1990 Annual Statistical Report of the Furlough Progam

Prepared by:

Robert J. Tenaglia, Jr. Statistical Data Analyst

Massachusetts Department of Correction

Larry E. Dubois Commissioner

July 1991

PUBLICATION #:170-35 pgs.-250 cps.1-92 Approved by: Philmore Anderson III, State Purchasing Agent

Abstract

The Furlough program was first implemented by the Massachusetts Department of Correction on November 6, 1972. Since the inception of the program until December 31, 1990, a total of 127,897 furloughs have been granted to 11,601 individuals. There have been 652 escapes since the inception of the program, yielding an overall success rate of 99.6 percent of all furloughs and an escape rate of 0.4 percent per furlough.

- During 1990 a total of 1,423 furloughs were granted to 438 individuals. This is a decrease of 33 percent from the 2,123 furloughs granted during 1989; and a decrease of 10 percent from the 484 individuals furloughed during 1989.
- The median number of furloughs for furloughed offenders for 1990 was one. This is lower than the 1989 median of two furloughs for furloughed offenders.
- During 1990, 62 percent of all furloughs were from pre-release facilities; 19
 percent were from mixed minimum/pre-release facilities; 7 percent were
 from minimum security facilities; and 12 percent were from maximum or
 medium security institutions.
- Of the 1,423 furloughs taken in 1990, 76 percent (N=1,080) of the furloughs were unescorted furloughs and 24 percent (N=343) were emergency/emergency escorted furloughs. Of the 438 individuals who received furloughs in 1990, 187 (43%) took one or more earned furloughs and 272 (62%) took one or more emergency/escorted furloughs.
- The median number of hours of leave per furlough during 1990 was 14 hours. This number is lower than the 1989 figure which was a median of 15 hours of leave per furlough.
- There were no escapes from furlough during 1990, resulting in an escape rate per furlough of 0.0 percent.
- Since 1974, the proportion of individuals released from the Department of Correction who have participated in the furlough program has steadily declined. Preliminary data from 1990 show that 11 percent of the males, and 3 percent of the females released had one or more furloughs.

Table of Contents

Title			Page
Abstract			i
Introduction			1
Background and	Description of the Furlough Program		2
Furlough Program	m Participation for the Year 1990		5
Table 1:	Type of Furlough by Furlough Institution, 1989 and 1990		9
Table 2:	Percent of Average Institutional Population Furloughed, 1990		10
Table 3:	Furlough Outcome by Furlough Institution, 1990		11
Furlough Statistic	es Since the Inception of the program		12
Figure 1.	Number of Furloughs and Number of Individuals Furloughed 1972-1990		12
Figure 2.	Percentage of Average Population Furloughed by Security Level, 1974-1990		14
Table 4:	Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1990	•	15
Table 5:	Releases by Furlough Participation and sex, 1973-1990		16
Table б:	Percentage of Average Population Furloughed by Security Level 1974-1990		17
Appendix	Furlough Characteristics, Offense Characteristics, Personal Background and Criminal History of 1990 Furlough		18
Glossary	Participants		35

Introduction

This report presents a statistical description of furloughs granted by the Massachusetts

Department of Correction for the year 1990.

The report has three main sections. The first section gives a description of the furlough program and some background information. The second section covers furlough statistics for the year 1990. The third section provides furlough statistics and a discussion of trends from the inception of the program in 1972 until the end of 1990. Tables in the Appendix present the number and percent distribution of 1990 furloughs by inmate social characteristics, offense variables, criminal history variables and furlough characteristics. This report includes information on furloughs granted from DOC facilities. Thus, it does not include any furloughs taken by inmates in non-DOC facilities such as county houses of correction or Bridgewater State Hospital. The data for this report were derived from institutional furlough rosters and the computerized inmate data base and the tables were produced using the Regents Computer Network.

The author would like to acknowledge the assistance of Betty Chow and Ramon V. Raagas in the preparation of this report.

Background and Description of the Furlough Program

The furlough program is authorized by Section 90A of Chapter 777 (Correctional Reform Act) and became effective on October 15, 1972. The real significance of the 1972 law was that it established the concept of furloughs as a vital tool in minimizing the isolating effects of institutionalization, building or rebuilding social ties between offender and community, and reintegrating offenders from prison to community life, all major tasks of correction first identified by the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and the Administration of Justice in 1967.

The Department of Correction regards the furlough program as a means by which residents may maintain or re-establish direct ties with the communities from which they have come and, therefore, to be consistent with its policy of community reintegration. Furloughs may be granted for medical purposes, for contacting prospective employers, for securing living accommodations preparatory to release, or for visiting a critically ill relative or attending a relative's funeral; but, most commonly, they are granted for family visits. As diverse as these functions are, they all share the common desired end result of a reduction in the repeated criminal behavior of the prison releasee.

The Department's furlough rules and regulations require residents to serve a certain portion of their sentences prior to becoming eligible for a furlough. Three levels of review have been built into the furlough screening process: the institution; Commissioner's Furlough Panel; and Commissioner. This consists of an application review by a furlough coordinator; an interview by

¹ Task Force Report: Corrections, The President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1967.

an institutional furlough committee comprised of 3-5 institutional staff including one or more correctional officers; completion of a furlough sponsorship agreement; a criminal history background check and interview of the furlough sponsor; and a review of all relevant information by the Superintendent of the facility. An initial furlough application must go through an even more extensive process. It is carefully reviewed and researched by the DOC furlough office. The case is then presented to the Commissioner's Furlough Panel. The Panel is composed of the Associate Commissioner for Programs and Treatment, the Director and the Deputy Director of Programs and Classification, the County and Interstate Manager, and the Chief of Investigations. The application receives final consideration and authorization by the Commissioner or his designee.

Residents meeting the general eligibility criteria are allowed by statute 14 furlough days per furlough year. In practice they receive seven days during the first half of the year and seven days during the second half of the year. A furlough may last less than 24 hours; thus, an individual can be furloughed more than 14 times during a year. A resident's furlough year runs for 12 consecutive months from the date of the resident's initial furlough. Each successive furlough year commences on the anniversary of the initial furlough date. Prior to any furlough release, local and state police and the furlough sponsor must be notified in writing of the inmate's proposed furlough.

There are three types of furlough: earned, emergency and emergency escorted. By far, the most common type of furlough is the earned furlough. In 1990, 76 percent of all furloughs were earned. An earned furlough is considered by the Department of Correction to be an "extension of the limits of the place of confinement for a trustworthy resident" of a state correctional facility.²

² Source: 103 CMR 463.00 FURLOUGHS.

