Verizon New England Inc.

d/b/a Verizon Massachusetts

## **Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

## **D.T.E. 01-20 (Part A)**

## Respondent: <u>Bruce Meacham</u> Title: <u>Senior Specialist</u> Respondent: <u>Michael J. Anglin</u> Title: <u>Director - Service Costs</u>

**REQUEST:**CLEC Coalition, Set #3**DATED:**May 17, 2001

- **ITEM:** CC Is it VZ-MA's belief that both recurring and non-recurring costs should be 3-17 calculated assuming the same network design? If "no," please explain the basis for VZ-MA's position.
- **REPLY:** The recurring and nonrecurring costs submitted in this proceeding both assume the same network design. However, Verizon MA does not believe that the same network design need be assumed for both recurring and non-recurring costs. Verizon MA's position on this was issue was detailed in the Rebuttal testimony of Dr. William Taylor (Exhibit #BA OSS/NRC-Exh 6) in the Consolidated Arbitrations.

VZ # 291