

Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision:	Denied	Appeal Number:	2207013
Decision Date:	10/20/2022	Hearing Date:	10/17/2022
Hearing Officer:	Sara E. McGrath		

Appearances for Appellant:



Appearances for MassHealth:

Dr. Carl Perlmutter



*Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Office of Medicaid
Board of Hearings
100 Hancock Street
Quincy, MA 02171*

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision:	Denied	Issue:	Prior Authorization for Dental Services
Decision Date:	10/20/2022	Hearing Date:	10/17/2022
MassHealth Rep.:	Dr. Carl Perlmutter	Appellant Rep.:	Appellant's Father
Hearing Location:	Board of Hearings (Remote)		

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 118E and 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated September 7, 2022, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (Exhibit 1). The appellant filed a timely appeal on September 13, 2022 (130 CMR 610.015(B); Exhibit 2). Denial of a request for prior authorization is a valid basis for appeal (130 CMR 610.032).

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct, pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431(C), in determining that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Summary of Evidence

MassHealth was represented at hearing by Dr. Carl Perlmutter, an orthodontic consultant from DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor. The evidence indicates that the appellant's provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, together with X-rays and photographs, on September 7, 2022. As required, the provider completed the Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form, which requires a total score of 22 or higher for approval.¹ The provider's HLD Form indicates a total score of 7, as follows:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	2	1	2
Overbite in mm	3	1	3
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	0
Anterior Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding ²	Maxilla: No Mandible: No	Flat score of 5 for each ³	0
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	2	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			7

Dr. Perlmutter testified that when DentaQuest initially evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 13. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

¹ The form also includes space for providers to indicate whether, regardless of score, a patient has one of the thirteen conditions (described below) that would result in automatic approval, and/or to provide a narrative to explain why orthodontic treatment is otherwise medically necessary. The provider in this case did not allege the presence of an auto-qualifying condition but did submit a medical necessity narrative (Exhibit 3).

² The HLD Form instructs the user to record the more serious (i.e., higher score) of either the ectopic eruption **or** the anterior crowding, but not to count both scores.

³ The HLD scoring instructions state that to give points for anterior crowding, arch length insufficiency must exceed 3.5 mm.

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	2	1	2
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	0
Anterior Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: No Mandible: Yes	Flat score of 5 for each	5
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	2	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			13

Because it found an HLD score below the threshold of 22, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request on September 7, 2022 (Exhibit 1).

In preparation for hearing, Dr. Perlmutter completed an HLD Form based on a review of the photographs and X-rays submitted by the provider with the PA request. He determined that the appellant's overall HLD score was 13, calculated below:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	2	1	2
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	0
Anterior Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: N Mandible: Y	Flat score of 5 for each	5
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	2	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			13

Dr. Perlmutter testified that all of the orthodontists who have reviewed the appellant's case found HLD scores under 22. As such, he could not reverse the denial.

The appellant's father appeared at the hearing telephonically and testified on his daughter's behalf. He testified that the appellant has significant mental health problems, and her case should not be evaluated solely on a point system. He stated that he worries about her mental state, and asked that an exception be made here.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

1. On September 7, 2022, the appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment to MassHealth.
2. The provider completed a Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form for the appellant, finding an overall score of 7.
3. The provider did not allege that the appellant has any of the thirteen conditions that would result in automatic approval.
4. The provider did not provide a narrative to explain why orthodontic treatment is otherwise medically necessary.
5. When DentaQuest initially evaluated the prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 13.
6. On September 7, 2022, MassHealth notified the appellant that the prior authorization request had been denied.
7. On September 13, 2022, appellant filed a timely appeal of the denial.
8. In preparation for hearing on October 17, 2022, a MassHealth orthodontic consultant reviewed the provider's paperwork, finding an HLD score of 13.
9. The appellant's HLD score is below the threshold score of 22.
10. The appellant does not have any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (cleft lip, cleft palate, or other cranio-facial anomaly; impinging overbite with evidence of occlusal contact into the opposing soft tissue; impactions where eruption is impeded but extraction is not indicated, excluding third molars; severe traumatic deviations; overjet greater than 9 mm; reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, crowding of 10 mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch, excluding third molars; spacing of 10 mm or more, in either the maxillary or

mandibular arch, excluding third molars; anterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; two or more congenitally missing teeth, excluding third molars, of at least one tooth per quadrant; lateral open bite of 2 mm or more, of 4 or more teeth per arch; and anterior open bite of 2 mm or more, of 4 or more teeth per arch).