Twenty-four percent of the furloughs taken during 1990 were emergency or emergency escorted furloughs. Emergency escorted furloughs are emergency furloughs granted to a resident who requires close supervision while in the community. The resident must be accompanied by correctional staff while on an emergency escorted furlough. Emergency furloughs are approved for residents when a serious or personal situation (i.e. funerals or medical emergencies) arises that requires their immediate presence in the community. During 1990, all furloughs from maximum or medium security were emergency or emergency escorted furloughs.

Furlough Program Participation For The Year 1990

In 1990 there was a decrease in the use of the furlough program in the Massachusetts Department of Correction. From January 1, 1990 to December 31, 1990, there were 1,423 furloughs granted. The number of individuals who received furloughs in 1990 was 438. Compared to 1989, the number of furloughs decreased 33 percent (from 2,123 in 1989), and the number of individuals receiving furloughs decreased 10 percent (from 484 in 1989).

Length of Furloughs. In 1990, the median number of hours of leave per furlough was 14 hours, but the actual number of hours furloughed ranged from one to 66 hours. The median number of hours of leave per furlough during 1990 decreased by one hour from 1989 (15 hours).

Number of Furloughs Per Offender. During 1990, 438 individuals received 1,423 furloughs. Of the 438 furloughed individuals, 272 (62%) received one or more emergency/escorted furloughs, and 187 (43%) received one or more earned furloughs. There were 21 individuals who received both earned and emergency/escorted furloughs. The median number of furloughs for furloughed offenders was one. The number of furloughs per offender ranged from one to 25. Of those furloughed, 236 offenders received one furlough during the year, and 32 offenders received more than 10 furloughs during 1990. During 1989, the median number of furloughs for furloughed offenders was two.

Characteristics of Furlough Participants. The tables in the Appendix show the number and percent distribution of furloughs by various characteristics of furlough participants and by the type

of furlough.³ It is important to note that the figures in these tables refer to the number of furloughs, not the number of individuals furloughed. Therefore, the characteristics of individuals receiving multiple furloughs during the year weigh more heavily in the statistics than those of inmates receiving a single furlough in 1990.

Of the 1,423 furloughs granted during 1990, 68 percent were furloughs by person offenders; 8 percent sex offenders; 9 percent property offenders; 10 percent drug offenders; and 5 percent "other" offenders. Forty percent of all furloughs were taken by offenders serving life sentences. This number represents 72 individuals who took a total of 567 furloughs, an average of 8 furloughs per lifer.

Sixty-four percent of the furloughs were taken by white (includes white hispanic) offenders; 54 percent of the furloughs were taken by offenders with a marital status of "single"; 71 percent had no history of military service; 58 percent were from the Metropolitan Boston area at time of incarceration; and 49 percent had not completed high school. Sixty-two percent of the furloughs were taken by those with no prior adult incarcerations.

Furlough Institution. Table 1 shows the number of furloughs by furlough institution.⁴ During 1990, 1,256 of the 1,423 furloughs were from lower security institutions (88 percent). One hundred and sixty-seven furloughs were granted from maximum or medium security institutions, representing 12 percent of the furloughs granted in 1990. Of the lower security furloughs: 101

³ Due to percentage rounding, some of the tables may not total to 100 percent.

⁴ Several tables in this report present furlough statistics by the security level of the institution from which the furlough occurred. Six of the lower security institutions -- Plymouth, Warwick, Shirley, Lancaster, Longwood and Hodder House -- are mixed security. That is, they house inmates in pre-release as well as minimum security status. In addition, although SECC is considered a medium security facility in the report tables, it houses both medium and minimum security population.

furloughs (7 percent) were granted from minimum security institutions, 275 furloughs were from mixed minimum/pre-release institutions (19 percent), and 880 furloughs (62 percent) were granted from pre-release facilities. This distribution of furlough by security level of furlough institution displays a decrease in furloughs from all security levels, except maximum/medium which represents an increase of 2 furloughs (1 percent) in 1990.

Although there were twenty-seven institutions from which inmates were furloughed, the actual number of furloughs taken from many of these institutions was low. CRS which includes seven institutions, accounted for 33 percent of all furloughs taken during 1990: Brooke House (4 percent), Charlotte House (2 percent), Hillside Pre-Release Center (23 percent), Houston House (2 percent), McGrath House (1 percent), Meridian House (0 percent) and THP (1 percent).

Type of Furlough. Table 1 shows that of the 1,423 furloughs granted during 1990, 76 percent were unescorted earned furloughs. However, furloughs from secure institutions (maximum & medium) were exclusively emergency or emergency escorted furloughs (those from Southeastern Correctional Center are exceptions to this pattern since minimum security level inmates are also housed there). In contrast, 85 percent of all furloughs from lower security were earned.

Inmates Furloughed Per Average Monthly Population. Table 2 presents information on the number of individuals furloughed in proportion to the average population for 1990. The average number of inmates furloughed per month in 1990 was 101. Out of an average population of 7,870, these 101 individuals represented 1.3 percent of the population. This varied by security level. Less than one percent (0.2 percent) of the average maximum/medium security population, 1.7 percent of the minimum population, 1.6 percent of the mixed minimum/pre-release population, and 12.8 percent

of the pre-release population received furloughs during an average month in 1990. These numbers indicate a drop in the proportion of individuals furloughed out of the average population when compared to 1989 for each security level and for the total population.

Furlough Outcomes in 1990. Department of Correction policy defines furlough outcomes as: returns on time, late unders, late overs, and escapes. A "return on time" furlough outcome means that the offender returned by a designated time to a designated place. This outcome indicates an inmate's successful adherence to his/her furlough schedule. A "late under" furlough outcome occurs when an inmate returns within two hours of his/her designated time of return. "Late overs" are furloughs which result in voluntary returns after two hours but within 24 hours of the designated reporting time. "Escapes" are furloughs which result in involuntary returns or failure to return after 24 hours. Department of Correction policy defines a furlough escape as failure to return to a correctional facility within two hours of the designated time of return. Therefore, "late overs" and "escapes" are recognized as two classes of furlough escape. Table 3 gives a breakdown of the furlough outcome by furlough institution.

