from the public.
08:55:54 From Rachel Corey : The public is very much a stakeholder in this discussion
08:55:59 From Mallory Hanora : The community opposed this process last year and we oppose it now too.
08:55:59 From Anna Nathanson : Elise Woodward you are a prison advocate
08:56:17 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Women are mothers, daughters, sisters, Grandmothers, aunts whos children need their mothers!
08:56:31 From National Council to All panelists : you are serving as an advocate for building this prison. you don't get to do that and not be a prison advocate
08:56:35 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : And you can identify that none are appropriate given public concern
08:56:39 From Elijah Patterson : It is impossible to identify firms that have experience and skills to create a trauma informed prison. No such place exists. Prisons inflict trauma.
08:56:40 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : WE HAVE THE EXPERIENCE, WE ARE FORMERLY INCARCERATED WE KNOW THE TRUTH! THEY ARE LYING TO YOU
08:56:43 From Jane Kennedy : It is in appropriate to use any skills toward building a new prison.
08:56:45 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : and we are here reminding you that what you are doing is contributing to a community that we do not want to see
08:56:52 From National Council to All panelists : and it's applying that you think your separate from that
08:56:54 From Mallory Hanora : No firms are led by directly affected people and none are qualified.
08:56:54 From Stacey Borden : Thank you Ken for having feelings! We appreciate you and hope that you can continue being courageous and not participate in causing more harm to women sitting in cages at the mercy of male officers!
08:56:56 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : there is no getting it right
08:57:00 From Jane Kennedy : Diversity statements from jailers are meaningless.
08:57:02 From Rachel Corey : "get it right" – caging people is never right
08:57:04 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : There is no way to get it right
08:57:05 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : We fully understand your role and are concerned nonetheless.
08:57:05 From National Council to All panelists : you can't bury your head
08:57:05 From Anna Nathanson : Your responsibility here should be to prevent building a prison that would harm Black and brown communities. Don't fool yourselves. If you pick an architect, you will be responsible for the women who will end up in cages.
08:57:06 From Sashi James (she/her) The National Council to All panelists : we the community the most impacted by incarceration oppose the new prison! we don't need new prisons! our mothers don't belong in prison.

08:57:11 From Elizabeth Rucker : You cannot pass the buck here, you are complicit in the traumatization of women and girls, specifically Black and brown women and girls, in the Commonwealth
08:57:20 From Mallory Hanora : There is NO WAY to give feedback to the COMMBUYS process on the strategic plan.
08:57:25 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : WHO IS MORE EXPERIENCE TO TELL YOU WHAT HAPPENSD INSIDE THE PRISON THAN SOMEONE WHO HAS LIVED THERE
08:57:28 From Elizabeth Rucker : Your moral duty is to refuse to be part of this atrocity
08:57:30 From Elizabeth Whalley : We're not just unruly advocates. We are the public. And we came to our opinions through experience, just as you have.
08:57:40 From Sashi James (she/her) The National Council : we the community the most impacted by incarceration oppose the new prison! we don't need new prisons! our mothers don't belong in prison.
08:57:48 From Elizabeth Rucker : Have any of you sat in a jail cell? Have any of you received a prison call?
08:57:50 From National Council : there will come a time in the near future when you will realize the barbarism of how you are wielding your power.
08:57:51 From Hannah Henkin to All panelists : You are not helpless here. Please do all in your power to stop this process.
08:57:53 From Annarose Shaver : Yes we are interested in making sure this never happens
08:57:59 From Jane Kennedy : It is not a complex issue, actually. No new prisons. Simple.
08:57:59 From Elizabeth Rucker : We are not "advocacy chats" we are human beings with loved ones violently separated from us
08:58:00 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : Surely you still have professional ethical standards. I would suggest this plan violates professional ethical standards.
08:58:03 From Elizabeth Whalley : Hilarious cage joke, Ken.
08:58:03 From Stacey Borden : We are HUMANS, we are not robots!! We demand justice for our women!
08:58:08 From National Council : prisons have been in existence for far too long. they are ineffective and cause further harm
08:58:10 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : We are not just advocates we are the community who you fill these jails with. Profit off of our pain!
08:58:18 From Olivia Feldman to All panelists : prisons are barbaric and a source of literal SLAVERY
08:58:22 From Olivia Feldman to All panelists : how could you promote that?
08:58:25 From National Council : you are serving as an advocate for building this prison. you don't get to do that and not be a prison advocate
08:58:25 From Aminata Kaba : Public comment and public review is essential as these are PUBLIC dollars @Ken
08:58:26 From Olivia Feldman to All panelists : how could that be okay with you?
08:58:49 From Olivia Feldman to All panelists : public dollars these are OUR tax dollars and I do not want MY dollars going to barbarism
08:58:54 From National Council : they're not "women's facilities" they are prisons

08:59:08 From Rachel Corey : so you are concerned about environmental justice metric like carbon output – guess what environmental justice is racial justice – people are part of the environment and caging people is not justice
08:59:10 From Stacey Borden : We have one the smallest populations of incarcerated women in the country! We can build alternatives! We have solutions!
08:59:15 From Deborah Goldfarb : Consider the outcomes of caging women and separating families- those are the outcomes you should be concentrating on
08:59:25 From Michael Cox to All panelists : You'll all be lucky to get 100 years out of this life. This isn't what you want your legacy to be. If this project moves forward it will undermine and incarcerate black and brown women for generations to come. You'd be resigning black and brown folks to cages that rip families apart.
08:59:29 From Olivia Feldman to All panelists : prisons are barbaric and a source of literal SLAVERY
08:59:36 From Olivia Feldman : public dollars these are OUR tax dollars and I do not want MY dollars going to barbarism
08:59:41 From National Council : MA has one of the smallest incarceration populations of women
08:59:43 From Sashi James (she/her) The National Council : facilities are daycare centers etc.....not a place that keeps women that need healing in cages!
08:59:46 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : there is no programing they are lying. we are locked down so much that there is very minimum programing. think of it it is a prison do you really think they are going to have us programing all day. NO THEY ARE GOING TO LOCK US DOWN LIKE THEY ALWAYS DO
08:59:56 From National Council : we should be not be considering this at all
09:00:07 From Olivia Feldman : Why would we spend more money on punishment when we could wisely use our tax dollars for HEALING
09:00:10 From Rachel Corey : if environmental sustainability is a talking point then you need to be concerned about the sustained trauma a prison causes on the people in the prison, their families and their communities for this generation and many to come
09:00:15 From Jordan- No New Women's Prison! : The lowest carbon option for prison construction is not building it in the first place. LEED certification used as a rubber stamp to build yet another house of trauma is despicable.
09:00:21 From National Council : this is appalling that you March ahead with this draconian and barbaric process
09:00:22 From Rachel Corey : ^^
09:00:26 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:00:31 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Don't be complicit in white supremacy!
09:00:34 From National Council : and nine of you are experts
09:00:35 From Olivia Feldman : we are in a PANDEMIC
09:00:39 From Olivia Feldman : You are right!
09:00:42 From National Council : none of you are experts
09:00:53 From Olivia Feldman : Why are we using these dollars for jail instead of public health??
09:01:27 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : DCAMM's own Statement of Work for the "Strategic Plan" says, "At this stage, we have decided to take the opportunity to look more broadly at the needs of women in the system,

evaluate the potential impacts of the 2018 Criminal Justice Reform Law, and develop a strategic plan prior to hiring a designer to address the facility needs."

And yet, here we are, proceeding with the process of hiring a designer, before the strategic plan...
09:01:29 From National Council : you can’t do this and think you are not a prison advocate
09:01:32 From Michael Cox to All panelists : You have the power to stop this. You should lean into that.
09:01:34 From Olivia Feldman : Our IDEAS are to STOP your idiocracy
09:01:38 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : THE Program waiting list is so long by the time your name comes up for programing it is too late. they tell you, that you don't have enough time to program. you have to have under 2 years to take a program. most woman sentences are 3-5 years. the waiting list is 3 years long. you do the math.
09:01:40 From Aminata Kaba : You must come to understand your role in perpetuating state sanctioned violence. Do not dismiss your involvement just because you are board members. You are not removed.
09:01:40 From Rachel Corey : wide ranging experience building places that traumatize women
09:01:43 From National Council : which one of you has been directly affected by incarceration
09:01:45 From Stacey Borden : DOJ has investigated assaults, sexual assaults, beatings, inhumane conditions with Solitary Confinement’s across the country in women’s facilities! How much data is being released here in Massachusetts??? Do you want this on your hands??
09:01:46 From Jane Kennedy : You all have the option of declining to participate in building a new prison. You don't have to build this. Each and every one of you is choosing to facilitate the process of spending public tax dollars to cage people and ruin lives. Opt out.
09:02:02 From Olivia Feldman : beautiful? are prisons beautiful to you??
09:02:07 From National Council : wow
09:02:08 From Aminata Kaba : You must come to understand your role in perpetuating state sanctioned violence. Do not dismiss your involvement just because you are board members. You are not removed.
09:02:12 From Olivia Feldman : is mass incarceration BEAUTIFUL to you??
09:02:18 From Anna Nathanson : Janice Bergeron will you live there if this cage is going to be so nice?
09:02:19 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DO NOT BUILD A NEW JAIL THAT CAUSES HARM TO FAMILES, SEPERATES MOTHERS FROM THEIR CHILDREN
09:02:21 From Annarose Shaver : did she just call incarcerated people “containees” ?
09:02:28 From Aminata Kaba : Beautiful and prison don’t go together. Please don’t do this.
09:02:31 From Sashi James (she/her) The National Council : so why you don’t go live there?
09:02:38 From National Council : someone please save the chat
09:02:41 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DO YOU WANT TO BUILD SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO MARGINALIZE AN ENTIRE COMMUNITY?
09:02:45 From Olivia Feldman : I see a lot of people who don’t have to go

live there
09:02:48 From Michael Cox to All panelists : A new prison means More solitary confinement cells where torture abounds and suicides proliferate. The commonwealth deserves better.
09:02:50 From Jane Kennedy : My concern with this firm is that they're eager to build a prison
09:02:57 From Aminata Kaba : ^^^
09:03:16 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : NO NEW JAILS, NO NEW PRISONS, DECARCERATE, ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION!
09:03:29 From Aminata Kaba : You have the right to pass. You don’t have to oblige. You don’t have to create more harm in the world.
09:03:42 From National Council : prisons have destroyed our families and communities
09:03:50 From Stacey Borden : A PRISON IS A PRISON! YOU CANNOT BUIDL A TRAUMA INFORMED CARE PRISON…DOES THAT AMKE SENSE TO YOU?? ITS A PRISON…BUILT FOR PUNISHMENT! UNLESS SOMEONE HERE IS A TRAUMA INFORMED SPECIALIST HOW DO YOU THINK YOU QUALIFY TO BUILD A GOOD PRISON? IT DOESN’T EXIST!
09:03:51 From National Council : we shall not allow another to be built
09:03:54 From Olivia Feldman : Why do you want to continue destroying Boston???
09:03:56 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:03:57 From Mallory Hanora : rchitects have a moral responsibility to oppose this women’s prison project. Design life-sustaining spaces in our communities, not places of harm and trauma
09:04:07 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Daniel Carson do the right thing. Emmanuel, do the right thing please. John don’t let this move forward.
09:04:13 From Sashi James (she/her) The National Council : I agree @jane. I don’t think anybody understands they harm that they are creating and the harm that they are apart of! we need to shift the focus on building a new prison and focus on investing in communities.
09:04:23 From National Council : when you advocate for another prison you are proposing to put our children and grandchildren into
09:04:30 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Stop this old white lady from plowing forward to ruin the lives of black and brown women.
09:04:38 From Olivia Feldman : Stick their children in there and see how they like it
09:04:40 From Aminata Kaba : You all are uncomfortable by the truths of this chat and you should be honest with yourselves. You can reconsider this choice. You can be moral in your profession.
09:04:42 From National Council : I visit the Maine prison. that’s not true
09:04:54 From Michael Cox to All panelists : I have a comment
09:04:54 From Olivia Feldman : You are all evil for this
09:04:57 From Olivia Feldman : that is my comment
09:04:59 From Stacey Borden : How many of you have children! Building a new prison is preparing for our daughters and granddaughters to go to that prison! No one is exempt for sitting in a cage!!!
09:05:07 From Anna Nathanson : All the zoom panelists should have to pledge to live in their new cages for five years, before they are allowed to sit-up there and get money and prestige for their work in planning this new prison.
09:05:08 From Olivia Feldman : NO

09:05:15 From National Council : our organization has incarcerated and formerly incarcerated women from ME. they will tell you
09:05:27 From National Council : is someone recording this?
09:05:39 From Annarose Shaver : shocking
09:06:07 From National Council : most of you are women. is this what you truly believe other women need?
09:06:18 From National Council : they are prisons. not correctional facilities
09:06:19 From Olivia Feldman : And how is that working out for you? If it was working why do we need to build MORE
09:06:25 From Olivia Feldman : prisons are not sustainable.
09:06:37 From National Council : you've read our comments about this and you continue to use untruthful language
09:06:50 From Jane Kennedy : Yeah let's cut the euphemisms, there's nothing remotely "correct" about these "facilities"
09:06:55 From Olivia Feldman : ^^ they won't even respond to our comments
09:07:24 From Hannah Henkin to All panelists : I work in sustainability. If you think about the definition of sustainability and sustaining, prisons can never be sustainable. No LEED certification will save that
09:07:27 From Olivia Dubois to All panelists : @Janice you mentioned the Washburn House which is a voluntary community treatment option. the community is asking for community led supports not trauma inducing cages.
09:07:51 From Olivia Dubois : @Janice you mentioned the Washburn House which is a voluntary community treatment option. the community is asking for community led supports not trauma inducing cages.
09:08:01 From National Council : your refusal to cease using the term correctional facility is an example of your inexperience
09:08:02 From Anna Nathanson : You all are experts in nothing
09:08:39 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : what is top notch about having no principles or ethics in what projects you take on?
09:08:44 From Olivia Feldman : you owe people LIVES BACK
09:08:54 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^^^^^^^
09:09:06 From Stacey Borden : Where is your humanity? Integrity: The quality of being honest and having strong moral principles!!!
09:09:32 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : What a great point that Ms. Tsymbal just made! Courthouse construction experience is not the same as prison building experience. And that is why DCAMM had to pull the last round of RFPs issued secretly in August to House Doctors who had been contracted specifically for the design and construction of courthouses and were being consulted by DCAMM for a strategic planning process for a prison instead...
09:09:41 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Ya'll don't need to be complicit in this mess. Please make the spiritually and morally correct stance on this.
09:09:48 From Stacey Borden : Is Ken the only one that has empathy here???
09:09:53 From Olivia Feldman : Trick question stacey, they have no humanity if they approve this. They agree to get rid of others humanity.
09:09:53 From National Council : when I was in law school we started our contracts class reading cases about enslaved people fighting g for their freedom yet the governing body referred to the process as simply determining rights of the master to own their slaves. referring to proposals speak to contracts

09:09:56 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DO YOU WANT TO BUILD A JAIL WHRE WOMEN ARE GOIGN TO BE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIMS FOR THE CORRECTION OFFICERS'S AMUSEMENT?
09:10:16 From Michael Cox to All panelists : How do you go to bed knowing you spearheaded to put humans in cages. There are ALTERNATIVES. FJAH has PLANS.
09:10:25 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DO YOU WANT TO BUILD A PRISON WHERE WOMEN WILL BE SEXUALY ASSAULTED,
09:10:38 From Stacey Borden : That is true Olivia!! But someone here has have a spine and know this isn't the right thing to do!
09:10:50 From National Council : how many of you design board members believe that you should walk out in protest of this process
09:10:55 From Olivia Feldman : I believe in this committee. I believe you will come to the right conclusion.
09:10:55 From National Council : you can
09:11:00 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DO YOU WANT TO BUILD A PRISON WHERE WOMEN ARE LOCKED IN CAGES AND DEPRIVED BASIC HUMAN NECCESSISTIES THAT MAKE A PERSON HUMAN?
09:11:01 From Olivia Feldman : I know you can see and hear us!
09:11:09 From Olivia Feldman : I know you see that what you are doing is WRONG
09:11:12 From National Council : this is not a public process
09:11:26 From National Council : prisons are the extension of slavery
09:11:31 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : WHY DO YOU COME TO OUR COMMUNITY AND DESIGN A HEALING CENTER FOR THE WOMEN.
09:11:32 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Elise do the right thing. Delay this review.
09:11:44 From Jane Kennedy : This is ghoulish. You sit here poring over paperwork saying "oh this looks good, i like this, so diverse, such experience"... these proposals are competing to build cages for humans. Who should we pick to profit off of ruining these women's lives and the lives of their families? Absolutely abhorrent. Opt out of this revolting process.
09:11:48 From Annarose Shaver : you missed the part where most people are held without trial
09:11:58 From Aminata Kaba : Prisons will never do right by people. Rethink what you know and make the humane decision here.
09:12:13 From National Council : you missed the part that 18 people had died in MA DOC due to COVID
09:12:18 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : you want to direct more money to people who benefit from mass incarceration? check that thinking
09:12:27 From Anna Nathanson : So true. Panelists are literally upholding an institution of slavery that is devastating poor, Black, and brown communities, and they could not care less.
09:12:30 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : ^^^^^^^^^
09:12:31 From Michael Cox to All panelists : I'd like to add to that too.
09:12:36 From Stacey Borden : As Formerly Incarcerated women, WE HAVE THE EXPERIENCE! WE are the experts and have the solutions, we are Clinicians, Trauma informed specialists, we have implemented what difference looks like! We are building treatment centers, healing homes and together we can create and design

more in our communities!
09:12:37 From Olivia Feldman : what is your plan to protect these women from COVID?
09:12:42 From Olivia Feldman : Why are we locking people away to DIE?
09:12:46 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DOC IS THE LEAST ACCOUNTABLE AGENCY. THEY HAVE NO OVERSIGHT, NOR DO THEY HAVE TO ANSWER TO THE CRIMES THEY HAVE COMMITTED AGAINST WOMEN
09:12:50 From National Council : no because they know they're children won't make it to those bunks
09:12:56 From Olivia Feldman : Rebecca do you even CARE about people ?
09:13:07 From Sarah Betancourt : what was the name of the third firm?
09:13:07 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Did you know 95% of people incarcerated in Massachusetts have never had the facts of their case heard by a judge or jury? People are coerced into plea deals with threats of even more absurd sentences
09:13:07 From National Council : are you people
09:13:23 From Aminata Kaba : What do you people know about incarceration? Why do you get to make this decision?
09:13:37 From Annarose Shaver : Sarah, CGL i believe was the third firm
09:14:03 From Jane Kennedy : A very good application for a morally abhorrent project
09:14:07 From Jane Kennedy : Keep that app tight
09:14:18 From Aminata Kaba : Ken I know you're reading these comments and I know you're unsettled. Use your voice and do what's right. This doesn't need to happen. This is not how money needs to be spent. This is not how life should be lived.
09:14:35 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Is there no moral compass for DCAMM?
09:14:39 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : @Sarah, the third firm was Kleinfelder Northeast
09:14:46 From National Council : how many times has the word corrections been used
09:14:47 From David Weimer : Sarah, Kleinfelder Northeast, I think. The "corrections consultant" is subcontracted from CGL
09:14:50 From Olivia Feldman : women doesn't mean they aren't evil
09:14:51 From Michael Cox to All panelists : There are women right here giving a different perspective!
09:14:52 From Sarah Betancourt : got it thanks.
09:14:55 From Annarose Shaver : how many formerly incarcerated women ?
09:14:58 From Olivia Feldman : 53% of white women voted for trump
09:15:01 From Anna Nathanson : When wealthy white women organize themselves they get to build a prison to hold Black and brown women. When Black and brown women who have been impacted by incarceration organize themselves, they are brushed off as "advocates".
09:15:03 From Annarose Shaver : zero
09:15:10 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : You can speak calmly and use diplomatic language but that doesn't make your participation in this process any more admirable or morally acceptable.
09:15:15 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : THAT IS SO LAUGHABLE YOU HAVE WOMEN INVOLVED WHO HAVE NEVER SLEPT ON A BOTTOM BUNK INSIDE A PRISON.

09:15:17 From Jane Kennedy : ^^^^^
09:15:18 From Elijah Patterson : The American Institute of Architects announced recently that it had approved new ethics rules prohibiting members from knowingly designing spaces intended for execution or torture, including for prolonged periods of solitary confinement.

All incarceration is torture
09:15:29 From Aminata Kaba : ^^^^
09:15:31 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : so there's only one corrections consultant that's being passed around here to meet the needs of thousands of individuals struggling with trauma, abuse, neglect and poverty?
09:15:35 From National Council : ^^^^^^
09:15:45 From Elijah Patterson : https://www.aia.org/press-releases/6356669-aia-board-of-directors-commits-to-advanc in
09:15:46 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : HOW ARE THESE WOMEN ABLE TO TELL YOU WHAT THE WOMEN WHO LIVE IN THESE JAILS NEED. THEY COME FROM PRIVELIDGED BACKGROUNDS.
09:16:05 From Aminata Kaba : "justice design" not a thing lol
09:16:05 From Stacey Borden : The American Institute of Architects announced recently that it had approved new ethics rules prohibiting members from knowingly designing spaces intended for execution or torture, including for prolonged periods of solitary confinement. All incarceration is torture
09:16:07 From Olivia Feldman : as a white woman, screw other white women. our "innocence" has always been weaponized to further racism and now it is being weaponized as being a "girl boss" who supposedly cared about people. they clearly DO NOT CARE if they want to build another prison
09:16:08 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : Please do not use the term "justice facility"
09:16:08 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : HTTP://WWW.PEOPLENOTPRISONS.ORG
09:16:15 From Michael Cox to All panelists : I had one!
09:16:18 From Olivia Feldman : Women lead doesn't mean MORAL
09:16:37 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Research, where. They are not coming in my community asking us what we need? '
09:16:39 From National Council : clearly
09:17:09 From Olivia Feldman : What is sisterhood if it is not to protect each other
09:17:11 From Aminata Kaba : You literally don't have to do this. You are making a choice. A collective choice to ruin lives for generations to come.
09:17:13 From National Council : they are teaming to line their pockets
09:17:16 From Stacey Borden : Every occupation has ethical standards and codes, the infrastructure of oppression and the racist structure that has been in our communities for decades! You want your legacy to be a part of this racism? Building a new prison is unethical and inhuman, knowing the world is watching as they have build this slave system for 30 years! The world is watching what your doing to our women!!
09:17:21 From Aminata Kaba : You literally don't have to do this. You are making a choice. A collective choice to ruin lives for generations to come.
09:17:33 From Jane Kennedy : There is no justice in ripping families apart

and caging humans.
09:17:38 From Anna Nathanson : As a white woman, SHAME on every white woman in this meeting and process who gets power and money and attention from men by putting Black and brown women in cages.
09:17:43 From Jane Kennedy : Opt out
09:17:44 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Let’s talk about funding freedom and liberation, not building cages. Doesn’t this project strike you as counter-productive?
09:17:48 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN SAY NO
09:17:52 From Olivia Feldman : WHAT JUSTICE
09:17:57 From Aminata Kaba : Say no
09:17:57 From Olivia Feldman : THERE IS NO JUSTICE IN INCARCERATION
09:18:07 From Elijah Patterson : You do not have togive this project a green light
09:18:10 From Annarose Shaver : Decarceration and prison abolition is the only path forward
09:18:15 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : We have been telling them for over 50 years that the conditions inside Framingham are dire. Only when they wanted to money to build a jail they use it as an excuse to build a new jail. they didn't care 30 years ago, and they DEFINATELY DONT CARE NOW!
09:18:19 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:18:20 From Nora Vincent (she/her) : ^^^^ You can halt this proceeding right now!
09:18:25 From Jane Kennedy : Having built prisons before is actually not a good thing you guys
09:18:29 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Please delay, deny, defund this project.
09:18:29 From National Council : “extensive experience in correctional institution expereince
09:18:30 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : I want to be clear that contrary to what Elise said earlier, I am not an “advocate”. I am a person, a community member, and a caretaker, deeply troubled by this effort to spend public dollars to lock up my neighbors.
09:18:35 From Stacey Borden : How many of you are Certified in Addition, Trauma Mental Health, Cultural Awareness? ANYBODY HERE??
09:18:48 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Don’t be complicit.
09:18:54 From Hannah Henkin : justice facilities?? Do you all honestly believe that that exists? What do you think justice means? And who do you think gets to decide what is considered justice? You should certainly ask those communities that suffer the most as a result of these "justice facilities"
09:18:56 From National Council : yes silence on
09:18:59 From Aminata Kaba : You literally don’t have to do this. You are making a choice. A collective choice to ruin lives for generations to come.
09:19:00 From Stacey Borden : The subconsultant nor the consultant have any expertisr
09:19:07 From National Council : are you kidding me
09:19:14 From National Council : now you raise diversity
09:19:17 From Jane Kennedy : These diversity statements are killing me
09:19:22 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : A New Jail means better way to lock you down and torture you!

