Page 1 Instruction 8.100

Revised March 2023 §16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony

BREAKING & ENTERING A (BUILDING) (SHIP) (VESSEL) (VEHICLE) IN THE NIGHTTIME WITH INTENT TO COMMIT A FELONY

G.L. c. 266, § 16 - Part I

The defendant is charged with breaking and entering a (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle) in the nighttime with intent to commit a felony. To prove the defendant guilty of this offense, the Commonwealth must prove four things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First: That the defendant broke into someone else's (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle);

Second: That the defendant entered that (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle);

Third: That the defendant did so with the intent to commit a felony in that (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle); and

Fourth: That this happened during the nighttime.

To prove the first element, the Commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant exerted physical force, however slight, and thereby removed an obstruction to gaining entry

§16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony Revised March 2023

into someone else's (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle). Breaking includes moving in a significant manner anything that barred the way into the (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle). Examples would include such things as (opening a closed door whether locked or unlocked) (opening a closed window whether locked or unlocked) (going in through an open window that is not intended for use as an entrance). On the other hand, going through an unobstructed entrance such as an open door does not constitute a breaking.

Commonwealth v. Burke, 392 Mass. 688, 689–690 (1984) (shattering outer storm window was breaking); Commonwealth v. Tilley, 355 Mass. 507, 508–509 (1969) (reasonable to infer that intruders "moved to a material degree something that barred the way" and did not enter through unobstructed entrance; entry through an open window not intended for use as an entry was breaking); Commonwealth v. Shedd, 140 Mass. 451, 453 (1886) (opening closed window was breaking); Commonwealth v. Hall, 48 Mass. App. Ct. 727, 731 (2000) (entry through open window was breaking). See Commonwealth v. Jeffrey Pearson, 72 Mass. App. Ct. 1101 (2008) (unpublished) (leaning torso and arms through car window was breaking).

To prove the second element, the Commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant entered the (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle). An entry occurs when there is any intrusion - no matter how slight - into a protected enclosure by any part of the defendant's body. (An entry is not proven if all that passed over the threshold was an object controlled by the defendant unless that

Page 3 Instruction 8.100

Revised March 2023 §16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony

object was used to commit a felony inside the (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle).)

Commonwealth v. Cotto, 52 Mass. App. Ct. 225, 229 (2001), further appellate review denied, 435 Mass. 1101 (entry occurs when any part of defendant's body, or an instrument used to commit the intended felony, crosses the threshold). See also Commonwealth v. Burke, 392 Mass. at 691 (reaching between outer and inner window with a tool, but not a hand, insufficient); Commonwealth v. Lewis, 346 Mass. 373, 377 (1963) (reasonable to infer that some portion of defendant's hand or arm entered house in course of opening door).

To prove the third element, the Commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that, at the time the defendant broke into the (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle), the defendant had the specific intent to commit a crime that is a felony. A person's intent is their purpose or objective. This requires you to make a decision about the defendant's state of mind at that time. You may examine any actions or words of the defendant, and all of the surrounding circumstances, to help you determine what the defendant's intent was at that time.

As I just said, the defendant must have intended to commit a felony at the time of the break. A felony is an offense for which a person may be sentenced to state prison. The offense(s) of __iname of __offense(s)_i is (are) punishable by a sentence to state prison. (While the Commonwealth is not required to prove that the defendant intended

§16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony Revised March 2023

to commit any particular felony, it must prove that at the time of the break the defendant intended to commit a crime that is a felony.)

Rogan v. Commonwealth, 415 Mass. 376, 379 (1993) (jury may find intent to commit an unspecified felony); Commonwealth v. Poff, 56 Mass. App. Ct. 201, 203 (2002) (felonious intent must be present at the time of the breaking and entering); Commonwealth v. Clemente, 25 Mass. App. Ct. 229, 235 n.10 (1988) (statute apparently does not require an intent to commit a felony in the same building into which the break was made).