Of the 1,423 furloughs granted during 1990, 1,402 or 99 percent returned on time. These 1,402 furloughs involved 434 individuals. During 1990, there were 21 furloughs which were classified as "late unders". These 21 late unders involved 16 individuals. Out of the 1,423 furloughs granted in 1990, there were no incidents of furlough escape for the second consecutive year.

⁵ The Department of Correction furlough statistics define an "escape from furlough" in accordance with Department Order 467.1 entitled, "Furloughs - Rules and Regulations." According to Section 10.4 (c): "Failure of the resident to return to the correctional facility after the two hour period set forth in Section 10.4 (b) shall be considered in escape regardless of prior notification to the facility by the resident that he would be late. The superintendent or his designee shall notify, forthwith, appropriate law enforcement official of the escape."

Table 1

Type of Furlough by Furlough Institution,

1989 and 1990

		<u>1989</u>			<u>1990</u>	
Furlough Institution	Unescorted	Emergency/ Emergency Escorted	Total	Unescorted	Emergency/ Emergency Escorted	Total
Maximum & Medium						
Cedar Junction	0	18	18	0	17	17
Concord	0	26	26	0	31	31
Framingham	0	9	9	Ō	8	. 8
occc	0	14	14	0	15	15
Norfolk	0	38	38	0	30	30
SECC	29	16	45	13	26	39
NCCI	0	15	15	0	27	27
Sub-Total	29	136	165	13	154	167
Minimum	•					
Medfield	31	6	37	7	3	10
Bay State	91	13	104	40	14	54
NCC (NECC)	24	8	32	24	13	37
Sub-Total	146	27	173	71	30	101
Minimum/Pre-Release			•			
Plymouth	4	4	8	7	13	20
Warwick	· 9	20	29	0	5	5
Shirley	111	34	145	47	46	93
Lancaster	155	23	178	96	20	116
Longwood T.C.	23	21	44	. 17	15	32
Hodder Cottage	9	4	13	1	8	9
Sub-Total	311	106	417	168	107	275
Pre-Release				•		
Boston State	332	9	341	180	10	190
Pondville	95	3	. 98	64	6	70
Park Drive	49	- 21	70	60	22	82
SMPRC	68	3	71	64	. 6	70
CRS	781	7	788	460	8	468
Sub-Total	1325	43	1368	828	52	880
Grand Total	1811	312	2123	1080	343	1423

Table 2

Percent of Average Institutional Population Furloughed, 1990

stitution	Average Population ⁶	Average # of Inmates Furloughed Per Month	Percent of Population Furloughed
aximum and Medium			
Cedar Junction	679	1	(0.1)
Concord	1132	2	(0.2)
Framingham	400	1	(0.3)
occc	633	1	(0.2)
Norfolk	1280	2	(0.2)
SECC	842	3	(0.4)
NCCI	734	2	(0.3)
Sub-Total	5700	12	(0.2)
<u>inimum</u>			
Medfield	30	1	(3.3)
Bay State	213	4	(1.9)
NCC (NECC)	241	3	(1.2)
Sub-Total	484	8	(1.7)
inimum/Pre-Release			
Plymouth	281	1	(0.4)
Warwick	88	0	(0.0)
Shirley	465	7	(1.5)
Lancaster	215	8	(3.7)
Longwood T.C.	121	2	(1.7)
Hodder Cottage	32	1	(3.1)
Sub-Total	1202	19	(1.6)
e-Release			
Boston State	100	13	(13.0)
Pondville	54	5	(9.3)
Park Drive	57	6	(10.5)
SMPRC	129	5	(3.9)
CRS	144	33	(22.9)
Sub-Total	484	62	(12.8)
tal Daily Average	7870	101	(1.3)

⁶ The figures for average population during 1990 were taken from the <u>Quarterly Report on the Status of Prison</u> <u>Overcrowding</u>, for the First Quarter of 1991.

Table 3


<u>Furlough Outcome</u>
by Furlough Institution, 1990

Furlough Institution	Return on Time	Late Under	Total
Maximum & Medium			
Cedar Junction	17	. 0	17
Concord	30	1	31
Framingham	. 8	0	8
occc	15	0	15
Norfolk	30	0	30
SECC	39	0	39
NCCI	27	0	27
Sub-Total	166	1	167
Minimum			
Medfield	10	. 0	10
Bay State	54	0	54
NCC (NECC)	37	0	37
Sub-Total	101	0	101
Minimum/Pre-Release			
Plymouth	20	, 0	20
Warwick	5	0	. 5
Shirley	93	0	93
Lancaster	116	0	116
Longwood T.C.	31	1	32
Hodder Cottage	9	0	9
Sub-Total	274	1	275
Pre-Release			•
Boston State	190	0	190
Pondville	70	0	70
Park Drive	82	0	82
SMPRC	70	0	70
CRS	449	19	468
Sub-Total	861	19	880
Grand Total	1402	21	1423

Furlough Statistics Since the Inception of the Program

The Massachusetts Department of Correction furlough program has been operating since November 6, 1972. A total of 127,897 furloughs have been granted to 11,601 individuals since the inception of the program through December 31, 1990. On 652 occasions, individuals failed to return to their respective correctional facility within two hours of their appointed time of return. Thus, since the inception of the program there has been an overall escape rate of 0.4 percent.

Figure 1 and Table 4 present a summary of furlough statistics for the years 1972 to 1990. Included is information on the number of furloughs, the number of individuals furloughed, the number of escapes, and corresponding escape rates. During the last five years (1986 through 1990) there was a decrease in the number of furloughs and number of individuals receiving furloughs. In addition, the number of escapes and escape rates dropped over the seventeen year period.



There has been a 80 percent decrease in the number of furloughs being taken from 1973 to 1990. The number of furloughs varies by year. The greatest percentage change between any two consecutive years occurred from 1987 to 1988 when the number of furloughs decreased by 45 percent.

There has also been a decrease from 1973 to 1990 in the number of individuals being furloughed (77 percent). In 1990, the number of individuals receiving furloughs dropped from the prior year by 10 percent. Over the seventeen year period, 1990 had the lowest number of furloughed offenders.

The number of escapes has decreased since 1973 when there were 134 escapes, to 0 escapes in 1990. The escape rate by furlough has declined from 1.9 escapes per 100 furloughs in 1973 to 0.0 in 1990. Similarly, the escape rate by individual has also decreased during this period from 7.2 in 1973 to 0.0 in 1990. 1989 and 1990 are the only two years since the inception of the furlough program that there have been no furlough escapes.