09:19:25 From Olivia Feldman : diversity for WHAT?
09:19:25 From Aminata Kaba : You don’t have to be apart of this violent and unforgivable history.
09:19:31 From Aminata Kaba : You are choosing to be though
09:19:31 From Olivia Feldman : We all know who is going to end up in these prisons
09:19:36 From Elijah Patterson : The "diversity" of this project will be visble in the Black and Brown women incarcerated.
09:19:42 From Olivia Feldman : YOU are choosing violence
09:19:45 From Anna Nathanson : Panelists, the right thing to do here is to admit you were wrong and you’ve learned something new and to refuse to participate in building this new prison. The cowardice and racism on display here is giving me second hand embarrassment.
09:19:51 From Elijah Patterson : ^^^
09:19:51 From Stacey Borden : Our Police Departments aren’t even Trained as Mental Health Providers, which cannot protect us! You don’t have the understanding of what Public Safety is!
09:19:54 From National Council : you sound like a warden
09:20:03 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Ken left. Enough DCAMM members should leave to not allow a qourum. Please!!!
09:20:05 From Jane Kennedy : Prisons do not support women
09:20:08 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : would you want your mother, sister, wife, daughter living inside this prison?
09:20:12 From Jane Kennedy : Prisons are not sustainable
09:20:30 From National Council : will any board member resign in protest
09:20:49 From Jane Kennedy : Go all the way off this track Rebecca
09:20:50 From National Council : you’ve been off track
09:20:54 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^
09:20:56 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Ken left. Enough DCAMM members should leave to not allow a qourum. Please!!!
09:21:01 From Elizabeth Whalley : Yes Rebecca! Lets go off track, let’s pause and reflect.
09:21:03 From Aminata Kaba : You literally don’t have to do this. You are making a choice. A collective choice to ruin lives for generations to come. You don’t have to be apart of this violent and unforgivable history.
09:21:03 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : if you feel embarrassed or uncomfortable that is your conscience speaking. You don’t need to “do your job.” You have the power to stop, delay, and prevent this.
09:21:06 From Jane Kennedy : Not history
09:21:07 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : why would want to build something that is going to cause harm and family separation. Cause children to be separated from their Mothers. Perpetuate a cycle of incarceration generation after generateion
09:21:07 From Annarose Shaver : this is not history this is ACTIVE
09:21:08 From National Council : you can’t explain yourself out of this
09:21:12 From Stacey Borden : Diversity would be calling in the people who are actually on this call but you are not responding to
09:21:16 From Anna Nathanson : It is not a history it is the PRESENT
09:21:17 From Annarose Shaver : this is happening this very minute
09:21:20 From Jane Kennedy : Happening now, perpetuated BY YOU. ACTIVELY.

CURRENTLY.
09:21:21 From Olivia Feldman : efforts?
09:21:26 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Ken left. Enough DCAMM members should leave to not allow a qourum. Please!!!
09:21:26 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : CERTAIN POPULATIONS ARE YOU SERIOUS? WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO SAY?
09:21:40 From National Council : better believe it
09:21:43 From Annarose Shaver : no new prisons, no new prisons, no new prisons, no new prison, no new prison, no new prisons, no new prisons
09:21:44 From Jane Kennedy : It's extremely important that you put your foot down, end this process, and don't build a new prison.
09:21:45 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : We don’t want participation. We want this process to stop.
09:21:48 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:21:49 From National Council : you are prisons advocates
09:21:50 From Jane Kennedy : Your board is COMPLICIT
09:21:55 From Aminata Kaba : Well we are telling you to
09:21:58 From Annarose Shaver : deny them all
09:21:58 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : “our board is a cog in the gears of injustice”
09:21:59 From Rachel Corey : you are actively participating
09:21:59 From Jane Kennedy : Your board is carrying out this farce
09:22:00 From Anna Nathanson : Rebecca Sherer you don’t have to choose an application
09:22:01 From Olivia Feldman : you don’t have to LOOK AT THEM
09:22:04 From Jane Kennedy : Reject all applications
09:22:04 From Rachel Corey : you can reject them all
09:22:05 From Olivia Feldman : YOU ARE CHOOSING TO
09:22:06 From Jane Kennedy : Say NO
09:22:06 From National Council : you are engaging on the perpetuation of slavery
09:22:10 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:22:11 From Annarose Shaver : NO NEW PRISONS
09:22:13 From Stacey Borden : Do not look at them
09:22:14 From National Council : say no
09:22:16 From Aminata Kaba : Go against the racist grain
09:22:18 From National Council : resign
09:22:22 From Aminata Kaba : Do better because you can
09:22:23 From Aminata Kaba : you must
09:22:24 From National Council : be brave
09:22:30 From Elijah Patterson : The community does not want this.
09:22:30 From Anna Nathanson : You can refuse to choose an architect to move this project forward, because doing so would be devastating to poor, Black, and brown communities.
09:22:30 From National Council : it’s what is needed now
09:22:34 From Elijah Patterson : We REFUSE it
09:22:38 From Olivia Feldman : We know you see this, we know you know what you are doing
09:22:39 From Stacey Borden : What are your backgrounds?? What motivates you to have interest int his project?? Ask yourselves…do you really believe you

couldn’t connect with community leaders to assist with what different looks like?? 50 million dollars can do a lot of service to the next generation of our children! Education, Arts, Music, Museums, Create Teachers, Artists, Doctors, ARCHITECTS!!
09:22:39 From National Council : not your righteous indignation
09:22:39 From Jane Kennedy : No. The track is wrong
09:22:41 From Jane Kennedy : get back off it
09:22:48 From National Council : get back off track
09:22:51 From Olivia Feldman : We are looking for NO NEW PRISONS
09:22:53 From Stacey Borden : where is the participation!
09:22:57 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : that is the key word STAKEHOLDER. IT IS FOR PROFIT. THEY know jails don't work, for 100 years, we have been doing it their way and it has not worked. the world is not more safe because there is a shiny new jail
09:22:59 From Aminata Kaba : NO
09:23:00 From Aminata Kaba : NEW
09:23:02 From Aminata Kaba : PRISONS
09:23:04 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : No firm is a fit when the project is unjust
09:23:04 From Olivia Feldman : they mute us, we can’t even comment!
09:23:07 From Olivia Feldman : only in chat!
09:23:08 From Stacey Borden : There is no fit!
09:23:10 From Aminata Kaba : that’s what we are looking for
09:23:11 From National Council : this is a sham and offensive
09:23:12 From Elijah Patterson : None of these firms fit the bill of a trauma-informed prison. That is an oxymoron.
09:23:13 From Stacey Borden : NO NEW JAILS!!!
09:23:14 From Aminata Kaba : that’s what the people want
09:23:19 From Annarose Shaver : my uncle died alone in the custody of the state of massachusetts in august. What i am most looking for is for this to never happen again. People belong in their families, in their communities. NO NEW PRISONS
09:23:22 From Anna Nathanson : Let people stay in their communities with their children and the other people who depend on them, and receive transformative justice, healing, and resources from there.
09:23:23 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : We are asking you to take your professional ethics seriously. We are very aware this is not how it normally operates and the normal way of operating is deeply harmful to us all. Any firm willing to apply is showing a lack of knowledge of the issue
09:23:35 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : BUILD ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCERATION!
09:23:42 From National Council : you’re near but you’re not listening
09:23:42 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : NO NEW JAILS!
09:23:43 From Michael Cox to All panelists : We can look to history -jewish concentration camps, slavery- and know there were ppl involved in supporting the infrastructure of those institutions. Please don’t let this move forward.
09:23:44 From Elijah Patterson : You are not listening
09:23:45 From Olivia Feldman : You are NOT LISTENING
09:23:46 From Elijah Patterson : Come on
09:23:46 From Olivia Feldman : IF YOU DID
09:23:49 From National Council : you hear but your not listening

09:23:49 From Anna Nathanson : Emmanuel Andrade you’re whitewashing harder, shame on you
09:23:50 From Jane Kennedy : We are saying NO NEW PRISONS
09:23:51 From Olivia Feldman : THERE WOULD BE NO NEW PRISONS
09:23:55 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU DID NOT LISTEN IF YOU DID WE WOULD NOT BE HERE!
09:23:55 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : To reprise the concern about the relationship between the strategic planning process and the design & study process, the Statement of Work for the Strategic Plan itself says, "At this stage, we have decided to take the opportunity to look more broadly at the needs of women in the system, evaluate the potential impacts of the 2018 Criminal Justice Reform Law, and develop a strategic plan prior to hiring a designer to address the facility needs."

Why is DCAMM hiring a designer? Why are all of you? Isn't this premature by DCAMM's own document on the strategic plan?
09:23:56 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : This is not listening!!!
09:24:00 From Aminata Kaba : NO
09:24:01 From National Council : shame on you Emmanuel
09:24:07 From Aminata Kaba : NEW
09:24:10 From Mallory Hanora : There has been zero opportunity for the public to engage on this. This is the exact same plan as last year, and no ability for us to influence the selection of a firm for the strategic plan.
09:24:11 From Aminata Kaba : PRISONS
09:24:12 From Stacey Borden : We want you to address our questions! Why do you want to participate in causing more harm???
09:24:13 From Stacey Borden : Still not doing a good job at listening
09:24:13 From National Council : we need out people to defend our future generations
09:24:15 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : We don’t just want to speak. We want it to stop.
09:24:17 From Hannah Henkin : a chance to speak is not the same as the chance to be heard
09:24:24 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : ^^^^
09:24:31 From National Council : wow
09:24:33 From Anna Nathanson : NO NEW PRISONS. Stakeholder engagement is a whitewashing tactic to selectively find the outliers of the community who can be convinced to provide cover for a racist project.
09:24:33 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Emanuel you are lying you did not speak to us at all. Do not lie to these people telling them you spoke to us
09:24:36 From Mallory Hanora : The DSB should recognize that allowing this process to go forward means the strategic plan is meaningless.
09:24:44 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : We reject your stakeholder process! We ask you to reject this prison. Don’t select a firm.
09:24:45 From Elijah Patterson : ^^^\
09:24:47 From Dawne Young to All panelists : NO NEW PRISON AT ALL
09:24:52 From National Council : ^^^^*^
09:24:56 From National Council : no such thing
09:24:58 From Stacey Borden : Let there true stakeholders in the room

09:24:59 From National Council : they do not
09:25:07 From Elijah Patterson : DCAMM is being asked to advance something that is still being theorized and in COMBUYs
09:25:10 From National Council : we work with women in prisons around the country
09:25:15 From Michael Cox to All panelists : fund ALTERNATIVES. fund COMMUNITIES. Don’t fund cages.
09:25:19 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : What Mallory said: Allowing this process to go forward means THE STRATEGIC PLAN AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IS MEANINGLESS
09:25:24 From Elizabeth Whalley : Can we think of other historical examples where a governing board deferred responsibility for facilitating structures of mass violence?
09:25:29 From National Council : you are fooling only yourself and I doubt even that
09:25:41 From Anna Nathanson : ^^^^
09:25:45 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : You could do so much to enhance human well-being and invest in communities with that $50 million.
09:25:45 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : we have the solutions at Families For Justice As Healing. We have what works. We are the expersts
09:25:46 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : ^^^^
09:25:46 From Elijah Patterson : NO SUCH THING
09:25:49 From National Council : you’re looking for an excuse to hold your own power
09:25:52 From Elijah Patterson : AS TRAUMA INFOLVED DESIGN
09:25:56 From Anna Nathanson : Right? They totally know what they’re doing. They are fooling no one.
09:25:57 From Stacey Borden : No such thing!
09:26:03 From Jane Kennedy : Trauma informed prison is a farce
09:26:03 From Yaira Matos to All panelists : prison is trauma
09:26:03 From National Council : how dare you
09:26:08 From National Council : how dare you
09:26:10 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : The trauma is compounded in prison
09:26:11 From Jane Kennedy : Just absolutely oxymoronic
09:26:15 From Michael Cox to All panelists : strip searches are trauma, solitary is trauma, separate from family and kids is trauma.
09:26:16 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : they end up in a correctional center BECAUSE YOU HELPED BUILD IT
09:26:18 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : prisons cause trauma
09:26:20 From Olivia Feldman : because you don’t provide actual resources to have lives that don’t lead to incarceration
09:26:28 From Kimberly Jane to All panelists : like taking the locks off the doors?
09:26:31 From Olivia Feldman : work on PUBLIC RESOURCES TO AVOID INCARCERATION
09:26:36 From Stacey Borden : Soeaking from a male pespective, how would you know what women need
09:26:41 From Olivia Feldman : that is now you create “trauma informed response"

09:26:42 From Annarose Shaver : talking about landscaping while people are denied sunlight
09:26:45 From Annarose Shaver : unreal
09:26:47 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU CANT BUILD TRAUMA INFORMED. THAT IS SOMETHING HUMAN. FLOWERS AND SHINY JAIL DOES NOT MEAN IT IS GOING TO BE TRAUMA INFORMED
09:26:48 From Nora Vincent (she/her) : Trauma informed design and diversity statements cease to be useful tools when we are talking about putting people in cages
09:26:53 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:26:53 From Anna Nathanson : Just find a new career, prison profiteers, you really don't have to do this. What do you think this does to your soul
09:26:54 From Elijah Patterson : ^^
09:26:54 From Olivia Feldman : NO
09:26:56 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN SAY NO
09:26:57 From National Council : a shiny New building will never end the culture of incarceration
09:26:57 From Stacey Borden : NO
09:27:00 From Jane Kennedy : No firms
09:27:02 From National Council : no
09:27:03 From Jane Kennedy : No interviews
09:27:04 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : DON'T SELECT A FIRM
09:27:04 From Elijah Patterson : We'll be back in two weeks.
09:27:05 From National Council : no
09:27:07 From Jane Kennedy : No moving forward with the process
09:27:09 From National Council : no
09:27:17 From Jane Kennedy : Say no. Opt out. Stop this process.
09:27:17 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : Adopting "trauma informing" is an insult to any persons intelligence who understands trauma
09:27:20 From Olivia Feldman : you can select NO FIRMS
09:27:24 From Olivia Feldman : YOU ARE CHOOSING VIOLENCE
09:27:28 From Nora Vincent (she/her) : ^^^^^
09:27:38 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU CANT LOCK SOMEONE IN A CAGE AFTER TEHY WALK OUTSIDE AND SEE A PRETTY GARDEN AND TELL THEM THAT YOU ARE ADRESSING THEIR TRAUMA! YOU KNOW THIS IS BS
09:27:45 From National Council : I hope the doc is taking notes cause you're not that smart and you will need all the notes to try and make more excuses
09:27:52 From Michael Cox to All panelists : whaaaaat?!
09:27:55 From Anna Nathanson : See Elise, what you're doing here could directly allow a new prison to be made. Not that that is an important distinction.
09:27:56 From Elijah Patterson : So... a building without a strategic plan
09:28:03 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : No firm, no contract
09:28:07 From Mallory Hanora : How can the DSB allow a firm to be hired without the results of the strategic plan?
09:28:07 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Losing my mind. the strategic plans was a total rouse.
09:28:32 From Stacey Borden : We are open to having a meeting and show you our plans! We have beautiful plans, have already started! Come with us, bring your integrity along with you!!
09:28:32 From Elijah Patterson : We need the strategic plan! You have no

idea what you are building without it! They are trying to avoid accountability.
09:28:37 From Mallory Hanora : How can the DSB allow the same project to advance a year later while the DOC has done NOTHING to explore alternatives?
09:28:37 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : Then what is the point of the strategic plan? Clearly it isn't to listen to what people want.
09:28:37 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU CANT BEAT A WOMAN DOWN AND LOCK HER IN A NEW PRETTY CELL AND TELL HER YOU ARE ADRESSING THE ROOT CAUSES OF HER INCARCERATION
09:28:48 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : vomit
09:28:51 From National Council : nothing
09:28:52 From Anna Nathanson : Stacey Borden, no plans. We don't need a new building.
09:28:52 From Annarose Shaver : yes stacey !
09:29:06 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : If you already have a design firm, what can the strategic plan actually do? What does this have to do with the community?
09:29:11 From Anna Nathanson : The problem is the building of a prison. We need to address harm without putting people in cages.
09:29:18 From Michael Cox to All panelists : DOC and EOPPS professional liars and scammers hell bent on caging our people.
09:29:21 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : The strategic plan itself says a designer will not be hired until the strategic plan is completed (i.e. this summer based on Mr. Andrade's timeline). If this Board moves forward now, DCAMM has misrepresented the timeline to the firms who have applied for the strategic plan.
09:29:22 From Anna Nathanson : You've caused harm, do you want to be in a cage?
09:29:22 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU CANT MENTALLY ABUSE, SERVE THEM DANGERSOUS FOOD THAT THE LABELS SAY NOT FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION AND TELL HER THAT THE PRISON IS TRAUMA INFORMED
09:29:26 From Dawne Young to All panelists : NO NEW PRISONS
09:29:31 From National Council : you are advocating for a prison
09:29:36 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : Even if you don't agree with me (no prisons, ever, especially new ones) the board must recognize that the process is just invested in building new prisons not actually assessing need in MA. Why 200 beds capacity? Who decided on that? Why are we committing to incarcerating future generations at such a specific rate?
09:29:38 From National Council : please resign
09:29:39 From Anna Nathanson : You are making a decision that will impact policy. I think you know that's not a meaningful distinction.
09:29:39 From Annarose Shaver : i'm thinking of all the plans that abolition opens paths to
09:29:39 From Dawne Young to All panelists : NO NEW PRISONS
09:29:40 From Stacey Borden : Anna, we have healing plans, we do not believe in cages!
09:29:42 From National Council : say no
09:29:55 From Stacey Borden : I am formerly incarcerated, we know what we need!
09:29:57 From Elijah Patterson : COMBUYS is not public
09:30:00 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^

09:30:06 From Elizabeth Whalley : Great question IIyas.
09:30:08 From National Council : we’re nit inmates wither
09:30:09 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : What is the point of the comments if you have already decided on a firm and a site?
09:30:11 From Dawne Young to All panelists : UNSYMPATHETIC PEOPLE
09:30:11 From National Council : either
09:30:13 From Mallory Hanora : To the DSB: the hiring process for the consultant has been private
09:30:21 From Olivia Feldman : WE ARE THE PUBLIC
09:30:24 From Michael Cox to All panelists : But the strategic plan doesn’t impact -clearly- whether this project moves forward or not. It assumes the prison will be built.
09:30:24 From National Council : you are not qualified to make these decisions
09:30:26 From Olivia Feldman : AND WE SAY NO TO YOUR VIOLENCE
09:30:30 From National Council : we are the oublic
09:30:30 From Anna Nathanson : Stay Borden, why can’t people heal outside of your institutional setting? Have you read about transformative justice?
09:30:33 From Mallory Hanora : We have had no ability to shape that process at all. Formerly incarcerated women ARE NOT NAMED as stakeholders.
09:30:36 From Stacey Borden : We pay taxes too!
09:30:37 From National Council : and we can’t be heard
09:30:39 From Anna Nathanson : *Stacey
09:30:42 From Annarose Shaver : $50 million that could be put towards housing, food, education, therapy, playgrounds
09:30:43 From National Council : this is not a public process
09:30:49 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : No, the strategic plan does not involve our meaningful input
09:30:51 From Olivia Feldman : WE ARE THE PUBLIC
09:30:56 From Olivia Feldman : YOU ARE NOT LISTENING TO US
09:31:00 From National Council : we are the experts
09:31:02 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : PROFITS OFF OF TELEPHONE, COMMISARY, 100,000 PER YEAR THEY GET TO INCARCERATE 1 PERSON. 100,000 PER PERSON X 200 WOMAN PER YEAR, PLUS WHAT THEY GET FROM
09:31:04 From Annarose Shaver : there are so many alternatives to incarceration
09:31:05 From Michael Cox to All panelists : The Framingham delegations opposed to this project!
09:31:05 From Olivia Feldman : THEY ARE SPEAKING TO YOU AND YOU ARE NOT LISTENING
09:31:23 From National Council : you
09:31:25 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : What is the point of “hearing from us” if you have already selected a firm and a site and made the decision to go through with this?
09:31:38 From Stacey Borden : We have an opportunity to take thiese resources and invest in the vision of the National Council and Families for Justice as Healing!
09:31:40 From National Council : what have
09:31:49 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Don’t vote!!!
09:31:51 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : ABSTAIN

09:31:53 From Olivia Feldman : you can vote to NOT CHOOSE A FIRM
09:31:56 From National Council : you’re architects. build something different
09:31:57 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : What Beth said: “Can we think of other historical examples where a governing board deferred responsibility for facilitating structures of mass violence?”
09:31:59 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Delay please someone throw a wrench in this
09:32:04 From National Council : choose none
09:32:08 From Elizabeth Whalley : Can DSB board members abstain?
09:32:10 From Elijah Patterson : Write Zero
09:32:11 From Michael Cox to All panelists : This is your chance to do the right thing
09:32:17 From National Council : NONE
09:32:21 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : VOTE NONE
09:32:22 From Mallory Hanora : Design something different!!!
09:32:28 From Anna Nathanson : Stacey, my understanding is this new prison came from a group of white women who thought it would be a good idea to build a “nicer, more rehabilitative” for women. But just didn’t understand the issue, and that if you build a prison it will be filled. It’s time for those women to realize they were wrong and have caused harm and to stop this madness before more Black and brown women are harmed.
09:32:30 From Elijah Patterson : Design healing spaces!
09:32:33 From National Council : are you’re individual votes made public
09:32:36 From Olivia Dubois to All panelists : During the current uprisings for racial justice, remember your place in history right now,
09:32:38 From Stacey Borden : We have what difference looks like! We have Kimya’s House for one for formerly incarcerated women, lead by formerly incarcerated women!!
09:32:42 From Elizabeth Whalley : This is about to be your legacy. You do not need to participate.
09:32:42 From Michael Cox to All panelists : The DOC is not capable of providing what humans need.
09:32:48 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : WE RECOMEND ALTERNATIVES TO INCARCEDRATION. I AM A FORMERLY INCARCERATED WOMAN AND I AM RIGHT HERE. MY RECOMENDATION IS NO NEW JAIL. IT DOES NOT WORK. PRISON DID NOT MAKE ME A BETTER PERSON. I GOT THAT RIGHT HERE IN MY COMMUNITY AFTER I WAS RELEASED. I GOT THAT AT NEW BEGININGS REENTRY SERVICES AND AT THE NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR INCARCERATED AND FORMELY INCARCERATED WOMEN AND GIRLS. I HAVE BEEN HOME ONLY 2 years!
09:32:49 From Elijah Patterson : Anna, Stacey is a Black formerly incarcerated woman who has been fighting this for a year
09:32:55 From Anna Nathanson : Oh I’m sorry!!!!
09:32:58 From Jordan- No New Women's Prison! : Andrade you really just said “And we’ll have two months for public outrage and input.” Ya’ll clearly aren’t planning on “listening” to anyone.
09:33:11 From Olivia Feldman : we are the public, we are outraged, and we are here NOW
09:33:13 From Michael Cox to All panelists : please don’t vote
09:33:14 From Stacey Borden : VOTE NO!!!!
09:33:18 From Annarose Shaver : no!!!!!

