# Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

#### **Appellant Name and Address:**



**Appeal Decision:** Denied **Appeal Number:** 2177899

**Decision Date:** 2/24/2022 **Hearing Date:** 11/22/2021

Hearing Officer: Samantha Kurkjy

Appearances for Appellant: MassHealth Representative:

Dr. Harold Kaplan



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

#### APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: PA-Dental-

Orthodontics

**Decision Date:** 2/24/2022 **Hearing Date:** 11/22/2021

MassHealth Rep.: Dr. Harold Kaplan Appellant Rep.:

**Hearing Location:** Remote Aid Pending: No

## **Authority**

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

#### Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated October 7, 2021, MassHealth denied the appellant's Prior Authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. (Exhibit 1; Exhibit 4; 130 CMR 420.431.)<sup>1</sup> The appellant filed a timely appeal on October 18, 2021. (Exhibit 2; 130 CMR 610.015(B).) Challenging a denial of a request for Prior Authorization is a valid ground for appeal. (130 CMR 610.032.) The hearing officer was on a period of medical leave due to a serious illness, which extends the deadline for rendering a hearing decision pursuant to 130 CMR 610.015(D)(4)(b).

## Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for Prior Authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

### Issue

Whether MassHealth was correct in determining, pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431(E), that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While the DentaQuest packet lists the determination date as October 7, 2021, the Claim/Pre-Authorization Status Detail lists the Final Decision Date as October 6, 2021. (Exhibit 1; Exhibit 4.)

## **Summary of Evidence**

The appellant is an individual under the age of 21 who was represented at hearing by his mother. MassHealth was represented at the hearing by Dr. Harold Kaplan, an orthodontic consultant from DentaQuest. DentaQuest is the third-party company that currently administers and manages the dental program available to MassHealth members, including the appellant.

The appellant's provider submitted a Prior Authorization ("PA") request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, including an x-ray and photographs, on October 6, 2021. As required, the provider completed the MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Index ("HLD Index"), which requires a total score of 22 or higher for approval. The provider's HLD Index indicates that she found a total score of 23, broken down as follows (Exhibit 1):

<b>Conditions Observed</b>	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	6	1	6
Mandibular Protrusion	0	5	0
in mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding <sup>2</sup>	Maxilla: √	Flat score of 5	10
	Mandible: √	for each <sup>3</sup>	
Labio-Lingual Spread,	3	1	3
in mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	No	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth			
Total HLD Score			23

When DentaQuest initially evaluated this PA request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 18. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores (Exhibit 1):

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	7	1	7
Mandibular Protrusion	0	5	0
in mm			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The HLD Index instructs the user to record the more serious (i.e., higher score) of either the ectopic eruption **or** the anterior crowding, but not to count both scores. (Exhibit 1.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The HLD Index states that to give points for anterior crowding, arch length insufficiency must exceed 3.5 mm. (Exhibit 1.)

Anterior Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding <sup>4</sup>	Maxilla: X Mandible: √	Flat score of 5 for each <sup>5</sup>	5
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	2	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			18

Because it found an HLD score below the threshold of 22, MassHealth denied the appellant's PA request on October 7, 2021. The appellant submitted an appeal on October 18, 2021.

At hearing, Dr. Kaplan completed an HLD Index based on a review of the records. He determined that the appellant's overall HLD score is 19, as calculated below:

<b>Conditions Observed</b>	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	7	1	7
Mandibular Protrusion	0	5	0
in mm			
Anterior Open Bite in	0	4	0
mm			
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: X	Flat score of 5	5
	Mandible: √	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread,	3	1	3
in mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	No	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth			
Total HLD Score	·		19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The HLD Form instructs the user not to score teeth in the category of ectopic eruption if they are scored under the category of anterior crowding. (Exhibit 1.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The HLD scoring instructions state that to give points for anterior crowding, the anterior crowding must exceed 3.5 mm. (Exhibit 1.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The provider did not submit a Medical Necessity Narrative with the PA request. (Exhibit 1.)

