

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1054th MEETING, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 4, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:34 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1053rd December 21, 2022 meeting was made by David Capaldo, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Sandra Olson	Worcester State University
Jeffrey Martin	UMass Dartmouth
David Gingerella	UMass Dartmouth
Christina Bowman	UMass Dartmouth
Jenny Burton	DCAMM
Caroline Deltoro	LPAA
Jennifer Pelletier	Nault Architects
Andree Witkos	LPAA
Michael Keane	Civitects
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Ann Keane	Civitects
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Ryan Connors	Ashley McGraw
Celeste Soares	Turowski2 Architecture
Rachel Cooke	Bergmeyer
Keith Campbell	Next Phase Studios
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Emily White	Tighe & Bond
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG/AEI
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Molly Moore	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Miles McDonald	BVH
Jess Cobbs	Rowse Architects
I Davis	CSS Architects
Daphna Spira	Barnard
Fenton Bradley	D21 Architects
John E. Matz	Matz Collaborative
Wayne Bates	Tighe & Bond
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Ganesh Ramachandra	DCAMM
Tiffany Kajer Wright	LLB Architects
Mark Zuffante	Nault Architects
Morgan Devlin	LLB Architects
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Sara Ruggiero	STV, Inc.
Chris Nordberg	STV, Inc.
Tom Iskra	Salas O'Brien
Kevin Webb	STV, Inc.
Ellen Thompson	Isgentiy

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. Election for Chair and Vice-Chair:

David Chappell resigned as Chair and will continue as a member of the Board. Elise Woodward nominated Martha Blakey Smith to Chair. On a motion to elect Martha Blakey Smith as Chair by David Capaldo, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Elise nominated Khalil Mogassabi as Vice-Chair and he respectfully declined. Elise nominated Maureen Sakakeeny as Vice-Chair. On a motion to elect Maureen Sakakeeny as Vice-Chair, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

B. DSB List #22-24, DSB-27, Study and Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Worcester State University, 486 Chandler St., Worcester, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 15 Applicants

Sandra Olson from Worcester State University was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following fifteen (15) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Bergmeyer Associates – This firm is in Boston with 80 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a responsive proposal with experience working at Bristol and Massasoit Community Colleges. The MEP/FP consultant was missing specific house doctor experience.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This is a WBE firm located in Wakefield with 11 employees. They have relative project experience but not with Worcester State University.

Gensler – This firm is in Boston with 210 employees. They provided excellent references and relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell with 25 employees. Their project manager is in Worcester with experience working with the City of Worcester. They provided excellent references and relative project experience. This was an excellent proposal.

Isgenuity, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 48 employees. This was another excellent proposal with a good diversity focus statement.

Johnson Roberts Associates – This firm is in Somerville with 14 employees. They have experience working with Worcester State University. This was a strong proposal with relative project experience.

Kripper Architecture Studio, Inc. – This is an MBE firm in Boston with 5 employees. They have very limited house doctor experience. It was recommended for them to contact Claire and Roberto to discuss the DSB process.

Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects – This firm is in Worcester with 18 employees. They have extensive project experience especially working with Worcester State University. This was a strong proposal.

LLB Architects – This firm is in Worcester and Pawtucket, RI with 25 employees and three of the primary staff are in Worcester. This was a good proposal.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. They have relative project experience.

Nault Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Worcester with 5 employees. They have extensive relative project experience especially working on the Worcester State University campus.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – This firm is in Brookline with 7 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a good proposal with an excellent introduction.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC – This firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. They have excellent references with relative project experience. The majority of their subconsultants have experience working with Worcester State University. This was a strong proposal.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxborough with 15 employees. Their team has relative project experience.

TSKP Studio – This firm is in Boston and Hartford, CT with 25 employees and most of the team are in Hartford. They have relative project experience. Their Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

C. Public Comments

None

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Worcester State University House Doctor project:

Habeeb & Associates Architects
Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects
Nault Architects, Inc.
Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Worcester State University ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. DSB List #22-25 DSBP28, Design Services for Repairs, Replacements, & Upgrades to Existing Facilities, UMass Dartmouth, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$2,500,000 (House Doctor), 13 Applicants

Jeffrey Martin, Christina Bowman and David Gingerella, all from UMass Dartmouth were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following thirteen (13) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Civitects, PC – This is a WBE firm in Fall River with 7 employees. They have excellent references and relative project experience. This was a strong proposal. They have experience working on the UMass Dartmouth campus.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This is a WBE firm in Wakefield with 11 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

D21 Architects, LLC – This is an MBE firm in Stoughton with 5 employees. This was a new firm to the Board, and it was recommended to contact Claire and Roberto to discuss the DSB process and continue to apply for future projects. This proposal was not as responsive as others currently.

Ellenzweig – This firm is in Boston with 50 employees. They have excellent references with relative project experience. Although they are a large firm, they have been very responsive to UMass Dartmouth. This was another strong proposal.

Gensler – This firm is in Boston with 210 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell with 25 employees. They have excellent references and relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

LLB Architects – This firm is in Worcester and Pawtucket RI with 6 employees. They have excellent references and relative project experience. The Historic Preservation Consultant is being performed in-house and it was not reflected in their resume.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a strong proposal.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This is a WBE firm in Boston with 42 employees. They have excellent references and relative project experience and have experience working on the UMass Dartmouth campus. This was a strong proposal.

Nault Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Worcester with 5 employees. They have excellent references and relative projects experience especially working with UMass Dartmouth. This was another strong proposal.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – This firm is in Brookline with 7 employees. They have good references and relative project experience and did provide a good response to Section #5. This was a good proposal.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxborough with 15 employees. They provided relative project experience.

Turowski2 Architecture, Inc. - This firm is in New Bedford with 10 employees. They provided excellent references and relative project experience. Their Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria. This was a good proposal.

F. Public Comments

None

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following six (6) unranked finalists for the UMass Dartmouth ARCH House Doctor project:

Civitects, PC
Ellenzweig
Habeb & Associates Architects (after the second tiebreaker)
Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. (after the first tiebreaker)
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Nault Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the six (6) unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMass Dartmouth ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

H. DSB List #22-26, DSBP30, Design of Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Repairs, Replacements, & Upgrades to Existing Facilities, UMass Dartmouth, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,500,000 (House Doctor), 10 Applicants

Jeffrey Martin, Christina Bowman and David Gingerella, all from UMass Dartmouth were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the ten (10) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirement and could not be considered for this project:

RGB Architects is not a mechanical engineer firm. The advertisement requested a mechanical engineer firm as Prime. On a motion to disqualify RGB Architects by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved unanimously.

The following nine (9) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This firm is a WBE firm in Andover with 23 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a strong proposal.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 60 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

BVH Integrated Services, PC – This firm is in Bloomfield and Newton with 18 employees. They have relative project experience. Their Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria. This was a good proposal.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 59 employees. They have relative project experience. They are using D21 as their architect consultant. They are familiar working at UMass Dartmouth campus. This was a good proposal.

GGD Consulting Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Dartmouth with 51 employees. They have good references and relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

IMEG/Architectural Engineers – This firm is based in IL and in Boston with 35 employees. They have good references and relative project experience working at the UMass Dartmouth campus.

Pristine Engineers, Inc. – This is an MBE firm in Raynham with 10 employees. They provided excellent references and relative project experience especially working at the UMass Dartmouth campus. This was a good proposal.

STV, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 110 employees. They provided excellent references and relative project experience. Their Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria. This was another good proposal.

Tighe & Bond Designer Services, Inc. – This firm is in Westfield with 322 employees. They have extensive project experience. They are familiar with the UMass Dartmouth campus. This was a good proposal.

I. Public Comments

None

J. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the UMass Dartmouth MEP/FP House Doctor project:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
GGD Consulting Engineers, Inc.
Pristine Engineers, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the U/Mass Dartmouth MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

K. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 11:05 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of January 4, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1055th MEETING, WEDNESDAY JANUARY 18, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1054th January 4, 2023 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

John Matz	Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Laura Notman	Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Clive Tysoe	Ellana/ Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Lance Brown	AKF/ Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Trevor Rabidou	SLS/ Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Edward Barwicki	LIN/ Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Randy Kreie	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
David Godfroy	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Kevin Moriarty	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Lauren Gunther	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Bryan Rydingsward	BR+A/ DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Dan Campbell	Holyoke Community College
Narayah Sampath	Holyoke Community College
Barbara Masaitis	Bridgewater State University
Gabriela Lamoureux	Design Build Architects
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Tima Maclaurin	Commodore Builders
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Carlos Vega	Consigli
Mila Mendoza	DCAMM
Celeste Soares	T2 Architecture
Owen Salerno	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Ryan Connors	Ashley McGraw
Abdullah Khaliqi	F-T
Jennifer Hunt	Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Jennifer Wells	DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Ganesh Ramachandran	DCAMM
Jennifer Pollio	B2Q

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. Interview: DSB List #22-33, HCC2301, Marieb Building Renovation, Holyoke Community College (DCAMM), ECC: \$6,730,000, Fee for DRAFT Study: \$260,000, Fee for Certifiable Study/Schematic Design: To Be Negotiated, Fee for Final Design: To Be Negotiated

The Board interviewed the following firms for the Marieb Building Renovation at Holyoke Community College:

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.
 DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The two firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted to select the following firms in ranking order:

DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc. (13 points)
Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. (8 points)

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the above ranked firms for the Marieb Building Renovation project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is a draft study. It is intended that the certifiable study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract be required of the selected Designer's teams following completion of the draft study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c. 7C.

D. DSB List #22-31, DSBP34, Study & Design of Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Repairs, Replacements & Upgrades in Existing Facilities, Bridgewater State University, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 6 Applicants

Barbara Masaitis from Bridgewater State University was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Andover with 25 employees. They have relative project experience. This was a good proposal.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 60 employees. This was a very responsive proposal. They provided relative project experience.

Building Engineering Resources, Inc. – This firm is in N. Easton and Warwick, RI with 33 employees. They have excellent references and relative project experience. They are currently working at Bridgewater State University. This was a responsive application.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. They provided excellent references and relative project experience. This was a responsive proposal.

GGD Consulting Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Dartmouth with 51 employees. They provided relative project experience. This was a responsive proposal.