09:33:20 From Stacey Borden : NO NEW PRISONS
09:33:21 From Anna Nathanson : My apologies, Stacey
09:33:23 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME JANICE
09:33:27 From National Council : shame
09:33:29 From Jackey Goldb to All panelists : Shameful
09:33:30 From Michael Cox to All panelists : shame
09:33:30 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ILYAS
09:33:31 From Jane Kennedy : Shame on all of you
09:33:31 From Michael Cox to All panelists : shame
09:33:31 From National Council : shame
09:33:32 From Michael Cox to All panelists : shame
09:33:36 From National Council : shane
09:33:37 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME DAN
09:33:41 From National Council : shame
09:33:41 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN ABSTAIN
09:33:43 From Michael Cox to All panelists : shame
09:33:44 From Stacey Borden : Shame
09:33:46 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : how can you have opinions on something so morally disgraceful?
09:33:46 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : i vote no!
09:33:47 From Nora Vincent (she/her) : ABSTAIN
09:33:49 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME REBECCA
09:33:49 From Jane Kennedy : Picking who you want to profit off of the destruction of communities and lives
09:33:49 From National Council : shame
09:33:50 From Nora Vincent (she/her) : JUST ABSTAIN
09:33:56 From Jane Kennedy : Absolutely repulsive
09:33:57 From National Council : shame
09:34:01 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME MARTY
09:34:02 From National Council : shame
09:34:04 From Michael Cox to All panelists : You’re all complicit in white supremacy. Don’t bother hanging a BLM sign in your yard
09:34:09 From Olivia Feldman : YOU SHOUDL FEEL ASHAMED
09:34:10 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : This is a sham
09:34:10 From National Council : shame
09:34:11 From Jane Kennedy : Do some real soul-searching because this is not right.
09:34:18 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME JESSICA
09:34:18 From National Council : shame Jessica
09:34:18 From jude to All panelists : Shame
09:34:19 From Dawne Young to All panelists : SHAME ON THE PANEL
09:34:22 From Jane Kennedy : Opt out.
09:34:22 From National Council : shame
09:34:23 From Anna Nathanson : SHAME
09:34:24 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : Today you voted to make sure more women in MA are locked up. You did not have to, but you did. And we will remember.
09:34:25 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Shame Jessica
09:34:28 From National Council : shame ken
09:34:29 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : my vote is NO, TO

KLIENFINGER, NOT TO HDR 1, 2, 3 AND FINEGOLD, NO TO GOLD AND AXENDER
09:34:29 From Elijah Patterson : I'm glad these votes are public so we know whom to hold responsible for this prison
09:34:32 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Oh Ken!
09:34:38 From Dawne Young to All panelists : SCREAMING NO
09:34:44 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ELISE
09:34:44 From Elizabeth Whalley : A better world is possible.
09:34:45 From Stacey Borden to All panelists : is there no courage or integrity among any of you? this is not what is needed.
09:34:46 From National Council : shame
09:34:53 From Dawne Young to All panelists : UGLY
09:35:04 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : SHAME ON YOU, ……...
09:35:06 From Michael Cox to All panelists : shame
09:35:18 From Michael Cox to All panelists : ya'll are breaking my heart
09:35:19 From Olivia Feldman : YOU SHOULD ALL BE ASHAMED
09:35:32 From Jane Kennedy : Reprehensible
09:35:34 From National Council : sounds like an auction
09:35:41 From Anna Nathanson : ^^^^
09:35:44 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^
09:35:45 From Jane Kennedy : ^^^^
09:35:56 From Elijah Patterson : No.
09:36:00 From Elijah Patterson : There isn't
09:36:01 From Annarose Shaver : so how much you guys profiting ?
09:36:06 From jude : motion to abstain from process
09:36:07 From Annarose Shaver : disgusting
09:36:08 From Stacey Borden : I intend to find architects who have a conscious and will find all of this ABHORRENT
09:36:09 From Olivia Feldman : YOUY DON'T NEED TO VOTE
09:36:09 From Jane Kennedy : White people tossing around numbers to control Black women's lives
09:36:13 From National Council : they're sitting gin their power
09:36:15 From Stacey Borden : I am always baffled when I come in these spaces and there is never anyone that experienced living in a cage, how do you think you understand what we need?
09:36:15 From Olivia Feldman : YOU ARE CHOOSING VIOLENCE
09:36:18 From Olivia Feldman : YOU DON'T HAVE TO INTERVIEW
09:36:20 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN SAY NO
09:36:23 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ON ALL OF YOU
09:36:27 From National Council : say no
09:36:31 From Stacey Borden : Nothing Without Us About Us
09:36:31 From National Council : just say no
09:36:36 From Jane Kennedy : REFUSE TO GO FORWARD. This is simple.
09:36:38 From Stacey Borden : Yes, you have no idea what you ate doing
09:36:40 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^
09:36:50 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : BEFORE YOU BUILD A NEW JAIL TALK TO US!
09:36:56 From Olivia Feldman : Roberto is this a vacation for you?
09:37:02 From National Council : this is a blatant example of structural racism
09:37:04 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : what a careless

process did people’s lives
09:37:22 From National Council : wow
09:37:26 From Anna Nathanson : VOTE NO
09:37:26 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:37:27 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : YOU CAN DO BETTER, do not build a prison. Use your inner voice telling you that this is sooooo wrong!!!!!!!!!!!!
09:37:30 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN SAY NAYE
09:37:31 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Opposed!
09:37:34 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN ABSTAIN
09:37:36 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : I oppose!
09:37:36 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ON ALL OF YOU
09:37:38 From Olivia Feldman : VIOLENCE
09:37:41 From National Council : it’s unanimous
09:37:41 From Aminata Kaba : shame
09:37:41 From Olivia Dubois to All panelists : wow, this is your legacy.
09:37:42 From Stacey Borden : Are you really swayed but money?? Do you not understand MASS INCARCERATION?? Organizations invested in the Privatization of the Prison industrial complex?
09:37:42 From Aminata Kaba : SHAME
09:37:42 From Ash Trull to All panelists : Shame on all of you
09:37:44 From Stacey Borden : We are all opposed
09:37:44 From Aminata Kaba : SHAME
09:37:44 From Anna Nathanson : Unanimous sham pnael
09:37:45 From Jane Kennedy : Unanimously inhumane
09:37:45 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : RAPE
09:37:45 From Anna Nathanson : panel
09:37:48 From Stacey Borden : You are shameful
09:37:49 From Olivia Feldman : clearly all they want is to pad their pockets
09:37:51 From National Council : you have no idea
09:37:51 From Olivia Feldman : evil people
09:37:52 From Dawne Young to All panelists : WOW YOU PEOPLE ARE UGLY
09:37:54 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : ABUSE
09:37:54 From Annarose Shaver : wow no public comment?
09:37:57 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : TORUTURE1
09:37:58 From Aminata Kaba : this is immoral
09:37:58 From National Council : we have it
09:37:59 From Ash Trull to All panelists : You are not serving the public right now
09:38:00 From Olivia Feldman : READ OUR COMMENTS
09:38:03 From Olivia Feldman : READ OUR SHAME ON YOU
09:38:04 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : Public comment will be at 11. There are two more proposals first.
09:38:06 From National Council : shane
09:38:09 From National Council : shame
09:38:12 From Stacey Borden : I hope you read these comments!
09:38:31 From Stacey Borden : Rebecca are you really ok with this?
09:38:34 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:38:37 From Elijah Patterson : Take this time to read the chat

09:38:53 From Mallory Hanora : These are the list of stakeholders DOC plans to engage in it's strategic plan: The Consultant will identify key stakeholders and engage them in a collaborative effort focused on problem identification and potential solutions. Potential stakeholders will be identified through the process and may include legislators, the Executive Branch and interagency taskforces, public safety/ probation officials, Criminal Justice Reform advocates, research/policy/program institutions, etc
09:38:58 From Michael Cox to All panelists : What a shame. truly.
09:39:09 From Caitlin Donnelly to All panelists : The women in the prison you build will not have opportunities to "take a break"
09:39:28 From Mallory Hanora : Incarcerated and Formerly incarcerated women are not on this list - and should be LEADING The process to develop alternatives, not excluded from the process.
09:39:48 From Olivia Feldman : IF YOU CARED YOU WOULD VOTE TO ABSTAIN
09:39:55 From Michael Cox to All panelists : You're resigning women to cages fro generations to come. It doesn't have to be this way.
09:39:56 From Elijah Patterson : There are a lot of people and groups here. Some of us have been engaged since before the first DCAMM bid, which the DOC withdrew in the face of our opposition
09:40:35 From Olivia Feldman : Can you see this? Are you listening?
09:40:53 From National Council : keep talking
09:40:58 From Elijah Patterson : If only our comments had been heard and considered before the vote...
09:41:09 From Olivia Feldman : They clearly don't care about the public
09:41:12 From Olivia Feldman : or they would listen to us
09:41:14 From Stacey Borden : Ken it sounds like you have heart! Be the first one to say, "You do not want to participate in creating more harm to women"!!
09:41:14 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Willard, pay attention. This process is not fair
09:41:16 From Elijah Patterson : But the Board opted to voite before public comment
09:41:22 From Michael Cox to All panelists : I wonder if there was a similar board who helped start the Nazi Holocaust by approving a bid.
09:41:24 From Olivia Feldman : Willard I can see you looking at our comments
09:41:29 From Olivia Feldman : VOTE NO
09:41:29 From National Council : they care about "their" public
09:41:36 From Olivia Feldman : their pockets!
09:41:38 From Elijah Patterson : Willard,Ken, maybe Dan, thank you for reading.
09:41:41 From Michael Cox to All panelists : people don't belonging cages.
09:41:42 From Norma Wassel : I am following up on my comment in my earlier comment in chat requesting that the DOC show the research the that there are "trauma-informed" prisons with "trauma-informed" design. I feel that this an inaccurate and misleading for a public agency to state without any evidence. The extensive research shows the opposite and I would be happy to share the work that has been done on this.
09:42:03 From Stacey Borden : They opted out last time because they had heart! They have children and did not wish to continue with this evil, inhumane

process!
09:42:03 From National Council : ^^^^^
09:42:26 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : the process is not transparent. Again the DOC, DCAMM, EOPPS are systems of power that oppress and destroy people's lives!
09:42:47 From Olivia Feldman : Marty do you even care?
09:42:48 From Olivia Feldman : Dan?
09:42:51 From Olivia Feldman : Rebecca?
09:42:53 From Olivia Feldman : CLEARLY NOT
09:42:53 From Elijah Patterson : All of your names are now on the project of caging traumatized women of color. I hope your conscience can carry this weight.
09:43:59 From Stacey Borden : How many of your family members are in prison???
09:43:59 From Elijah Patterson : It is possible for you to say that the building cannot meet the specifications, namely, it cannot be trauma-infomed.
09:44:10 From Jane Kennedy : ^^^
09:44:18 From Norma Wassel : Two other concerns: Neither the Mass Trial court or the legislature have been involved including many legislators not aware of this plan. The issue of mandatory minimums should have been addressed - recent SCJ Dec. 2020 decision is relevant (i.e. “three strikes” law does not preclude a judge giving probation.
09:45:29 From Stacey Borden : How many of you sleep at night knowing you are going to participate in woman being taking away from her children and being put in a cage
09:45:41 From Elijah Patterson : I just don't understand how you can be conscious in this historical moment and vote for anything that will advance a prison
09:45:47 From Michael Cox to All panelists : What if this was to build an execution chamber. Would you still say the show much go on?
09:45:54 From Michael Cox to All panelists : must
09:46:10 From Michael Cox to All panelists : there are moral implications that should and need to be weighed.
09:46:13 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : If the compelling testimonials from directly impacted, formerly incarcerated women are not enough to convince you that the idea of a trauma-informed prison is a contradiction in terms, here is some additional research:

http://susan.sered.name/ineffectiveness-of-prison-based-therapy-the-case-for-community-based-alternatives/

https://openworks.wooster.edu/independentstudy/8930/

Changing the built environment will not change the hallmarks of incarceration: the family separation, the strip searches, the isolation, the social exclusion, the spoiled food, the culture of punishment and oppression, the fact that DOC outspends its budget every year to increase payroll and staff salaries and spends not even 5% of its annual budget allotments - which have increased as the incarcerated population has decreased - on programs.
https://www.wbur.org/news/2018/05/21/new-massachusetts-prison-spending-report
09:46:20 From Jane Kennedy : Truly, take stock of your choices. You are in

a position to end this process. You can join us in saying NO NEW PRISONS.
09:46:50 From Jane Kennedy : Elise, ask yourself why you are participating in this process.
09:47:01 From Jane Kennedy : Rebecca, ask yourself.
09:47:12 From Stacey Borden : There is land that can be utilized and create a healing center for our sisters! You all have the power to design community based programs!
09:47:19 From Michael Cox to All panelists : ppl die in these facilities -they commit suicide, guards kills them, negligent medical care, and of course, we undergo spiritual deaths under the pressure of so much dehumanization.
09:47:43 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^
09:47:45 From Michael Cox to All panelists : Folks like eFJAH nurse us back to life and should be heeded.
09:47:51 From Annarose Shaver : yes!
09:48:01 From Olivia Feldman : what questions? who will they traumatize the least???
09:48:17 From Olivia Feldman : shame on all of you
09:48:54 From Jane Kennedy : Recommend they do not move forward.
09:48:56 From Elizabeth Rucker : You do not have to be complicit in it
09:48:58 From Elijah Patterson : No confidence would be a powerful vote
09:49:00 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : are you watching what is happening in the country? Do you know what these systems are doing to an entire community? Do you know this a the way they oppress communities of color. Marginalize and entire
09:49:09 From Jane Kennedy : The prisons are an emergency situation.
09:49:13 From Katy Naples-Mitchell to All panelists : How could it be determined to be an emergency if it isn't supposed to begin until the strategic plan has concluded this summer?
09:49:13 From Annarose Shaver : what emergency situation? The existence of prisons is an emergency situation
09:49:21 From Anna Nathanson : Yeah but now they get to say a board reviewed and approved the project. You’re giving it legitimacy because you seem to support it?
09:49:22 From Ash Trull to All panelists : Every person who participates in the process is complicit in the harm that happens because of it.
09:49:24 From Stacey Borden : How do you build a emergency prison? Whats the emergency?
09:49:25 From Annarose Shaver : jane read my mind
09:49:48 From jude : the agency could move forward but you still need to use your access and influence to NOT cause harm—do not be complicit
09:49:48 From Olivia Feldman : YOU CAN STOP THIS
09:49:48 From Elijah Patterson : This is not inevitable!
09:49:52 From Stacey Borden : Are we just pushing things away because we have advocates here?
09:50:06 From Stacey Borden : Let’s make sense of what is being asked here!
09:50:16 From Jane Kennedy : "They would still do it without us" does not absolve you for your complicity.
09:51:03 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : the structure issues in Framingham have been that way for over 50 years. we have told the DOC AOBUT IT AND

THEY DID NOTHING. now all the sudden they claim to care about the women who live inside . they don't care about us. they just want to build a prison for profit.
09:51:22 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : DID YOU JUST CALL US VETRENS?
09:51:27 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
09:52:10 From Stacey Borden : We have family members in that prison, women we've lived with for decades, compassionate women, women who are surviving and being punished! You are participating in their harm!
09:52:16 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^
09:53:07 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : THE WORK THEY DID BEFORE DID NOT WORK. LIKE I SAID THEY ARE THE LEAST ACCOUNTABLE AGENCY WAIST TAXPAYER MONEY. THEY CANT EVEN MANAGE VACCINES HOW DO YOU EXPECT THEM TO MANAGE FIXING A PRISON YET ALONE BUILDING A NEW ONE.
09:54:03 From National Council : yes. don't build a prison
09:54:11 From Stacey Borden : How many of you stay in your BATHROOM for more than two hours? Imaging existing in a Cage?Cell that is the size of your bathroom…imagine living in that 5x8 cell for decades!! Go home and lay in your bathtub, stand over your toilet, bring your dinner in there too! Cause that is what you are contributing to!!
09:54:34 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : THE LEFT 100 VACCINES OUT OVER NIGHT I CAN BET MY LIFE ON IT THAT THEY DID NOT REPLACE THEM. that they gave those contaminated shots to the people who live the prison.
09:54:41 From National Council : and move your children out to fend for themselves
09:55:21 From Olivia Feldman : stick them in a cage and see if they want to build new ones
09:55:28 From Stacey Borden : Imaging how many children are in our DYS system being traumatized cause their mamas are sitting in cage!!
09:55:35 From Olivia Feldman : I guarantee their answer would change
09:56:11 From National Council : we know what people with power do when they're loved ones get entangled in the system...
09:56:38 From Elijah Patterson : "AIA Board of Directors commits to advancing justice through design
AIA Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct to prohibit the design of spaces intended for execution, torture and prolonged solitary confinement.

WASHINGTON – Dec. 11, 2020 – The American Institute of Architects (AIA) Board of Directors yesterday adopted new rules in its Code of Ethics regarding the design of justice facilities. This, among other things, reflects AIA's ongoing effort to meaningfully address structural racism in the built environment and to uphold our professional values."
--https://www.aia.org/press-releases/6356669-aia-board-of-directors-commits-to-advancin
09:56:38 From National Council : they hold back door meetings like this and get what they need
09:56:49 From Stacey Borden : No they think they are exempt! Their children go to the best schools, get to go to college, get married have children! Off the back of our sisters living in a cage doing labor work form them for a dollar a day!!!!
09:57:19 From National Council : prisons are an extension of soavery

09:58:08 From National Council : they are mot trauma informed. they cause further harm. they have not been successful at ending further harm, violence or
09:58:11 From Olivia Feldman : "Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, EXCEPT AS A PUNISHMENT FOR A CRIME whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction."
09:58:19 From Olivia Feldman : YOU ARE PROMOTING LEGAL SLAVERY
09:58:40 From Stacey Borden : Real Architect would have guts like Dians Van Buren! Create a world without prisons! https://www.ted.com/talks/deanna_van_buren_what_a_world_without_prisons_could_look_like
09:59:09 From Stacey Borden : Deanna Van Buren!!
09:59:15 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^^^
09:59:28 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : its easy to vote to affirm when it's not your children who they are going to put in those cells. the criminal justice system is rigged, to incarcerate black and brown children. EVERYONE KNOWS IT! there are two justice systems. Just look at what happened in Washington. They killed a cop and got away with it.!
09:59:34 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ON YOU ALL
10:00:03 From Stacey Borden : How many of you visited Framingham, talked to the ladies, have you asked them what they need?? Have you asked US who lived there for years??
10:01:00 From Stacey Borden : The National Council for Incarcerated and Formerly Incarcerated Women and Girls did a Listening Tour and NOT ONE FORMERLY INCARCERATED WOMAN SAID SHE WANTS A NEW PRISON!! NOT ONE!
10:01:11 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Everyone knows that when a white person commits' a crime it gets resolved behind closed doors. when black person does the same crime they are given the maximum sentenced, labeled and shamed for life.
10:03:02 From Elijah Patterson : It is important that you all understand that you had an option of abstaining from participating in building a new women's prison and chose not to take it. Please sit with that when you hear future stories of abuse in the new prison.

If you're now considering if your vote was in the service of justice, we invite you to come organize with us.
10:03:17 From Olivia Feldman : What do you hope to achieve with this? more pain? lining your pockets?
10:03:19 From Stacey Borden : Just because DCAMM have funds, doesn’t mean you have to spend it they way they are asking you to! Submit a beautiful design for Dignity! We have a design and Architectural and Engineer Drawing that can be a guide for each of you of what different looks like!
10:03:39 From Olivia Feldman : who benefits from this? NOT THE PUBLIC
10:03:46 From Stacey Borden : www.newbeginningsreentryservices.org
10:04:09 From Olivia Feldman : We know you see us. We need you to HEAR us.
10:04:13 From Annarose Shaver : “healthcare” is not incarceration
10:04:32 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : if you ask the women inside the prison do they want a new jail or do they want to begin the decarceration process and receive an alternative sentence to incarceration where they get the tools they need to succeed. Address the root cause of their

incarceration. they would choose the latter. and DOC NO TRICK QUESTIONS. DONT JUST ASK THE WOMEN IF THEY WANT A BETTER FACILITY A NEW JAIL . YOU HAVE TO GIVE THEM THE WHOLE PICUTRE. i KNWO YOU, I KNOW THE SNEAKY UNDERHANDED PROCESSES YOU ARE CAPABLE OF
10:05:20 From Stacey Borden : http://www.Nationalcouncil.us/
10:05:28 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : LET US CREATE THE SURVEY TO GIVE TO THE WOMEN.
10:05:33 From Willard Perkins to Norma Wassel and all panelists : Norma, there is a scheduled time for public comment later in the meeting that is shown on our posted agenda. Please note however we have received many prior written requests to speak that will received preference.
10:05:40 From Stacey Borden : http://justiceashealing.org
10:06:04 From Stacey Borden : http://www.newbeginngsreentryservices.org
10:06:24 From Norma Wassel : Although Ms. Woodward stated that DOC's proposal could go forward without your approval, you have the ethical and professional obligation to ask for more information on what "trauma-informed design" means. There is NO research to show that this exists per the definition and our research shows the opposite. You need to do due diligence and request that DOC shows you what this statement is based on. We (National Assoc. of Social Workers) have the research that contradicts this public statement.
10:06:47 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
10:06:58 From Elijah Patterson : Listen to the nuance extended to this application
10:07:28 From Elizabeth Whalley : Absolutely agree Norma. There is no research, nothing behind the term "trauma-informed prison." It is a term being operationalized to mislead you.
10:08:48 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : firs of all the Norfolk site is old and decrepit too. Exactly, it is going to cost way more than 50 mil and you know it. It is going to cost tax payers 100's of Millions of dollars. we can do so much more better than wasting taxpayer money on broken system
10:09:54 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Norfolk's site has it's own structural problems. It was closed down for a reason. It was unfit to house the men there, Remodeling is not going to change that.
10:10:23 From Stacey Borden : How man of you have been building these structures of oppression?? Women are being suggest to abuse and more trauma! How does that make you feel??
10:10:56 From Dawne Young to All panelists : THEY HAVE NO FEELINGS
10:10:57 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^
10:11:06 From Dawne Young to All panelists : NO NRW PRISONS
10:11:09 From Elijah Patterson : The applicants for this bid being given notes on improving their applications. How is it possible the firms in 21-01 DOC 2106 were not asked to go back and make an actually trauma-informed design
10:11:21 From John Garcia to All panelists : FYI Walsh Brothers is the CMN for the MGH 10 Year Legacy OR Upgrade project
10:11:22 From Dawne Young to All panelists : NO EMPATHY
10:11:46 From Elizabeth Whalley : ^^^^
10:11:47 From Elijah Patterson : You were excited to hear from a veteran-owned form for a vetran's home, but not women who are formerly incarcerated on incarceratiomn
10:11:50 From Stacey Borden : How does anybody know that?