Figure 2 and Table 6 compare the proportion of the average population receiving furloughs by security level for the years 1974 through 1990. The proportion of the population furloughed each year is figured for the total average population and the security level of the institutions from which the furlough occurred.⁷ The table shows that there has been a large decrease in the proportion of the total institutional population receiving furloughs, from 21.9 in 1974 to 1.3 in 1990. While the number of individuals receiving furloughs decreased, the average institutional population increased each year. The percentage of the population in maximum and medium security institutions who received furloughs decreased from 15.2 percent in 1974 to 0.2 percent in 1990. The percentage of

⁷ To be consistent with earlier report of average monthly population furloughed, MCI-Warwick, MCI-Plymouth, Hodder House and Longwood Treatment Center are classified as minimum security, and MCI-Shirley and MCI-Lancaster are classified as pre-release.

the minimum security population furloughed dropped from 55.2 percent in 1974 to 1.2 percent in 1990, and the proportion furloughed from pre-release facilities dropped from 79.9 percent in 1974 to 7.0 percent in 1990.




Table 5 examines furlough participation of releasees for the years 1973 to 1990. It is important to note that while the number of furloughs have declined, the number of offenders released to the street have increased. Thus, the proportion of releasees participating in the furlough program has decreased from 74 percent in 1974 to 9 percent in 1990.

Table 4
Summary Furlough Statistics for the Years 1972 to 1990

Year	Number of Furloughs	Individuals Furloughed	Number of Escapes	Escape Rate by Individual	Escape Rate by Furlough
1972 ⁸	1182	793	8	1.0	0.7
1973	7195	1868	134	7.2	1.9
1974	8322	1668	127	7.6	1.5
1975	8680	1482	88	5.9	1.0
1976	7985	1305	40	3.1	0.5
1977	8199	1355	42	3.1	0.5
1978	9062	1248	38	3.0	0.4
1979	8040	1232	38	3.1	0.5
1980	8654	1193	24	2.0	0.3
1981	8196	1248	34	2.7	0.4
1982	6307	1198	12	1.0	0.2
1983	6710	1295	21	1.6	0.3
1984	8354	1546	10	0.6	0.1
1985	9492	1621	18	1.1	0.2
1986	8896	1645	11	0.7	0.1
1987	5859	1161	5	0.4	0.1
1988	3218	646	2	0.3	0.1
1989	2123	484	0	0.0	0.0
1990	1423	438	0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	127,897	11,601	652	5.6	0.4

The figures for 1972 represent totals for only two months of 1972 (November and December). Therefore, the number of furloughs and individuals furloughed is much lower than subsequent years.

Table 5

Releases by Furlough Participation and Sex.

1973-19909

Year	Total	Total % Furloughed Men Released			Women Released		
Released	Releases	Releases Prior to Release		rloughed	No. % Furloughed		
1973	966	(69)	*				
1974	911	(74)	white		. · · <u></u>		
1975	926	(59)	835		91		
1976	1029	(51)	904	· <u></u>	125		
1977	1193	(50)	1032		161		
1978—	1133	(49)	1002		131		
1979	1124	(42)	955	· 	169		
1980	1015	(40)	799	(47)	216	(12)	
1981	1118	(41)	849	(51)	269	(8)	
1982	1440	(30)	962	(43)	478	(4)	
1983	1976	(32)	1405	(42)	571	(5)	
1984	2125	(30)	1468	(42)	657	(6)	
1985	2331	(28)	1616	(38)	715	(5)	
1986	3029	(27)	2243	(34)	786	(6)	
.987	3114	(22)	2338	(27)	776	(5)	
.988	3446	(12)	2530	(16)	916	(3)	
989	3737	(10)	2706	(12)	1031	(5)	
990	3852	(9)	2879	(11)	973	(3)	

^{* ---} indicates that data are not available by sex for these years.

The figures in this table for the years 1973 through 1989 were taken from annual research reports, <u>A Statistical Description of Releases from Massachusetts Department of Correction</u>, Massachusetts Department of Correction, Numbers 89, 109, 117, 137, 163, 177, 204, 234, 242, 259, 273, 288, 299, 319, 337, and 357. The figures for 1990 releases are preliminary.

Table 6

Percentage of Average Population Furloughed

by Security Level, 1974 - 1990

Year	Maximum Or Medium Security	Minimum Security	Pre-Release	Total Population
1974	(15.2)	(55.2)	(79.9)	(21.9)
1975	(13.8)	(59.6)	(74.6)	(20.8)
1976	(7.6)	(44.9)	(55.9)	(14.7)
.977	(6.2)	(60.2)	(54.5)	(15.5)
978	(4.5)	(54.2)	(64.6)	(16.8)
979	(4.0)	(47.1)	(59.6)	(15.5)
980	(2.0)	(43.7)	(66.2)	(14.1)
981	(1.3)	(37.2)	(59.5)	(12.6)
982	(0.5)	(24.0)	(37.9)	(8.8)
983	(0.3)	(24.5)	(35.8)	(8.9)
984	(0.5)	(28.7)	(39.8)	(10.1)
985	(0.3)	(26.7)	(43.2)	(10.5)
986	(0.4)	(23.3)	(33.0)	(9.0)
987	(0.4)	(14.6)	(19.9)	(5.6)
988	(0.3)	(5.5)	(11.5)	(2.6)
989	(0.2)	(2.0)	(7.6)	(1.6)
990	(0.2)	(1.2)	(7.0)	(1.3)

APPENDIX

Furlough Characteristics, Offense Characteristics, Personal Background and Criminal History for 1990 Furlough Participants

Type of Furlough

		Emergency/ Escorted		Earned		Total
	N	*	N	%	N	*
Month Furloughed						
January	36	(10%)	107	(10%)	143	(10%)
February	42	(12%)	127	(12%)	169	(12%)
March	26	(8%)	112	(10%)	138	(10%)
April	21	(6%)	82	(88)	103	(7%)
May	30	(9%)	81	(7%)	111	(88)
June	22	(6%)	94	(9%)	116	(88)
July	28	(88)	80	(7%)	108	(88)
August	41	(12%)	70	(6%)	111	(8%)
September	24	(7%)	81	(7%)	105	(7%)
October	30	(9%)	75	(7%)	105	(7%)
November	27	(8%)	80	(7%)	107	(8%)
December	16	(5%)	91	(88)	107	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Hours Furloughed			-			
6 or Less	323	(94%)	38	(4%)	361	(25%)
7 to 12	14	(4%)	301	(28%)	315	(22%)
13 to 18	2	(1%)	95	(9%)	97	(7%)
19 to 24	2	(1%)	306	(28%)	308	(22%)
25 to 30	0	(0%)	46	(4%)	46	(3%)
31 to 36	0	(0%)	133	(12%)	133	(9%)
37 to 42	1	(0%)	35	(3%)	36	(3%)
43 to 48	1	(0%)	117	(11%)	118	(8%)
More than 48	0	(0%)	, 9	(1%)	9	(1%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

