Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision: Denied **Appeal Number:** 2208005

Decision Date: 12/7/2022 **Hearing Date:** 11/30/2022

Hearing Officer: Thomas J. Goode

Appearance for Appellant: Appearance for MassHealth:

Mother Dr. Harold Kaplan, DMD



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: Orthodontics

Decision Date: 12/7/2022 **Hearing Date:** 11/30/2022

MassHealth's Rep.: Dr. Harold Kaplan Appellant's Rep.: Mother

Hearing Location: Remote Interpreter: Language Line

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated September 7, 2022, MassHealth denied Appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (130 CMR 420.431 and Exhibit 1). Appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on October 27, 2022 (130 CMR 610.015; Exhibit 2). Denial of a request for prior authorization is valid grounds for appeal (130 CMR 610.032).

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied Appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct, pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431, in denying Appellant's prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic services.

Summary of Evidence

MassHealth was represented at hearing by Dr. Harold Kaplan, an orthodontic consultant from DentaQuest, which is the MassHealth dental contractor. Dr. Kaplan testified that he is a licensed orthodontist with many years of clinical experience. Appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment with X-rays and

Page 1 of Appeal No.: 2208005

photographs. Appellant's orthodontic provider completed the Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form which requires a total score of 22 or higher for approval and recorded a score of 17 points. Appellant's orthodontic provider's HLD Form does not record any autoqualifiers and excludes a medical necessity narrative (Exhibit 1, pp. 7-9). Dr. Kaplan testified that a DentaQuest reviewing orthodontist completed HLD measurements based on photographs and X-rays and arrived at a score of 15 points. Dr. Kaplan testified that he carefully reviewed and measured the photographs and X-rays and calculated a score of 17 points. Because the HLD scoring submitted by Appellant's orthodontist, DentaQuest and Dr. Kaplan agree that there are fewer than 22 points, the prior authorization request was denied.

Appellant's mother testified that Appellant's speech is unclear which she attributed to Appellant's dental condition. She stated that Appellant also has a chromosomal disorder which affects the growth of Appellant's teeth.

Dr. Kaplan testified that the prior authorization request does not address the medical necessity of orthodontic treatment related to a chromosomal disorder.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. Appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment with X-rays and photographs.
- 2. Appellant's orthodontic provider completed the Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form which requires a total score of 22 or higher for approval and recorded a score of 17 points.
- 3. Appellant's orthodontic provider's HLD Form does not record any autoqualifiers, excludes a medical necessity narrative, and does not address the medical necessity of orthodontic treatment related to a chromosomal disorder.
- 4. A DentaQuest reviewing orthodontist and Dr. Kaplan completed the HLD measurements based on photographs and X-rays and arrived at scores of 15 points and 17 points, respectively.

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

Regulation 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3) states in relevant part:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment only once per member under age 21 per lifetime and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on the clinical standards described in

Page 2 of Appeal No.: 2208005

Appendix D of the Dental Manual.

Appendix D of the *Dental Manual* is the "Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Form" (HLD), which is described as a quantitative, objective method for measuring malocclusion. The HLD index provides a single score, based on a series of measurements that represent the degree to which a case deviates from normal alignment and occlusion. MassHealth has determined that a score of 22 or higher signifies a handicapping malocclusion. Appellant's orthodontic provider's HLD Form does not indicate any autoqualifiers or medical necessity narrative submitted with the request and records a HLD score of 17 points. A DentaQuest reviewing orthodontist and Dr. Kaplan scored 15 and 17 points respectively on the HLD Form. Becuase Appellant's HLD score is below the required 22 points and no other conditions warranting approval have been identified, the appeal must be denied; however, the MassHealth agency pays for a pre-orthodontic treatment examination for members younger than 21 years of age, once per six (6) months per member, and only for the purpose of determining whether orthodontic treatment is medically necessary and can be initiated before the member's twenty-first birthday (130 CMR 420.421(C)(1)). Thus, Appellant can be reevaluated for comprehensive orthodontics, and submit a new prior authorization request 6 months after the last evaluation.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Thomas J. Goode Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc: MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 1, MA

Page 3 of Appeal No.: 2208005