10:12:37 From Elijah Patterson : (An "actually trauma-informed design" is impossible, as any architect would be forced to conclude)
10:12:37 From Stacey Borden : How much does an evaluator get paid on viewing these RFP's?
10:12:41 From Dawne Young to All panelists : They care nothing about the community
10:12:44 From Annarose Shaver : Very telling that these people have no idea what "trauma-informed" means if they think the solution could ever possibly be the renovation of a building.
10:12:50 From Dawne Young to All panelists : UGLY
10:13:17 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^
10:13:22 From Annarose Shaver : How does this address the intergenerational trauma of structural racism and incarceration? It cannot possibly
10:13:34 From Annarose Shaver : The fact that trauma has been shown to alter genetic structures
10:13:42 From Annarose Shaver : How could a building ever change that ?
10:14:03 From Elijah Patterson : ^^^
10:14:05 From Annarose Shaver : No new prisons, decarcerate NOW !
10:14:46 From Gina Bourque to All panelists : FYI - Its hard to hear Jessica Tsymbal
10:15:34 From Dawne Young to All panelists : You continue to ignore the people.
10:15:52 From Stacey Borden : Is this about employing people?? We can do that! %0 million can employee lots of people coming in to our communities with teaching them to be architects! They can rebuild these vacant houses and building and we can house our women with providing them the services and opportunities they need and deserve!
10:16:22 From Stacey Borden : 50 million can employ our people to build!
10:17:25 From Elijah Patterson : Who stamps the drawings is being given more time and consideration than was given to the absurd concept of "trauma-informed" prisons.
10:17:27 From Dawne Young to All panelists : continue to harm, continue to punish
10:19:54 From Stacey Borden : Doe yo really think you can continue to incarcerate our way our of people suffering from prior traumas, trying to do the best they can to survive?? You are sitting here numb to the reality that you are causing more harm!
10:21:38 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^^
10:22:46 From National Council : ^^^^^^^^
10:23:05 From Stacey Borden : What about a statement on Cultural Awareness, Transform Harm, Restorative Justice……6 Stages of Change, Motivational Interviewing, Individual Counseling in Healing, Healing Spaces, CANS examinations! (Children's Needs and Strengths Development) that clearly none of you are discussing as to why you cannot build a new trauma informed Prison!!
10:24:26 From National Council : separation of children from their mothers due to incarceration cause adverse childhood experiences
10:24:46 From Stacey Borden : Can someone give us/me a clear understanding what "House Doctors" term is ? Using or naming a project under the term DOCTOR suggests someone here is an expert!
10:25:08 From Stacey Borden : NO

10:25:10 From Stacey Borden : VOTE NO
10:25:58 From National Council : we passed the primary caretaker law, we built Kimya's house, we are the experts of what else is possible and we should be building those things ..and $50million is just the first shovel...
10:27:42 From Stacey Borden : Does anybody understand, being stripped search in a prison with males is trauma? Does anybody realize their are male guards that a women has to go to to ask for a Tampon is trauma?? Your creating more trauma, and it's offensive and demeaning!
10:29:46 From Stacey Borden : Prisons does not provide proper health care, Reproductive needs aren't met! Women that are pregnant and sentence have no attachment to their babies once born, they are brought right back to the prison without their new born child within hours after delivery! Are you with your children?
10:32:26 From Rich Polwrek : Thank you
10:32:26 From Stacey Borden : HAs anybody asked the the Correctional Guards their truth of the trauma they experience on a daily basis trying to deal with a woman who was sexually assaulted and put in a cage, crying while trying to harm herself because she wants her children? Correctional Officers are trained to have no emotion!! But they are human, and can become desensitized which is a dangerous place for a women
10:33:05 From Elijah Patterson : Framingham states it needs a new prison because of the electrical system.
10:33:06 From National Council : I'm sorry for all the formerly incarcerated women who are still on here waiting to be heard. I know many of you have jobs you are missing and could very well lose because you are being forced to come here today
10:33:20 From National Council : close the prisons
10:33:34 From National Council : period
10:33:37 From Elijah Patterson : At 8:30am, no less. These issues affect all of us and we are sitting here during work hours begging to be heard.
10:33:44 From Stacey Borden : Women sit at the mercy of male guards! Who's over seeing them from creating harm to a women at their mercy??? Do you take these things in consideration or is your focus on money with no positive regard for a women and her dignity???
10:33:55 From Elijah Patterson : We want directly-affected women on the record.
10:34:11 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^^
10:34:35 From National Council : so we're here and ready to speak but the DOC is not here and they still make their wants public
10:34:35 From Willard Perkins to Claire Hester(Direct Message) : Do you recognize any of the corrections names on attendees?
10:34:38 From Stacey Borden : Claire, you have been making faces of disgust for more than a year each time you encounter us! Whats your story???
10:35:17 From Elijah Patterson : And they voted before y'all could speak! Knowing very well from the chat you have poured your histories into that you were here
10:35:40 From National Council : you want to talk about water in prisons and air quality. it's hard to breath with a knee in your back.
10:35:40 From Stacey Borden : Facial expressions and body language cannot be hidden! You should be disgusted about the idea that you all are some experts in

trauma here!
10:37:22 From Elizabeth Rucker : Prisons of all kinds are environmental and health hazards; the DOC has showed it cannot manage COVID19, it cannot deliver clean water, it cannot maintain humane temperatures. These are not technical problems with technical fixes, they are BAKED INTO the dehumanizing system o fincarceration
10:37:28 From Elizabeth Rucker : The only answer is decarceration.
10:38:17 From Mallory Hanora : The DOC has over 700 million in repairs planned for the next 10 years. What if we had 700 millon to explore real alternatives to incarceration?
10:38:32 From National Council to All panelists : ^^^^^^^
10:39:12 From National Council to All panelists : here we go
10:39:35 From Stacey Borden : Yes Mallory!
10:40:23 From Elizabeth Whalley : “The prison is considered an inevitable and permanent feature of our social lives…In fact, the most natural reaction is to assume that prison activists-even those who consciously refer to themselves as “antiprison activists”-are simply trying to ameliorate prison conditions or perhaps to reform the prison in more fundamental ways. n most circles prison abolition is simply unthinkable and implausible. Prison abolitionists are dismissed as utopians and idealists whose ideas are at best unrealistic and impracticable, and, at worst, mystifying and foolish. This is a measure of how difficult it is to envision a social order that does not rely on the threat of sequestering people in dreadful places designed to separate them from their communities and families. The prison is considered so “natural” that it is extremely hard to imagine life without it.” -Angela Davis
10:40:43 From Elijah Patterson : 16 facilities? Elise said 18? Does thast include the DOC's potential new women's prison sites?
10:40:53 From Stacey Borden : Are these Designers calling in?? So they cannot see theses chats or will not be able to hear the speakers?? What kind of set up is this??? Are you all blocking o=us from allowing to be heard??
10:42:17 From National Council to All panelists : zxfifnt t r
10:42:23 From Dawne Young to All panelists : Yes they are blocking. where is the news media. We need to have our own press conference.
10:42:28 From Elijah Patterson : Even better, this is someone from the DOC calling in.
10:42:53 From Elijah Patterson : They need to be here, on camera, to listen to the community.
10:47:27 From Stacey Borden : Empathy: The ability to understand and share the feelings of another! There is a WHOLE community on this zoom meeting that have a loved one sitting in a cage in that prison! #FreeHer
10:50:08 From Stacey Borden : We built Kimya’s House and Have Engineers on standby waiting for you to work with us on what different looks like! The National Council is leading our community in the solutions!! We are the experts as formerly incarcerated women!
10:51:39 From Stacey Borden : We recommend NO new jail and we also have recommendations and references!!!
10:54:41 From Andrea James to All panelists : You guys sound so dignified as you engage in a most barbaric and inhuman process to advance the building of a prison to put women in
10:55:42 From Andrea James : The lack of diversity on this board is

appalling.
10:56:08 From Andrea James : The ignoring of the women who are on this call who are the experts is also appalling
10:56:26 From Elijah Patterson : ^^^^
10:56:33 From Stacey Borden : ^^^^^^
10:56:38 From Elizabeth Rucker : yupppp
10:56:58 From Andrea James : Massachusetts has one of the smallest incarceration populations in the country. Spending $50 million to build a new women's prison is the last thing we need
10:57:08 From Dawne Young to All panelists : Yup ditto
10:57:57 From Andrea James : I want to commend all of the directly affected women who are standing in this space right now. Your voices may be muted but you are being heard
10:58:32 From Stacey Borden : Thank you Andrea!
10:58:38 From Andrea James : So too is this process being heard and the people who are conducting it and advocating on behalf of a prison for women
10:59:42 From Andrea James : Your work is admirable. You are bringing the Commonwealth and society as a whole out of the cruelty and darkness of prisons and profiteering off of the backs of poor and vulnerable people.
10:59:47 From Andrea James : Remember you are the experts
10:59:52 From Elizabeth Whalley : However you are rationalizing the purpose of your place on this board right now- I implore you to give a little more space to the nagging part inside of you that isn't so sure.
11:00:08 From Andrea James : These people are engaged in the problem. You have the solutions and we will get there
11:01:17 From Andrea James : What you are doing by hiding behind procedure and contracts and proposals is the same process that was done when abolitionists fought to end slavery. Boards like yours did this same thinbg
11:01:38 From Andrea James : And justified their inhumanity by hiding behind procedure
11:01:42 From Ashley Tarbet to All panelists : Formerly incarcerated women also have "correctional facility experience" and need to have their voices heard. There is no such thing as a trauma-informed prison and that should be the only consideration when human lives are on the line.
11:01:44 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^^^^^
11:01:46 From Elizabeth Whalley : ^^^^
11:02:39 From Connie CHow : Bureaucrats and adherence to technicalities vs attention to the underlying immorality of locking people in cages exemplifies the banality of evil.
11:02:44 From Andrea James : How many of you will resign from this board and stand with the people? Any of you? Do any of you feel the pain being expressed here? How many of you are mothers?
11:03:35 From Ashley Tarbet to All panelists : If you're concerned about "diversity" then where is the diversity of voices in this conversation? Directly-impacted women need to be heard.
11:03:39 From Andrea James : Marty Smith will you stand with us?
11:03:49 From Andrea James : Rebecca Sherer will you stand with us
11:04:02 From Andrea James : Elise Woodward will you stand with us?
11:04:10 From Andrea James : Daniel Carson will you stand with us
11:04:21 From Andrea James : Janice Bergeron will you stand with us

11:04:36 From Andrea James : Claire Hester will you stand with us?
11:04:54 From Andrea James : Ilyas Bhatti will you stand with us
11:05:03 From Ashley Tarbet : If you're concerned about "diversity" then where is the diversity of voices in this conversation? Directly-impacted women need to be heard.
11:05:13 From Andrea James : Jessica Tsymbal will you stand with us
11:05:28 From Elijah Patterson : Women who have been incarcerated are sharing the expertise and considerable pain and trauma they got from their incarceration. Please sit with it.
11:05:56 From Ashley Tarbet : Formerly incarcerated women also have "correctional facility experience" and need to have their voices heard. There is no such thing as a trauma-informed prison and that should be the only consideration when human lives are on the line.
11:06:51 From Dawne Young to All panelists : DOC? laughable
11:07:34 From Andrea James : Language is important.
11:07:45 From Elizabeth Whalley : Bryan Stevenson, a death row lawyer, talks about how people often wonder what they would have done during slavery, during the movement to abolish slavery. And to that he says- whatever you are doing in this moment, our current moment- is exactly what you would have done back then. The situations are parallel.
11:08:31 From Andrea James : Contracts vs human beings
11:08:36 From Ashley Tarbet : ^^^
11:08:44 From Annarose Shaver : ^^^^
11:08:46 From Andrea James : You should all be ashamed of yoursleves
11:09:06 From Jane Kennedy : Again, voting on who you'd prefer to get the profits for caging your fellow humans is abhorrent and inhumane.
11:09:14 From Andrea James : This is an appalling display of power and violence of the State over people
11:09:16 From Ashley Tarbet : You have the power to stop this nonsense. Vote to not vote
11:09:18 From Annarose Shaver : It's clear what the priority is here, and to put the words "trauma informed" anywhere near it is revolting.
11:09:30 From Annarose Shaver : Profits over people
11:10:02 From Elijah Patterson : Voting on a DOC house doctor is voting for a prison, too
11:10:04 From Andrea James : And none of you thought it important to demand diversity on your board
11:10:19 From Andrea James : Cause y'all know what's best for us and our communities
11:10:25 From Elizabeth Whalley : ^^^
11:10:37 From Andrea James : Shaman you
11:10:41 From Andrea James : shame
11:11:09 From Andrea James : Ken Wexler will you stand with us?
11:11:23 From Andrea James : Roberto Melendez will you stand with us?
11:11:36 From Elizabeth Whalley : If you take a stand, we will have your back. You will have community support.
11:11:49 From Andrea James : Black and Brown people make up a grossly disproportionate percentage of the DOC
11:12:16 From Andrea James : We shall not allow a new women's prison to hold our future generatiosn

11:12:34 From Andrea James : This matter of fact discourse is alarming.
11:13:01 From Andrea James : It is reminiscent of so many other atrocities in history that ignored the humanity of people
11:13:18 From Andrea James : Willard Perkins will you stand with us?
11:13:19 From Elijah Patterson : I'm opposed
11:13:21 From Elijah Patterson : Does that matter?
11:13:25 From Andrea James : Zero abstentions
11:13:28 From Jane Kennedy : Little Eichmanns all
11:13:35 From Jane Kennedy : Shame on you
11:13:38 From Andrea James : Shame on you
11:14:04 From Anna Nathanson : Shame on you
11:14:08 From Andrea James : We want to be able to see people
11:14:14 From Andrea James : This is not a public process
11:14:15 From Stacey Borden : House Doctor: Conducts research, analysis, data and connects with ALL stakeholders including incarcerated people, advocates, legislators to develop a plan to best serve the women in DOC custody. The best way to see them is allowing us in that process!!
11:14:58 From Andrea James : Stacey Borden. An Expert
11:16:01 From Andrea James : Our members should be able to see each other while they speak
11:16:09 From Andrea James : Are you listening?
11:16:18 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Could Leslie Credle and Pam Sparks be next to speak after Stacey? They signed up Monday and are directly impacted.
11:16:29 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : yes, Statcey
11:16:49 From Andrea James : And you shall not build more prison beds for our children
11:16:59 From Ashley Tarbet : You should have to look us in the eye while we’re giving testimony
11:17:04 From Andrea James : Prison causes further harm
11:17:18 From Elijah Patterson : Thank you for sharing your story, Stacey.
11:17:47 From Elizabeth Rucker : A prison is a prison is a prison!
11:18:00 From Jane Kennedy : Thank you Stacey!!
11:18:05 From Annarose Shaver : Thank you Stacey !
11:18:09 From Ashley Tarbet : Thank you Stacey!
11:18:18 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Leslie Credle
11:18:19 From jude glaubman : Speaking truth to power-Stacey!!! Thank you
11:18:21 From Elizabeth Whalley : Thank you Stacey.
11:18:22 From Andrea James : Design Board You will move on after today and continue with your lives. We will not move on from this issue and we will continue to use all of the opinions here to help us advance our position
11:18:27 From Olivia Feldman : GO STACEY!
11:18:30 From Olivia Feldman : GO LESLIE!
11:18:33 From Elizabeth Whalley : Thank you Claire, yes Leslie.
11:18:35 From Andrea James : Thank you Stacey
11:18:42 From Andrea James : Leslie Credle. An Expert
11:20:32 From Annarose Shaver : No such thing ! absolutely
11:20:33 From Andrea James : Building a shiny new prison does not change the culture of incarceration. The culture of incarceration is anchored in racism and harm

11:20:43 From Andrea James : absurd
11:20:46 From Andrea James : insulting
11:20:49 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^
11:20:55 From Olivia Feldman : prisons are TRAUMA!
11:21:26 From Norma Wassel : There have been many design review boards throughout the country who have refused to participated in and/or protest the building of any new prisons as they have also taken the stand of participating in the design of execution chambers for those states that still have the death penalty.
11:21:34 From Andrea James : Each member of this design board voted before hearing these women….
11:22:02 From Andrea James : What do you think happens to our children when we are in prison?
11:22:05 From Austin Frizzell to All panelists : We know your role in the process and believe that you have the responsibility to reject this as an appropriate use of state funds. I am deeply disturbed by the vote today
11:22:08 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^
11:22:14 From Andrea James : “We’ve done it your way”
11:22:15 From Elizabeth Whalley : Pam is another directly affected person who has requested public comment, if Pam could be next.
11:22:19 From Olivia Feldman : We are so proud of you Leslie for telling your story!
11:22:25 From Andrea James : Thank you Leslie
11:22:26 From Elijah Patterson : We are safer with Leslie in the community!
11:22:29 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^
11:22:33 From Ashley Tarbet : Thank you Leslie
11:22:34 From Annarose Shaver : thank you Leslie !
11:22:39 From Jane Kennedy : Thank you Leslie!!
11:22:41 From Andrea James : Pam Starks. An Expert
11:22:44 From Olivia Feldman : An integral part to Boston! Go Leslie!
11:22:48 From Elizabeth Whalley : Thank you Leslie!
11:23:00 From Jane Kennedy : I hope you are truly listening to these experts
11:23:13 From Andrea James : Leslie Gian we love you and thank you for your bravery to speak yet again about losing your daughter while you were incarcerated
11:23:21 From Andrea James : Where is your humanity design board?
11:23:33 From Andrea James : Did you not hear Leslie’s expereince
11:23:34 From Stacey Borden : As a Licensed Clinician who suffered trauma before prison and during! We cannot continue to to have this dialogue on building new prisons without understanding the harm it causes! I work with formerly incarcerated women, counseling them and hearing their stories is heartbreaking! It take a lot of me to move my own traumas to the side when I am triggered from my own traumas, you have no idea…no idea what it’s like suffering in silence and trying to adjust to being in a cage with no services and going into population without family and controlled by men!! You have no Idea!!!
11:23:43 From Andrea James : Offer some sort of compassion
11:23:50 From Andrea James : Your callous
11:24:05 From Elizabeth Whalley : Thank you Pam.

11:24:10 From Jane Kennedy : Thank you Pam!
11:24:17 From Andrea James : Thank you Pam
11:24:23 From Annarose Shaver : Thank you Pam
11:24:52 From Andrea James : They stand with us
11:25:54 From Elijah Patterson : You have every ability to push back on the erroneous concept of "trauma-informed design
11:25:56 From Stacey Borden : My sisters come to you with their painful experiences because we stay together in support of each other under the leadership of the National Council supporting us on what difference looks like for us, in our communities! Invest in us, we are the experts in healing and transform harm!
11:26:08 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : thanks Pam your voice is needed
11:26:09 From Stacey Borden : Stand with us!
11:27:34 From Andrea James : Thank you Norma
11:27:42 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Eli, we don't hear you yet
11:27:47 From Ashley Tarbet : Thank you Pam and Norma
11:27:50 From Annarose Shaver : Thanks Norma !
11:27:55 From Rachel Roth : New code of ethics for architects prohibits the design of solitary confinement cells: https://www.aia.org/press-releases/6356669-aia-board-of-directors-commits-to-advanc in
11:28:01 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Eli, did they send a link to unmute?
11:28:06 From Elizabeth Whalley : Absolutely Norma, thank you for that. As an academic I can confirm there is no research that has concluded the existence of a trauma informed prison.
11:28:17 From Willard Perkins to Elijah Patterson and all panelists : WE cannot hear you
11:28:19 From Cherry Russell : Standing with all in the comments and with Norma Wassel.
11:28:27 From Elizabeth Whalley : And the real experts of course are the formerly incarcerated women and their families who have told us this.
11:28:36 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : There is a delay in the audio
11:29:25 From Stacey Borden : Yes Eli!!! Thank you for your voice! Speak truth to power!
11:29:33 From Elizabeth Whalley : Yes Eli!
11:30:01 From Olivia Feldman : GO ELI
11:30:05 From Elizabeth Rucker : Go in!
11:30:30 From Andrea James : We have held a series of listening tours led by directly affected women and girls, trans and gender nonconforming people. Have you read the listening tour report
11:30:52 From Ashley Tarbet : YES Eli
11:31:01 From Annarose Shaver : “That is the lifespan of a prison” Yes
11:31:08 From Pamela Starks to All panelists : yes Eli
11:31:15 From Andrea James : Formerly incarcerated women are leading a campaign here in MA and across the country to end incarceration of women and girls
11:31:48 From Andrea James : You are woefully out of step with the people-led work that is happening here
11:32:04 From Olivia Feldman : INDULGE?

11:32:15 From Olivia Feldman : They are speaking TRUTH to you as EXPERTS
11:32:19 From Andrea James : Don’t rush people now. These are the experts
11:32:22 From Ashley Tarbet : INDULGE? It is your responsibility to listen to the experts
11:33:49 From Elijah Patterson : Nothing is a more home-like space than home!
11:34:10 From Andrea James : This needs to be a teaching tool for architectural students. What not to engage in as architects
11:34:10 From Stacey Borden : Thats right Dr! We are directly affected, and we are the experts in knowing what we need! We do not need a new prison! Thank you for your voice Dr!
11:34:47 From Stacey Borden : YES!!!!!
11:34:48 From Elijah Patterson : Thank you Dr. Whalley. Did you want to cage women when you began?
11:34:56 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : Thank you
11:34:58 From Andrea James : Speak Dr!
11:35:11 From Stacey Borden : Inhumane conditions in prisons!!!!
11:35:15 From Andrea James : Yes it will
11:35:56 From Rachel Roth : New code of ethics follows the United Nations in prohibiting the design of solitary confinement cells: https://www.aia.org/press-releases/6356669-aia-board-of-directors-commits-to-advanc in
11:35:57 From Andrea James : I hope the Design Board will read the chat throughout
11:36:06 From Elijah Patterson : Please ask your board lawyer how you can reopen your vote on DSB 21-06 DOC2106 today.
11:36:10 From Stacey Borden : What were your ethical standards?? What codes to do you by?? What type of Human Beings would want to continue to participate in this idea??
11:36:24 From Elijah Patterson : DBS 21-01*
11:36:41 From Andrea James : I’m still trying to understand how you voted prior to this
11:36:53 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^
11:37:14 From Andrea James : What say you Roberto Melendez? We haven’t heard where you stand
11:37:17 From Elijah Patterson : ^^
11:37:24 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^
11:37:30 From Olivia Feldman : he looked away does he even care?
11:38:36 From jude glaubman : This board is replicating the recent impeachment trial where there was no interest in hearing what really happens but only in voting to extend violence and white supremacy. DO BETTER
11:38:44 From Andrea James : Our daughters and grandchildren
11:38:50 From Andrea James : And we shall not allow it
11:39:14 From Stacey Borden : I know its hard for you to hide your true feelings, we see your faces…please know that we see you, we hear you and we will not stand by without fighting for the rights of our sisters we left behind!! If you never understand what we and women currently experience while sitting in a cage, we want you to know once you make your decision, it will be something you have to live with for the rest of your lives
11:39:21 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : I think I'm still muted

11:39:25 From Elijah Patterson : Can't hear you
11:39:26 From Betsey Chace to All panelists : Waiting for an invitation to unmute
11:39:58 From Norma Wassel to All panelists : Could the DSB send me the research that you or DOC has on the efficacy on “trauma-informed design”?
11:40:07 From Andrea James : Like Leslie said…this system of prisons have had plenty of time to make a difference. It’s done nothing to increase public safety. It has caused immeasurable harm to our families and neighborhoods.
11:40:16 From Olivia Feldman : GO BETSEY !
11:40:20 From Andrea James : “Racist, classist violence”
11:40:23 From Olivia Feldman : classist violence is right!
11:40:24 From Stacey Borden : Come on Betsy!
11:40:28 From Norma Wassel to All panelists : My understanding is that this would not need to be a public records request. Thanks - Norma
11:40:34 From Andrea James : Loved and neded
11:40:35 From Norma Wassel to All panelists : normawassel@yahoo.com
11:40:43 From Stacey Borden : Thank you Ruckus!
11:40:45 From Rachel Roth : $117,000/year to incarcerate one woman at MCI-Framingham
11:41:12 From Elijah Patterson : What that money would mean to an incarcerated woman and her family...
11:41:12 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : I have friends in there suffering right now. during the inhumane conditions inside during the COVID pandemic they are latterly being tortured right now. locked in 23 hrs a day. things like a shower are being denied right now. no communication with the their children for DAYS
11:41:31 From Andrea James : $117,000 /year to incarcerate a woman in Framingham and that doesn’t include the human cost to them and their children.
11:41:46 From Stacey Borden : Looks like Roberto Melendez is disturbed with hearing our stories! Yes Roberto, feel it, hear us, We suffered, our women in those cages are suffering, do not be a part of this injustice!
11:41:57 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : food they are providing I would not feed to my dog.
11:42:07 From Andrea James : Dan where do you live?
11:42:23 From Andrea James : Dare you familiar with Black communities in the Commmonwealth
11:42:43 From Stacey Borden : Daniel also looks moved by the truth! What are you feeling right now Mr Carson??
11:42:43 From Andrea James : Are you familiar with the harm Dan that prisons have caused to our people
11:42:45 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : one incarcerated j=man in Shirley max was given a dead mouse handed to him by a Correction Officer for lunch
11:42:47 From Elijah Patterson : Designers, please withdraw your bids
11:42:49 From Elizabeth Whalley : Yes Betsey!
11:42:59 From Andrea James : Please step down from this process
11:43:02 From Ash Trull to All panelists : Thank you Betsey
11:43:05 From Stacey Borden : Thank you Betsy!! You rock!
11:43:09 From Elizabeth Whalley : Please, please withdraw your bids. You have the chance, right now.
11:43:18 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : imagine opening your

bagged lunch and seeing a dead mouse instead of a sandwich
11:43:18 From Andrea James : we look forward to you withdrawing your vote
11:43:28 From Andrea James : There is nothing complicated about liberation and racial justice
11:43:36 From Olivia Feldman : ^^^^^^
11:43:36 From Andrea James : Shame on you all
11:43:39 From Ash Trull to All panelists : Thank you for naming that this process relies on so many people, committees and boards “just doing their jobs” to enable this harm and violence to continue
11:43:40 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : the food labels say not for human consumption
11:43:42 From Annarose Shaver : Thank you to all the actual experts who spoke this morning, and in the chat. Shame on this panel
11:43:42 From Stacey Borden : We aren’t illiterate because some of us are formerly incarcerated! Thats is offensive!
11:43:43 From Anna Nathanson : Shame on you all
11:43:46 From Andrea James : Enjoy your lives
11:43:50 From Olivia Feldman : adjourning without re-doing a vote
11:43:52 From Olivia Feldman : shame on you all
11:43:55 From Andrea James : shame
11:43:57 From Anna Nathanson : SHAME
11:43:57 From Annarose Shaver : shame
11:43:59 From Andrea James : shame
11:44:01 From Olivia Feldman : shame!
11:44:01 From Stacey Borden : Shame
11:44:08 From LESLIE CREDLE to All panelists : shamne
11:44:08 From Olivia Feldman : SHAME ON YOU ALL
11:44:12 From jude glaubman : shame
11:44:13 From Elijah Patterson : We're happy to take questions.