VAV International, Inc. – This is an MBE firm in Woburn with 10 employees. They provided relative house doctor experience. This was a good application.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Bridgewater State University House Doctor project:

B2Q Associates, Inc.
BLW Engineers, Inc.
Building Engineering Resources, Inc.
GGD Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Bridgewater State University M/E/P/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. Board Business:

None

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN:** The Board adjourned at 10:36 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of January 18, 2023 by Severino Luna, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blukay Smith

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1056th MEETING, WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 1, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer (attended at 10:30 a.m.)
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1055th January 18, 2023 meeting was made by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Brian Duffy	UMASS Chan Medical School
Brian Pasquale	UMASS Chan Medical School
David MacNeil	UMASS Chan Medical School
Jeremy Careau	UMASS Chan Medical School
Andrew Willbrant	UMASS Chan Medical School
Peggy Nickerson	Woods Hole,Martha's Vineyard & Nantucket Steamship Authority
Bill Cloutier	Woods Hole,Martha's Vineyard & Nantucket Steamship Authority
James Loftus	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Jill Nall	NV5
Katerina Bressette	Smith Group
Lindsay Accardi	Smith Group
Kari Scullin	Perkins & Will
Kerry Hubbard	Perkins & Will
Jeffrey Keilman	Perkins & Will
Ronald Gorham	Perkins & Will
Andree Witkos	LPAA
Dominick Roveto	HDR
Bob DeLagrange	Hoff Architects
Michael Niehaus	Array Architects
Aarathi Nirmalan	Cannon Design
Caroline Fitzgerald	RMF
Jay Toutant	Cannon Design
Marion Lewis Roosa	SGH
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG (AEI)
Aaron Shenette	Gensler
Ariana Surabian	FT
Ellen Thompson	Isgenuity
Tiffany Kajer Wright	LLB Architects
Sam Galvin	Array Architects
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Jennifer Cardettino	Linea 5
Steve Krawczynski	Van Zelm
Ainsleigh Winget	Isgenuity
Patty Bilotto	Van Zelm
Helena Arnold	AEI Eng.
Miles McDonald	BVH
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Serena Wong	RFS Engineers
Abdullah Khaliqi	FT
John Matz	Matz Collaborative
Jess Cobbs	Rowse Architects
Jennifer Hunt	Matz Collaborative

Mike Sears	NV5
Kelly Stinnett	Arup
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineers
Stephen Montibello	E4H Architecture
Laura Notman	Matz Collaborative
Jason Butler	FT
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Tom Iskra	Salas O'Brien
Matthew Stelmach	NV5
Philip Whitton	RFS Engineering
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #22-28, DSBP32, Study & Design for Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, UMASS Chan Medical School (UMCMS), Worcester, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 12 Applicants

Brian Duffy, Brian Pasquale, David MacNeil, Jeremy Careau and Andrew Willbrant, all from UMCMS were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twelve (12) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Array Architects – This firm is in Boston and PA with 85 employees. This was a good application with a diverse team. They have relative project experience. Section #5 was not directly responsive to the project criteria.

EFH Architects, P.C. – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This was a responsive application. Please note that this firm credited projects in the resume of some of their personnel but should have mentioned the firms they worked with while working on these noted projects.

Gensler – This firm is in Boston with 210 employees. This was a responsive application with a diverse team.

HDR Architecture, P.C. – This firm is in Boston and NJ with 160 employees. This was a responsive application with a diverse team. They provided relevant project experience.

Isgenuity, LLC – This firm is in Boston and Providence with 48 employees. This was a good application with an excellent response to the project criteria.

Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects – This firm is in Worcester with 18 employees. This was a good proposal with a diverse team. They provided relevant project experience and responded to all the project criteria.

Linea 5, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 21 employees. They are a WBE firm. This was a responsive application with a diverse team. They provided excellent references. They provided relevant project experience.

LLB Architects – This firm is in Worcester and Pawtucket with 25 employees. This was a good application with a diverse team. They provided excellent references.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a good application with a diverse team.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This firm is in Boston with 43 employees. They are a WBE firm. This was a very responsive proposal with a diverse team. They are currently working on the campus. They provided relevant project experience.

Perkins & Will – This firm is in Boston with 159 employees. This was a strong proposal. They provided relevant project experience.

Smith Group – This firm is in Boston and Washington with 1300 employees. This was a good application with a diverse team. They provided relevant project experience and are currently working on the campus.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the UMASS Chan Medical School ARCH House Doctor project:

HDR Architecture, P.C.
MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Perkins & Will
Smith Group

Motion was made by Mark Boyle to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMASS Chan Medical School ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #22-29, DSBP32, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, UMASS Chan Medical School (UMCMS), Worcester, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 15 Applicants

Brian Duffy, Brian Pasquale, David MacNeil, Jeremy Careau and Andrew Willbrant, all from UMCMS were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the fifteen (15) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project:

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. was disqualified for not including an independent consultant for the Third-Party Commissioning Consultant as required in the advertisement. Motion was made to disqualify Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Capaldo. Khalil Mogassabi opposed. Motion was approved.

The following fourteen (14) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Affiliated Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Boston (21 employees) and Wisconsin (810 employees). They are currently working on the campus with a good reference from UMCMS. They provided relevant project experience.

ARUP – This firm is in Boston with 84 employees. They provided relevant project experience. This was a good proposal.

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Andover with 23 employees. This is a WBE firm. They provided relevant project experience and was a responsive application.

Bard Rao Athanas Consulting Engineers (BR+A) – This firm is in Boston with 272 employees. They provided relevant project experience with a diverse team. They are working on campus and have a good reference by UMCMS. They provided a good response to the project criteria.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 60 employees. They provided higher education experience and very little healthcare experience. Section #5 was less responsive to the project criteria.

BVH Integrated Services, P.C. – This firm is in Newton and Bloomfield, CT with 105 employees. This application was not as strong as other firms.

Cannon Design – This firm is in Boston with 67 employees. This was a good proposal with a diverse team. They have a favorable experience working with UMCMS. They provided relevant project experience.

IMEG (AEI) – This firm is in Boston and Illinois with 2000 employees. They provided relevant project experience. They are currently working on campus. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria. They have excellent references.

Richard D. Kimball DBA NV5 – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. They provided relevant project experience with excellent references. They are working on the campus and have good references from UMCMS.

Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C. – This firm is in Boston and Laconia, NH with 82 employees. They have relevant project experience with a diverse team. They have extensive experience working with UMCMS.

RMF Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Boston and Baltimore with 280 employees. They have relevant project experience with a diverse team. This was a very responsive proposal.

Smith Group – This firm is in Boston and Washington with 1300 employees. They provided excellent references. They have relevant project experience. This was a responsive proposal.

Van Zelm Heywood & Shadford, Inc. – This firm is in Farmington, CT and Longmeadow with 81 employees. They have a diverse team with relevant project experience.

WSP USA – This firm is in Boston with 320 employees. They provided relevant project experience. UMCMS have experience working with them on the commissioning side. They provided an excellent responsive to the project criteria. This was a good proposal.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the UMASS Chan Medical School MEP House Doctor project:

Cannon Design
Richard D. Kimball DBA NV5
Rist-Frost-Shumway Engineering, P.C.
RMF Engineering, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMASS Chan Medical School MEP House Doctor project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #22-35, 22-2022, Study & Design for Various Building & Systems Replacements, Various Steamship Authority Facilities in Barnstable, Bristol, Dukes, & Nantucket Counties, Woods Hole, Martha’s Vineyard and Nantucket Steamship Authority, ECC: Varies Per Project, but less than \$3,000,000 per Project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Bill Cloutier and Peggy Nickerson, both from Woods Hole, Martha’s Vineyard and Nantucket Steamship Authority, were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement and provided relative project experience:

BIA Studio

DHK Architects

RGB Architects

Rowse Architects, Inc.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Woods Hole, Martha’s Vineyard & Nantucket Steamship Authority ARCH House Doctor project:

BIA Studio
DHK Architects
RGB Architects
Rowse Architects

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Woods Hole, Martha’s Vineyard & Nantucket Steamship Authority ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

J. Board Business:

None

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN:** The Board adjourned at 10:42 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of February 1, 2023 by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1057th MEETING, WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 15, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:37 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1056th February 1, 2023 meeting was made by Mark Boyle, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Pamela Couchon	DPH
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Matthew Tremblay	WSP USA
Daymar Rosser	Perkins Eastman
Jenny Burton	DCAMM
Tori Ellis	NV5
Allison Pickering	SMRT
Renee LaPlante	SMRT
Samantha Lewis	SMRT
Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Michael Niehaus	Array Architects
William Holt	DPH
Sara Garber	Arup
Lindsay Accardi	SmithGroup
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Jessica Howlett Cobbs	Rowse Architects
Sam Galvin	Array Architects
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Sayem Khan	William Pevear Architects
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Fenton Bradley	D21 Architects
Katerina Bressette	SmithGroup
Ariana Surabian	F-T
Joel Goodmonson	ARC Engineers
Susan Wisler	IMEG
William Pevear	William Pevear Architects
Wendy Page	SMRT
Anna DeLong	SMRT
Mike Sears	NV5

4. NEW BUSINESS:

- A. DSB List #22-30, PHHS2301, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Repairs, Renovations & Upgrades, Various Locations Statewide, Department of Public Health (DPH), Public Health Hospital System, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor, 6 Applicants)**

Pamela Couchon from DPH was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Arup – This firm is in Boston with 84 employees and 14,000 employees worldwide. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 60 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

IMEG (AEI) – This firm is in Illinois and Boston (35 employees) with 2,000 across the country. This was a very responsive application with relevant project experience.

Richard D. Kimball Co DBA NV5 – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience. Please note for future proposals this firm needs to respond to Part A of the diversity section listed in the Evaluation Factors in the public notice.

SmithGroup – This firm is in Boston and Washington DC with 1,300 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following six (6) unranked finalists for the DPH Mechanical Engineer House Doctor project:

Arup
BLW Engineers, Inc.
Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
IMEG (AEI)
Richard D. Kimball Co. DBA NV5
SmithGroup

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the six (6) unranked finalists mentioned above for the DPH Mechanical Engineer House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #22-34, PHHS2302 (DSBP37), Study & Design for Various Repairs, Renovations & Upgrades, Statewide, Department of Public Health (DPH), Public Health Hospital System, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 5 Applicants

Pamela Couchon from DPH was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following five (5) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Array Architects – This firm is in Boston and PA with 85 employees. This application focused on larger scale projects and did not list many house doctor projects. They did not respond directly to the evaluation factors listed in the advertisement.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This firm is a WBE firm in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Garofalo Design Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Wakefield with 5 employees. They provided very little healthcare experience and did not respond on how they would cover the lack of healthcare and joint commission experience. Pamela Couchon from DPH stated that it looked like this firm was trying to get more experience through house doctor projects. DPH has 30 buildings on site and would engage them in non-health care related projects. Their expertise is in building envelope and would be a good way for DPH to use them in projects.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxboro with 15 employees. This was a good application. They provided little healthcare experience in the application.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

Michael Niehaus from Array Architects spoke to the Board regarding his proposal submitted for this project. The DSB staff will guide Michael through the application process on future DSB projects.

