

to Larry  
atterie  
he bi  
ere

**Name**

H. Laurie Degnan

**Email**

[pldegan@charter.net](mailto:pldegan@charter.net)

**I am a...**

Representative of a municipal agency

**Are you commenting on a straw proposal?**

No

**If not, what is the subject of your comment/question?**

Continuity of The Act vs your slides during stakeholder sessions

**Comment/Question**

Hello,  
While reading 'The Act', I was pleased to see that for the purpose of the law and its explanations, it was stated that solar output would be MWdc - direct current - vs ac- alternating current. Maybe it was for the purpose of continuity in The Act, but the stakeholder slides have the energy listed as MWac. While it may seem to be a small issue, for record keeping, proper tax collection and energy reporting, the true amount of energy produced is in the form of direct current. When the energy goes thru inverters, changing current to ac, 15-20% on the energy is lost in that conversion. Charlton planning department has requested that all plans be presented in MWdc. I believe that this originated from the verbiage of the Smart program and how it paid out. Thank you.

CAUTION: This email originated from a member outside of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts mail system. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Send from my iPad