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1010TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 3, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1009th February 17, 2021 meeting was made by Kenneth Wexler seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Liz Minnis	DCAMM
Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Jennifer Gaffney	DOC
Andie Moss	Moss Group
Douglas Knapp	Vanderweil
Clair Colburn	FAA
Jeff Garriga	FAA
David Bostwick	HDR
Susan Wisler	ARC Engineers
Dr. Robin Timme	Falcon Inc.
April Pottorff	CGL Companies
Simone Brogini	Kleinfelder
Harmony Goorley	Falcon Inc.
Harold Levkowicz	HDR
Marayca Lopez	Marayca Lopez – Women's Justice Specialist
Jeff Goodale	HOK
Gerry Guerrero	HDR
Kyle Pelletier	DOC
Kara Penniston	HDR
Robert Fisch	CGL Companies
Pamela Shadley	Shadley Associates
Chad Reilly	HDR
Sean Sanger	Copley Wolff
Stephanie White	HDR
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
John Garcia	Linea 5
Cindy Lucy	Cindy Lucy
J. Williams	J. Williams
Ashley Tarbet	Ashley Tarbet
Rachel Roth	Rachel Roth
Susan Cook	NV5
Mike Brenchley	HDR
Konstantina Perlepe	Konstantine Perlepe
Jara Sturdivant-Wilson	Jara Sturdivant-Wilson
Leila Ray	HOK
Jay Gold	Jay Gold
Elijah Patterson	Black and Pink MA Org.
Laurene Demoy	Studio G Architects
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Crystale Wozniak	Kleinfelder
Lauren Hickey	FAA

Nancy Johnson	Nancy Johnson
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
David Weimer	David Weimer
Ash Trull	Ash Trull
Jordan Mazurek	The Council
Carley Oliveto	CES Engineering
Sara Ruggiero	STV, Inc.
Alan Fried	RCN
Norma Wassel	Norma Wassel
Katy Naples-Mitchell	Katy Naples-Mitchell
Miles McDonald	BVH
Beth Whalley	Beth Whalley
Jeff Goodmonson	ARC Engineers
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Andrea James	The Council
Diane Bruining	CGL Companies
John Lavoie	John Lavoie
Robin Greenleaf	ARC Engineers
Stefanie Grossano	Stefanie Grossano
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Joneisha James	The Council
Zorica Pantic	Zorica Pantic
Sean Sanger	Copley Wolff
Betsey Chace	Betsey Chace
Sashi James (she/her)	The Council
Frank Greene	STV
Andrea Brue	RCN
Allison Rutz	FAA
Mallory Hanora	Justice as Healing
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Balram Chamaria	B Plus A

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Interview: DSB List #21-01, DOC2106, Study and Design of a Correctional Center for Women, Statewide (DCAMM), Fee for Study: $550,000 – Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design is To Be Negotiated

A bid protest was received and given to the AGO for comment; at this time no hearing has been scheduled.
Alan made a few of his own comments regarding this project which have not been reviewed by other members of the Board. The conditions in the Massachusetts prisons are currently unacceptable. The public engagement by all stakeholders including incarcerated women is critically important and should continue throughout this process. There is reasonable cause to believe based on the totality of the conditions, practices and incidents discovered at some of these prisons that the conditions in the Massachusetts Department of Correction prisons violate the 8th Amendment to the US Constitution. The 8th Amendment protects against cruel and unusual punishment. In the November 2020 US attorneys report, it speaks specifically to failures to provide adequate mental health care and supervision which is exasperated by prolonging restricted housing conditions such as solitary confinement.

The Commonwealth is committed to reform as exhibited by the Criminal Justice Reform Bill passed in 2018. It is intended to help the state remove away from incarcerated as punishment and to reduce racial disparities. The state is looking for a firm that focuses on rehabilitation, women's mental and physical health, women's vocational interest and trauma informed perspective. The state wants conditions that are normalized rather than confrontational, that are non-threatening and approachable rather than alienating.

The mission of the DSB is to ensure the Commonwealth receives the highest quality of design services for all public building projects. The DSB is here today to select a firm to evaluate the conditions of several existing facilities and provide conceptual solutions on how the state might address these challenges. Any conclusion of this study that recommends renovations or construction must return to the DSB for review before moving forward. The strategic plan, advertised concurrently, will outline how DOC can best utilize its resources to serve the Commonwealth's incarcerated women, their families, the staff and the public by examining multi-faceted issues and opportunities. The strategic plan will develop goals, strategies and desired outcomes for achieving more effective and response to custody, care and programming for those under DOC supervisor to prepare them for safe and successful re-entry into the community.

Rebecca, Ilyas, Elise endorsed Alans thoughts regarding this project.

On Wednesday February 17, 2021, the Designer Selection Board conducted a preliminary review of the original five (5) submissions for the study and design of a Correctional Center for Women. Today, the Board interviewed the following firms for this project:

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
HDR Architecture, P.C.
Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc.

B. Public Comments

The following requested to speak to the Board in advance and were given 2 minutes each to address their comments in opposition to building a new Correctional Facility for Women before the vote was taken by the Board:

1. Mallory Honara – Executive Director of Families for Justice of Healings and member of National Council for Incarcerated and formerly Incarcerated Women and Girls
2. Joneisha (Shashi) James, Assistant to Executive Director, National Council for Incarcerated and Formerly Incarcerated Women and Girls
3. Norma Wassel – National Association of Social Works, Massachusetts Chapter
4. Jordan Mazurek, The Council

C. Project Voting and Ranking

All three finalists displayed considerable skills and similar experiences with this type of project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the firms below ranked in the following order:

HDR Architecture, P.C.
99 High Street, Suite 2300
Boston, MA
(20 points)

Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc.
550 West C Street, Suite 1200
San Diego, CA
(17 points, with a tie breaker of 5 points)

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
77 North Washington Street, 7th Floor
Boston, MA
(17 points, with a tie breaker of 4 points)

The immediate services to be authorized include existing conditions documentation, study development and prioritization of alternates, schematic design documents, and a certifiable building study report. It is intended that the continued services will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and that DCAMM/DOC will return to the Board for approval of the continued services for design.

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the ranked firms mentioned above for the Women's Correctional Center project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:27 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 3, 2021 by Kenneth Wexler, seconded by Jessica Tsymbal. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 17, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire D. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1011TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 17, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1010th March 3, 2021 meeting was made by Elise Woodward seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Karen Merril	Westfield State University
Maureen Socha	Westfield State University
Jason Florek	Westfield State University
Gary Duggan	Westfield State University
David Riggles	Westfield State University
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Valerie Puchades	GUND Partnership
Jessica Brown	EDM
Robin Greenleaf	ARC Engineers
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Katherine Klepacki	Kuhn Riddle
Joel Goodmonson	ARC Engineers
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Rob Smedberg	Tighe Bond
Jacquie Hughes	BER Engineering
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Susan Elmore	CambridgeSeven
Dorrie Brooks	Jones Whitsett
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Amy Ray	EDM
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Miles McDonald	BVH
Keri Drake	Tighe Bond
Morgan Devlin	LLB Architects
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Tracey O'Connor	SMMA
Nicholas Koulbanis	SMMA
Carley Oliveto	CES Engineers
James Nadeau	ARUP
Kevin Riordon	Dietz Architects
Michael Solomon	WSP
Abdullah Khaliqi	F-T
Jason Curtis	Tighe Bond
William Hardy	Tighe Bond
Darlene Meacham	Tighe Bond

Andrea Baker	CannonDesign
Marion Roosa	Habeeb Architects
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineers
Aarathi Nirmalan	CannonDesign
Steven Habeeb	Habeeb Architects
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Aelan Tierney	Kuhn Riddle
Jeromy Richardson	EDM

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #20-20, 2021-006, Study, Design, Management & Construction for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Westfield State University, Fee: $1,000,000 (House Doctor – 3 contracts), 16 Applicants

Representing Westfield State University were Karen Merrill, Maureen Socha, Jason Florek, Gary Duggan and David Riggles to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following sixteen (16) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Amenta Emma Architects – They have a significant experience with higher education experience. The proposal was well done and easy to read. They specifically addressed the criteria in the advertisement in Section #5.

Beacon Architectural Associates – This was a good application, but Section #5 was light and there was no diversity statement. The proposed team may not meet the requirements for WBE goals of 15%. Their higher education experience was not as strong as the other applicants.

CambridgeSeven – They provided good evaluations and completed a large project at Westfield State University. Westfield had a positive working relationship with them.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc. – They do have money left on their contract with the university. They provided great credentials and project performance.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – This is a WBE firm based in Springfield with all good references and some experience with Westfield State University. Section #5 indicated the type of work required in the advertisement.

EDM Services, Inc. – They provided a strong application with the experience requested in the advertisement.

GUND Partnership – They have a strong team for MBE/WBE. A lot of experience in higher education and experience for larger projects. They took the opportunity in Section #5 to be quite specific on how they would work with Westfield State University.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – They have solid experience in higher education and submitted an impressive application. Provided good references with completed project experience that was requested in the advertisement. Provided a thoughtful articulation in Section #5.

ICON Architecture – They provided terrific client references and very diverse team with specific relevant projects. They would be able to support Westfield State very well. They have a good detailed Section #5.

Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. – They provided very good references. Their response to the evaluation questions were quite good in Section #5.

Kripper Architecture Studio, Inc. – This was not as strong of an application compared to some of the other firms. Did not provide a strong Section #5. It is a small staff with good references with room to improve in their application. The Board would like to see them apply in the future.

Kuhn Riddle Architects – This is a diverse team. Section #5 was specific and very helpful; it showed relevant experience that was mentioned in the advertisement. They do have experience with Westfield.

LLB Architects – They provided a strong Section #5 showing relevant experience in higher education. They have a strong team composition for this project.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This firm is currently working with Westfield and is on campus all the time. They provided relevant work and strong design capability. Section #5 was very complete.

SMMA – This is a larger firm compared to some of the other applicants. They showed some higher education projects and did a good job on Section #5. They certainly have the capabilities for the type of work advertised.

TSKP Studio – This was a good application. They provided very good references and a solid Section #5.

B. Public Comments

No public comment

C. Project Voting and Ranking

All three firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following unranked firms:

EDM Services, Inc.
Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc.
Kuhn Riddle Architects

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the three unranked firms mentioned above for the Westfield State University "House Doctor" project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved. There was a tie breaker of three firms, two of which were selected above, Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. (9 points), EDM Services, Inc. (8 points) and ICON Architecture (7 points).

The immediate services to be authorized include a certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #20-21, 2021-05, Study, Design, Management & Construction for MEP/F, Building and Site Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Westfield State University, Fee: $1,000,000 (House Doctor – 3 contracts), 15 Applicants

Representing Westfield State University were Karen Merrill, Maureen Socha, Jason Florek, Gary Duggan and David Riggles to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following fifteen (15) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – They are currently working at the campus and are almost to the end of their current contract. They have done a great job on campus. They provided a very strong proposal with a good Section #5. Their MBE/WBE was very responsive.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – They had good references and a strong focus on energy efficiency and sustainability in their Section #5.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – Section #5 did not show enough specifics compared to the other applicants. They have the experience, but the documentation was not detailed enough especially in their resumes.

Building Engineering Resources, Inc. – Their application showed good attention to detail in Section #5 specifically their MEP experience with Chapter 149 and sustainability.

BVH Integrated Services, P.C. – They showed strong experience and good credentials for the project team. They are currently working at the campus.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – This application was submitted out-of-order; some of the members did not appreciate the re-order of the application. It would be preferable to show an integrated application; maybe this is shows unfamiliarity with Autocene platform. The specification consultant is in-house although experience in the resume did not show specifications.

Cannon Design, Inc. – Westfield State enjoyed working with them on campus. They are an extraordinary and very talented group of individuals. The charts in the resumes were very helpful. They had a good diversity statement. The Section #5 was thoughtful and convincing.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – They were subconsultant on a few of the teams for the architectural proposals previously submitted but not on any of the teams that were selected. Westfield has been happy with their performance on the campus. Section #5 showed a nice use of case study examples.

EDM Services, Inc. – This is one of the prime architectural firms previously selected for the architectural house doctor project. This is a good firm with relevant experience.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – They have a good listing of DCAMM experience. Section #5 could have been stronger compared to other firms.

Hesnor Engineering Associates, PLLC – They do not have a strong MBE/WBE participation. They have relevant house doctor experience.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – They did not show a level of higher education experience compared to the other firms. It would have been nice to see a more integrated application. They were not responsive to Section #5.

Tighe & Bond Designer Services, Inc. – This is a solid application with relevant higher education experience. They do not have a strong MBE/WBE participation.

VAV International, Inc. – This is a small firmed that teamed with RFS and Owl which showed they have ensured coverage of experience. Section #5 showed relevant projects.

WSP USA – This is a large firm with very strong credentials. They also have an office in Worcester.

E. Public Comments

No public comment

F. Project Voting and Ranking

All three firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following unranked firms:

Architectural Engineers, Inc.
BVH Integrated Services, P.C.
CannonDesign, Inc.

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the three unranked firms mentioned above for the Westfield State University "House Doctor" project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services to be authorized include a certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:42 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 17, 2021 by Janice Bergeron, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 31, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire D. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD - DRAFT

MINUTES OF THE 1012TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 31, 2021 AT 8:30 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1011th March 17, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Howard LaRosee	MassArt
Scott Calisti	DCAMM
Michael Coleman	Beacon Architecture
Patrick Hayes	Beacon Architecture
Peter Byerly	Beacon Architecture
J. Michael Sullivan	Beacon Architecture
Lt. Jeremy Dugan	Mass Air National Guard
Andrew Lapp	DCAMM
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Diana Ostberg	SAAM
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Susan Cook	NV5
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Jesse Saylor	TSKP
Diana Nicklaus	SAAM

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Request for Extension of House Doctor Fee for Miller Dyer Spears
Massachusetts College of Art
DSB List #11-06, Item #1
Fee Extension: $70,111

Howard LaRosee was present to explain the extension of the fee request for Miller Dyer Spears and answer questions from the Board.

In accordance with the provisions of the M.G.L. Chapter 7C, sec. 52, the Designer Selection Board voted to exercise its authority to approve the extension of fee with Miller Dyer Spears and allow Massachusetts College of Art and Design to increase the contract fee to $70,111 for the above referenced project.

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to approve the extension of fee request for $70,111 to Miller Dyer Spears, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

B. Informational Interview

Patrick Hayes, Peter Byerly, Michael Coleman and J. Michael Sullivan all from Beacon Architectural Associates met with the Board to discuss their firms' experience and learn the Designer Selection Board process.

C. DSB List #21-04, AXQD189512, Relocate Main Gate Complex, Barnes Air National Guard Base, 175 Falcon Drive, Westfield, Massachusetts Air National Guard (MANG), ECC: $5,500,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study is $54,000 and Final Design Fee is To Be Negotiated, 3 Applicants

Lt. Jeremy Dugan from Massachusetts Air National Guard was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following three (3) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

SAAM Architecture – This was a well-developed application and resume experiences of the Prime firm are aligned with the requested criteria in the advertisement. The structural engineer has the expertise with the type of military experience. They provided a strong diversity statement.

Olinger Architects – This is not a strong application compared to the other applicants. Their expertise is primarily higher education and mixed-use projects. The application would have been stronger if Olinger submitted more relevant experience or discussed how their experience would benefit this project.

DHK Architects – They provided good evaluations and references. Their experience focused on military projects in Reading. The order of their presentation was confusing and difficult to evaluate.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

All three firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following ranked firms:

SAAM Architecture (27 points)
DHK Architects (18 points)
Olinger Architects (9 points)

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the three ranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts Air National Guard project in Westfield, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications and certifiable buildings study. It is intended that the continued services will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c. 7C.

5. <u>MOTION TO ADJOURN</u>: The Board adjourned at 10:01 AM

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 31, 2021 by Elise Woodward, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

6. <u>NEXT MEETING</u>:

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 14, 2021 at 8:30 AM via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD - DRAFT

MINUTES OF THE 1013TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY APRIL 14, 2021 AT 8:35 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect (left at 9:15AM)
	Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect (Chaired meeting)
	Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
	Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
	Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
	Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
	Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:		
	Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
	Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
	David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1012th March 31, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Rodney Jacques	William Starck Architects, Inc.
Geoff Northrup	William Starck Architects, Inc.
Jason Kruckas	DCAMM
James Latini	DCAMM
Jeffrey Dill	DCAMM
Jessica Brown	EDM
Michael Coppola	Liro
Steven Medeiros	Civitects
Martin Vickey	Crowley Engineering
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Cheryl Buttler	F-T
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Caitlin Daniels	CBI
Crystale Wozniak	Kleinfelder
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Laura Petreszyn	CHA Companies
Miles McDonald	BVH
Deanne McGuinness	McGuinness Group
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineering
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Debbie Yelle	Kleinfelder
Lisa Ferolito	Charter
Brianna Sullivan	William Sloan
Jeffrey DeVeau	STV
Chris Nordberg	STV
Diane Donaghey	NV5
Conrad Hertz	RMF
Abdullah Khaliqi	F-T
Christina Silvestro	Liro
Mary Martin	Dyer Brown
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Simone Brogini	Kleinfelder
Mitch DeWein	CHA Companies
Brian Novelline	Liro
Laura Woodman	RMF
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Stephanie Cronin	Middlesex3
Mike Sears	NV5

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview

Rodney Jacques and Geoff Northrup both from William Starck Architects, Inc. met with the Board to discuss their firms' experience and the Designer Selection Board process.

B. DSB List #21-05, #DCP2139AD1, Study and Design for Architectural Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, DCAMM, Statewide, Fee: $500,000 (House Doctor), 21 Applications

Representing DCAMM were Jeffrey Dill, Jason Kruckas and James Latini to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twenty-one (21) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Amenta Emma Architects – They had a strong application. The responses to the evaluation were specific and the diversity matrix in Section #5 showed the shared experience of the team members.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates – This was a good application and they are qualified to perform the tasks requested in the advertisement. They had a good diversity statement.

CBI Consulting, LLC – They provided good references. This was an excellent application with relevant work experience.

Civitects, PC – The prime has shown mostly school experience but did have a diversified team of consultants with broader experience. They provided several positive references. This application is not as strong as some of the other firms.

DHK Architects – They provided a strong diversity statement. Their evaluations were strong. The resumes were not informative and did not provide any reference in the resumes to their in-house specification and code consultant.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – They have provided good references and a strong, diverse team. This was a good application. Section #5 thoughtfully responded to the criteria requested in the advertisement. They have several "house doctor" experiences.

Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc. – They presented strong client references. The diversity statement was good. They did not present small project experience but do have the capability to perform them.

EDM Services, Inc. – They provided a nice chart in response to the project criteria. Their response to sustainability experience was a little weak. They have the experience but should have given more detail in Section #5.

Edward Rowse Architects, Inc. – The resumes and prime experience seem to be a catalog of work experience and not much detail on their projects. Section #5 was not as strong as other applicants.

Habeeb and Associates Architects – This was a strong application with good qualifications. They provided good agency evaluations. Their resumes were tailored to the criteria requested in the advertisement. Section #5 was very strong.

ICON Architecture – They provided a good diverse team with excellent references. The resumes for the prime firm could have provided more detail. The chart submitted was hard to read and should have been more defined.

Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc. – This is a large firm with a Boston office. They have good evaluations. This firm does not fit the description of smaller firms that DCAMM requested. They had a good Section #5.

LLB Architects – The resumes show relevant project experience but should have provided more detail. They provided good references.

McGuinness Group – They provided excellent client references. This application was hard to navigate. Section #5 was not as strong as other applicants.

Overunder – This was a good application and improved from their previous applications submitted. The prime experience was primarily private clients and mostly planning projects.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC – This firm provided excellent client references. The MBE is stronger than the WBE participation. Section #5 was good and addressed the criteria.

RGB Architects – They provided a good response to all the criteria except for the sustainability. They have the relevant experience for this project.

STV, Inc. – This was a very strong application. They have experience working with DCAMM. The diversity statement provided was good.

Touloukian Touloukian, Inc. – This is a newer firm. They provided excellent client references. They have relevant house doctor experience. Section #5 met all the requirements in the criteria.

William Sloan Associates – They provided relevant experience for the prime and subconsultants. Section #5 was good.

Winslow Architects – This is a new firm. Most of the demonstrated experience was for housing. This was not a strong application and did not reflect the requirements in the criteria.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "house doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following three (3) unranked firms:

Habeeb and Associates Architects
STV, Inc.
Touloukian Touloukian, Inc.

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the three unranked finalists mentioned above for the DCAMM Architectural "House Doctor" project, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $500,000.

E. DSB List #21-06, #DCP2138AD1, Study and Design for Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, DCAMM, Statewide, Fee: $500,000 (House Doctor), 23 Applications

Representing DCAMM were Jeffrey Dill, Jason Kruckas and James Latini to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twenty-three (23) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

AKAL Engineering, Inc. – They did not respond to the criteria requested in the advertisement. There were some elements that were done well but Section #5 was not strong.