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following five (5) unranked finalists for the DPH Architect House Doctor project:

Array Architects
CSS Architects, Inc.
Garofalo Design Associates, Inc.
Rowse Architects, Inc.
William Pevear Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the five (5) unranked finalists mentioned above for the DPH Architect House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #22-36, DSBP-37, Design Services for Repairs, Replacements & Upgrades to Existing Facilities, Norfolk County Sheriff's Office (SDN), ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,875,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Steve Randall from Norfolk County Sheriff's Office was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

D21 Architects, LLC – This MBE firm is in Stoughton with 6 employees. This firm provided educational experience and was not directly responsive to the project criteria. The agency stated they are comfortable working with this firm and would use them for smaller projects. This would be a good way for them to gain more experience by working on house doctor projects.

DHK Architects – This MBE firm is in Boston with 25 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxboro with 15 employees. This was a responsive application with an excellent response to the project criteria.

SMRT Architects & Engineers – This firm is in Andover and Portland, ME with 129 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Norfolk County Sheriff's Office Architect House Doctor project:

D21 Architects, LLC
DHK Architects
Rowse Architects, Inc.
SMRT Architects & Engineers

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Norfolk County Sheriff's Office Architect House Doctor project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

J. DSB List #22-37, DSBP-38, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing and Fire Protection Renovations & Upgrades, Norfolk County Sheriff's Office (SDN), ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,875,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Steve Randall from Norfolk County Sheriff's Office was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 60 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

IMEG (AEI) – This firm is in Illinois and Boston (35 employees) with 2,000 across the country. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

LiRo Corp. – This firm is in Boston with 48 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience. It was noted that most of their projects are in NY State but the application made no reference to a NY office.

Richard D. Kimball Co., DBA NV5 – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

K. Public Comments

None

L. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Norfolk County Sheriff's Office Architect House Doctor project:

BLW Engineers, Inc.
IMEG (AEI)
LiRo Corp.
Richard D. Kimball Co. DBA NV5

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Norfolk County Sheriff's Office Mechanical Engineer House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

M. Board Business:

Incomplete Submission Policy Discussion

After a brief discussion the Board voted unanimously to continue with the existing DSB policy below for incomplete applications.

The existing DSB policy is as follows: (from October 2018)

DSB Staff will inform the Board of any missing information or incomplete applications. The Board will review these applications at regular DSB meetings and will then take a vote as whether to leave them in for consideration or to disqualify them. The applicants will not be notified of any errors or omissions until after the DSB meeting.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:17 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of February 15, 2023 by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 1, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blukay Smith

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1058th MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 1, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:31 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1057th February 15, 2023 meeting was made by David Capaldo, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Aric Warren	MassDOT Property Services
Scott Bosworth	MassDOT Property Services
Mark Carmichael	MassDOT
Ancarlos Youssef	MassDOT
Alexis Karagounis	MassDOT
Shaun Curry	UMass Boston
Michael Kearns	UMass Boston
Carl Erickson	UMass Boston
Lisa Ferolito	NS Engineering
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Matthew Tremblay	WSP
Daniel Hurley	WSP
Trinh Chu	Austin Architects
Jonathan Austin	Austin Architects
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Jessica Kaeterle	Odeh Engineers
Nia Gwaltney	AECOM
Fernand Tomaz	WSP
Tom Stella	WSP
Marion Lewis Roosa	SGH
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Cynthia LaBonte	Austin Architects
Arleen Guyan	Crowley Engineering
Nathan Roy	LeMessurier
Jennifer Cardettino	Linea 5
Serena Wong	RFS Engineering
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Mike Sears	NV5
William Lovallo	LeMessurier
Jess Cobbs	Rowse Architects

4. NEW BUSINESS:

- A. DSB List #22-38, DSBP-36, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, MassDOT Property Services, Statewide, Massachusetts Department of Transportation, ECC: Varies Per Project, Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 2 Applicants**

Aric Warren and Scott Bosworth both from MassDOT Property Services were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following two (2) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Austin Architects, LLC – This firm is in Cambridge with 8 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience. They are currently working with MassDOT.

CSS Architects – This firm is in Wakefield with 10 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two (2) unranked finalists for the MassDOT Property Services ARCH House Doctor project:

Austin Architects, LLC
CSS Architects

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the two (2) unranked finalists mentioned above for the MassDOT Property Services ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #22-39, DSBP-39, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Statewide, MassDOT, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 6 Applicants

Mark Carmichael, Ancarlos Youssef and Alexis Karagounis all from MassDOT were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Austin Architects, LLC – This firm is in Cambridge with 8 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They have MassDOT experience.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 10 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Helene Karl Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Groton with 4 employees. They have experience working with MassDOT. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Johnson Roberts Associates – This firm is in Somerville with 14 employees. This was a very responsive application with relevant project experience. They are currently working at MassDOT.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxborough with 15 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references.

Weston and Sampson Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Reading and Boston with 760 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two (2) unranked finalists for the MassDOT ARCH House Doctor project:

Johnson Roberts Associates
Weston and Sampson Engineers, Inc.

There was a tiebreaker for Weston and Sampson Engineers, Inc. with 4 points and Rowse Architects with 3 points.

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the two (2) unranked finalists mentioned above for the MassDOT ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #22-40, DSBP-40, Study & Design for MEP/FP Renovations & Upgrades, MassDOT, Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$500,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Mark Carmichael, Ancarlos Youssef and Alexis Karagounis all from MassDOT were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Concord with 10 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

NV5 Planning Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was another responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two (2) unranked finalists for the MassDOT MEP/FP House Doctor project:

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc.
NV5 Planning Design, Inc.

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the two (2) unranked finalists mentioned above for the MassDOT MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

J. DSB List #22-41, DSBP-41, Structural Engineer RFP: Design Services for Repairs, Replacements and Upgrades to Existing Facilities, UMass Boston, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Shaun Curry, Michael Kearns and Carl Erickson all from UMass Boston were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

LeMessurier Consultants, Inc. – This firm is in Boston and South Portland, ME with 65 employees. This was an excellent proposal with relevant project experience.

Simpson Gumpertz & Heger – This firm is in Waltham with 648 employees across the country. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They have performed extensive work with UMass Boston.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This application was not responsive to the project criteria.

WSP USA – This firm is in Boston with 55,000 employees across the country. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

K. Public Comments

None

L. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the UMass Boston Structural House Doctor project:

LeMessurier Consultants, Inc.
Simpson Gumpertz & Heger
WSP USA

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMass Boston Structural House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract and investigations and letter reports on miscellaneous structural issues as identified by UMass Boston.

M. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:34 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 1, 2023 by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 15, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukly Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1059th MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 15, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer (Chaired meeting)
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1058th March 1, 2023 meeting was made by Severino Luna, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Robin Pfetsch	DCR
Mary Beth McKenzie	Fitchburg State University
John Head	Fitchburg State University
Liza Bouton	HMFH
Abdullah Khaliqi	FT
Stephanie Livolsi	Dore & Whittier
Roberto Fitzgerald	Dore & Whittier
Caroline Deltoro	LPAA
Peter Caruso	LPAA
Andree Witkos	LPAA
Katherine Klepacki	Kuhn Riddle
Allison Puzycki	CES Engineering
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Beth Grande	FT
John Murphy	John Murphy Electric
Monica Carroll	Perry Dean
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Keith Campbell	Next Phase Studio
Tiffany Wright	LLB Architects
Lisa Ferolito	NS Engineering
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Michele Auer	BWA
Danielle Mercier	EDM
Ahmed Idris	BWA
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
John Matz	Matz Collaborative
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Kathleen O'Connor	SV Design
Melissa Calvovides	ICON
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Ned Collier	ICON
Tamara Mucuch	Habeeb Architects
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Susan Wisler	IMEG
Bob Sherman	EDM
Mike Sears	NV5
Jennifer Pollio	B2Q

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. Request for Extension of Design Services for DCR

Architect: Beacon Architectural Associates, Inc.

Advertised As: DSB List #18-10, Item #1, Project #DCR18A/E06, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Various DCR Facilities, Statewide, Fee: \$750,000 per contract

Referenced Project: Norumbega Tower in the Charles River Reservation

Extension of Fee: \$110,120

Robin Pfetsch, Director of the Architecture Section with DCR was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

In accordance with the provisions of the M.G.L. Chapter 7C, sec. 52, the Designer Selection Board voted to exercise its authority to approve the extension of design services with Beacon Architectural Associates, Inc. and allow DCR to increase the contract fee by \$110,120 for the above referenced project.

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to approve the extension of design services and increase the fee by \$110,120 for the DCR Norumbega Tower project with Beacon Architectural Associates, Inc., seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

B. DSB List #22-42, DSBP-42, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Fitchburg State University, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 19 Applicants

Mary Beth McKenzie from Fitchburg State University was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the nineteen (19) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the requirements of the RFP and could not be considered for this project:

TSKP Studio was disqualified for submitting an incomplete application (no resumes and no project experience sections) Motion was made to disqualify TSKP Studio by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The following eighteen (18) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Amenta Emma Architects – This firm is in Boston and Hartford, CT with 34 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype – This WBE firm is in Boston with 44 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They had good references.

BIA Studio – This firm is in Boston with 9 employees. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience.

BWA Architecture, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 22 employees. This was a good proposal. They provided good references.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 10 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Dore & Whittier Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Newburyport and Burlington, VT with 65 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They worked with Fitchburg State University.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 25 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had a diverse team of consultants.

Helene Karl Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Groton with 4 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had good references. They worked with Fitchburg State University.

ICON Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 40 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They worked with Fitchburg State University.

Kripper Architecture Studio, Inc. – This MBE firm is in Boston with 9 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They have a diverse team of consultants.

Kuhn Riddle Architects – This WBE firm is in Amherst with 18 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Lamoureux Pagano Associates Architects – This firm is in Worcester with 17 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They have experience working with Fitchburg State University.