	Emergency/ Escorted		/ E	Earned		otal
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Commitment					•	
Institution						
Concord	67	(20%)	86	(88)	153	(11%)
Framingham	29	(8%)	73	(7%)	102	(7%)
Longwood	15	(4%)	17	(2%)	32	(2%)
Cedar Junction	232	(68%)	904	(84%)	1136	(80%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Jail Credits						
None	106	(31%)	337	(31%)	443	(31%)
1 to 10 Days	18	(5%)	61	(6%)	79	(6%)
11 to 50 Days	37	(11%)	76	(7%)	113	(8%)
51 to 100 Days	39	(11%)	98	(9%)	137	(10%)
101 to 150 Days	32	(9%)	88	(8%)	120	(8%)
151 t0 200 Days	24	(7%)	108	(10%)	132	(9%)
Over 200 Days	87	(25%)	312	(29%)	399	(28%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%).
Present Offense-General Category						
Person	163	(48%)	803	(74%)	966	(68%)
Sex	56	(16%)	55	(5%)	111	(8%)
Property	39	(11%)	94	(9%)	133	(9%)
Drug	62	(18%)	85	(88)	147	(10%)
Other	23	(7%)	43	(4%)	66	(5%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

	Emergency/ Escorted		E	Earned		otal
	N	*	N	ૠ	N	*
Present Offense-Person						
Non-Person Offense	180	(52%)	277	(26%)	457	(32%)
Murder-1	8	(2%)	0	(20%)	8	(1%)
Murder-2	36	(10%)	486	(45%)	522	(37%)
Manslaughter	19	(6%)	58	(5%)	77	(5%)
Vehicular Homicide	0	(0%)	10	(1%)	10	(1%)
Asslt W-Intent Murder	4	(1%)	16	(1%)	20	(1%)
Accessory-Murder	3	(1%)	13	(1%)	16	(1%)
Armed Robbery	46	(13%)	143	(13%)	189	(13%)
Unarmed Robbery	18	(5%)	17	(2%)	35	(2%)
Armed Assault	25	(7%)	48	(4%)	73	(5%)
Unarmed Assault	0	(0%)	4	(0%)	4	(0%)
Mayhem	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Kidnapping	3	(1%)	0	(0%)	3	(0%)
Conspiracy	0	(0%)	8	(1%)	8	(1%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Present Offense-Sex						
Non-Sex Offense	287	(84%)	1025	(95%)	1312	(92%)
Rape	17	`(5 %)	24	`(2 %)	41	`(3%)
Assault-Rape	3	(1%)	5	(0%)	8	(1%)
Rape of Minor	25	(7%)	3	(0%)	. 28	(2%)
Assault-Rape Minor	10	(3%)	23	(2%)	33	(2%)
Incest	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Present Offense-Property						
Non-Property Offense	304	(89%)	986	(91%)	1290	(91%)
Arson	4	(1%)	5	(0%)	9	(1%)
Burglary-Armed	4	(1%)	5	(0%)	9	(1%)
Burglary	23	(7%)	32	(3%)	55	(4%)
Burglary Tools	2	(1%)	. 0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Larceny	3	(1%)	25	(2%)	28	(2%)
Forgery-Uttering	1	(0%)	5	(0%)	6	(0%)
Fraud	0	(0%)	17	(2%)	17	(1%)
Stolen Goods	2.	(1%) (0%)	4	(0%)	6	(0%)
Property Injuries	0	(0%)	1	(0%)	1	(0%)
Total	3,43	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

	Emergency/ Escorted		/ E	Earned		otal
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Present Offense-Drug						
Non-Drug Offense	281	(82%)	995	(92%)	1276	(90%)
Possession-Heroin	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Possession W-intent	•					
to Sell	2	(1%)	0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Conspiracy to Sell				, ,		
Drugs	3	(1%)	1	(0%)	4	(0%)
Controlled Substance	12	(3%)	14	(1%)	26	(2%)
Class-A	12	(38)	31	(3%)	43	(3%)
Class-B	31	(9%)	.36	(3%)	67	(5%)
Class-C	1	(0%)	3	(0%)	4	(0%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Present Offense-Other						
Person, Property,	220	(00%)	1007	(0.5%)	1057	(05%)
Sex, Drug Offenses	320	(93%)	1037	(96%)	1357	(95%)
Escape	3	(1%)	0	(0%)	3 29	(0%) (2%)
Weapons Offense Prostitution	4 0	(1%)	25	(2%)	1	(2%)
Vehicle Offense	. 5	(0%)	1	(0%)	5	
Viol of Court Order	. 5	(1%)	0	(0%) (0%)	1	(0%) (0%)
		(0%)	0		27	
OUI	10	(3%)	17	(2%)	21	(2%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