Arora Engineers, Inc. – The prime experience was focused on Massport and MBTA experience. Their subconsultant team covered the DCAMM experience. Section #5 was not as strong as other applicants.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – They provided strong client references. This was a good application with relevant experience. The resumes showed an experience chart with good detail.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – The prime and other team member resumes could have shown more detail. Section #5 was responsive and showed relevant experience.

BVH Integrated Services, P.C. – They provided good references. This was a good application. The resumes were responsive, and Section #5 was nicely done. The diversity statement was good.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – They have good references. The resumes were detailed and have relevant DCAMM experience. They named Habeeb as the architect who was selected as an architectural house doctor. This was a good application.

Clough Harbour and Associates, LLP – This application was confusing to navigate. The response to the criteria does explain how they will use the alternate firms listed. They do have the relevant experience.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – They have relevant experience with DCAMM. Section #5 provided all the criteria requested in the advertisement.

DiGiorgio Associates, Inc. – This was a confusing application. They provided a strong client reference.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – They provided excellent references. This was a strong application. They provided in-house specification and code expertise which is well described in their resumes. Section #5 was strong and well detailed.

Garcia, Galuska, DeSousa, Inc. – Their MBE and WBE was not strong. This application was hard to navigate.

Hesnor Engineering Associates, PLLC – This is a smaller engineering firm with excellent relevant experience. They addressed all the criteria in Section #5.

Norian Siani Engineering, Inc. – They have experience with DHCD house doctor projects. Their references are good. This application was difficult to navigate, and Section #5 was not as strong as other applicants.

Pristine Engineers, Inc. – They presented good client references. This was a good application with a strong response to Section #5.

R.W. Sullivan Engineering – They have excellent references. The provided in-house code consulting which was mentioned in their resume. They have relevant experience but did not respond thoroughly to Section #5.

RDK Engineers/NV5 – They provided relevant DCAMM experience. The client references are good. Section #5 was strong. This was a good application.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, PC – Some of the evaluations were good but it would have been nice to see the dates of the evaluations so the Board could see the improvement of the firm. Section #5 had a good response to the criteria in the advertisement.

RMF Engineering, Inc. – They provided a strong response to the project criteria in Section #5. They have the relevant experience for this project.

Shekar and Associates, Inc. – They have good evaluations and client references. Section #5 had good response. This was a strong application.

SMRT Architects and Engineers – Their response to Section #5 was good with a nice diversity statement. They have the relevant experience for this house doctor project.

STV, Inc.- This was a strong application. They have relevant house doctor experience.

VAV International, Inc. – They have relevant project experience. Their Section #5 answered all the criteria requested in the advertisement. This was a strong application.

WSP USA – They provided good client references. They have qualified relevant experience. Section #5 responded to the criteria in the advertisement.

F. Public Comments

No public comment

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this “house doctor” project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following six (6) unranked firms:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
BVH Integrated Services, P.C.
Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
Hesnor Engineering Associates, PLLC
RDK/NV5
VAV International, Inc

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the six unranked finalists mentioned above for the DCAMM MEP “House Doctor” project, seconded by Kenneth Wexler. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $500,000.

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:32 AM**

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of April 14, 2021 by Janice Bergeron, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 28, 2021 at 8:30 AM via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Ricks

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1014TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY APRIL 28, 2021 AT 8:35 A.M, VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1013th April 14, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Michelle Apigian	ICON Architecture
Ned Collier	ICON Architecture
Beth Wilson-Shunta	NBBJ
David Martin	NBBJ
Cathy Bell	NBBJ
Alex Krieger	NBBJ
Rick Jones	Jones Architecture
Marc Perras	Jones Architecture
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Jim Falvey	Arora Engineers

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview

The following firms met with the Board to discuss their firms' experience and the Designer Selection Board process:

- ICON Architecture
- NBBJ
- Jones Architecture

B. Board Business

Displaying the cover page of an application - The DSB discussed leveraging the platform to display the cover page of the application for each firm applying for a project. The Board will be implementing a new procedure at its public meetings starting May 12, 2021. The first page (cover) of a firm's application being reviewed will be displayed during DSB meetings. A notification to all designers registered in Autocene will be emailed regarding this new procedure.

Informational Interviews - Firms are strongly encouraged to request an informational interview with the Board. The Board would like to see the following information from the firms at the beginning of their presentation. The Board also requested, if any, a most recent application that the firm submitted to be uploaded into the Boardbook.

- ✓ Has the firm applied to the DSB in the past two-years
- ✓ What types of projects did the firm apply for
- ✓ Provide any awards/appointments from the DSB

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:59 AM

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of April 28, 2021 by Elise Woodward, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, MAY 12, 2021 at 8:30 AM via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Ricks

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1015TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY MAY 12, 2021 AT 8:30 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:36 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect (until 9:15 a.m.)
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1014th April 28, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved. Jessica Tsymbal abstained.

3. VISITORS:

Paul Sousa	Atane Consulting
Steven Watchorn	CBI Consulting
Chris Milne	Joe the Architect
William Slade	Atane Consulting
Joe Stromer	Joe the Architect
Arno Skalski	CBI Consulting
Quaiser Hashmi	Atane Consulting
Alex Siekierski	Joe the Architect
Caitlin Daniels	CBI Consulting
Walter Fuller	Atane Consulting
Haris Khan	Atane Consulting
Alberto	Joe the Architect
Michael Teller	CBI Consulting
Ashley Horan	TSKP
JimFalvey	Arora Engineers
Khalil Mogassabi	Cambridge Seven
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview

The following firms met with the Board to discuss their firms' experience and the Designer Selection Board process:

- Joe the Architect
- CBI Consulting (Vidaris)
- Atane Consulting

B. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:43 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of May 12, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Jessica Tsymbal. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, MAY 26, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1016TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY MAY 26, 2021 AT 8:30 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Jessica Tsymbal, AIA, LEED AP	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

None

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1015th May 12, 2021 meeting was made by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

William Pevear	William Pevear Architects
Alexandra Dorn	William Pevear Architects
Dennis Swinford	U/Mass Boston
Michael Kearns	U/Mass Boston
Carl Erickson	U/Mass Boston
Shaun Curry	U/Mass Boston
Katherine Faulkner	Westfaulkner
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Antonio Leite	DCAMM
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
Chris Iwerks	BIA Studio
Mary Martin	Dyer Brown
Chris Nordberg	STV, Inc.
Diane Milliken Schless	Thornton Tomasetti
Miles McDonald	BVH
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Hannah Cane	Overunder
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Keith Campbell	Next Phase Studio
Alisa Kahn	Studio MLA
Lateffa Curry	SLAM Collaborative
Mark Pasnik	Overunder
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Emily Hartmann	Gensler
Karen Reichenbacher	STV, Inc.
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Jessica Bell	FMA
Robert Hicks	Stantec
Tori Ellis	NV5
Natalia Gilio	Stantec
Robin Greenleaf	ARC Engineers
Marcus Springer	Overunder

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview

Bill Pevear and Alex Dorn, both from William Pevear Architects, met with the Board to discuss their firms' experience and the Designer Selection Board process.

B. DSB List #21-09, UMB-21-HD-1, Study & Design of Architectural Repairs, Renovations and Upgrades, 100 Morrissey Blvd., Boston, Fee: $1,000,000 House Doctor – 4 contracts, 16 Applicants

Representing U/Mass Boston were Shaun Curry, Michael Kearns, Carl Erickson and Dennis Swinford to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the sixteen (16) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project:

NBBJ did not include Section #4 for all subconsultants requested in the advertisement. On a motion to disqualify NBBJ by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Jessica Tsymbal. Motion was approved to disqualify NBBJ. Daniel Carson and Ken Wexler objected to this motion. David Chappell and Ilyas Bhatti abstained.

The following fifteen (15) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

The S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc. – They have prior house doctor experience with U/Mass Boston. The Prime and subconsultants have strong higher educational experience. They provided good references and Section #5 was good.

STV, Inc. – They provided good references and resumes had strong relevant experience for this house doctor project.

Stantec Architecture & Engineering, P.C. – They are using in-house people and the PIC and PM have the relevant experience for this house doctor project.

Overunder – This was a good application with relevant experience.

Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects (Studio MLA Architects) – They provided a strong diversity statement and did an excellent job on Section #5. They have limited higher education experience but their subconsultants do have the relevant experience.

Margulies Perruzzi Architects, Inc. – They are a well-qualified mid-size firm and provided a good proposal.

Linea 5, Inc. – They submitted excellent references and provided a diverse team with a strong diversity statement. This was a good application.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This was an excellent proposal. Their resumes included the experience of the in-house laboratory and athletics.

ICON Architecture – They provided good references. This was a very well organized and strong proposal.

Gensler – There was no athletic planning resume submitted. They have prior experience with U/Mass Boston. Jessica Tsymbal made a motion to remove this application from being considered for missing athletic planning resume and seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Elise Woodward and Ken Wexler voted not to remove from consideration. Ilyas Bhatti, David Chappell and Janice Bergeron abstained from this vote. This motion was not approved, and Gensler has been determined to be considered for this project.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – This was a strong application with relevant experience. Section #5 was good. They do have prior experience with U/Mass Boston.

Ellenzweig – They provided a very strong proposal with relevant experience for this house doctor. Section #5 was good and tied in the experience and examples to the people in the proposal.

Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc. – They had a strong diversity statement and are well qualified to participate in this type of house doctor project.

Cannon Design – They have experience working at the U/Mass Boston campus.

Amenta Emma Architects – This application would be stronger with specific accomplishments described in Section #5.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "house doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following four (4) unranked firms:

Ellenzweig
ICON Architecture
Jones Architecture, Inc.
Overunder

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the four unranked finalists mentioned above for the U/Mass Boston Architectural "House Doctor" project, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $1,000,000.

E. Farewell to Jessica Tsymbal

The Board thanked Jessica for serving as an architectural member to the DSB for over 3 years. Jessica was presented with a citation from the Governor which will be sent to her home and a donation from individual board members was made to the Cancer Research Institute charity in memory of her son Noah. A photo book from DCAMM will be sent to Jessica with quotes from the Board.

F. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:07 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of May 26, 2021 by Jessica Tsymbal, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 9, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1017TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JUNE 9, 2021 AT 8:30 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Robero Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1016th May 26, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Susan Goldfischer	DCAMM
Charles Kelsey	DCAMM
James Latini	DCAMM
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Ashley Horan	TSKP
John Garcia	Linea 5
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Brooke Wilson	CHA Companies
Kathleen Colwell	CI Methuen
Mitch DeWein	CHA Companies
Tori Ellis	Tori Ellis
Khalil Mogassabi	New DSB Architect Member
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Allison Puzycki	CES Engineers
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineering
Aarathi Nirmalan	Cannon Design
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Carley Oliveto	CES Engineering

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Board Business

Ellenzweig sent a letter to the Board regarding the inconsistencies of firms being disqualified for missing certain information in the application process.

The Board will review M.G.L. c7C Section 48 and discuss firms being disqualified at one of the scheduled board meetings. The Board will also discuss Autocene and how firms may miss information in the application process.

B. Informational Interview with Arcadis

Arcadis experienced technical difficulties and could not conduct their interview. They will be rescheduled for another meeting.

C. DSB List #21-08, MEP New and Existing Building Commissioning Services, DCAMM, Statewide, Fee: $500,000 (House Doctor) – 2 contracts

James Latini from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. James Latini and Susan Goldfischer encouraged the Board to consider all 7 applications to have met the criteria despite questions received during the application submissions process.

The following seven (7) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Cannon Design – They provided good resumes and project descriptions. Although they provided a large team for a relevant small project, their process is well explained in Section #5. They are a competent firm providing experience with building commissioning. This was a strong application.

Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP – They are a well-qualified firm and has relevant project experience with DCAMM. This was a strong application.

Consulting Engineering Services, LC – They showed the diversity of building types that were specifically addressed in the RFP. They provided a good diversity statement and discussed their plan for engagement with their MBE/WBE firms. The PIC and PM had solid "house doctor" experience. This was another strong application.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – They currently have experience with a "house doctor" project with DCAMM. There was not much detail in Section #5.

Richard D. Kimball Co./NV5 – They are currently working on a "house doctor" project with DCAMM. They have addressed all requirements requested in the RFP.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C. – They provided good commissioning references. They have good resumes and relevant project examples. This was a very strong application.

WSP USA – They provided excellent references. Section #5 was strong with relevant project experience. This was a good application.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following two (2) unranked firms:

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C.
WSP USA

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the two (2) unranked finalists mentioned above for the MEP New and Existing Building Commissioning Services "House Doctor" project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Daniel Carson abstained. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is an independent third-party oversight.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $500,000.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:52 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of June 9, 2021 by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 23, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richard

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1018TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JUNE 23, 2021 AT 8:30 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1017th June 9, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Andraya Lombardi	Anser Advisory/Roxbury Community College
Pamela Lomax	Roxbury Community College
Jonathan Salvon	Kuhn Riddle
Charles Roberts	Kuhn Riddle
Aelan Tierney	Kuhn Riddle
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Alisa Kahn	Studio MLA
Martin Vickey	Crowley Engineering
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Justine Kubo	ICON
Sara Ross	Dyer Brown
Kathleen Bradley Colwell	Kathleen Bradley Colwell
Kevin Webb	STV, Inc.
Donna Hulub	RDK/NV5
Jenn Weiss Donovan	Anser Advisory/Roxbury Community College
Carol Burns	Taylor Burns
Susan Wisler	Architectural Engineers
John Garcia	Linea 5
Mary Martin	Dyer Brown
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Ned Collier	ICON
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineers
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Gabrielle Cole	B2Q Associates
Karen Reichenbacher	STV, Inc.
Kayla Meggy	STV, Inc.
Pamela Merrill	RFS
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Lara Neubauer	DREAM Collaborative
Nadia Zimo	DREAM Collaborative
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Rebecca Maloney	RFS
Allison Puzycki	CES Engineering
Jennifer Cardettino	Linea 5
Nick Brooks	DREAM Collaborative

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Board Business

The Board welcomed the newest architect member Khalil Mogassabi who replaced Jessica Tsymbal.

Elise requested the following be posted to the Boardbook and be added to the Board Business for July 7, 2021:

- Introduction to the Board
- Discussion on disqualifications of applications

If there are any items that members would like to be discussed at one of the meetings, please contact Alan or Elise.

B. Informational Interview with Kuhn Riddle Architects

Representing Kuhn Riddle were Aelan Tierney, Jonathan Salvon and Charles Roberts to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

C. DSB List #21-11, #RCC2021-01ARC, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, 1234 Columbus Avenue, Roxbury Community College, Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor) – 4 contracts, 13 Applicants

Pamela Lomax from Roxbury Community College and Andraya Lombardi from Anser Advisory representing Roxbury Community College were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following thirteen (13) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Amenta Emma Architects – This was an excellent application and they responded well to the criteria for selection.

CBI Consulting LLC – The prime team has higher education experience with specific project examples. They provided good references. Overall this was a great application.

DHK Architects – This firm does not have a lot of higher education experience. Their engineering subconsultant RDK/NV5 has experience requested in the advertisement.

DiNisco Design – They provided good references and submitted a range of projects as requested in the advertisement. Section #5 was well done. This was a good application in responding to the criteria.

DREAM Collaborative – This is a good firm, but the higher education experience was smaller compared to other firms. Their sub-consultants had strong higher education experience.

Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc. – This is a good firm with relative experience in higher education. They have provided good references. Section #5 was good with a strong diversity statement.

ICON Architecture – They are very familiar with Roxbury Community and have relative experience in higher education. This was strong application.

Johnson Roberts Associates – They showed strong experience throughout their application.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – They have a current contract with Roxbury Community College. They provided good qualifications and Section #5 was well written. This was an excellent application.

Linea 5, Inc. –Their resumes were general and not project specific. Section #5 was not integrated.

Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects – Studio MLA – This was a good application and provided a good diverse team. Section #5 was strong.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, P.C. – This is a strong application and Section #5 showed relative expertise. They have higher education experience.

STV, Inc. – This is a strong application and a complete Section #5. They acknowledged they are big firm and showed how they would cope with smaller projects. Their sub-consultants are good.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following four (4) unranked firms:

DiNisco Design
Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc.
ICON Architecture
Jones Architecture, Inc.

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Roxbury Community College Architectural "House Doctor" project, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $750,000.

F. DSB List #21-12, #RCC2021-01ARC, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, 1234 Columbus Avenue, Roxbury Community College, Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor) – 4 contracts, 13 Applicants

Pamela Lomax from Roxbury Community College and Andraya Lombardi from Anser Advisory representing Roxbury Community College were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following thirteen (13) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – They provided good references and evaluations. Section #5 was well organized. This was a strong application.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant experience and a great team of sub-consultants.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – The diversity statement was complete but just listed their credentials and was not strong.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – This was a strong application. Section #5 showed good examples with graphics.

Consulting Engineering Services, Inc. – This was a good application with a strong team.

Fitzemeyer and Tocci Associates, Inc. - This was an excellent application. They are a well-rounded firm with relevant higher education experience.

Garcia Galuska DeSousa, Inc. – This was an excellent application. The team has good credentials with a wide variety of experience. They had an excellent response to the criteria in Section #5.

Richard D. Kimball/NV5 – They have relevant higher education experience. This was a good application.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C. – This was a strong firm and good application. They provided relevant higher education experience.

Stantec Architecture & Engineering, P.C. – They are a multi-disciplinary firm with experience at Roxbury Community College. Section #5 was not as strong as some of the other applications.

STV, Inc. – Section #5 was well presented. This was another good application.

VAV International, Inc. – They are a small to mid-size firm with relevant project experience. This was a good application.

Weston & Sampson Engineers, Inc. – This firm has good experience but did not focus on higher education experience in their application.

G. Public Comments

No public comment

H. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following four (4) unranked firms:

Architectural Engineers, Inc.
Fitzemeyer and Tocci Associates, Inc.
Richard D. Kimball/NV5
VAV International, Inc.

Motion was made by Martha Blakey Smith to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Roxbury Community College MEP "House Doctor" project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $750,000.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:12 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of June 23, 2021 by Kenneth Wexler, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1019TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JULY 7, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1018th June 23, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Curtis Edgin	Caolo & Bieniek
Bertram Gardner	Caolo & Bieniek
Joseph DeLisle	Mass Bay Community College
Sharon Williams	Mass Bay Community College
Shanna Redmond	DHCD
James McCurdy	DHCD
Caitlin Daniels	CBI Consulting
Lara Neubauer	DREAM Collaborative
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Jeffrey DeVeau	STV, Inc.
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Philip Tringale	Habeeb Architects
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Carol Burns	Taylor & Burns
Vinny Fieg	DREAM Collaborative
John Garcia	Linea 5
Morgan Devlin	LLB Architects
Keith Campbell	Next Phase Studios
Doreen Bennett	BWA Boston
Alisa Kahn	Studio MLA
Tiffany Wright	LLB Architects
Katie Gething	DHK
Mary Martin	Dyer Brown
Ahmed Idris	BWA Boston
Kathleen Bradley Colwell	Kathleen Bradley Colwell
Steven Habeeb	Habeeb Architects
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Sara Ross	Dyer Brown
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Miles McDonald	BVH

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Exemption: Department Housing and Community Development (DHCD)

In accordance with M.G.L. Chapter 7C §46 (b) the Designer Selection Board voted unanimously to approve the two-year exemption for DHCD, commencing August 1, 2021 through July 31, 2023. Motioned by Elise Woodward, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The Board has requested documentation of all the exemptions that the Board reviews. They also requested an outline of the statute and criteria for approving the agency exemptions. Bill will contact legal counsel and will discuss at a future Board meeting.

B. Informational Interview with Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc.

Representing Caolo & Bieniek Associates were Curtis Edgin and Bertram Gardner to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

C. DSB List #21-13, #21-12, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Massachusetts Bay Community College, 50 Oakland St., Wellesley Hills, Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor) – 5 contracts, 17 Applicants

Joseph DeLisle and Sharon Williams both representing Massachusetts Bay Community College were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following seventeen (17) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

Amenta Emma Architects – This was a strong application with a diverse team. They provided relevant experience for the prime and sub-consultants. Their Section #5 was well done.

BWA Architecture, Inc. – This was a good proposal. They had relevant experience with a diverse team.

CBI Consulting, LLC – This was another good proposal. They provided relevant experience and a good Section #5.

DHK Architects – They provided a good mix of relevant projects and a diverse team of consultants. Section #5 was well written with a thoughtful response. They had good references.

DiNisco Design – They had a strong and responsive proposal. Section #5 was not tailored to this project. They are a well-qualified diverse team.

DREAM Collaborative – This was a strong application with a diverse team of consultants. They have relevant project experience.

Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc. – They also have a good application with a strong diversity statement. They provided good references.

Edward Rowse Architects, Inc. –They provided similar project experience as requested in the advertisement. This was a good application.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – They had relevant project experience and provided a good diversity statement. This was a good proposal with good evaluations and references.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was a good application. Section #5 was good especially when highlighting the work of their sub-consultants. They provided good references.

LLB Architects – This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience. They provided a good Section #5.

Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects DBA Studio MLA – This was an interesting proposal. They do have limited higher education experience, but their sub-consultants have the relevant experience. They did a good job in Section #5 and showed how they will use their sub-consultants. They would be a good fit for this project.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – The was another good proposal with a diverse team of consultants. They provided relevant team experience. Section #5 was good.

Perkins Eastman – This is a bigger firm and have experience working at Mass Bay Community College. Section #5 was good and provided relevant project experience. They provided strong references in higher education and DCAMM.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC – This was a strong application with relevant experience. Section #5 was good with great detail. They provided strong references.

STV, Inc. – This is a large strong firm. They provided good resumes and Section #5 was good. This was a good proposal.

Taylor & Burns, Inc. – This was a great proposal. They submitted a strong Section #5 with evidence of their work and how they achieved it. They are a good fit for Mass Bay Community College.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following five (5) unranked firms:

DREAM Collaborative
Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects DBA Studio MLA
Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC
STV, Inc.
Taylor & Burns, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the five (5) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts Bay Community College Architectural "House Doctor" project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $750,000.

F. Board Business

The Board discussed the Introduction to the DSB letter. It was noted to add the DSB legal language for the members' liability exposure on the Board, and to record the informal policy for in person participation of members and procedures for certain meetings to be held in Western Massachusetts when the Governor's emergency orders for in person meetings are rescinded.

Application errors will be discussed at the next meeting.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:35 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of July 7, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JULY 23, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1020TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JULY 21, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member (left at 9:15 a.m.)

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1019th July 7, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

David Zenk	Gund Partnership
Christine Verbitzki	Gund Partnership
Valerie Puchades	Gund Partnership
Howard LaRosee	MassArt
Will Ragano	Johnson Roberts
John Garcia	Linea 5
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Miles McDonald	BVH
Chip Sloan	William Sloan
Emily Hartmann	Gensler
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Nadia Zimo	Dream Collaborative
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Martin Vickey	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Donna Hulub	NV5
Carol Burns	Taylor & Burns
Kara Gruss	TSKP
Lauren Hickey	Finegold Alexander
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Joel Goodmonson	ARC Engineers
Mike Sears	NV5
Brianna Sullivan	William Sloan
Gilio Stantec	Stantec
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Carley Oliveto	CES Engineers
Jovani Caraballo	Jovani Caraballo
Sharon Gray	Schwartz Silver
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Robert Hicks	Stantec
Vincent Fieg	Dream Collaborative
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Morgan Devlin	LLB
Lara Neubauer	Dream Collaborative
Caitlin Daniels	CBI Consulting

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Gund Partnership

Representing Gund Partnership were David Zenk and Christine Veritzki to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. DSB List #21-14, #551 MCA 00-1, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Massachusetts College of Art (MassArt), Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor) – 3 contracts, 23 Applicants

Howard LaRosee from Massachusetts College of Art was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Gensler did not meet the Massachusetts ownership requirement. On a motion to disqualify Gensler to be considered for this MassArt project by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Khalil Mogassabi opposed to disqualify. Motion was approved.

