Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision:	Denied	Appeal Number:	2300789
Decision Date:	3/17/2023	Hearing Date:	03/06/2023
Hearing Officer:	Mariah Burns		
Appearance for Appellant:		Appearance for MassHealth:	

Appearance for MassHealth: Dr. Harold Kaplan



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision:	Denied	Issue:	Prior Authorization - Orthodontics
Decision Date:	3/17/2023	Hearing Date:	03/06/2023
MassHealth's Rep.:	Dr. Harold Kaplan	Appellant's Rep.:	
Hearing Location:	Remote		

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated October 18, 2022, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (Exhibit 1). The appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on January 30, 2023 (see 130 CMR 610.015(B) and Exhibit 2)¹. Denial of a request for prior authorization is a valid basis for appeal (see 130 CMR 610.032).

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

- Regarding Fair Hearings during the COVID-19 outbreak national emergency, and through the end of month in which such national emergency period ends;
 - All appeal hearings will be telephonic; and
 - Individuals will have up to 120 days, instead of the standard 30 days, to request a fair hearing for member eligibility-related concerns.

¹ In MassHealth Eligibility Operations Memo (EOM) 20-09 dated April 7, 2020, and restated in MassHealth Operations Memo (EOM) 20-10 dated August 1, 2022, MassHealth states the following:

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct in determining that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Summary of Evidence

The appellant, a minor under the age of 21, was represented at hearing by a parent. The MassHealth representative, a licensed orthodontist, appeared for MassHealth on behalf of DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor. Below is a summary of each party's testimony and the information submitted for hearing:

The appellant's orthodontic provider ("the provider") submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment on behalf of the appellant to DentaQuest on October 18, 2023. This request included the appellant's X-rays, photographs, and a completed MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form.

The MassHealth representative testified that MassHealth will only provide coverage for comprehensive orthodontic treatment for members who have a "severe, handicapping, or deforming" malocclusion. Such a condition exists when the applicant has either (1) dental discrepancies that result in a score of 22 or more points on the HLD Form, as detailed in the MassHealth *Dental Manual*, or (2) evidence of a group of exceptional or handicapping "autoqualifying" dental conditions. If the applicant meets any of these qualifications, MassHealth, through DentaQuest, will approve a request for prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. Alternatively, a provider, such as the applicant's primary care physician or pediatrician, can submit a narrative and supporting documentation detailing how the treatment is medically necessary.

In this case, the appellant's provider submitted an HLD form that did not allege any autoqualifying conditions and reflected a score of 10, as detailed below:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	6	1	6
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	0
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0

Anterior Crowding ²	Maxilla: Mandible:	Flat score of 5 for each ³	0
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	0	1	0
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			10

Exhibit 5 at 10. The provider did not include a medical necessity narrative in the appellant's application. *Id.* at 11.

When DentaQuest initially evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists did not find any of the conditions that would warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment and also determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 10. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	3	1	3
Overbite in mm	5	1	5
Mandibular Protrusion	0	5	0
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: No Mandible: No	Flat score of 5 for each	0
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	2	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			10

Exhibit 5 at 7. Having found an HLD score below the threshold of 22, no auto-qualifying conditions, and no medical necessity, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request. Exhibit 1.

 $^{^{2}}$ The HLD Form instructs the user to record the more serious (i.e., higher score) of either the ectopic eruption **or** the anterior crowding, but not to count both scores.

³ The HLD scoring instructions state that to give points for anterior crowding, arch length insufficiency must exceed 3.5 mm.

At hearing, the MassHealth representative testified that based on careful review of the x-rays and photographs, he found that the appellant's HLD score was, at best, a 20. As a result, while he found more points than the initial DentaQuest reviewer, he did not see enough evidence in the prior authorization request to overturn the decision of a denial.