LLB Architects – This firm is in Worcester and Pawtucket, RI with 25 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They worked with Fitchburg State University. They have good evaluations.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – This firm is in Brookline with 7 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Pfeufer Richardson Architects, PC – This firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

SV Design, LLC – This firm is in Beverly and Chatham with 40 employees. This was a good proposal with similar project experience. They had less higher education experience than other firms.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This VBE firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

C. Public Comments

None

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the Fitchburg State University ARCH House Doctor project:

BIA, Studio
Helene Karl Architects, Inc.
Kripper Architecture Studio, Inc.
Pfeufer Richardson Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Fitchburg State University ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

E. DSB List #22-42, DSBP-42, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations and Upgrades, Fitchburg State University, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$500,000 (House Doctor), 8 Applicants

Mary Beth McKenzie and John Head both from Fitchburg State University were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following eight (8) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Andover and Saratoga Springs, NY with 25 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had a diverse team of consultants. They worked with Fitchburg State University.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is Littleton with 64 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Consulting Engineering Services – This firm is in Norwood and Middletown, CT with 117 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They worked with Fitchburg State University.

EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC – This firm is in Pittsfield and Unionville, CT with 37 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had a good response to the project criteria in Section #5.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had good references.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Concord with 10 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

NV5 Planning Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had good references.

VAV International, Inc. – This MBE firm is in Woburn with 10 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant projects experience. They had a good response to the project criteria.

F. Public Comments

None

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two (2) unranked finalists for the Fitchburg State University MEP/FP House Doctor project:

Consulting Engineering Services
EDM Architecture & Engineering, PC

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the two (2) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Fitchburg State University MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

H. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:12 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 15, 2023 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: Muneer W. Sakshari

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1060th MEETING, WEDNESDAY MARCH 29, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1059th March 15, 2023 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Paul Truax	DMH
Franklin Miguel-Franciso	DYS
Eugene Deutsch	DYS
Pat Temple	QPDC
Tim Sneed	Baystate Academy Charter School
Evan Warner	OPM-STV
Matthew Tremblay	WSP
Daniel Hurley	WSP
Tori Ellis	NV5
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Kathleen Porter	LBPA
Bob Delagrange	Hoff Architects
Elizabeth Asmus	LBPA
Susan Dean	LBPA
Joan Eagleson	LBPA
Lisa Ferolito	NS Engineering
Michael Niehaus	Array Architects
Beth Grande	F-T
Sam Galvin	Array Architects
Ellen Thompson	Isgenuity
Jennifer Cardettino	Linea 5
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Ahmed Mahious	Isgenuity
Ainsleigh Winget	Isgenuity
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Joel Goodmonson	IMEG
Sayem Khan	William Pevear
Matthew Rice	SMMA
Kenna Therrien	Gienapp Architects
William Pevear	William Pevear
Jess Cobbs	Rowse Architects
Ariana Surabian	F-T
Dyana Gonzalez	DCAMM
Fenton Bradley	D21 Architects

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #22-44, DSBP-44, Study & Design for Various Repairs, Renovations and Upgrades of DMH Hospitals, Mental Health Center, Administration Buildings, and Group Living Environments, Department of Mental Health (DMH), Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 5 Applicants

Paul Truax from DMH was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following five (5) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Isgenuity LLC – This firm is in Boston and Providence RI with 48 employees.

Lavallee Brensinger Architects – This firm is in Boston and Manchester NH with 64 employees.

Linea 5, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 21 employees.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxboro with 15 employees.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees.

B. Public Comments

Ellen Thompson from Isgenuity commented that they submitted some of the teaming information incorrectly and that the interior designer was NCIDQ certified.

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following five (5) unranked finalists for the Department of Mental Health ARCH House Doctor project:

Isgenuity LLC
Lavallee Brensinger Architects
Linea 5, Inc.
Rowse Architects, Inc.
William Pevear Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the five (5) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Department of Mental Health ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #22-45, DSBP-45, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations and Upgrades of DMH Hospitals, Mental Health Center, Administration Buildings, and Group Living Environments, Department of Mental Health (DMH), Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 7 Applicants

Paul Truax from DMH was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following seven (7) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. The interior designer listed in the application did not have relative experience in their resume.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience.

IMEG – This firm is in Rock Island, Illinois and Boston with 2000 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience. They have worked with DMH and are familiar with their facilities.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Concord with 10 employees. They provided 2 architects and one had housing experience and not mental health experience.

NV5 Planning Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive application with extensive health care experience.

VAV International, Inc. – This is an MBE firm in Woburn with 10 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience.

WSP USA – This firm is in Boston (320 employees) with 55,000 employees across the world. This was a strong application with relative project experience.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following six (6) unranked finalists for the Department of Mental Health MEP/FP House Doctor project:

BLW Engineers, Inc.
Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
IMEG
NV5 Planning Design, Inc.
VAV International, Inc.
WSP USA

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the six (6) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Department of Mental Health MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #22-46, DSBP-46, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Department of Youth Services (DYS), Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 4 Applicants

Eugene Deutsch and Franklin Miguel-Francisco both from DYS were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following four (4) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

DHK Architects – This MBE firm is in Boston with 25 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. They have experience working with DYS.

Gienapp Architects – This firm is in Danvers with 12 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience. They have experience working with DYS.

RGB Architects – This VBE firm is in Providence, RI with 24 employees. This was a responsive application. They provided non-behavioral type projects and did a good job relating their experience to the DYS building type projects.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxboro with 15 employees. This was a responsive application.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the DYS ARCH House Doctor project:

Gienapp Architects
RGB Architects
Rowse Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the DYS ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract and bid process services.

J. DSB List #22-47, DSBP-47, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations & Upgrades, Department of Youth Services (DYS), Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 6 Applicants

Eugene Deutsch and Franklin Miguel-Francisco both from DYS were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

Review of the six (6) applications resulted in determination that one (1) of the applicants had failed to meet the requirements of the RFP and could not be considered for this project:

Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP nominated an in-house cost estimator. The advertisement requested an independent consultant for a cost estimator. Motion was made to disqualify Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP. Motioned by Martha Blakey Smith and seconded by Elise Woodward.

Elise Woodward found that they did include an alternate consultant cost estimator but did not lead with an independent cost estimator as requested in the advertisement. Motioned by Martha Blakey Smith, seconded by Elise Woodward to disqualify Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP for this DYS project. Martha Blakey Smith, Elise Woodward, Maureen Sakakeeny, Ilyas Bhatti, Mark Boyle and David Capaldo voted to disqualify Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP. Khalil Mogassabi and Severino Luna voted against disqualifying Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP. Motion was approved to disqualify Clough Harbour & Associates, LLP for not leading with an independent consultant for a cost estimator.

The following five (5) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. They have experiencing working with DYS.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Taunton with 8 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience and excellent references. They have experiencing working with DYS.

Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience. They provided excellent references.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Concord with 10 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience.

NV5 Planning Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience.

K. Public Comments

None

L. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the DYS MEP/FP House Doctor project:

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc.
Fitzemeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc.
NV5 Planning Design, Inc.

Motion was made by Ilyas Bhatti to select the four (4) unranked finalists mentioned above for the DYS MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract and bid process services.

M. DSB List #23-01, BSC-2023, Baystate Academy Charter Public School Gymnasium Addition, 2001 Roosevelt Avenue, Springfield, ECC: \$5,000,000, Fee for Study/Schematic and Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 1 Applicant

Pat Temple from QPDC, Tim Sneed, Executive Director from Baystate Academy Charter Public School, and Evan Warner, OPM from STV were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following firm met the requirements in this advertisement:

Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Greenfield with 12 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. One note to Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. for their next submission was to be more responsive to the project criteria listed in the advertisement.

N. Public Comments

None

O. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following firm for the Baystate Academy Charter Public School project:

Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select Jones Whitsett Architects, Inc. for the Baystate Charter Public School project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

P. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:39 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of March 29, 2023 by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blukay Smith

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1061ST MEETING, WEDNESDAY APRIL 12, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:44 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1060th March 29, 2023 meeting was made by Severino Luna, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Gary Bigelow	Bunker Hill Community College
Heather Tortola	Bunker Hill Community College
Greg Walsh	PCA
Michael Ulichney	PCA
Tom Kerwin	PCA
Robert Gignac	Lowell Community Charter Public School
Nicholas Leonardos	Lowell Community Charter Public School
Laurene Demoy	Studio G Architects
Liza Bouton	HMFH
Lauren Hickey	FAA
Regan Shields Ives	FAA
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Ryan Connors	Ashley McGraw
Laurence Spang	Arrowstreet
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Kathie Chainey	Arrowstreet
Lauren Martin	SMMA
Gail Sullivan	Studio G Architects
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Kristen Peters	Arrowstreet
Lisa Ferolito	NS Engineering
Beth Grande	F-T
Rosemary Park	SMMA
Marylee Mercy	Studio G Architects
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Rachel Cooke	Bergmeyer
Matthew Rice	SMMA
Kenna Therrien	Gienapp Architects
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
John Piacitelli	Bergmeyer
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
Tracey Johnson	Kleinfelder
Kathleen O'Connor	SV Design
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Mike Sears	NV5
Jennifer Pollio	B2Q
Katie Ferrier	Arrowstreet
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineers
Iris Davis	CSS Architects

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. DSB List #23-02, DSBA-01, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, Bunker Hill Community College (BHCC), ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 10 Applicants

Gary Bigelow and Heather Tortola both from BHCC were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following ten (10) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype – This WBE firm is in Boston with 44 employees. This was a nice proposal with relative project experience. Their Section #5 was not as strong as the other proposals.

Bergmeyer Associates – This firm is in Boston and LA with 88 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. Bunker Hill Community College had experience working with their sub-consultants.

BIA Studio – This firm is in Boston with 7 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. They provided excellent references.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Wakefield with 10 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. They had a good Section #5.

Gienapp Architects – This firm is in Danvers with 12 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This firm is in Salem with 28 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience. Their Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Kleinfelder Northeast, Inc. – This firm is in Boston and San Diego with 3,038 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. Their proposed staff resumes however did not have as much relative experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. They have extensive experience working with BHCC.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. Their proposed team did not have as much higher education experience listed. Section #5 was not responsive to some of the project criteria requested in the advertisement.

SV Design LLC – This firm is in Beverly and Chatham with 40 employees. This was a good application but did not focus on similar project experience.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Bunker Hill Community College ARCH House Doctor project:

BIA Studio
Jones Architecture, Inc.
Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Bunker Hill Community College ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #23-03, DSBA-02, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations & Upgrades, Bunker Hill Community College, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 9 Applicants

Gary Bigelow and Heather Tortola both from BHCC were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following nine (9) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

B2Q Associates, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Andover and Saratoga Springs with 25 employees. This was a strong proposal with relative project experience. The Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria requested in the advertisement.

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. They have experience working with Bunker Hill Community College. The Section #5 was less detailed than other applicants.

C.A. Crowley Engineering, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Taunton with 8 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria requested in the advertisement.