Dr. Kaplan testified that MassHealth only pays for cases involving severe, disfiguring, and handicapping malocclusions. The HLD Index, which measures the characteristics of the appellant's bite, requires a score of 22 for MassHealth to consider the appellant's condition to be physically handicapping. He testified that the biggest difference between his score and the appellant's provider's score is in the area of crowding. Dr. Kaplan testified that while both he and the appellant's provider awarded the appellant 5 points for lower crowding, they differed on their opinion of upper crowding. Dr. Kaplan noted that the appellant's upper canine teeth have not erupted yet. The HLD Index requires more than 3.5 mm of crowding in an arch to warrant points. Dr. Kaplan testified that the appellant does not have enough crowding in the upper arch to warrant 5 points. Because the appellant's HLD score is below 22, MassHealth will not pay for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. Dr. Kaplan testified that the appellant may be re-examined every six months and has until the age of 21 to be treated.

The appellant's representative testified that the appellant still has teeth that need to erupt. She testified that she cannot afford to pay for braces.

## **Findings of Fact**

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. The appellant, who is an individual under the age of 21, is a MassHealth member.
- 2. The appellant, through his orthodontic provider, requested PA for comprehensive orthodontic treatment on October 6, 2021.
- 3. The appellant's provider completed a MassHealth HLD Index for the appellant. The provider determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 23.
- 4. When DentaQuest initially evaluated the PA request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 18.
- 5. MassHealth approves requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment when the member has an HLD score of 22 or more.
- 6. MassHealth denied the appellant's PA request on October 7, 2021 and the appellant timely appealed the denial on October 18, 2021.
- 7. At hearing, a MassHealth orthodontic consultant reviewed the provider's paperwork, finding that the appellant has an HLD score of 19.
- 8. The appellant did not submit a Medical Necessity Narrative with his PA request.
- 9. The appellant's provider awarded the appellant 5 points each for mandibular and maxillary crowding.

Page 4 of Appeal No.: 2177899

- 10. The HLD Index requires crowding to exceed 3.5 mm in order to warrant points.
- 11. The appellant's upper canines have not erupted yet.
- 12. The appellant does not have any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (cleft palate, severe maxillary anterior crowding greater than 8 mm, deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, severe traumatic deviation, overjet greater than 9 mm, or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm).

## **Analysis and Conclusions of Law**

Regulation 130 CMR 420.431 contains the relevant MassHealth regulation which discusses how a MassHealth member (who, like the appellant, is under 21 years of age at the time of the PA request) may receive approval on a PA request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The regulation reads, in part, as follows:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, once per member per lifetime under the age of 21 and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. Upon the completion of orthodontic treatment, the provider must take post treatment photographic prints and maintain them in the member's dental record....

#### (130 CMR 420.431(C)(3).)

Appendix D of the Dental Manual is the "MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Index," which is described as a quantitative, objective method for measuring malocclusion. The HLD Index provides a single score, based on a series of measurements that represent the degree to which a case deviates from normal alignment and occlusion. MassHealth has determined that a score of 22 or higher signifies a severe and handicapping malocclusion.<sup>7</sup>

In this case, the appellant's provider found an overall HLD score of 23. After reviewing the provider's submission, MassHealth found an HLD score of 18. Upon review of the PA documents, including an x-ray and photographs, a different orthodontic consultant for MassHealth found a score of 19 on the HLD Index. The biggest difference between the score of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MassHealth will also approve a PA request, without regard for the HLD numerical score, if there is evidence of a cleft palate, severe maxillary anterior crowding greater than 8 mm, deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, severe traumatic deviation, overjet greater than 9 mm, or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm.

the provider and that of Dr. Kaplan concerns the issue of maxillary crowding. The HLD Index requires crowding to exceed 3.5 mm in an arch to warrant five points. Dr. Kaplan did not find that the appellant's crowding exceeded 3.5 mm in the upper arch. Dr. Kaplan's measurement of the appellant's maxillary crowding and his overall determination of the appellant's HLD score is consistent with the evidence presented.

As the appellant does not qualify for comprehensive orthodontic treatment under the HLD guidelines, MassHealth was correct in determining that he does not have a severe and handicapping malocclusion. Accordingly, this appeal is denied.

#### Order for MassHealth

None.

# **Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court**

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Samantha Kurkjy Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc:

DentaQuest, P.O. Box 9708, Boston, MA 02114-9708