The following twenty-two (22) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

William Sloan Associates –They showed that every team member was participating, and the prime was not relying on subconsultants for all the experience. This is a well-integrated team with extensive relevant experience. They have a good relationship with MassArt. This was a very good application.

Utile, Inc. – One of their subconsultants has good experience with MassArt. They provided a good Section #5 with relevant experience requested in the advertisement.

Taylor & Burns, Inc. – They provided a strong diverse team. One of their consultants has experience at MassArt. This was an excellent application.

Stantec Architecture & Engineering – This firm also has experience working at MassArt. They provided the relevant experience as requested in the advertisement. This was an excellent application.

Schwartz Silver Architects – They provided a good Section #5 with relevant experience and focused on design excellence. They provided a good diversity statement. This was a good application.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, P.C. – They provided excellent references. They have a good Section #5. This was another strong application.

NADAAA Design, Inc. – They provided a good team with relevant experience for this house doctor project.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This firm has completed a few studies at MassArt and has relevant experience for this project. They provided a good diversity statement and Section #5.

Margulies Perruzzi Architects, Inc. – This team has experience working with MassArt. They submitted a good Section #5. This is another good application.

LLB Architects – This firm provided good client references. They have relevant experience for this house doctor project and submitted a good Section #5. This was a good application.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was another good application. They provided relevant experience with a range of projects of different sizes.

Johnson Roberts Associates – They demonstrated successful relevant projects. This is a good application.

Howeler Yoon Architecture – This firm did not respond well to the criteria for this house doctor project. They did provide relevant experience and they do nice work.

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. – This firm provided a good diversity statement and an excellent Section #5. They provided relevant experience for this house doctor project. This was another good application.

DREAM Collaborative – This is another competitive application and the firm has relevant experience. They provided a good diversity statement and Section #5.

DiNisco Design – This is another good application. They have relevant house doctor experience and provided a good Section #5.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – This firm completed work with MassArt. They provided relevant house doctor experience and a thorough response to the criteria in Section #5. This is another good application.

DHK Architects - This firm has worked with MassArt. They provided a good Section #5 and showed relevant project experience for this house doctor project.

CSS Architects – This firm showed relevant house doctor experience and submitted a good Section #5. This was another good application.

CBI Consulting, LLC – They provided a good Section #5 and have the relevant project experience.

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype – This was a good application with relevant project experience. They provided a good Section #5 in response to the project criteria.

Amenta Emma Architects – They responded well to the criteria in Section #5 and provided relevant project experience. This is another strong application.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following three (3) unranked firms:

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears, Inc.
William Sloan Associates

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts College of Art Architectural "House Doctor" project, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $750,000.

E. DSB List #21-15, #51 MCA 00-2, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Massachusetts College of Art (MassArt), Fee: $750,000 (House Doctor) 3 contracts, 9 Applicants

Howard LaRosee from Massachusetts College of Art was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following nine (9) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

VAV International, Inc. – This team has experience with MassArt. They provided an excellent application.

Shekar & Associates, Inc. – This firm provided excellent client references. They provided relevant house doctor experience and a good Section #5. This is a good application.

Richard D. Kimball/NV5 – This was a very good application with relevant experience and good detail in Section #5.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm provided good references and submitted relevant project experience. This was a solid application.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience. They provided a good Section #5.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – This was another strong application with relevant house doctor experience. They provided good client references. They responded well to Section #5.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – They provided relevant house doctor project experience. This was another solid application.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – They provided good client references. The diversity statement and Section #5 was excellent and very informative. This was another strong application.

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – This was an excellent application. They submitted good evaluations and client references. Their Section #5 was good with relevant project house doctor experience.

F. Public Comments

No public comment

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following three (3) unranked firms:

Architectural Engineers, Inc.
C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc.
Shekar & Associates, Inc.

There was a tiebreaker between B2Q (5 points), C.A. Crowley Engineering (8 points) and Shekar & Associates, Inc. (8 points)

Motion was made by Martha Blakey Smith to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts College of Art Mechanical "House Doctor" project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $750,000.

H. Board Business

No Board business was discussed.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:23 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of July 21, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1021ST MEETING, WEDNESDAY AUGUST 4, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member (left at 11am)

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1020th July 21, 2021 meeting was made by Elise Woodward, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Robert Swain	Amenta Emma Architects
Pawel Honc	Amenta Emma Architects
Beth Pearcy	Amenta Emma Architects
Anthony Amenta	Amenta Emma Architects
Jenna McClure	Amenta Emma Architects
Shaun Curry	UMass Boston
Carl Erickson	UMass Boston
Michael Kearns	UMass Boston
David Torrice	UMass Boston
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Caitlin Daniels	SOCOTEC US
Tom Iskra	BVH
Amanda Kerley	RMF
Margaret Bartholomew	RFS Engineers
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Maria Tatarczuk	AKF Group
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineers
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Lance Brown	AKF Group
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineers
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Gabrielle Cole	B2Q Associates
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Donna Hulub	RDK/NV5
Sara Ruggiero	STV, Inc.
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Amenta Emma Architects

Representing Amenta Emma were Anthony Amenta, Robert Swain, Jenna McClure and Beth Pearcy to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. DSB List #21-16, #UMB-21-HD-2, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Upgrades, UMass Boston, Fee: $1,000,000 (House Doctor) – 4 contracts, 10 Applicants

Shaun Curry, Michael Kearns, Carl Erickson and David Torrice, all from UMass Boston were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Affiliated Engineers, Inc. did not meet the Massachusetts ownership requirement. On a motion to disqualify Affiliated Engineers, Inc. to be considered for this UMass Boston "House Doctor" project by Janice Bergeron, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The following nine (9) applications reviewed by the Board were responsive to the criteria in the advertisement for this project.

Below is a brief summary of discussion:

AI Engineers – Section #5 was not as responsive to the criteria questions requested in the advertisement. This firm is strong on MBE but light on WBE goals. They had a good application but should contact the DSB staff for assistance in some areas of the application.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This was an excellent application with very good references. Their diversity statement was well done and had a thorough response to Section #5.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – A suggestion to this firm was to build out the prime experience in Section #4 with more detail to what the scope of work was and how they approached the project(s). In Section #5 they listed a range of projects that aligned with the evaluation criteria but could have expanded on it with greater detail.

BVH Integrated Services, P.C. – This firm has been a valuable resource to UMass Boston. They have strong relevant experience listed in their application. Section #5 was good and reflected the items that were requested in the project criteria.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – They have relevant project experience and have a strong diversity statement. This was a strong application with good references.

Richard D. Kimball/NV5 – They listed Fennick McCredie as the architect subconsultant who has experience at UMass Boston. This was a strong application with a good Section #5 and excellent references.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C. – Section #5 was complete with excellent detail. This was another strong application.

RMF Engineering, Inc. – This was a strong proposal. Section #5 was specific with relevant experience for this project.

WSP USA – This firm has worked on the UMass Boston Campus for maintenance projects.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this "House Doctor" project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following four (4) unranked firms:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
BVH Integrated Services, P.C.
Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C.
RMF Engineering, Inc.

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMass Boston MEP "House Doctor" project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract and commissioning.

The Maximum Fee per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized, shall not exceed $1,000,000.

E. Board Business

- Introduction to the Designer Selection Board – updated July 22, 2021

The Board had a brief discussion and voted to adopt the attached Introduction to the Designer Selection Board. On a motion by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was unanimously approved.

- Disqualification of Designer Applications Discussion

The Board discussed the disqualifications of designer applications. The Board unanimously agreed that firms would be disqualified if they are missing mandated statutory requirements listed in Chapter 7C Section 48, such as meeting MA Ownership Requirements and not being Massachusetts registered in the disciplines when required for a project. If a firm is missing information that is not statutorily mandated the Board will discuss the issues and each member will consider that information when voting.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:58 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of August 4, 2021 by Elise Woodward, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 18, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Ricker

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1022ND MEETING, WEDNESDAY AUGUST 18, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1021st August 4, 2021 meeting was made by Martha Blakey Smith, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Peter Rose	Peter Rose + Partners
Stani Iordanova	Peter Rose + Partners
Mark Loring	Brooke Charter School
Raul Ripoll Vera	Brooke Charter School
Jim Kolb	STV/Brooke Charter School
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Janet Nolan	Gale
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Ann Keane	Civitects
Mike Sears	NV5
Maureen Sakakeeny	Maureen Sakakeeny
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Peter Rose + Partners

Representing Peter Rose + Partners were Peter Rose and Stani Iordanova to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. DSB List #21-18, Brooke 2021-01, Brooke Charter Schools Roof Replacement and HVAC Upgrades, 190 Cummins Highway, Roslindale, MA 02131, ECC: $2,500,000 - $3,000,000, Design Development and Final Design is To Be Negotiated, 6 Applicants

James Kolb from STV and Mark Loring, Raul Ripoll Vera, both from Brooke Charter School were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

William Starck Architects, Inc. – They have relevant experience for this project. Section #5 was not strong and could have elaborated on more information.

Kaestle Boos Associates, Inc. – They provided a good team for this project and have experience working with Brooke Charter School.

Gale Associates, Inc.- They performed the assessment for Brooke Charter School but never mentioned it in the proposal. They have the relevant experience for this project. Section #5 was not strong, and more detail could have been shown.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This was a strong and thorough proposal. Their sub-consultant has experience with Brooke Charter School.

Civitects, P.C. – This was a good proposal.

CGKV Architects, Inc. – This was good proposal. They have the relevant project experience.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists:

CSS Architects, Inc. (21 points)
CGKV Architects, Inc. (12 points)
Civitects P.C. (7 points)
Gale Associates, Inc. (7 points)

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the Brooke Charter School project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:09 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of August 18, 2021 by Janice Bergeron, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Ricks

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1023RD MEETING, WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 1, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer (Chair at this meeting)
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1022Nd August 18, 2021 meeting was made by David Chappell, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved. Ilyas Bhatti abstained.

3. VISITORS:

Douglas Peterson	Michael Baker International
Genevieve Cahill	Michael Baker International
Eric Tholen	Michael Baker International
Jake Watson	Michael Baker International
Karen Breslawski	Michael Baker International
Katharine Maker	Michael Baker International
David Tudryn	Michael Baker International
Kseniya Slavsky	Anser Advisory/AMSA Charter School
Anne McKinnon	Jacobs
Margaret Wood	Anser Advisory/AMSA Charter School
Patricia Temple	Anser Advisory/AMSA Charter School
Ellen Linzey	AMSA Charter School
Amy Winter	DCAMM
Jeffrey Quick	DOC
Patrick Martin	Context Architecture
Lance Brown	AKF Group
Kevin Webb	STV, Inc.
Samantha Hamphill	Jacobs
Gary Walker	MassDevelopment
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Jessica Cobbs	Rowse Architects
Brittany Grant	Rowse Architects
Mary Martin	Dyer Brown
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Pawel Honc	Amenta Emma
Dena Kavanagh	MassDevelopment
David Choi	Jacobs
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Lauren Hickey	Finegold Alexander
Marketing Ellana	Ellana
Christopher Simmler	Jacobs
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Tiffany K. Wright	LLB Architects
Caitlin Daniels	Socotec
Patrick Scalli	MassDevelopment
Regan Shield-Ives	Finegold Alexander
Robin Whitman	DCAMM
Paul Vilela	Nasrcc

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Michael Baker International

Representing Michael Baker International were Doug Peterson, David Tudryn, Jake Watson, Karen Breslawski, Eric Tholen, Katharine Maker and Genevieve Cahill to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. DSB List #21-17, #21-007, New Bedford State Pier (NBSP), Building #2 Warehouse, 49 State Pier, New Bedford, MA 02740, Massachusetts Development Finance Agency (MDFA), ECC: $1,700,000, FEE for Study/Schematic Design and Final Design is To Be Negotiated, 3 Applicants

Representing MassDevelopment were Christopher Simmler and Anne McKinnon both from Jacobs to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

CBI Consulting, LLC – This firm provided the relevant project warehouse experience for this project as requested in the advertisement. This was a good application.

LLB Architects – This team provided the relevant project warehouse experience for this project. It was noted that they did not have a licensed Industrial Engineer, but they did provide a licensed engineer with industrial engineer experience. This was a good application.

STV, Inc. – This firm was disqualified for not providing a licensed engineer for the Industrial Engineer required in the advertisement. On a motion to disqualify STV, Inc. was by Martha Blakey Smith, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists:

LLB Architects (13 points)
CBI Consulting, LLC (8 points)

Motion was made by David Chappell to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the New State Pier project, seconded by Kenneth Wexler. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications. It is intended that the following continued services will be required of the selected Design Team following completion of the study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c.7C are design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. DSB List #21-19, AMSA-01-2021, AMSA Forest Street Renovation Project, 165, 199 and 201 Forest Street, Marlborough, Advanced Math and Science Academy Charter School, ECC: $11 million exclusive of soft costs and change order contingency, FEE for Study/Schematic Design and Final Design is To Be Negotiated, 3 Applicants

Representing AMSA Charter School were Margaret Wood, Patricia Temple and Kseniya Slavsky, all from Anser Advisory and Ellen Linzey from AMSA Charter School to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

CSS Architects, Inc. – The PM and PIC provided good charter school experience. They submitted good resumes for their team. This was a good application.

Edward Rowse Architects, Inc. – They have good charter school experience but not in Massachusetts. They listed projects in Section #5 but could have added more detail.

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. – This was a strong proposal. They have provided the relevant project charter school experience. Section #5 was good, and they provided excellent references.

F. Public Comments

No public comment

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists:

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. (24 points)
CSS Architects, Inc. (15 points)

Edward Rowse Architects, Inc. (9 points)

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the AMSA Charter School project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

H. DSB List #21-20, DOC2003, DOC Plymouth, MASAC Section 35 Upgrades (DCAMM) Plymouth, ECC: $21,000,000, FEE for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design is To Be Negotiated, 4 Applicants

Amy Winter from DCAMM and Jeffrey Quick from DOC were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

CannonDesign – This was a good application with a good response to Section #5. It was recommended that CannonDesign leave out the "contract clause" from future DSB applications regarding negotiating the contract if awarded.

Dyer Brown & Associates, Inc. – This firm noted seventeen active state projects. They provided excellent references. They did provide an in-house code expert, but the resume did not show much experience. The team has relevant project experience.

Gensler – This was an excellent proposal. They have previous experience with DOC and other types of relevant facilities. They provided strong references.

The S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc. – They did provide relevant experience but not with some of the listed team provided in the application.

I. Public Comments

No public comment

J. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists:

Gensler (21 points)
CannonDesign (12 points with tie breaker at 13 points)
The S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc. (12 points with tie breaker at 11 points)

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the Department of Correction project, seconded by Kenneth Wexler. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications and certifiable building study. It is intended that the following continued services will be required of the selected Design Team following completion of the certifiable study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c.7C are design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

K. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:05 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of September 1, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1024TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 15, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1023rd September 1, 2021 meeting was made by Kathleen Colwell, seconded Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Darguin Fortuna	Flow Design
Marcos Severino	Flow Design
Benjamin Salzberg	Massachusetts Military Division
Stephen O'Connor	DCAMM
Kristin O'Connor	ICO Energy & Engineering
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Diane Donaghey	NV5
David Capaldo	Bond Building
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Allison Puzycki	CES Engineering
Donna Hulub	NV5
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Brett Farbstein	CannonDesign
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Aarathi Nirmalan	CannonDesign
Ashley Horan	TSKP

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Flow Design

Representing Flow Design were Darguin Fortuna and Marcos Severino to discuss their firms' qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. Continuation of Design Services for DSB List #19-41, Project #32, Study & Design of Architectural Repairs, Renovations and Upgrades, Massachusetts Military Division (MMD), Statewide

Benjamin Salzberg, Design and Project Management Branch Chief Construction and Facility Management Office at Massachusetts Army National Guard was present to explain the continuation of design services for the following firms:

- William Pevear Architects, Inc. – Camp Edwards Building 102 – HVAC project, Continued Fee: $125,000
- CSS Architects, Inc. – Braintree Armory – Roof Replacement project, Continued Fee: $125,000

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to approve the continuation of design services for William Pevear Architects, Inc. and CSS Architects, Inc. for the Camp Edwards HVAC project and Braintree Armory Roof Replacement project, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

C. DSB List #21-21, DCAMM2021-MEPCx, MEP New and Existing Building Commissioning Services (DCAMM), Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project Typically less than $50,000,000, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $2,000,000, (House Doctor – 6 contracts) – 11 Applicants

Stephen O'Connor, Deputy Director from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the eleven (11) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project:

ICO Energy & Engineering did not meet Massachusetts Ownership Requirements. On a motion to disqualify ICO Energy & Engineering by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

The following ten (10) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

WSP USA – They provided good references and a strong response to Section #5. This was an impressive application.

Van Zelm Heywood & Shadford, Inc. – Their Section #5 was weak and should have provided more specific information.

SMRT Architects & Engineers – They have provided a well-qualified team. The PIC's resume was not as strong as the PM. They submitted a strong Section #5.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C. – They provided good examples in their prime experience. Section #5 was solid but not as strong as some of the other applicants; they could have elaborated more on the evaluation questions.

Richard D. Kimball Co/NV5 – They had two good commissioning references under the client comments. They had a very strong response to Section #4 and #5. This was another strong application.

FST-HEA, LLC – They provided good commissioning projects. Their PIC's and PM's resumes have solid commissioning experience. Section #5 was weak and did not answer all the evaluation questions.

Consulting Engineering Services, LLC – This was another strong and responsive proposal. They had extensive commissioning experience and a great response to Section #5.

Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP – They had good client references. Section #5 was well done.

CannonDesign – This was another strong application with relevant project experience requested in the advertisement. They provided good references.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – They provided great relevant project experience and strong references. Section #5 was very responsive. This was a strong application.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following unranked finalists:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
CannonDesign
Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP
Consulting Engineering Services, LLC
Richard D. Kimball Co/NV5
WSP USA

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the DCAMM House Doctor project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are an oversight/commissioning agent.

F. Board Business

The scheduled October 6, 2021 DSB meeting has been cancelled.

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:25 a.m.**

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of September 15, 2021 by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Kathleen Colwell. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1025TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 20, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member
Kenneth Wexler	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1024TH September 15, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Marie Sorensen	Sorensen Partners
Joseph DaSilva	MCLA
Bob Fortini	MCLA
Jessica Brown	EDM
Philip Tringale	Habeeb Architects
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Alisa Kahn	Studio MLA
Irene Kang	DCAMM
Kara Bacik Gruss	TSKP
Michael Tyre	Amenta Emma
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineering
Brian Hunter	DiNisco
Emily Hartmann	Gensler
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
David Whitehill	Kliment Halsband
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Informational Interview with Sorensen Partners Architects + Planners, Inc.

Marie Sorensen was present to discuss the firm's qualifications and project experience with the Board.

B. DSB List #21-22, 2021-1, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts (MCLA), North Adams, Available Aggregate Amount: $4,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $1,000,000, (House Doctor – 4 contracts) – 12 Applicants

Joseph DaSilva and Bob Fortini, both from MCLA were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

Amenta Emma Architects, Inc. – This firm has strong relevant experience for this project. They had a strong Section #5 and provided excellent references.

Caolo & Bieniek Associates, Inc. – This was a strong application with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc – This was a strong application. They provided strong relevant firm experience and had good references.

EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC – This was a good application with relevant project experience.

Gensler – This was a great application with strong project experience. They had a good diversity statement in Section #5. It could have included more junior people of the firm that would probably be working on this project.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This was another strong application with relevant project experience. They provided good references and evaluations.

Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. – This was a good application and provided a diverse team. Section #5 was good but did not directly answer some of the items.

Kliment Halsband Architects – They provided a good diverse team of consultants. They are attentive to their client's needs, but they could have been more specific on how they would plan to support and staff this project; it should have been explained in Section #5.

Kuhn Riddle Architects – This was a good application with a great response to Section #5.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This was another good application with good resumes and higher educational experience.

Spencer Sullivan & Vogt – This was not a strong application and showed a lack of experience in higher education. They are a capable firm with municipality experience and provided good references.

TSKP Studio – This was another strong application. They submitted good references and evaluations. They provided relevant project experience and a good Section #5.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists:

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc.
EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC
Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc.
Kuhn Riddle Architects

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the MCLA House Doctor project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. DSB List #21-23, 2021-2, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts (MCLA), North Adams, Available Aggregate Amount: $3,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $1,000,000, (House Doctor – 3 contracts) – 7 Applicants

Joseph DaSilva and Bob Fortini, both from MCLA were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following seven (7) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This was a strong application with great references. They provided an exceptional Section #5.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This was an okay application. They could have included more information about the projects in the Prime Experience Section and Section #5.

EDM Architecture & Engineering PC – This was a strong application.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This was a strong application with good response to Section #5. They have the relevant project experience.

Hesnor Engineering Associates PLLC – This was a good application. They provided relevant experience, good resumes and a strong Section #5.

Tighe & Bond – This was another good application. They have solid relevant project experience. Their Section #5 was strong with demonstrated success.

VAV International, Inc. – They have relevant project experience for this project. This was a good application.

F. Public Comments

No public comment

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
Hesnor Engineering Associates PLLC
Tighe & Bond

Motion was made by Kenneth Wexler to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the MCLA MEP House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications, administration of construction contract and commissioning.

H. Board Business

The Board thanked Ken for serving as a general contractor member to the DSB for over 6 years. Ken was presented with a citation from the Governor which will be sent to his home and a donation was made from the individual board members to the JCC Greater Boston's Annual Charity. A photo book from DCAMM will be sent to Ken with quotes from the Board members.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:13 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of October 20, 2021 by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Kenneth Wexler. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire S. Hester

Approved by: A. Richer

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1026TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 3, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:36 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Rebecca Sherer, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Bill Perkins, Executive Director, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1025TH October 20, 2021 meeting was made by Janice Bergeron, seconded Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved. David Capaldo and Daniel Carson abstained.

3. VISITORS:

Bob Baldwin	QPDCO/Uncommon Charter School Roxbury Prep
John Verrilli	Uncommon Charter School Roxbury Prep
Matthew Rice	SMMA
Stephen Holmes	Quinsigamond Community College
Jim Racki	Quinsigamond Community College
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Liz Minnis	DCAMM
Rob Ricchi	DCAMM
Noel Alexis	Nault Architects
Mila Mendoza	DCAMM
Gladyz Soto	Studio MLA
Jamieson Wicks	North Shore Community College
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
Paola Munoz	FM Architecture
Sayem Khan	William Pevear
Michael Tyre	Amenta Emma
Pawel Honc	Amenta Emma
Sara Ross	Dyer Brown
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Peter Caruso	LPAA
Chris Iwerks	BIA Studio
Caroline Deltoro	LPAA
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
Richard Jones	Jones Architects
George Katsoufis	George Katsoufis
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Andree Witkos	LPAA
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Irene Kang	DCAMM
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Jess Charlap	Perkins Eastman
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Jennifer Shelby	Architectural Engineers
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Abdullah Khaliqi	F-T
Celeste Soares	T2 Architecture
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Evan Warner	STV, Inc.

Jennifer Rios	Dahiannerios
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Tiffany Kajer Wright	LLB
Deacon Marvel	Perkins Eastman
Jessica Bell	FM Architecture
Jessica Knapp	Perkins Eastman
Brian Hunter	DiNisco Design

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Extension of Design Services for SMMA
Redirecting design work from 361 Belgrade Avenue to 69-71 Proctor Street
Advertised As: DSB List #17-01, Item #3, RPCHS 2017, New Uncommon Roxbury Prep Charter High School Facility, Roxbury

Bob Baldwin from QPDCO, John Verrill from Uncommon Charter School and Matthew Rice from SMMA were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

In accordance with the provisions of the M.G.L. Chapter 7C, sec. 52, the Designer Selection Board voted to exercise its authority to approve the extension of design services with SMMA.