DM Engineers PLLC – This MBE firm is in NY with 15 employees. This was not a responsive application. Section #5 was missing from the application. They provided mostly healthcare experience. A recommendation to this firm was to contact Claire and Roberto for assistance with their next application.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a good application with relative project experience. Section #5 was not as strong as other applicants.

IMEG – This firm is in Rock Isle, IL and Boston with 2,000 employees. This was a responsive application with extensive relative project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Norian/Siani Engineering, Inc. – This firm is in Concord with 9 employees. Their proposed team members did not show any higher education experience.

NV5 Planning Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. They provided a strong Section #5.

VAV International, Inc. – This MBE firm is in Woburn with 10 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience. They have experience working with Bunker Hill Community College.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Bunker Hill Community College MEP/FP House Doctor project:

IMEG
NV5 Planning Design, Inc.
VAV International, Inc.

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Bunker Hill Community College MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. DSB List #23-04, 408523-2023, Lowell Community Charter Public School (LCCPS) Campus Expansion, ECC: \$17 - \$20 million, Schematic Design: \$300,000 - \$400,000, Final Design: To Be Negotiated, (Specific Assignment) 5 Applicants

Robert Gignac, Chief Operating Office/LCCPS, Nicholas Leonardos from LCCPS and representing PCA: Greg Walsh, Michael Ulichney, Tom Kerwin were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following five (5) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Arrowstreet – This firm is in Boston with 76 employees. This was a responsive application with relative project experience.

Cookfox Architects – This firm is in NY and Minneapolis with 113 employees. This was not a responsive application. A recommendation to this firm was to contact Claire and Roberto for assistance with their next application.

HMFH Architects – This firm is in Cambridge with 51 employees. This was a strong proposal with relative project experience. Their Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria requested in the advertisement.

SMMA – This firm is in Cambridge and Providence, RI with 214 employees. This was strong proposal with relative project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Studio G Architects – This WBE firm is in Jamaica Plain with 23 employees. This was a strong application with relative project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) ranked finalists for the Lowell Community Charter Public School Campus Expansion project:

- HMFH Architects (17 points)
- Studio G Architects (10 points)
- SMMA (6 points)

Motion was made by Mark Boyle to select the three (3) ranked finalists mentioned above for the Lowell Community Charter Public School Campus Expansion project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are schematic plans and outline specifications. After the schematic design it is intended to continue with design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration of construction contract.

J. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:19 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of April 12, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, MAY 10, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1062nd MEETING, WEDNESDAY MAY 10, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1061ST April 12, 2023 meeting was made by David Capaldo, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

George Hairston	Barnstable County Sheriff's Office
Carl Erickson	UMass Boston
Jennifer Sheehan	Barnstable County Sheriff's Office
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Tori Ellis	NV5 Planning & Design
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Beth Grande	Fitzemeyer & Tocci
Deborah Azer	Habeeb
Fenton Bradley	D21 Architects
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-05, DSBA-03, Study & Design Services for Repairs, Replacements & Upgrades to Existing Facilities, Barnstable County Sheriff's Office, Bourne, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 3 Applicants

George Hairston, Facilities Director at Barnstable County Sheriff's Office was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following three (3) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

D21 Architects, LLC. – This MBE firm is in West Bridgewater with 6 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 27 employees. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxborough with 15 employees. This was another good proposal with relevant projects experience. They provided excellent references.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Barnstable County Sheriff's Office ARCH House Doctor project:

D21 Architects, LLC
Habeeb & Associates Architects

Rowse Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Barnstable County Sheriff's Office ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #23-06, DSBA-04, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing & Fire Protection Renovations & Upgrades, Barnstable County Sheriff's Office, Bourne, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$750,000 (House Doctor), 3 Applicants

George Hairston, Facilities Director at Barnstable County Sheriff's Office was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following three (3) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good references.

NV5 Planning & Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was an excellent proposal with relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Barnstable County Sheriff's Office MEP/FP House Doctor project:

BLW Engineers, Inc.
Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc.
NV5 Planning & Design, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Barnstable County Sheriff's Office MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF SERVICES: OverUnder

DSB List #21-09

Project #UMB-21-HD-1

Advertised As: Study & Design of Architectural Repairs, Renovations & Upgrades, UMass Boston

REFERENCED PROJECT: UMass Boston's Title IX Softball Improvements

FEE EXTENSION TO BE INCREASED BY: \$420,000

Carl Erickson from UMass Boston was present to explain the extension of services for the UMass Boston's Title IX Softball Improvements.

Motion was made by Mark Boyle to approve the fee extension by \$420,000 with Overunder to complete the UMass Boston's Title IX Softball Improvements subject to the Board receiving a letter from UMass Boston Procurement Office regarding the fee of \$420,000, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Khalil Mogassabi and Severino Luna abstained.

H. Public Comments

None

I. Board Business:

Search for the DSB Executive Director – DCAMM (with permission from ANF) issued the search for the DSB Executive Director on April 21, 2023 with no closing date. Marty reached out to the Board for recommendations of any person who would be interested in the position.

% of Availability for House Doctor projects – The Board will continue this discussion at a future meeting regarding the House Doctor projects % of Availability in this section of the application.

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN:** The Board adjourned at 9:44 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of May 10, 2023 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, MAY 24, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1063RD MEETING, WEDNESDAY MAY 24, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1062nd May 10, 2023 meeting was made by Severino Luna, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Beth Donovan	Northern Essex Community College
Dana Margolis	Northern Essex Community College
Tima Maclaurin	Commodore Builders
Beth Grande	F-T
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Serena Wong	RFS Engineering
Ryan Connors	Ashley McGraw
Deborah Azer	Habeeb Architects
Kerry Hubbard	Perkins Will
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Theodora Dimov	Perkins Will
Charlotte Berman	Perkins Will
Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Mark Perras	Jones Architects
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Sarah Tarbet	Jones Architects
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Miles McDonald	Salas O'Brien
Ashley Loprete	RFS Engineering
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
David Damon	Perkins Will
Susan Elmore	Cambridge Seven
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Kenna Therrien	Gienapp Architects
Mike Sears	NV5
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Jennifer Hunt	Matz Collaborative
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Rebecca Maloney	RFS Engineering
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Ned Collier	ICON
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering

4. NEW BUSINESS:

- A. DSB List #23-07, DSBA-05, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs and Upgrades, Northern Essex Community College (NECC), Haverhill & Lawrence, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 10 Applicants**

Beth Donovan and Dana Margolis both from Northern Essex Community College were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following ten (10) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Cambridge Seven – This firm is in Cambridge with 51 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience. Their Section #5 was strong.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience. They provided good references.

Gienapp Architects – This firm is in Danvers with 12 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

Habeeb & Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 27 employees. This was a strong application with relevant project experience. They provided strong references.

ICON Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 50 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This firm is in Salem with 28 employees. This was a strong application with relevant project experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Perkins & Will – This firm is in Boston and Chicago with 2,612 employees nationwide. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Studio Luz Architects, LTD. – This MBE and WBE firm is in Boston with 9 employees. This was a good application. Their team provided relevant project experience.

TSKP Studio – This firm is in Hartford with 29 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience. They provided good references.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Northern Essex Community College ARCH House Doctor project:

Cambridge Seven
Jones Architecture, Inc.
Studio Luz Architects, LTD.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Northern Essex Community College ARCH House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

D. DSB List #23-08, DSBA-06, Study & Design for Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing, & Fire Protection Renovations and Upgrades, Northern Essex Community College (NECC), Haverhill & Lawrence, ECC: Varies Per Project, Not to Exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract, based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed: \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 6 Applicants

Beth Donovan and Dana Margolis both from Northern Essex Community College were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

BLW Engineers, Inc. – This firm is in Littleton with 64 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Fitzmeyer & Tocci Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 60 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

IMEG – This firm is in Rock Island and Boston with 2,000 employees nationwide. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided strong references.

NV5 Planning & Design, Inc. – This firm is in Andover and Hadley with 166 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

VAV International, Inc. – This firm is in Woburn with 10 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

WSP USA – This firm is in Boston with 55,000 employees nationwide. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the Northern Essex Community College MEP/FP House Doctor project:

BLW Engineers, Inc.
IMEG
VAV International, Inc.

There was a tiebreaker between NV5 with 3 points and VAV International, Inc. with 4 points.

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the three (3) unranked finalists mentioned above for the Northern Essex Community College MEP/FP House Doctor project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract.

G. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:44 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of May 24, 2023 by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 21, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1064TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JUNE 21, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:51 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member
David Capaldo	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Alan Ricks, AIA,	Registered Architect
David A. Chappell, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Kathleen B. Colwell	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1063rd May 24, 2023 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Gail Rosenberg	DCAMM
Col. Nathan Wilder	Construction Facilities Management Officer
Paulo Baganha	Environmental Program Manager
Steven Wagner	Deputy State Quartermaster
Jason Obrebski	Construction Coordinator, Camp Edwards Facilities Engineering
Doreen Bennett	RGB
Diana Nicklaus	SAAM
Lauren Martin	SMMA
Diana Ostberg	SAAM
Barbara Klimaszewski	Salas O'Brien
Nicole Owens	Amenta Emma
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Renee LaPlante	SMRT
Allison Pickering	SMRT
Samantha Lewis	SMRT
Irish Davis	CSS Architects
Wendy Page	SMRT
Serena Wong	RFS Engineering
Sarah Felton	DCAMM
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Ray Porfilio	DCAMM
Ashley Loprete	RFS Engineering
Elayne Campos	DCAMM
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Nancy Banks	B2Q Associates

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-09, MIL2201, Camp Edwards Readiness Center, Camp Edwards (DCAMM), ECC: \$24,300,000, Fee for Draft Study: \$600,000, Fee for Certifiable Study, Schematic Design and Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 7 Applicants

Gail Rosenberg, DCAMM Project Manager along with the following representatives from Massachusetts Military Division: Col. Nathan Wilder, Construction Facilities Management Officer, Paulo Baganha, Environmental Program Manager, Steven Wagner, Deputy State Quartermaster and Jason Obrebski, Construction Coordinator were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following seven (7) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Amenta Emma Architects – This firm is in Hartford, CT and Boston with 34 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience. Section #5 was not responsive to some of the project criteria listed in the advertisement.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a responsive application with relevant project experience.

Jonathan Levi Architects – This firm is in Boston with 15 employees. This was a good application. There was no relevant project experience listed for this project however their sub-consultants did include relevant project experience.

RGB Architects – This VBE firm is in Providence, RI with 24 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

SAAM Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 24 employees. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience including working at Camp Edwards. They provided a responsive diversity statement as requested in the advertisement.

SMMA – This firm is in Cambridge and Providence, RI with 214 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience.