		Emergency/ Escorted		Earned		otal
	N	* *	N	8	N	8
Minimum Sentence						
2 Years	7	(2%)	13	(1%)	20	(1%)
3 Years	31	(9%)	76	(7%)	107	(8%)
4 Years	20	(6%)	25	(2%)	45	(3%)
5 Years	15	(4%)	50	(5%)	65	(5%)
6 Years	20	(6%)	67	(6%)	87	(6%)
7 Years	11	(3%)	29	(3%)	40	(3%)
8 Years	14	(4%)	22	(2%)	36	(3%)
9 Years	8	(2%)	26	(2%)	34	(2%)
10 Years	18	(5%)	41	(4%)	59	(4%)
11 to 12 Years	16	(5%)	23	(2%)	39	(3%)
13 to 15 Years	20	(6%)	23	(2%)	43	(3%)
16 to 19 Years	12	(3%)	19	(2%)	31	(2%)
20 Years	1	(0%)	25	(2%)	26	(2%)
21 or More Years	5	(1%)	0	(0%)	5	(0%)
Life	51	(15%)	516	(48%)	567	(40%)
Indeterminate	94	(27%)	125	(12%)	219	(15%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Maximum Sentence						
Less than 1 Year	17	(5%)	7	(1%)	24	(2%)
1 Year	8	(2%)	8	(1%)	16	(1%)
2 Years	5	(1%)	16	(1%)	21	(1%)
3 Years	1	(0%)	5	(0%)	6	(0%)
4 Years	4	(1%)	ő	(0%)	4	(0%)
5 Years	48	(14%)	83	(8%)	131	(9%)
6 Years	6	(2%)	23	(2%)	29	(2%)
7 Years	14	(4%)	19	(2%)	33	(2%)
8 Years	4	(1%)	36	(3%)	40	(3%)
9 Years	4	(1%)	13	(1%)	17	(1%)
10 Years	80	(23%)	127	(12%)	207	(15%)
11 to 12 Years	18	(5%)	41	(4%)	59	(4%)
13 to 15 Years	16	(5%)	37	(3%)	53	(4%)
16 to 19 Years	5	(1%)	21	(2%)	26	(2%)
20 Years	44	(13%)	100	(2%)	144	(10%)
21 or More Years	18	(5%)	28	(3%)	46	(3%)
Life	51	(15%)	516	(48%)	567	(40%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

•		nergency, scorted	/ E	arned	Ţ	otal
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Age at Incarceration						
Sixteen	0	(0%)	23	(2%)	23	(2%)
Seventeen	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Eighteen	15	(4%)	16	(1%)	31	(2%)
Nineteen	12	(3%)	71	(7%)	83	(6%)
Twenty	19	(6%)	39	(4%)	58	(4%)
Twenty-one	15	(4%)	55	(5%)	70	(5%)
Twenty-two	14	(4%)	51	(5%)	65	(5%)
Twenty-three	26	(8%)	60	(6%)	86	(6%)
Twenty-four	10	(3%)	34	(38)	44	⊹ (3%)
Twenty-five	. 10	(3%)	84	(88)	94	(7%)
26 to 29	76	(22%)	187	(17%)	263	(18%)
30 to 39	116	(34%)	316	(29%)	432	(30%)
40 and Over	29	(8%)	144	(13%)	173	(12%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Race						
White	200	(618)	697	(658)	906	16181
Black	209	(61%)	363	(65%)	467	(64%)
Asiatic	104 1	(30%)		(34%)	467	(33%)
		(0%)	0	(0%)		(0%)
Hispanic-Unspecified	29	(8%)	20	(2%)	49	(3%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Marital Status	-					
Married	51	(15%)	280	(26%)	331	(23%)
Single	221	(64%)	551	(51%)	772	(54%)
Divorced	45	(13%)	109	(10%)	154	(11%)
Widowed	8	(2%)	18	(2%)	26	(2%)
Separated	18	(5%)	122	(11%)	140	(10%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

		mergency, scorted	/ E	arned	T	otal
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Military Discharge						
No Service	258	(75%)	746	(69%)	1004	(71%)
Honorable	33	(10%)	174	(16%)	207	(15%)
Dishonorable	2	(1%)	0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Bad Conduct	5	(1%)	21	(2%)	26	(2%)
Medical	6	(2%)	0	(0%)	6	(0%)
Discharge Unknown	8	(2%)	53	(5%)	61	(4%)
Unknown	31	(9%)	86	(8%)	117	(88)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Prior						
Address-Selected						
Towns						
Boston	88	(26%)	385	(36%)	473	(33%)
Brockton	4	(1%)	15	(1%)	19	(1%)
Cambridge	5	(1%)	9	(1%)	14	(1%)
Fall River	5	(1%)	9	(1%)	14	(1%)
Framingham	1	(0%)	27	(2%)	28	(2%)
Holyoke	8	(2%)	1	(0%)	9	(1%)
Lawrence	8	(2%)	24	(2%)	32	(2%)
Lowell	10	(3%)	15	(1%)	25	(2%)
Lynn	8	(2%)	30	(3%)	38	(3%)
New Bedford	4	(1%)	16	(1%)	20	(1%)
Quincy	2	(1%)	14	(1%)	16	(1%)
Somerville	6	(2%)	18	(2%)	24	(2%)
Springfield	35	(10%)	60	(6%)	95	(7%)
Worcester	. 34	(10%)	23	(2%)	57	(4%)
Other Mass. Towns	117	(34%)	405	(38%)	522	(37%)
Out of State	8	(2%)	29	(3%)	37	(3%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

	Emergency/ Escorted		E	Earned		otal
	N	*	N	8	N	8
Prior Address-County						
Worcester	49	(14%)	43	(4%)	92	(6%)
Franklin	0	(0%)	9	(1%)	9	(1%)
Middlesex	58	(17%)	192	(18%)	250	(18%)
Suffolk	95	(28%)	404	(37%)	499	(35%)
Norfolk	16	(5%)	67	(6%)	83	(6%)
Bristol	13	(4%)	37	(3%)	50	(4%)
Plymouth	13	(4%)	84	(8%)	97	(78)
Essex	26	(8%)	92	(9%)	118	(8%)
Hampshire	1	(0%)	0 .	(0%)	1	(0%)
Hampden	49	(14%)	107	(10%)	156	(11%)
Berkshire	6	(2%)	4	(0%)	10	(1%)
Barnstable	9	(3%)	9	(1%)	18	(1%)
Out of State	8	(2%)	32	(3%)	40	(3%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Prior Address-MSA				•		
Boston	160	(47%)	664	(61%)	824	(58%)
Brockton	8	(2%)	35	(3%)	43	(3%)
Fall River	. 5	(1%)	9	(1%)	14	(1%)
Fitchburg-Leominster	2	(1%)	. 0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Lawrence-Haverhill	12	(3%)	27	(2%)	39	(3%)
Lowell	13	(4%)	29	(3%)	42	(3%)
New Bedford	6	(2%)	16	(1%)	22	(2%)
Pittsfield	3	(1%)	4	(0%)	7	(0%)
Providence-Paw-Warwick	1	(0%)	3	(0%)	4	(0%)
Springfield	49	(14%)	107	(10%)	156	(11%)
Worcester	41	(12%)	32	(3%)	73	(5%)
Other Massachusetts	35	(10%)	125	(12%)	160	(11%)
Out of State	8	(2%)	29	(3%)	37	(3%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