11. The appellant has not established that the service is otherwise medically necessary based on a severe deviation affecting the patient's mouth and/or underlying dentofacial structures; a diagnosed mental, emotional, or behavioral condition caused by the patient's malocclusion; a diagnosed nutritional deficiency and/or a substantiated inability to eat or chew caused by the patient's malocclusion; a diagnosed speech or language pathology caused by the patient's malocclusion; or a condition in which the overall severity or impact of the patient's malocclusion is not otherwise apparent.

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

130 CMR 420.431(C) states, in relevant part, as follows:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, once per member per lifetime younger than 21 years old and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*.

Appendix D of the Dental Manual is the "MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Index" (HLD), which is described as a quantitative, objective method for measuring PA requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The HLD allows for the identification of certain auto-qualifying conditions and provides a single score, based on a series of measurements, which represent the presence, absence, and degree of handicap. MassHealth has determined that a score of 22 or higher signifies a handicapping malocclusion.

MassHealth will also approve a prior authorization request, without regard for the HLD numerical score, in two other circumstances: First, MassHealth will approve a request if there is evidence of one or more auto-qualifying conditions: Cleft lip, cleft palate, or other cranio-facial anomaly; impinging overbite with evidence of occlusal contact into the opposing soft tissue; impactions where eruption is impeded but extraction is not indicated, excluding third molars; severe traumatic deviations; overjet greater than 9 mm; reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, crowding of 10 mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch, excluding third molars; spacing of 10 mm or more, in either the maxillary or mandibular arch, excluding third molars; anterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; two or more congenitally missing teeth, excluding third molars, of at least one tooth per quadrant; lateral open bite of 2 mm or more, of 4 or more teeth per arch; and anterior open bite of 2 mm or more, of 4 or more teeth per arch.

Second, providers may establish that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary by submitting a medical necessity narrative that establishes that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary to treat a handicapping malocclusion, including to correct or significantly ameliorate one of the following:

- A severe deviation affecting the patient's mouth and/or underlying dentofacial structures;
- A diagnosed mental, emotional, or behavioral condition caused by the patient's malocclusion;
- A diagnosed nutritional deficiency and/or a substantiated inability to eat or chew caused by the patient's malocclusion;
- A diagnosed speech or language pathology caused by the patient's malocclusion; or
- A condition in which the overall severity or impact of the patient's malocclusion is not otherwise apparent.

The medical necessity narrative must clearly demonstrate why comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary for the patient. If any part of the requesting provider's justification of medical necessity involves a mental, emotional, or behavioral condition; a nutritional deficiency; a speech or language pathology; or the presence of any other condition that would typically require the diagnosis, opinion, or expertise of a licensed clinician other than the requesting provider, then the narrative and any attached documentation must:

- clearly identify the appropriately qualified and licensed clinician(s) who furnished the diagnosis or opinion substantiating the condition or pathology (e.g., general dentist, oral surgeon, physician, clinical psychologist, clinical dietitian, speech therapist);
- describe the nature and extent of the identified clinician(s) involvement and interaction with the patient, including dates of treatment;
- state the specific diagnosis or other opinion of the patient's condition furnished by the identified clinician(s);
- document the recommendation by the clinician(s) to seek orthodontic evaluation or treatment (if such a recommendation was made);
- discuss any treatments for the patient's condition (other than comprehensive orthodontic treatment) considered or attempted by the clinician(s); and
- provide any other relevant information from the clinician(s) that supports the requesting provider's justification of the medical necessity of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

In this case, the appellant's provider found an overall HLD score of 7. After reviewing the documents included with the provider's submission, MassHealth calculated a score of 13. Upon review of the prior authorization documents, a different orthodontic consultant for MassHealth found the HLD score was 13. All of these scores are below the threshold of 22. Further, the

appellant does not have any of the auto-qualifying conditions that would result in approval regardless of the HLD score.

Lastly, there is no evidence that treatment is otherwise medically necessary as set forth in Appendix D of the Dental Manual. Although the appellant's father discussed that the appellant has some mental health issues, her provider did not submit a medical necessity narrative with this submission (Exhibit 3). The appellant may wish to re-submit her request with a medical necessity narrative and additional supporting documentation.

At this time, the appellant has not demonstrated that she meets the MassHealth criteria for approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. MassHealth's denial of the prior authorization request was therefore proper.

This appeal is denied.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Sara E. McGrath
Hearing Officer
Board of Hearings

cc: DentaQuest, PO Box 9708, Boston, MA 02114-9708