To prove the fourth element, the Commonwealth must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the breaking and entering occurred in the nighttime. The law is that "nighttime" begins one hour after sunset and ends one hour before sunrise the next day, measured according to the mean, or average, time at that time of year in the place where the offense was allegedly committed. The Commonwealth may prove that it was nighttime by (presenting evidence that it was completely dark outside at the time of the offense) (offering an almanac or other reference book to show the time of sunset or sunrise on that day) (asking you as jurors to rely on your common knowledge of approximately when the sun rises or sets on [date] in the place where the offense was allegedly committed). In the end, you must be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the

Page 5 Instruction 8.100

Revised March 2023 §16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony

crime occurred sometime between one hour after sunset and one hour before sunrise.

G.L. c. 278, § 10. Commonwealth v. Kingsbury, 378 Mass. 751, 752–754 (1979); Commonwealth v. Bergstrom, 10 Mass. App. Ct. 838, 838–839 (1980); Commonwealth v. Servidori, 6 Mass. App. Ct. 969, 969 (1979).

beyond a reasonable doubt, you should return a verdict of guilty. If the Commonwealth has failed to prove one or more of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant not guilty.

Lesser included offense instructions if applicable:

The offenses of Breaking and Entering in the Daytime with Intent to Commit a Felony, in violation of G.L. c. 266, § 18, Part II, and Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit a Misdemeanor, in violation of G.L. c. 266, § 16A, are both lesser included offenses of this offense. See Instruction 8.102 and Instruction 8.104. If either or both of these elements are in dispute, one or both of the following lesser included offense instructions may be given.

The offense charged in this case - Breaking and Entering in The Nighttime with Intent to Commit a Felony - includes the lesser offense(s) of (Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit a Felony) (Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit a Misdemeanor). The Commonwealth may prove the lesser included charge of (Breaking and Entering with Intent to Commit a Felony) (Breaking and Entering

§16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony Revised March 2023

with Intent to Commit a Misdemeanor) even if it fails to prove the greater charge of Breaking and Entering in the Nighttime with Intent to Commit a Felony.

Lesser included offense of breaking and entering daytime. If it has not been proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed this offense in the nighttime, but all the other elements of the offense have been proved beyond a reasonable doubt, you may find the defendant guilty of the lesser included offense of breaking and entering a (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle) in the daytime with intent to commit a felony.

Commonwealth v. Sitko, 372 Mass. 305, 307–308 (1977) (breaking and entering in the daytime under G.L. c. 266, § 18 is a lesser included offense of breaking and entering in the nighttime).

Lesser included offense of breaking and entering with intent to commit a

misdemeanor. If it has not been proved to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed this offense with the intent to commit a felony, but the Commonwealth has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant broke into and entered the (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle) with the intent to commit a misdemeanor, you may find the defendant guilty of the lesser

Page 7 Instruction 8.100

Revised March 2023 §16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony

included offense of breaking and entering a (building) (ship) (vessel) (vehicle) with intent to commit a misdemeanor.

SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. Trick or threat. A person may be convicted if they convinced or compelled an innocent person by trick or threat to assist them in the break.

Commonwealth v. Lockwood, 95 Mass. App. Ct. 189, 193 (2019) ("Compelling another to open a closed door so as to gain entry, whether by agreement, trickery, force, or - as here - fear, is sufficient to constitute a breaking even though it is accomplished by indirect means."); Commonwealth v. Labare, 11 Mass. App. Ct. 370, 377 (1981) (phony name).

2. Judicial notice of time of sunset or sunrise. The law permits me to take notice of certain facts that are not subject to reasonable dispute. In this case, based upon __[reference book]_, I have decided to accept as proved the fact that on __[date]_ the sun (set) (rose) at __[time]_.

Therefore, you may accept this fact as true, even if no evidence has been introduced about it. You are not required to do so, but you may.

See the notes to the supplemental instruction on "Judicial Notice" in Instruction 2.220 (What Is Evidence; Stipulations; Judicial Notice).

§16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony Revised March 2023

under the protection of a building when it has been placed there for safekeeping and is not under the eye or personal care of someone is a felony.) (Stealing property valued at over \$1,200 is a felony.) You are permitted to draw an inference that the defendant intended to steal (in a building) (more than \$1,200) if you think it reasonable based on the evidence. You are not required to draw such an inference, but you may, if it is supported by the evidence.