		mergency, scorted	/ Ea	arned	T	otal
	N	%	N	*	N	*
Occupation		_		_		
Prof-Technical	6	(2%)	27	(2%)	33	(2%)
Business	10	(3%)	73	(7%)	83	(6%)
Sales, Clerical	19	(6%)	99	(9%)	118	(8%)
Manual	146	(43%)	494	(46%)	640	(45%)
Services	81	(24%)	227	(21%)	308	(22%)
Agriculture	2	(1%)	0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Armed Services	3	(1%)	10	(1%)	13	(1%)
Not Employed	8	(2%)	11	(1%)	19	(1%)
Unknown	68	(20%)	139	(13%)	207	(15%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Time at Most Skilled Position						
Less than One Month	7	(2%)	24	(2%)	31	(2%)
1-2 Months	15	(4%)	17	(2%)	32	(2%)
3-4 Months	28	(8%)	115	(11%)	143	(10%)
5-6 Months	11	(3%)	25	(2%)	36	(3%)
7-9 Months	9	(3%)	28	(3%)	37	(3%)
10-12 Months	14	(4%)	46	(4%)	60	(4%)
1-2 Years	31	(9%)	99	(9%)	130	(98)
2-5 Years	34	(10%)	230	(21%)	264	(19%)
More than 5 Years	33	(10%)	186	(17%)		(15%)
Unknown	161	(47%)	310	(29%)	471	(33%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Time on Job of						
Longest Duration					<u> </u>	
Less than One Month	6	(2%)	24	(2%)	30	(2%)
1-2 Months	13	(4%)	8	(1%)	21	(1%)
3-4 Months	27	(8%)	84	(8%)	111	(8%)
5-6 Months	12	(3%)	81	(7%)	93	(7%)
7-9 Months	9	(3%)	17	(2%)	26	(2%)
10-12 Months	15	(4%)	36	(3%)	51 154	(4%)
1-2 Years	33	(10%)	121	(11%)	154	(11%)
2-5 Years	34	(10%)	236	(22%)	270	(19%)
More than 5 Years Unknown	33	(10%)	196	(18%)	229	(16%)
INCOME	161	(47%)	277	(26%)	438	(31%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

	Emergency/ Escorted		/ 1	Earned		Total	
	N	*	N	ક	N	%	
Last Grade Completed							
Sixth or Less	11	(3%)	31	(3%)	42	(3%)	
Seventh	20	(6%)	47	(4%)	67	(5%)	
Eighth	20	(6%)	87	(8%)	107	(8%)	
Ninth	48	(14%)	111	(10%)	159	(11%)	
Tenth	27	(8%)	171	(16%)	198	(14%)	
Eleventh	26	(88)	79	(7%)	105	(7%)	
High School Graduate	111	(32%)	370	(34%)	481	(34%)	
Some College	42	(12%)	114	(11%)	156	(11%)	
College Graduate	9	(3%)	49	(5%)	58	(4%)	
Unknown	29	(8%)	21	(2%)	50	(4%)	
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)	

Type of Furlough

		mergency scorted	/ E	Earned		Total	
	N	*	N	*	, N	8	
Total Number of Court							
Appearances							
First Offense	12	(3%)	92	(9%)	104	(7%)	
Two	24	(7%)	149	(14%)	173	(12%)	
Three	18	(5%)	63	(6%)	81	(6%)	
Four Five	18	(5%)	71	(7%)	89	(6%)	
6 to 9	22	(6%) (15%)	70	(6%)	92	(6%) (15%)	
10 to 14	50 63	(15%)	164	(15%)	214	(15%)	
15 to 20	45	(18%)	160 111	(15%)	223	(16%)	
More than 20	44	(13%) (13%)	131	(10%) (12%)	156 175	(11%) (12%)	
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(12%)	116	(88)	
CHRIIOWII	4/	(148)	69	(08)	110	(08)	
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)	
Charges for Person Offenses	•						
None	47	(14%)	74	(7%)	121	(9%)	
One	50	(15%)	219	(20%)	269	(19%)	
Two	34	(10%)	221	(20%)	255	(18%)	
Three	35	(10%)	78	`(7%)	113	(8%)	
Four	27	(88)	113	(10%)	140	(10%)	
Five	15	(4%)	85	(88)	100	(7%)	
6 to 8	33	(10%)	98	(9%)	131	(9%)	
More than Eight	55	(16%)	123	(11%)	178	(13%)	
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)	
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)	
Charges for Property							
Offenses							
None	66	(19%)	321	(30%)	387	(27%)	
One	31	(9%)	113	(10%)	144	(10%)	
Two	. 23	(78)	84	(8%)	107	(88)	
Three	19	(6%)	89	(8%)	108	(8%)	
Four	18	(5%)	41	(4%)	59	(4%)	
Five	9	(3%)	36	(3%)	45	(3%)	
6 to 8	32	(9%)	82	(88)	114	(88)	
More than Eight	98	(29%)	245	(23%)	343	(24%)	
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)	
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)	

Type of Furlough

		mergency, scorted	/ E	arned	Total	
•	N	*	N	%	. N	*
Charges for Sex Offenses				•		-
None	226	(66%)	844	(78%)	1070	(75%)
One	25	(7%)	80	(7%)	105	(7%)
Two Three	7 12	(2 %) (3 %)	54 21	(5%) (2%)	61 33	(4%) (2%)
Four	10	(3%) (3%)	0	(23) (0%)	10	(2%) (1%)
Five	1	(0%)	Ö	(0%)	1	(0%)
6 to 8	7	(2%)	3	(0%)	10	(1%)
More than Eight	8	(2%)	9	(1%)	17	(1%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Charges for Drug Offenses		e e		·		
None	150	(44%)	698	(65%)	848	(60%)
One	31	(9%)	88	(88)	119	(8%)
Two	31	(9%)	63	(6%)	94	(7%)
Three	11	(3%)	50	(5%)	61	(4%)
Four	23	(7%)	46	(4%)	69	(5%)
Five	14	(4%)	6	(1%)	20 66	(1%) (5%)
6 to 8	22 14	(6%) (4%)	44 16	(4%) (1%)	30	(5%) (2%)
More than Eight Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(13) (6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
		(2000)		(2000)		(2000)
Charges for Alcohol Offenses						
None	208	(61%)	786	(73%)	994	(70%)
One	36	(10%)	81	(7%)	117	(8%)
Two	14	(4%)	64	(6%)	78	(5%)
Three	13	(4%)	31	(3%)	44	(3%)
Four	3	(1%)	5	(0%)	8	(1%)
Five	8	(2%)	22	(2%)	30	(2%)
6 to 8	11	(38) (18)	8	(1%) (1%)	19 17	(1%)
More than Eight	3	(1%)	14	(1%) (6%)	17 116	(1%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

