

From: [Gloria Kegeles](#)
To: [Judge, Michael R \(EEA\)](#); [SitingBoard Filing \(DPU\)](#); mike.barrett@masenate.gov; Mark.Cusack@mahouse.gov; [Jo Comerford](#); [Saunders Aaron - Rep. \(HOU\)](#)
Subject: HOME RULE OR SUPPRESSED HEARINGS on energy siting regulations??
Date: Thursday, April 3, 2025 8:30:09 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from a sender outside of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts mail system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

What has happened with the previously announced "plans" for the DOER to hold HEARINGS THROUGHOUT THE STATE for PUBLIC INPUT INTO ENERGY SITING REGULATIONS??

Hello, folks we elected to represent us and who are appointed to represent us, the people:

WHY have the "statewide" "public" hearings been scheduled in only 2 places in the state, and WHY HAS THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAD BASICALLY NO NOTIFICATION of "public" hearings scheduled starting NEXT WEEK on energy siting regulations?

Is anyone afraid of the outrage among us the public, that towns are losing OUR HOME RULE?? That energy SITING WILL BE DICTATED TO US with almost no input, either into the siting rules and regulations at "public" hearings that are inaccessible and planned without our knowledge and notification, and thereafter actual siting decided by non-residents with no regard to local expertise, knowledge, health and other concerns? Or afraid of our outrage at state "legal" decisions that have "determined" that new town bylaws are actually zoning bylaws, and that new zoning bylaws are actually not bylaws?

For whose benefit is this scenario?? Is it democratic or Democratic? Or another adjective starting with D?

Gloria Kegeles