SMRT Architects and Engineers – This firm is in Andover and Portland, ME with 129 employees. This was a good application with experience working at Camp Edwards. They included one evaluation which was not positive. The MAARNG were happy with their performance at Camp Edwards.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) ranked finalists for the Camp Edwards Readiness Center project:

SAAM Architecture (15 points)
Amenta Emma Architects (6 points)
CSS Architects, Inc. (4 points)

There was a tiebreaker for CSS Architects, Inc. with 2 points, RGB Architects with 2 points and SMMA with 1 point. After another tiebreaker between CSS Architects, Inc. with 4 points and RGB Architects with 1 point.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the three (3) ranked finalists mentioned above for the Camp Edwards Readiness Center project, seconded by Ilyas Bhatti. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is a draft study. It is intended that the continued services for a certifiable building study, schematic plans & outline specifications, design development plans & specifications, construction plans & specifications and administration of construction contract will be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L. c. 7C.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:49 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of June 21, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, JULY 12, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1065TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY JULY 12, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:34 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer (Chaired meeting)
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Ilyas Bhatti, P.E.	Registered Engineer (left at 10am)
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

David Capaldo	General Contractor
Vacant	Registered Architect
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator II.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1064th June 21, 2023 meeting was made by Ilyas Bhatti, seconded by Mark Boyle. Maureen Sakakeeny abstained. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Nick Monillos	EOHLC
Simone Early	EOHLC
Carl Erickson	UMass Boston
Michael Kearns	UMass Boston
Dennis Swinford	UMass Boston
Shaun Curry	UMass Boston
Scott Schilt	DCAMM
David Giuliano	Rosemont Design
Deb Azer	Habeeb Architects
Lauren Martin	SMMA
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Katerina Bressette	Smith Group
James McCurdy	EOHLC
Lauren Hickey	FAA Inc.
Tracey O'Connor	SMMA
Christopher McMahan	AECOM
Catherine Hunt	Ellenzweig
Melissa Kuronen	Ellenzweig
Dominick Roveto	HDR Inc.
Alison Pascucci	HDR Inc.
Kellie Jackson	Perkins Eastman
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Elizabeth Lewis	Habeeb Architects
Lateffa Curry	S/L/A/M Collaborative
Doreen Bennett	RGB
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Serena Wong	RFS Engineering
Charlotte Berman	Perkins Will
Bob Delagrange	Hoff Architects
Stephen Feige	Smith Group
Aarathi Nirmalan	Cannon Design
Lynne Deninger	Cannon Design
Jessica Bell	FM Architecture
Julie Wison	EFI Global
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Adam Mitchell	CambridgeSeven
Ellen Whelton	DCAMM
Ray Porfilio	DCAMM
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley Engineering
Ryan Connors	Ashley McGraw
Miles McDonald	Salas O'Brien
Donnie Nguyen	DCAMM

Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Ainsleigh Winget	Isgenuity
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Susan Elmore	CambridgeSeven
Ashley Loprete	RFS Engineering
Brenda Hernandez	DCAMM
Stephen Montibello	E4H Architecture
Mark Pasnik	Overunder
Kathie Chainey	Arrowstreet
David Damon	Perkins Will
Anne Marie Garcia	E4H Architecture
Kip Ellis	E4H Architecture
Kenna Therrien	Gienapp Architects
Molly Moore	Gensler
Kara McLellan	Annum Architects
Sally Woodson	Gienapp Architects
Nicole DePoalo	Arrowstreet
Amee D'Horia	CI Design Inc.
Susan Wisler	IMEG
Olivia Greene	Kripper Studio
Amir Kripper	Kripper Studio

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. EXEMPTION REQUEST: Executive Office of Housing and Livable Communities (EOHLC)

Nick Monllos, DSC Coordinator and Simone Early, Assistant Director of Architectural and Engineering were present to answer questions from the Board. In accordance with Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 7C Section 46C (b) the Designer Selection Board voted unanimously to approve the exemption of the EOHLC for two years commencing on August 1, 2023 through July 31, 2025. Motion was made by Elise Woodward to approve the two-year exemption for EOHLC, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved unanimously.

B. DSB List #23-10, DSBA-07, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, UMass Boston, ECC: Varies Per Project: Not to exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract (based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed) \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 19 Applicants

Carl Erickson, Michael Kearns, Dennis Swinford and Shaun Curry, all from UMass Boston, were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following nineteen (19) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Cambridge Seven – This firm is in Cambridge with 51 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had a strong response to the project criteria in Section #5.

CannonDesign – This firm is in Boston with 74 employees in Boston. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had an excellent response to the project criteria in Section #5.

D21 Architects, LLC – This firm is in West Bridgewater with 6 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

E/F/H Architects, P.C. – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided a good diversity focus statement in Section #5.

Ellenzweig – This firm is in Boston with 50 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had excellent references and evaluations. They had an excellent response to the project criteria in Section #5.

Fennick McCredie Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 49 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had a good responsive to the project criteria in Section #5.

Gensler – This firm is in Boston with 177 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good references and evaluations. They had a good response to the project criteria in Section #5.

HDR Architecture, P.C. – This firm is in Boston and NJ with 185 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They were responsive to the project criteria in Section #5 and provided a good diversity focus statement.

Isgenuity, LLC – This firm is in Boston and RI with 54 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

JCJ Architecture, P.C. – This firm is in Boston and CT with 141 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. Their Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This firm is in Boston with 48 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references.

Overunder – This firm is in Boston with 10 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They were responsive to the project criteria in Section #5.

Perkins Eastman – This firm is in Boston with 50 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Perkins & Will – This firm is in Boston with 156 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Smith Group – This firm is in Boston and Washington, DC with 1300 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided both large and small project experience.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. Their PIC is an engineer and not an architect.

The S/L/A/M Collaborative, Inc. – This firm is in CT and Boston with 290 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This VBE firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good evaluations.

C. Public Comments

None

D. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the UMass Boston House Doctor project:

Cambridge Seven
Ellenzweig
Overunder
William Pevear Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward to select the four (4) House Doctor finalists mentioned above for the UMass Boston project, seconded by Severino Luna. Khalil Mogassabi abstained. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration and construction contract.

E. **DSB List #23-11, DSBHD-01, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades to Courthouses, Statewide (DCAMM), ECC: Varies Per Project: Not to exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract (based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed) \$2,000,000 (House Doctor), 10 Applicants**

Scott Schilt from DCAMM was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following ten (10) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Annum Architects – This MBE firm is in Boston with 43 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They were responsive to the project criteria in Section #5.

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Boston with 41 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They had an excellent response to the project criteria in Section #5.

Gienapp Architects – This firm is in Danvers with 12 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They were responsive to the project criteria in Section #5.

Habeeb and Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 29 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

HDR Architecture, P.C. – This firm is in Boston and NJ with 185 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

Kripper Architecture Studio, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 9 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

RGB Architects – This VBE firm is in Providence, RI with 25 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good evaluations and references.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxboro with 15 employees. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good references and evaluations. It was noted that there were typographical errors throughout the proposal and it was strongly recommended to double check applications before submitting.

SMMA – This firm is in Cambridge and RI with 214 employees. This was not as responsive as other proposals for this project.

STV, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 112 employees and 2,533 employees nationwide. This was a responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

F. Public Comments

None

G. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following unranked five (5) finalists for the Courthouse Statewide House Doctor project:

- Annum Architects
- Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
- Gienapp Architects
- Habeeb and Associates Architects
- HDR Architecture, P.C.

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the five (5) House Doctor finalists mentioned above for Courthouse Statewide project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications, administration and construction contract & courts programming and analyses.

H. Board Business:

The Board said their farewell goodbyes to Ilyas Bhatti at his last meeting with the DSB.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:45 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of July 12, 2023 by Severino Luna, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 9, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1066TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY AUGUST 9, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:35 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Vacant	Registered Architect
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1065th July 12, 2023 meeting was made by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Leanne Peters	UMass Lowell
Maria Morrissey	UMass Lowell
Owen Salerno	MDS/Miller Dyer Spears
Jennifer Wells	DiMella Shaffer
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Amee D'Horia	CI Design
Sophie Nahrman	Studio Luz
Tommy Sinclair	HDR, Inc.
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architecture
Devin Hurson	Triunity Engineers
Kimberly Smith	GA, Inc.
Caroline Calderwood	CI Design
Charlotte Berman	Perkins & Will
Alison Pascucci	HDR, Inc.
Dominick Roveto	HDR, Inc.
Katerina Bressette	Smith Group
Julie Wilson	EFI Global
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Nicole DePoalo	Arrowstreet
Yugon Kim	TSKP
Sela Bailey	BIA Studio
Ellen Whelton	DCAMM
Nancy Banks	B2Q
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Deb Azer	Habeeb Architects
Pamela Merrill	RFS Engineering
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
David Damon	Perkins & Will
Ashley Loprete	RFS Engineering
Kari Scullin	Perkins & Will
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG Corp.
Miles McDonald	Salas O'Brien
Serena Wong	RFS Engineering
Brenda Hernandez	DCAMM
Jennifer Hunt	Matz Collaborative
Ned Collier	ICON
Molly Moore	Gensler
Dyana Gonzalez	DCAMM
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Donnie Nguyen	DCAMM
Melissa Calvo Vides	ICON

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

- A. DSB List #23-12, DSBA-09, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, UMass Lowell, ECC: Varies Per Project: Not to exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract (based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed) \$1,500,000 (House Doctor), 26 Applicants**

Review of the twenty-six (26) applications resulted in determination that two (2) of the applicants had failed to meet the following requirements and could not be considered for this project.

Solomon Cordwell Buenz did not meet the ownership requirement for the DSB. The CEO, Chris Pemberton, is not registered in Massachusetts. A motion to disqualify Solomon Cordwell Buenz by Mark Boyle, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

Waldron is an engineering firm with no architects in their firm. This advertisement requested an architect as prime. A motion to disqualify Waldron by David Capaldo, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

Leanne Peters and Maria Morrissey, both from UMass Lowell were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following twenty-four (24) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Beacon Architectural Associates – This firm is in Boston with 10 employees. This firm had relevant project experience and is currently working at UMass Lowell.

BIA Studio – This firm is in Boston with 7 employees. This was a strong proposal with excellent references. They provided relevant project experience. Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria.

CI Design, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 130 employees. This proposal mentioned relevant project experience and was not as responsive as other firms.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good references.

D21 Architects, LLC – This MBE firm is in West Bridgewater with 6 employees. This proposal was not as strong as the other firms. This team did not provide a lot of relevant project experience.

DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 80 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Gale Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Rockland with 111 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience. They are currently working at UMass Lowell.

Gensler – This firm is in Boston with 177 employees and 6,374 employees nationwide. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience geared towards larger projects. They provided excellent references. Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria.

Habeeb and Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 29 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was very responsive.

HDR Architecture, PC – This firm is in Boston and NJ with 185 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They have worked at UMass Lowell. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

ICON Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 50 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They have a good reference from UMass Lowell but not currently working on their campus. They provided a nice matrix in Section #5. There was a wide range of evaluations/references listed in their application.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This firm is in Salem with 28 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They have worked at the UMass Lowell campus.

Liro Corp. – This firm is in Boston with 48 employees. This proposal was limited to public school experience and not higher education experience. This firm seemed to be more NY based than Boston.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They have worked with UMass Lowell.

MDS/Miller Dyer Spears – This WBE firm is in Boston with 48 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They are currently working with UMass Lowell.

Meander Studio, Inc. – This firm is in Waltham with 7 employees. They did not provide relevant project experience for this project. Section #5 did not address some of the project criteria.

Perkins & Will – This firm is in Boston with 156 employees and 2,612 employees worldwide. This proposal had relevant project experience. They focused on larger projects. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Smith Group – This firm is in Boston and Washington, DC with 1,300 employees. This proposal had relevant project experience. They focused on larger projects.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This proposal met the project criteria with relevant experience.

Studio Luz Architects, LTD – This MBE/WBE firm is in Boston with 9 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

TSKP Studio – This MBE firm is in Boston and Hartford, CT with 29 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

West Work – This WBE firm is in Boston with 15 employees. This proposal did not show relevant project experience. Section #5 was not responsive to some of the project criteria.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This VBE firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references.

William Sloan Associates – This firm is in Winchester with 6 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They have experience working at UMass Lowell.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four (4) unranked finalists for the UMass Lowell House Doctor project:

- BIA Studio
- Gensler
- Jones Architecture, Inc.
- Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Maureen Sakakeeny to select the four (4) House Doctor finalists mentioned above for the UMass Lowell project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration and construction contract.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:43 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of August 9, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blukay Smith

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1067TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY AUGUST 23, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:37 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Vacant	Registered Architect
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1066th August 9, 2023 meeting was made by Severino Luna, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Greg Arpin	Dukes County Sheriff's Office
Alexis Karagounis	MassDOT
Mark Carmichael	MassDOT
Caroline Calderwood	CI Design, Inc.
Danielle Mercier	EDM Studio
Doreen Bennett	RGB
Thomas Darr	Beacon Architects
Grace Lee	HDR Inc.
Tom Stella	WSP
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Dennis Love	DCAMM
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG Corp.
Sarah Felton	DCAMM
Brenda Hernandez	DCAMM
Ned Collier	ICON
Diana Cioffari-MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Marla Quinones	DCAMM
Melissa Calvo Vides	ICON
Ellie Whelton	DCAMM
Chris Nordberg	STV Inc.
Benjamin Lassel	STV Inc.
Hannah Hazelton	Rowse Architects

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-13, SDD2301, Dukes County Jail and House of Correction Upgrades, 149 Main Street, Edgartown (DCAMM), ECC: Approximately \$4,700,000, Fee for DRAFT: \$300,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study/Final Design: To Be Negotiated

Emmanuel Andrade from DCAMM and Major Greg Arpin from Dukes County Sheriff's Office were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following six (6) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

Habeeb and Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 29 employees. This was an excellent proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria.

HDR Architecture, PC – This firm is in Boston and NJ with 185 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience across the country. Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria.

ICON Architecture – This WBE firm is in Boston with 50 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They were missing the landscape architect resume and typos throughout the application.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Rowse Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Foxborough with 15 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience but not as strong as other applicants for this project.

USA Architects, Planners + Interior Designers, LTD. – This firm is in New Jersey and Pennsylvania with 55 employees. This is their first application to the Board since 2020. They do not have any Massachusetts employees listed in their proposal. They were very well qualified in correctional facility experience.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following two unranked finalists for the Dukes County Jail and House of Correction project to be interviewed in September.

Habeeb and Associates Architects
HDR Architecture, P.C.

Motion was made by David Capaldo to interview the two (2) finalists mentioned above for the Dukes County Jail and House of Correction project, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is a draft study. It is intended that the certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration and construction contract be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L.c.7C.

D. DSB List #23-14, DSBA-10, Study & Design for General Building Renovations, Repairs & Upgrades, MassDOT, Department of Transportation, Statewide, ECC: Varies Per Project: Not to exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c.7C §5, for an individual project. Maximum Fee Per Contract (based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed) \$1,000,000 (House Doctor), 9 Applicants

Alexis Karagounis and Mark Carmichael both from MassDOT were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following nine (9) firms met the requirements in this advertisement:

CI Design, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 130 employees. This proposal mentioned relevant project experience and was not as responsive as other firms. The architect and landscape architect is the same person but they did identify another person as landscape architect that is not registered in Massachusetts.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good references. Section #5 was very responsive to the project criteria.

EDM Studio, Inc. – This firm is in Connecticut with 13 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Gannett Fleming Engineers & Architects, PC – This firm is in Boston and Hopkinton with 2,714 employees worldwide. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Their transportation experience was mostly from out-of-state. DCAMM does not allow any modifications to their contacts.

Helene Karl Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Groton with 4 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

JM Booth and Associates, Inc. – This firm is in New Bedford with 6 employees. This was a good application with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

RGB Architects, Inc. – This VBE firm is in Providence, RI with 27 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

STV, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 2,533 employees nationwide. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

E. Public Comments

None

F. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following three (3) unranked finalists for the MassDOT House Doctor project:

CSS Architects, Inc.
JM Booth and Associates, Inc.
RGB Architects

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the three (3) House Doctor finalists mentioned above for the MassDOT project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are a draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration and construction contract.

G. Board Business:

It was noted that there are 3 candidates for the Executive Director position. They will be interviewed over the next few weeks and eventually brought to the Board for an introduction.

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:13 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of August 23, 2023 by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1068TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY SEPTEMBER 20, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:33 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
David Capaldo	General Contractor
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Architect
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1067th August 23, 2023 meeting was made by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Emmanuel Andrade	DCAMM
Major Greg Arpin	Dukes County Sheriff's Office
Superintendent James Neville	Dukes County Sheriff's Office
Sheriff Robert Ogden	Dukes County Sheriff's Office
Tommy Sinclair	HDR
Gerry Guerrero	HDR
Kate Hart	HDR
Lisa Harrington	BCA (HDR)
Susan Wisler	IMEG (HDR)
Steven Habeeb	Habeeb
Scott Bancroft	Habeeb
Elizabeth Lewis	Habeeb
Joseph Mello	Habeeb
Jamie Winkler	Habeeb
James Pongsa	Habeeb
Chris Garcia	GGD (Habeeb)
David Walsh	Hammer + Walsh (Habeeb)
Douglas Kelleher	Epsilon (Habeeb)
Matthew Allain	Good Harbor Tech Mark (Habeeb)
Deb Azer	Habeeb
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Marla Quinones	DCAMM
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Hazel Afamefuna	Studio Meander
David Fite	Studio Meander
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Jackie Ragucci	GFNET
Liana Aleksanyan	Meander Studio
Sarah Felton	DCAMM
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-13, SDD2301, Dukes County Jail and House of Correction Upgrades, 149 Main Street, Edgartown (DCAMM), ECC: Approximately \$4,700,000, Fee for DRAFT: \$300,000, Fee for Schematic Design/Certifiable Study/Final Design: To Be Negotiated

Emmanuel Andrade from DCAMM and Major Greg Arpin, Superintendent James Neville and Sherrif Robert Ogden all from Dukes County Sheriff's Office were present for the following interviews with the Designer Selection Board for the Dukes County Jail and House of Correction project:

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following ranked finalists for the Dukes County Jail and House of Correction project.

HDR Architecture, P.C. (12 points)
Habeeb and Associates Architects (6 points)

Motion was made by David Capaldo to select the ranked finalists mentioned above for the Dukes County Jail and House of Correction project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized is a draft study. It is intended that the certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans and specifications and administration and construction contract be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L.c.7C.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 10:28 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of September 20, 2023 by Elise Woodward, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blukay Smith*

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1069TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 4, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:34 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer (Chaired meeting)
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

David Capaldo	General Contractor
Vacant	Registered Architect
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1068th September 20, 2023 meeting was made by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Joe Balzano	UMass Amherst
Bob Delagrance	Hoff Architects
Samantha Mushin	LeMessurier
Mari Thomas	Habeeb Architects
Tamara Macuch	Habeeb Architects
Thomas Darr	Beacon Architects
Caroline Calderwood	CI Design
Danielle Mercier	EDM Studio
Sergio Abondano	GF Net
Rafia Rehman	Perry Dean
Iris Davis	CSS Architects
Christopher Musorofiti	GA Inc.
Kimberly Smith	GA Inc.
Keith Campbell	Next Phase
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Yugon Kin	TSKP
Jennifer Wells	DiMella Shaffer
Ashley Solomon	Dietz Architects
Diana Cioffari MacPhee	Matz Collaborative
Jackie Ragucci	GF Net
Darryl Filippi	Bergmeyer
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Tiffany Yu	DCAMM
Alexandra Baccari	DiMella Shaffer
Jennifer Shelby	IMEG
Stephanie Beals	TSKP
Nate Lumen	Coldham and Hartman Architects
Susan Wisler	IMEG
Michael Wolfson	Studio Meander
Hazel Afaamefuna	Studio Meander
Sharmila Bail	Shekar
Arleen Guyan	C.A. Crowley
David Fite	Studio Meander
Deb Azer	Habeeb Architects
Janet Nolan	GA Inc.

4. **NEW BUSINESS:**

A. DSB List #23-15, DSBA-11, Study & Design for Exterior Envelope Systems Renovations, Repairs, and Upgrades, UMass Amherst, ECC: Varies Per Project: Not to exceed authority delegated pursuant to M.G.L. c7C§5, for an individual project, Maximum Fee Per Contract (based on the scope of the work & services authorized, shall not exceed) \$1,000,000 (House Doctor – 4 contracts), 19 Applicants

Joe Balzano, Project Executive, Design & Construction Management from UMass Amherst was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following firms nineteen (19) firms met the requirements in the advertisement.

Beacon Architectural Associates – This firm is in Boston with 10 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 could have been more detailed to the project criteria.