Commonwealth v. McGovern, 397 Mass. 863, 868 (1986) (when a person forcefully enters a building without right, it is fair to infer intent to steal); Commonwealth v. Hughes, 380 Mass. 596, 602–604 (1980) (dwelling); Commonwealth v. Wygrzywalski, 362 Mass. 790, 792 (1973) (store); Commonwealth v. Eppich, 342 Mass. 487, 493 (1961) (same); Commonwealth v. Ronchetti, 333 Mass. 78, 81 (1955) (inference of intent to steal permissible even where defendant attacked homeowner, apparently spontaneously); Commonwealth v. Shedd, 140 Mass. 451, 453 (1886) (jury may make inference based on circumstances, including "conduct and declarations" of defendant). See G.L. c. 266, § 20; G.L. c. 266, § 30 (1).

NOTES:

- 1. "Another's" property. The Commonwealth need not allege the building or vehicle owner's name in the complaint, G.L. c. 277, § 25, and if it does, at trial need only prove that the property was owned by someone other than the defendant. *Commonwealth v. Kalinowski*, 360 Mass. 682, 684–685 (1971). At common law, one could not burglarize one's own dwelling, but the issue turned on rights of occupancy rather than ownership. *Commonwealth v. Ricardo*, 26 Mass. App. Ct. 345, 354–357 (1988) (charge of armed assault in dwelling). But see *Commonwealth v. Derome*, 6 Mass. App. Ct. 900, 901 (1978) (directing verdict for defendant where Commonwealth charged the break of one premise but proved the break of another).
- 2. **One break or several?** Multiple breaks into different units in a single building may be prosecuted and sentenced as separate crimes. See *Commonwealth v. Clemente*, 25 Mass. App. Ct. 229, 237 (1988).
- 3. **Variance in intended felony.** The complaint need not specify the intended felony. *Commonwealth v. Porcher*, 26 Mass. App. Ct. 517, 521 (1988); *Commonwealth v. Wainio*, 1 Mass. App. Ct. 866, 867 (1974). Since a complaint's specification of the intended felony is surplusage, proof of a different felony is permissible if the defendant is not misled or the jury confused. *Commonwealth v. Costello*, 392 Mass. 393, 402–404 (1984); *Commonwealth v. Hobbs*, 385 Mass. 863, 869–871 (1982);

Page 9 Instruction 8.100

Revised March 2023 §16 Pt I - Breaking & Entering A Building (etc...) at Night with Intent to Commit Felony

see also *Commonwealth v. Randolph*, 415 Mass. 364, 367 (1993). See also *Rogan, 415 Mass. at 380* (jury may find intent to commit an unspecified misdemeanor on charge of breaking and entering in daytime with intent to commit felony). However, the judge must clearly instruct the jury that the Commonwealth must prove the defendant had a specific intent at the time of the break to commit a crime that was a felony.

- 4. **Elements of intended felony.** Because the specific intended felony is surplusage, and the jury can find an intent to commit an unspecified felony, a judge is not required to instruct the jury as to the elements of the intended felony. *Commonwealth v. Willard*, 53 Mass. App. Ct. 650, 656 (2002). As a practical matter, the factual circumstances of many breaking-and-entering offenses will potentially support a finding that the defendant intended to commit one or more different felonies, including larceny from a building (G.L. c. 266, § 20), larceny from the person (G.L. c. 266, § 25), or larceny of property with a value exceeding \$1,200 (G.L. c. 266, § 30). *Willard*, 53 Mass. App. Ct. at 654-655 & n.7.
- 5. **Evidence of Time.** The Commonwealth's failure to provide direct evidence of the time of the crime is not fatal to the Commonwealth's case because circumstantial evidence is competent evidence to establish guilt. *Commonwealth v. Bennett*, 424 Mass. 64, 67 (1997). Nor is the Commonwealth compelled to provide any evidence of the time of sunrise. *Bennett*, 424 Mass. at 68 ("The jury were entitled to rely on their general knowledge of matters commonly known within the community in determining what inferences may be drawn to establish a material fact not proved by direct evidence, such as the time of sunset or sunrise at a particular time of the year.").