		mergency, scorted	/ Ea	arned	Total	
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Charges for Escape Offenses						
None	263	(77%)	981	(91%)	1244	(87%)
One	21	`(6%)	20	`(2%)	41	(3%)
Two	9	(3%)	10	(1%)	19	(1%)
Three	2	(1%)	0	(0%)	2	(0%)
Four	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Indicator of Juvenile Commitment						
No	255	(74%)	923	(85%)	1178	(83%)
Yes	41	(12%)	88	(88)	129	(9%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Prior County Incarcerations						
None	163	(48%)	719	(67%)	882	(62%)
One	. 57	(17%)	119	(11%)	176	(12%)
Two	36	(10%)	69	(6%)	105	(7%)
Three	17	(5%)	29	(3%)	46	(3%)
Four	8	(2%)	33	(3%)	41	(3%)
Five	9	(3%)	24	(2%)	33	(2%)
Six or More	. 6	(2%)	18	(2%)	24	(2%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Prior State or Federal Incarcerations						
None	230	(67%)	858	(79%)	1088	(76%)
One	33	(10%)	96	(9%)	129	(9%)
Two	15	(4%)	8	(1%)	23	(2%)
Three	9	(3%)	32	(3%)	41	(3%)
Four	6	(2%)	14	(1%)	20	(1%)
Five	2	(1%)	3	(0%)	5	(0%)
Six or More	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

•		ergency/ corted	' Ea	Earned		otal
	N	*	N	*	N	. %
Total Prior Adult Incarcerations	4	_		-		
None	144	(42%)	672	(62%)	816	(57%)
One	45	(13%)	139	(13%)	184	(13%)
Two	50	(15%)	42	(4%)	92	(6%)
Three	13	(4%)	29	(3%)	42	(3%)
Four	18	(5%)	54	(5%)	72	(5%)
Five	10	(3%)	35	(3%)	45	(3%)
Six or More	16	(5%)	40	(4%)	56	(4%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Age at First Court Appearance	,	·				
Twelve or Younger	21	(6%)	72	(7%)	93	(7%)
Thirteen	12	(3%)	7	(1%)	19	(1%)
Fourteen	24	(7%)	45	(4%)	69	(5%)
Fifteen	18	(5%)	100	(98)	118	(8%)
Sixteen	18	(5%)	84	(88)	102	(7%)
Seventeen	63	(18%)	126	(12%)	189	(13%)
Eighteen	14	(4%)	109	(10%)	123	(9%)
Nineteen	19	(6%)	135	(13%)	154	(11%)
Twenty	12	(3%)	54	(5%)	66	(5%)
Twenty-one	22	(6%)	21	(2%)	43	(3%)
Twenty-two	6	(2%)	26	(2%)	32	(2%)
Twenty-three	8	(2%)	31	(3%)	39	(3%)
Twenty-four	7	(2%)	42	(4%)	49	(3%)
Twenty-five	5	(1%)	24	(2%)	29	(2%)
26 to 29	21	(6%)	32	(3%)	53	(4%)
30 to 39	19	(6%)	60	(6%)	79	(6%)
40 and Over	7	(2%)	43	(4%)	50	(4%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(8%)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Type of Furlough

		Emergency/ Earned Escorted		Total		
	N	*	N	*	N	*
Age at First Drug						
Court Appearance						
Not Applicable	150	(44%)	698	(65%)	848	(60%)
8 to 14	1	(0%)	1	(0%)	2	(0%)
15 to 17	29	(8%)	33	(3%)	62	(4%)
18 to 19	23	(7%)	58	(5%)	81	(6%)
20 to 21	22	(6%)	44	(4%)	66	(5%)
22 to 24	20	(6%)	63	(6%)	83	(6%)
25 to 29	27	(88)	57	(5%)	84	(6%)
30 to 34	15	(4%)	46	(4%)	61	(4%)
35 to 39	3	(1%)	11	(1%)	14	(1%)
40 and Over	6	(2%)	. 0	(0%)	6	(0%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(88)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)
Age at First Alcohol Court Appearance	•		·			
Not Applicable	208	(61%)	786	(73%)	994	(70%)
8 to 14	1	(0%)	0	(0%)	1	(0%)
15 to 17	14	(4%)	22	(2%)	36	(3%)
18 to 19	17	(5%)	36	(3%)	53	(4%)
20 to 21	14	(48)	22	(2%)	36	(3%)
22 to 24	14	(4%)	62	(6%)	76	(5%)
25 to 29	17	(5%)	59	(5%)	76	(5%)
30 to 34	5	(1%)	15	(1%)	20	(1%)
35 to 39	6	(2%)	0	(0%)	6	(0%)
40 and Over	0	(0%)	9	(1%)	9	(1%)
Unknown	47	(14%)	69	(6%)	116	(88)
Total	343	(100%)	1080	(100%)	1423	(100%)

Glossary

Court Appearance

Each separate arraignment date is counted as a court appearance. The source of this information is the probation Central File.

Institution

Cedar Junction

Formerly known as MCI-Walpole.

CRS

Community Residential Service includes Brooke House, Charlotte House, Hillside Pre-Release Center, Houston House, McGrath

House, Meridian House and THP.

NCC (NECC)

Northeastern Correctional Center.

NCCI

North Central Correctional Institution at

Gardner.

OCCC

Old Colony Correctional Center.

SECC

Southeastern Correctional Center.

SMPRC

South Middlesex pre-Release Center.

THP

Temporary Housing Program

Offense

Armed Assault

Includes armed assault in a dwelling, assault and battery with a dangerous weapon and assault by means of a dangerous weapon.

Unarmed Assault

Includes assault and assault and battery.

Assault with Intent to Murder

Includes attempted murder.

Glossary (cont.)

Rape

Assault/Rape of Minor

Assault/Rape

Class A

Class B

Class C

Class D

MSA

Includes aggravated rape.

Includes indecent assault and battery on child

under 14.

Includes indecent assault and battery on

person over 14.

Includes heroin and morphine.

Includes amphetamines, barbiturates, cocaine,

and PCP.

Includes hallucinogens, hash, and LSD.

Marijuana.

Metropolitan Statistical Area.