Bergmeyer Associates – This firm is in Boston and Los Angeles with 83 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

CI Design, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 130 employees. This proposal was not as strong as the other firms. They did not highlight higher education experience except for the team member who provided higher education experience from a previous firm.

Coldham and Hartman Architects, LLC – This firm is in Amherst with 7 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

CSS Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Wakefield with 11 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided good evaluations and references.

Dietz and Company Architects, Inc. – This WBE firm is in Springfield and Cambridge with 26 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 80 employees. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

EDM Studio, Inc. – This firm is in Unionville, CT with 13 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Gale Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Rockland with 111 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Gannett Fleming Engineers & Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Boston and Hopkinton with 2,714 employees nationwide. The PIC and PM are registered engineers but show an internal architect as project architect for this project. They listed Kuhn Riddle as an associate architect with UMass Amherst experience. This proposal was not as responsive as other proposals for this project.

Garofalo Design Associates, Inc. – This firm is in Wakefield with 5 employees. They did not provide a lot of higher education experience. This proposal was not as strong as other proposals.

Gorman Richardson Lewis Architects – This firm is in Hopkinton with 22 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Habeeb and Associates Architects – This firm is in Norwell and Worcester with 29 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria.

LeMessurier Consultants, Inc. – This firm is in Boston and South Portland, ME with 65 employees. This is an AE firm. Their PIC and PM are both registered engineers with one registered architect on their team. Fennick McCredie was included as an architectural consultant.

Matz Collaborative Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Framingham with 7 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. This proposal was not as strong as other proposals.

Meander Studio, Inc. – This firm is in Waltham with 7 employees. They did not provide a lot of envelope or higher education experience as required in the project criteria.

Next Phase Studios, Inc. – This firm is in Brookline with 7 employees. They have some envelope experience but not in higher education experience. This proposal was not as strong as other proposals.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. The PIC and PM are both registered engineers with a registered architect on their team. They provided UMass higher education experience. Their code consultant listed NY experience and very little Massachusetts experience.

TSKP x IKD, LLC – This MBE firm is in Boston and Hartford, CT with 29 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following four unranked finalists for the UMass Amherst House Doctor project.

Coldham and Hartman Architects, LLC
DiMella Shaffer Associates, Inc.
Gale Associates, Inc.
Habeeb and Associates Architects

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the four unranked finalists mentioned above for the UMass Amherst House Doctor project, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved. Martha Blakey Smith abstained.

The immediate services authorized are draft study, certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:39 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of October 4, 2023 by Mark Boyle, seconded by Martha Blakey Smith. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blakey Smith

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1070TH MEETING, WEDNESDAY OCTOBER 18, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:34 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Arthur Choo	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member

MEMBERS ABSENT:

David Capaldo	General Contractor
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1069th October 4, 2023 meeting was made by Maureen Sakakeeny, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Ray Porfilio	DCAMM
Sarah Felton	DCAMM
Rich Gamrecki	State House
Tiffany Yu	DCAMM
Fabrizio Caruso	DCAMM
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Lauren Hickey	Finegold Alexander
Regan Shields Ives	Finegold Alexander
Bob Delagrange	Hoff Arch
Katherine Beckman	SGH
Rafia Rehman	Perry Dean
Martha Werenfels	DBVW
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Julie Wilson	EFI Global

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-16, BSB2403, State House Dome Rehabilitation and Repair, Boston (DCAMM), ECC: \$15,000,000 - \$20,000,000 (TBD by Study), Fee for Draft: \$300,000, Fee for Schematic Design and Certifiable Study: To Be Negotiated, Fee for Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 4 Applicants

Ray Porfilio and Sarah Felton both from DCAMM and Rich Gamrecki from the State House were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following firms four (4) firms met the requirements in the advertisement.

Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype – This firm is in Boston with 44 employees. This was a very responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

DBVW Architects – This firm is in Providence, RI with 34 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They were responsive to the project criteria.

Simpson Gumpertz and Heger – This firm is in Waltham with 713 employees. This was an excellent proposal with relevant project experience. They were very responsive to the project criteria.

Socotec AE Consulting, LLC – This firm is in Boston with 27 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. It was not as strong as the other 3 proposals for this project.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following finalists in ranking order for the State House Dome project.

Simpson Gumpertz and Heger (21 points)
Bargmann Hendrie + Archetype (13 points)
DBVW Architects (6 points)

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the three ranked finalists mentioned above for the State House Dome project, seconded by Mark Boyle. Motion was approved.

The immediate service authorized is a draft study. It is intended that the certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L.c.7C.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:17 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of October 18, 2023 by Elise Woodward, seconded by Maureen Sakakeeny. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: M. Blukly Smith

**DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1071ST MEETING, WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 1, 2023 VIA ZOOM.**

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:34 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Arthur Choo	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
Mark Boyle	Public Member
David Capaldo	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1070th October 18, 2023 meeting was made by Mark Boyle, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Jennifer Burton	DCAMM
Sarah Felton	DCAMM
Joshua Kane	DCR
Robin Pfetsch	DCR
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Tom Iskra	Salas O'Brien
Lauren Hickey	Finegold Alexander Architects
Kim Sousa	I Make Your Marketing
Kristina Kashanek	Jones Architects
Christian Strom	Jones Architects
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Alexandra Dorn	William Pevear
Rafia Rehman	Perry Dean
Janet Slemenda	HKT Architects
Amee Dhoria	CI Design
Rick Jones	Jones Architects
Tiffany Yu	DCAMM
Miles McDonald	Salas O'Brien
William Pevear	William Pevear
Caroline Calderwood	CI Design

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-17, DCR202013, Stoneham Maintenance Yard – Comprehensive Facility Plan, 164 Pond St., Stoneham (DCAMM), ECC: N/A, Fee for Comprehensive Facility Plan: \$225,000, Fee for Draft Study: To Be Negotiated, Fee for Schematic Design & Certifiable Study and Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 5 Applicants

Jennifer Burton and Sarah Felton both from DCAMM along with Joshua Kane and Robin Pfetsch from DCR were present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following firms five (5) firms met the requirements in the advertisement.

CI Design, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 130 employees. This was a complete proposal. Their consultants submitted relevant project experience. This proposal was not as strong as the other four proposals.

HDR Architecture, P.C. – This firm is in Boston with 185 employees. This was a strong proposal with relevant project experience. Section #5 was responsive to the project criteria listed in the advertisement.

HKT Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Charlestown with 11 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience.

Jones Architecture, Inc. – This firm is in Salem with 28 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references.

William Pevear Architects, Inc. – This VBE firm is in Cambridge with 6 employees. This was a good proposal with relevant project experience. They provided excellent references. It was noted about the size of their firm being smaller than the other applicants.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firms displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following finalists in ranking order for the Stoneham Maintenance Yard – Comprehensive Facility Plan project.

- HDR Architecture, P.C. (20 points)
- Jones Architecture, Inc. (10 points)
- HKT Architects, Inc. (6 points)

There was a tiebreaker between HKT Architects, Inc. with 4 points and William Pevear, Inc. with 3 points.

Motion was made by Severino Luna to select the three ranked finalists mentioned above for the Stoneham Maintenance Yard – Comprehensive Facility Plan project, seconded by Elise Woodward. Motion was approved.

The immediate services authorized are comprehensive planning services. It is intended that the certifiable building study, schematic plans and outline specifications, design development plans and specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract be required of the selected Designer’s team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L.c.7C.

D. Board Business:

None

5. MOTION TO ADJOURN: The Board adjourned at 9:26 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of November 1, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

6. NEXT MEETING:

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2023 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: *Claire G. Hester*

Approved by: *M. Blakely Smith*

DESIGNER SELECTION BOARD
MINUTES OF THE 1072nd MEETING, WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 15, 2023 VIA ZOOM.

1. ROLL CALL:

The Designer Selection Board Meeting was called to order at 8:32 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Maureen Sakakeeny, P.E., V-Chair	Registered Engineer
Arthur Choo	Registered Architect
Khalil Mogassabi, AIA	Registered Architect
Elise F. Woodward, AIA,	Registered Architect
Severino Luna, P.E.	Registered Engineer
David Capaldo	General Contractor

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Martha Blakey Smith, AIA, Chair	Registered Architect
Mark Boyle	Public Member
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Registered Engineer
Vacant	Public Member

Present for the DSB staff, Claire G. Hester, Acting Executive Director and Roberto Melendez, Program Coordinator I.

2. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:

A motion to approve the minutes of the 1071st November 1, 2023 meeting was made by Severino Luna, seconded by David Capaldo. Motion was approved.

3. VISITORS:

Ray Porfilio	DCAMM
Brenna Sapienza	DCAMM
Fabrizio Caruso	DCAMM
Ashley Horan	TSKP
Rafia Rehman	Perry Dean
Deb Azer	Habeeb Architects
Lauren Hickey	Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
Veronica Simon	Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.
Tiffany Yu	DCAMM

4. NEW BUSINESS:

A. DSB List #23-18, BSB2301, State House Infrastructure Plan, 4 Beacon Street., (DCAMM), ECC: \$30,000,000 - \$35,000,000, Fee for Part One Infrastructure Plan: \$850,000, Fee for Part Two Study: To Be Negotiated, Fee for Schematic Design & Certifiable Study: To Be Negotiated, Fee for Final Design: To Be Negotiated, 1 Applicant

Ray Porfilio from DCAMM along with Joshua Kane and Robin Pfetsch from DCR was present to explain the project and answer questions from the Board.

The following firm met the requirements in the advertisement.

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc. – This firm is in Boston with 41 employees. This was a very responsive proposal with relevant project experience.

B. Public Comments

None

C. Project Voting and Ranking

The following firm displayed considerable skills and similar experiences for this project. In accordance with the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 7C, Section 49 the Board voted for the following finalist for the State House Infrastructure Plan project:

Finegold Alexander Architects, Inc.

Motion was made by Elise Woodward, seconded by Khalil Mogassabi. Motion was approved.

The immediate service authorized is an infrastructure plan. It is intended that the draft study, schematic plans and outline specifications, certifiable study, design development plans and specifications, construction plans & specifications, administration of construction contract be required of the selected Designer's team following completion of the certified study and notification of the Board in accordance with M.G.L.c.7C.

D. Board Business:

None

5. **MOTION TO ADJOURN:** The Board adjourned at 8:54 a.m.

On a motion to adjourn the meeting of November 15, 2023 by David Capaldo, seconded by Severino Luna. Motion was approved.

6. **NEXT MEETING:**

WEDNESDAY, January 3, 2024 at 8:30 a.m. via ZOOM

Submitted by: Claire G. Hester

Approved by: Maween W. Sekahany