B. DSB List #21-24, QCC2021ARCH, Study & Design for General Architectural Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester, Available Aggregate Amount: $2,250,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $750,000, (House Doctor – 3 contracts) – 20 Applicants

Stephen Holmes and Jim Racki both from QCC were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twenty (20) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This firm submitted a very strong diversity statement but with limited relevant experience for the scope of work in this house doctor project. It was a good proposal from a smaller firm. There was good detail in the resumes and Section #5.

Turowski2 Architecture, Inc. – They submitted excellent reviews. This was a responsive application with a good Section #5.

TSKP Studio – The Section #5 was strong, and they provided a statement on how they would deal with emergency response. This was another good proposal.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects PC – They provided strong references. This was a good application with relevant higher education experience.

Nault Architects, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – Section #5 was not strong, and they could have provided more detail throughout the application.

LLB Architects – This was a good application with a strong MEP component. Section #5 was strong.

Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects – This was an excellent application with good references. Section #5 was strong with detailed relevant experience.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This was another strong application. They had a good Section #5 with a detailed diversity statement.

ICON Architecture, Inc. – This was a solid application with strong diversity. They provided great resumes and relevant experience. Section #5 was not strong as the rest of the application.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – They provided good evaluations. This was a strong application with relevant project experience.

Gorman Richardson Lewis Architects – This was good application, but the resumes could have been more detailed.

Gienapp Architects – This was application was not as strong as some of the other applicants. They do have relevant project experience, but the resumes could have been more detailed.

Gensler – They provided good references. This firm is not as strong as the other applicants. They are a large firm with different scale of projects than house doctor experience.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – This was a good application with relevant project experience They have a great response to Section #5.

DiNisco Design – Section #5 did not address all the criteria in their response. This was not a strong application.

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – This was a good application with a diverse team. Section #5 provided good detail.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience. Section #5 was good. They had a strong sustainability section compared to the other firms.

BIA Studio – This firm has relevant project experience in higher education. They provided a strong Section #5. This was a solid application.

Amenta Emma Architects – This was a good application. They were less diverse than other firms submitting for this project.

C. Public Comments

No public comment

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists:

Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects
Nault Architects, Inc.
Pfeufer Richardson Architects PC

Motion was made by Janice Bergeron to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Quinsigamond Community College House Doctor project, seconded by Rebecca Sherer. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. DSB List #21-25, DCP2136, State Transportation Building and MITC – Renovation and Modernization, Boston and Chelsea, Fee for Study: $75,000 for STB and $200,000 for MITC, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design: To Be Negotiated for each building, 6 Applicants

Robert Ricchi from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

Perkins Eastman – This was a very strong application with excellent detail to Section #5. They showed a creative approach to interior design.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was another strong proposal. They are well-qualified for this project. Their interior design also showed creativity. They had a great Section #5.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This was another good application and Section #5.

Dyer Brown and Associates – This was a good application with excellent references. They seem to be relying on AEI for CM at Risk experience. Section #5 was okay but limited on the sustainability section.

Amenta Emma Architects - This was a good application. They provided solid references. The diversity statement was not as strong as other applicants.

AECOM USA of Massachusetts, Inc. – This was another good application. They had a good Section #5 but could have provided more detail.

F. Public Comments

No public comment

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) ranked finalists:

Perkins Eastman (26 points)
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears (14 points)
Jones Architecture, Inc. (6 points)

Motion was made by Rebecca Sherer to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the State Transportation Building and MITC, Chelsea and Boston projects, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications. It is intended that the design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract for continued services will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c7C.

H. Board Business

The Board thanked Rebecca Sherer for serving as an engineering member to the DSB for 4 years. Rebecca was presented with a citation from the Governor which will be sent to her home and a donation was made to her charity from the individual board members to the Food Bank of Western Massachusetts. A photo book from DCAMM will be sent to Rebecca with quotes from the Board members.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:27 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of November 3, 2021 by Rebecca Sherer, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1027TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 17, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer (Chaired meeting for #21-27)
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1026th November 3, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Rick Reney	North Shore Community College
COL Timothy Mullen	Massachusetts Military Division
Jamieson Wicks	North Shore Community College
Irene Kang	DCAMM
Allen Metcalfe	Massachusetts Maritime Academy
Danny Mee	Klopfer Martin
Ned Collier	ICON
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Marisa Breece Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Kenna Therrien	Gienapp Architects
Karen Breslawski	Michael Baker International
Diana Nicklaus	SAAM Architecture
Chad Reilly	HDR
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Ashley Horan	TSKP Studio
Susan Elmore	Cambridge Seven
Sara Ruggiero	STV, Inc.
IID	CSS Architects
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Katie Gething	DHK, Inc.
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Miles McDonald	BVH
Sally Woodson	Gienapp Architects
Diana Ostberg	SAAM Architecture
Harold Levkowicz	HDR, Inc.
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
James Smith	U/Mass Boston
Stephanie Beals	TSKP Studio
Dominick Roveto	HDR, Inc.
Katherine Maker	Michael Baker International
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Antonio Leite	DCAMM
Greg Burchard	Jones Architects
Pawel Honc	Amenta Emma
Emily Hartmann	Gensler
Lateffa Curry	S/L/A/M Collaborative
R Bell	Perkins Eastman
Brian Cherry	Massachusetts Maritime Academy

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #21-26, NSCC 22-1, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Improvements, Danvers and Lynn, North Shore Community College, Available Aggregate Amount: $3,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $750,000, (House Doctor – 4 contracts) – 12 Applicants

Richard Reney and Jamieson Wicks, both from NSCC were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

CSS Architects, Inc. – This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience. North Shore Community College had a positive experience with some of the sub-consultants listed in the application.

Derby Square Architects, Inc. – The PIC and PM were weak in the higher education experience. Section #5 was not as strong as the other applicants. They did make a note in Section #5 that they do not focus on higher education but had the experience to allow them to serve the community college.

DiNisco Design – This was a WBE firm, but the response to the diversity statement was not strong in Section #5. They provided a good mechanical consultant to the team. This was a good proposal.

Gensler – This was a strong application with a tremendous amount of relevant house doctor experience for this project. They provided a good Section #5.

Gienapp Architects – They have done numerous projects at the North Shore Community College. Their resumes could have been enhanced and more detail could have been added to Section #5.

Gorman Richardson Lewis Architects – This was a good application. They provided two project managers, one for interiors and one for envelope work which showed flexibility.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This was a strong application. They provided good references and a strong Section #5 with relevant project experience.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was a WBE firm. They did not provide specific house doctor experience for public work. They did provide good references. Section #5 was good.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – They are currently working on the campus at NSCC. This was a good proposal with a strong team.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was a WBE firm. They provided relevant experience for this project. This was a good application with strong evaluations.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC – This was a good proposal. Section #5 was very strong with detailed experience.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This was another strong proposal.

B. Public Comments

No public comment

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists:

CSS Architects, Inc.
Gensler
Jones Architecture, Inc.
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears

Motion was made by David Chappell to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the North Shore Community College House Doctor project, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #21-27, MMA2201, Science, Technology & Engineering New/Renovated Laboratories, Massachusetts Maritime Academy (MMA), 101 Academy Drive, Buzzards Bay, MA 02532, Fee for Draft Study: $650,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 13 Applicants

Irene Kang from DCAMM and Allen Metcalf from MMA were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board. David Chappell chaired the meeting for this project.

The following thirteen (13) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

Behnisch Studio East, LLP – This was a good application with a strong team. They do not have experience working with MMA and limited public experience.

Cambridge Seven – This was a solid proposal with a strong mechanical firm. They have a variety of higher education experience.

Ellenzweig – This was a strong application with relevant higher education experience. They provided a strong and thorough Section #5.

Gensler – This was another good application but not as strong as other proposals.

Goody Clancy & Associates, Inc. – This was a good proposal with a strong team. They provided a good diversity statement and was very direct to the evaluation questions in Section #5.

HDR Architecture, PC – This was another strong proposal with positive references. They provided a good team of consultants and have the relevant project experience for this project.

ICON Architecture – This was a well-integrated proposal with a list of strong consultants. The PIC, Ned Collier is familiar with the MMA campus while working with another firm. They are currently working on a house doctor project for MMA. They provided strong evaluations.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was a WBE firm. This was not a strong proposal. They had limited public work. They did not address some of the evaluation questions in Section #5.

Perkins Eastman – This was a good proposal with relevant public experience. They provided a strong Section #5.

Perry Dean Rogers Partners & Architects – This was another good proposal. Their diversity statement was not as strong as other applicants. They have worked on house doctor projects at MMA.

Sasaki Architects, PC – This was another good proposal. They demonstrated strong relevant project experience. MMA had a good working relationship with them.

The S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc. – This was a strong proposal in all areas. They demonstrated good project experience.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This was a VBE firm. This application was not as strong as other proposals.

E. Public Comments

No public comment

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two unranked finalists to be interviewed on December 1, 2021:

Ellenzweig
Sasaki Architects, PC

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the unranked interviewees mentioned above for the Massachusetts Maritime Academy project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications. It is intended that the design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract for continued services will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c7C.

G. DSB List #21-28, DCP2136, Study & Design of General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Statewide, Massachusetts Military Division (MMD), Available Aggregate Amount: $6,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $1,000,000, (House Doctor – 6 contracts) – 13 Applicants

COL Timothy Mullen from the MMD was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following thirteen (13) firms met the requirements in the advertisement:

Amenta Emma Architects – This was a strong application with a good Section #5.

Baker and Associates – This was a solid application. They had an excellent response to the evaluation criteria in Section #5. They had relevant project experience for this military house doctor project.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This was a good proposal. Section #5 was specific to the evaluation criteria. They have experience working with the Mass. Military Division.

Derby Square Architects, Inc. – This proposal was not as strong as the other applicants.

DHK Architects – This was an MBE firm and their MEP were a VBE firm. This was a strong application with good references from MMD. They have relevant experience working with MMD.

Edgewood Design Architecture, Inc. – This was a WBE and VBE firm. This was a very small firm that added an enclosure consultant to enhance their team. This was a good proposal.

HDR Architecture, PC – This was a good proposal. The overall firm had military experience but did not demonstrate it in the proposed team.

RGB Architects – This was a VBE firm demonstrating strong military experience. This was a strong application.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This proposal was not as strong as some of the other applicants.

SAAM Architecture – This was a WBE firm. This was one of the stronger proposals submitted with military experience for MMD. They provided excellent references.

SMRT Architects and Engineers – This was another good application that recently worked with MMD. They had positive references from MMD.

STV, Inc. – This was a good application. The prime firm demonstrated military experience.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This was a VBE firm. They have military experience with MMD. This was a good proposal with an excellent response in Section #5.

H. Public Comments

No public comment

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following six (6) unranked finalists:

Amenta Emma Architects
DHK Architects
Edgewood Design Architecture, Inc.
RGB Architects
SAAM Architecture
William Pevear Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Daniel Carson to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts Military Division House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

J. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:26 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of November 17, 2021 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 1, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1028TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 1, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect (for #21-29)
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1027th November 17, 2021 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded Daniel Carson. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Jacob Knowles	BR+A
Michael Davison	RFD
Kim Plunkett	Courts
Kris Bradner	Traverse
Tamar Warburg	Sasaki
Irene Kang	DCAMM
Allen Metcalfe	Massachusetts Maritime Academy
Dave Madigan	vanZelm Engineers
Deborah Myers	DMLA
Fiske Crowell	Sasaki
Dan Dwyer	Sasaki
Michael Lauber	Ellenzweig
Yifaat Ayzenberg	Sasaki
Peter Herman	Ellenzweig
Neil Cahalane	Ellenzweig
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineering
Sarah Viafora	Sasaki
Brian Cherry	Massachusetts Maritime Academy
P Radzim	VAV International Inc.
Mark Freeman	Perry Dean Rogers
G Soto	Studio MLA
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Doug Larence	Sasaki
Rayka Mirzaie	RFD
Brianna Sullivan	William Sloan
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Betsy Isenstein	DCAMM
Mark Galvin	CDM Smith
Sarah Hammond Creighton	DCAMM
Monica Carroll	Monica Carroll
Rayka Mirzaie	RFD
Annie Langlois	Sasaki
Miles McDonald	BVH
Bob Smith	RMF
Ashley Horan	TSKP Studio
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
John Garcia	Linea 5
James Smith	UMASS
William Pevear	William Pevear
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Mike Sears	NV5

Sayem Khan	William Pevear
Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Stephanie Beals	TSKP Studio
Kate Murphy	Goody Clancy
Mike McCarthy	RMF
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Lloyd Fisk	RFD

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Interview: DSB List #21-27, MMA2201, Science, Technology & Engineering New/Renovated Laboratories, Massachusetts Maritime Academy (MMA), 101 Academy Drive, Buzzards Bay, MA 02532, Fee for Draft Study: $650,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study and Final Design: To Be Negotiated

On Wednesday November 17, 2021, the Designer Selection Board conducted a preliminary review of the original thirteen (13) submissions for the above-referenced project. After considerable discussion, the Board selected two (2) unranked applicants for interviews as they were determined to exhibit the necessary qualifications to perform the requested services. The Board interviewed the following firms for the Massachusetts Maritime Academy project:

Ellenzweig
Sasaki Architects PC

B. Public Comments

No public comment

C. Project Voting and Ranking

Both firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists for the Massachusetts Maritime Academy project:

Ellenzweig (13 points)
Sasaki Architects, PC (11 points)

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the ranked interviewees mentioned above for the Massachusetts Maritime Academy project, seconded by Janice Bergeron. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications. It is intended that the design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract for continued services will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c7C.

D. DSB List #21-29, CFM 21-1006, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Various Court Facilities Statewide, Office of Court Management, Available Aggregate Amount: $2,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C §5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of work and services authorized shall not exceed $250,000, (House Doctor – 8 contracts) – 12 Applicants

Kim Plunkett from the Office of Court Management was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

VAV International, Inc. – This was a good proposal. They provided relevant project experience. The diversity statement could have addressed their internal diversity and performance on other contracts regarding diversity.

Tighe and Bond – This was a strong application. They provided a good Section #5 with relevant project experience.

R.W. Sullivan Engineering - They provided good references and strong diversity statement. They were light on court facility experience.

Richard D. Kimball/NV5 – This was another strong proposal with relevant project experience. They provided a good Section #5 and strong diversity statement.

Pristine Engineers, Inc. – They provided a good diversity statement which included their individual diversity. Section #5 was good with relevant project experience. This was a good application.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – They provided good references and diversity statement. They demonstrated relevant court facility experience.

EDM Architecture and Engineering, PC – This was another good application with relevant project experience provided in the resumes and project experience. They provided a good diversity statement and Section #5.

Clough & Harbour & Associates, PC – They provided a good response to the project criteria. This proposal was not as strong as other applicants.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – They have excellent references. This was a good proposal with relevant subconsultant project experience.

BVH Integrated Services, PC – This was strong proposal. Their team had relevant project experience and a good working relationship.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This application did not respond to the project criteria listed in the advertisement. They did not include a diversity statement. Section #5 was general and did not respond to the evaluation criteria.

Architectural Engineers, Inc. – This was a very strong and thorough proposal.

E. Public Comments

No public comment

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following eight (8) unranked finalists after a tiebreaker between C.A. Crowley Engineering, R.W. Sullivan Engineering and VAV International, Inc.:

Architectural Engineers, Inc.
BVH Integrated Services, PC
C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc.
EDM Architecture and Engineering, PC
Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
Pristine Engineers, Inc.
Tighe & Bond
VAV International, Inc.

Motion was made by Khalil Mogassabi to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Office of Court Management House Doctor project, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications, administration of construction contract and retro commissioning (mechanical).

G. Board Business

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:10 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of December 1, 2021 by David Chappell, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD

MINUTES OF THE 1029TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY DECEMBER 15, 2021 AT 8:35 a.m., VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA, Vice-Chair	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA	Registered Architect
Daniel M. Carson, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Janice Bergeron	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Program Coordinator III and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1028th December 1, 2021 meeting was made by David Chappell, seconded Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Benjamin Salzberg	Massachusetts Military Division
Allen Metcalfe	Massachusetts Maritime Academy
Jamieson Wicks	North Shore Community College
Ellen Whittemore	DCAMM
Rick Reney	North Shore Community College
Steven Hubbard	North Shore Community College
Andrea Wadsworth	Berkshire Community College
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Carol Burns	Taylor & Burns
John Matz	Matz Collaborative
Laura Notman	Matz Collaborative
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
Betsy Lawson	CDW Consultants
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Ryan Senkier	Perry Dean Rogers
Peter Patsouris	Jacobs
Chris Iwerks	BIA Studio
Monica Carroll	Perry Dean Rogers
Lily Polakiewicz	LBPA
Sayem Khan	William Pevear Architects
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Ben Patane	LBPA
Gladyz Soto	Studio MLA
Robert Robicsek	LBPA
Jessica Brown	EDM
Alexis Noel	Nault Architects
Steve VanDyke	Nault Architects
Marisa Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Irene Kang	DCAMM
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Stephen Montibello	E4H Architecture
Mike Sears	NV5
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Kathleen Porter	LBPA
Jessica Bell	FM Architecture
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Kip Ellis	E4H Architecture
Mark Freeman	Perry Dean Rogers
Natalia Gilio	Stantec

Jennifer Shelby	ARC Engineers
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Celeste Soares	Turowski2 Architecture
Miles McDonald	BVH

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Extension of Services for Shekar and Associates, Inc.
DSB List #15-08, Item #3, Mass State Project #30, Study & Design of Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Repairs and Renovations, Massachusetts Military Division
Reference Project: Springfield Armory – Boiler Replacement
Extension of Fee: $60,000

The Designer Selection Board reviewed the request to extend the fee with Shekar and Associates, Inc. for the Springfield Armory Boiler Replacement – Massachusetts Military Division House Doctor project. In accordance with the provisions of the M.G.L. Chapter 7C, sec. 52, the Designer Selection Board voted to exercise its authority to approve the $60,000 extension of fee with Shekar and Associates, Inc. and allow the Massachusetts Military Division to extend the contract value to $560,000 for the above referenced project.

B. Public Comments

No public comment

C. DSB List #21-30, NSC2201, North Shore Community College, Science Labs Upgrades, Danvers (DCAMM)
ECC: $10,000,000 (Study), Fee for Certifiable Study is $230,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Final Design is to be determined – 11 Applicants

Ellen Whittemore from DCAMM along with the following representatives from North Shore Community College: Steven Hubbard, Jamieson Wicks and Rick Reney were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following eleven (11) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Ebbrell Architecture & Design – This was a good proposal. They had a solid Section #5. The sustainability section could have provided more specific examples and philosophy.

EFH Architects, P.C. – This was a good proposal. They provided a strong diversity statement. It could have been useful if the firm provided more information on the team members. Section #5 could have been more responsive.

Ellenzweig – This was a strong proposal. They have relevant project experience for this project. Section #5 was responsive to the evaluation criteria.

Gensler – This was a solid application with relevant project experience. The diversity participation was not as strong as other applicants.

Jacobs Consultants, Inc. – This was another strong application. They have relevant project experience for this project.

Lavallee Brensinger Architects – This application was not as strong as other applicants. The mechanical, plumbing and fire protection engineer did not show a lot of relevant project experience. They did not provide Chapter 149A experience. They had a good approach to Section #5.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided a diverse team, relevant project experience and good references. They did not provide Chapter 149A experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is currently working at North Shore Community College and are performing adequately. The prime experience showed a diversity of relevant lab type projects. Their diversity statement was good, and they responded well to the evaluation criteria in Section #5.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was a good application. They have relevant project experience for this project. They have an excellent diversity statement. Section #5 was strong and responded to all the evaluation criteria.

Stantec Architecture & Engineering – This was another strong proposal. They have extensive DCAMM experience and relevant project experience throughout the country.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This was a diverse application. They provided excellent references. Section #5 was clear and complete.

D. Public Comments

No public comment

E. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists to be interviewed on January 5, 2022:

Ellenzweig
Jacobs Consultants, Inc.
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the unranked finalists mentioned above to be interviewed for the NSCC project, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans & outline specifications and certifiable building study. It is intended that the continued services (design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract) will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study & notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c.7C.

F. DSB List #21-31, MMA Projects2022-2027, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Campus Upgrades, Massachusetts Maritime Academy, 101 Academy Drive, Buzzards Bay, Available Aggregate Amount: $3,000,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C, §5, for an individual project, Fee: $1,000,000 (House Doctor – 3 contracts), 12 Applicants

Allen Metcalfe from Massachusetts Maritime Academy was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BIA Studio – This was a good application. They demonstrated relevant project experience. They had an excellent diversity statement.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – This was a good application. Their team provided relevant experience working with MMA. They had a strong Section #5 with relevant project experience.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm has worked with MMA. They have the relevant project experience requested in the advertisement. This was a good application with a diverse team.

Linea 5, Inc. – This was a good application with relevant project experience. They provided good references.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This was a good proposal. Their ability to meet the MBE/WBE goals are optimistic.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This was a good application. They provided relevant project experience that was requested in the advertisement.

Michael Lindstrom Associates Architects dba StudioMLA Architects – This was good application but not as strong as other applicants. They addressed their lack of pertinent experience but demonstrated the relevant project experience of their subconsultants and how they will work together.

Perry Dean Rogers Partners & Architects – This was a good application with a strong consultant team. They have relevant project experience working with MMA.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – They have demonstrated relevant experience working with the Navy.

Taylor & Burns, Inc. – This was a good application. They have previous experience working with MMA. Their Section #5 was good with relevant project experience.

Turowski2 Architecture, Inc. – This was a good application. They have demonstrated relevant house doctor experience working on the MMA campus. Their diversity statement was good.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – They provided good references. This was a good application with a diverse team.

G. Public Comments

No public comment

H. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for this House Doctor project:

BIA Studio
Fennick McCredie Architecture
Perry Dean Rogers Partners & Architects

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Massachusetts Maritime Academy House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

I. DSB List #21-32, BCC22-05, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Berkshire Community College, Pittsfield, Available Aggregate Amount: $1,500,000, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C, §5, for an individual project, Fee: $500,000 (House Doctor – 3 contracts), 5 Applicants

Andrea Wadsworth from Berkshire Community College was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following five (5) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc. – This was a strong application with relevant project experience. They have experience working with BCC.

EDM Architecture & Engineering, P.C. – This was a good proposal. They demonstrated relevant experience working at BCC. They provided a strong Section #5.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This was a good application. They provided a good team of consultants with relevant project experience.

Nault Architects, Inc. – This was a thorough responsive application. Their diversity statement was good. They are currently working with BCC and have been very responsive to their needs. They had a strong Section #5 with relevant project examples.

TSKP Studio – They provided good references. This was another strong application. They had an excellent response to the criteria in Section #5.

J. Public Comments

No public comment

K. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists:

Dietz & Company Architects, Inc.
Nault Architects, Inc.
TSKP Studio

Motion was made by Martha Blakey Smith to select the unranked finalists mentioned above for the Berkshire Community College House Doctor project, seconded by Kathleen Colwell. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans and specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

L. Board Business

Election of Chair and Vice-Chair

The Board discussed the election for Chair and Vice Chair and nominated Elise Woodward for Chair and David Chappell for Vice-Chair. A motion was made to elect Elise Woodward as Chair and David Chappell as Vice-Chair by Kathleen Colwell, seconded by Daniel Carson. Motion was approved. The Board voted to elect Elise Woodward, Chair and David Chappell as Vice-Chair. The Chair/Vice Chair will take over position January 5, 2022.

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:41 a.m.**

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of December 15, 2021 by Kathleen Colwell, seconded by David Chappell. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 5, 2022 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: A. Richa