The appellant's mother testified that she is a dental assistant who does not believe in unnecessary treatment, but feels it is important when it is needed. She expressed concern about her daughter's teeth breaking when she plays sports due to her overjet and that her orthodontist's score was so much lower than the MassHealth representative's.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. The appellant's provider requested prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment and submitted an Orthodontics Prior Authorization From, an HLD Form, photographs, and x-rays. Exhibit 5.
- 2. The provider calculated an HLD score of 10, did not find an auto-qualifying condition, and declined to submit a medical necessity narrative. *Id.* at 9-12.
- 3. On October 18, 2022, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request, as DentaQuest found an HLD score of 10. Exhibit 1, Exhibit 5 at 7.
- 4. The appellant timely appealed the denial to the Board of Hearings. Exhibit 2, EOM 20-09.
- 5. The MassHealth representative testified to finding an HLD score of 20 with no exceptional handicapping dental condition.

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

MassHealth pays only for medically necessary services to eligible MassHealth members and may require that medical necessity be established through the prior authorization process. (130 CMR 420.410(A)(1)). A service is "medically necessary" if:

(1) it is reasonably calculated to prevent, diagnose, prevent the worsening of, alleviate, correct, or cure conditions in the member that endanger life, cause suffering or pain, cause physical deformity or malfunction, threaten to cause or to aggravate a handicap, or result in illness or infirmity; and (2) there is no other medical service or site of service, comparable in offset

(2) there is no other medical service or site of service, comparable in effect, available, and suitable for the member requesting the service, that is more conservative or less costly to MassHealth.

130 CMR 450.204(A). Medical necessity for dental and orthodontic treatment must be shown in accordance with the regulations governing dental treatment codified at 130 CMR 420.000 and in the MassHealth *Dental Manual*. Specifically, 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3) states, in relevant part:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, only once per member per lifetime for a member younger than 21 years old and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*.

Those clinical standards for medical necessity are met when (1) the member has one of the "auto-qualifying" conditions described by MassHealth in the HLD Form, ⁴ (2) the member meets or exceeds the threshold score designated by MassHealth on the HLD Form, or (3) comprehensive orthodontic treatment is otherwise medically necessary for the member, as demonstrated by a medical-necessity narrative and supporting documentation submitted by the requesting provider. *See generally*, Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. In such circumstances, MassHealth will approve payment for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3).

Appendix D of the *Dental Manual* includes the HLD form, which is described as "a quantitative, objective method for evaluating [prior authorization] requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment." Appendix D at D-1. The HLD form allows for the identification of those auto-qualifying conditions and also provides the method for discerning a single score, "based on a series of measurements, which represent the presence, absence, and degree of handicap." *Id.* MassHealth will authorize treatment for cases with verified auto-qualifiers or verified scores of 22 and above. *Id.* at D-2.

Providers may also establish that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary by submitting a medical necessity narrative that establishes that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary to treat a handicapping malocclusion, including to correct or significantly ameliorate certain medical or dental conditions. *Id.* at D-3-4.

While a MassHealth member may benefit from orthodontic treatment, the regulations clearly limit eligibility for such treatment to patients with handicapping malocclusions. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3). As such, the appellant bears the burden of showing that she has an HLD score of 22 or higher, an auto-qualifying condition, or that the treatment is otherwise medically necessary. She has failed to do so.

⁴ Auto-qualifying conditions include cleft palate, severe traumatic deviation, severe maxillary or mandibular crowding or spacing, deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, overjet greater than 9 mm, or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, anterior or posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch, 2 or more of at least one congenitally missing tooth per quadrant, and anterior or lateral open bite of 2mm or more or 4 or more teeth per arch. Appendix D at D-2 and D-5.

In this case, the appellant's provider found an overall HLD score of 10. The MassHealth initial reviewer found an HLD score of 10, and the MassHealth representative found a score of 20. All of these scores are below the threshold of 22. Further, the provider did not allege, nor did MassHealth find, that the appellant has any of the auto-qualifying conditions or that treatment is otherwise medically necessary as set forth in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. As such, the appellant has not demonstrated that she meets the MassHealth criteria for approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. MassHealth's denial of the prior authorization request was proper. The appeal is denied.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Mariah Burns Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc: MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 1, MA