# Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

**Appellant Name and Address:** 



Hearing Officer:	Alexandra Shube		
Decision Date:	5/26/2023	Hearing Date:	04/26/2023
Appeal Decision:	Denied	Appeal Number:	2302359

Appearance for Appellant: Via telephone:

Interpreter: Sandra, Spanish

Appearance for MassHealth: Via telephone: Dr. Harold Kaplan



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

## **APPEAL DECISION**

Appeal Decision:	Denied	Issue:	Prior Authorization – Orthodontics
Decision Date:	5/26/2023	Hearing Date:	04/26/2023
MassHealth's Rep.:	Dr. Harold Kaplan	Appellant's Rep.:	Mother
Hearing Location:	Quincy Harbor South Remote	Aid Pending:	No

## Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

#### Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated February 27, 2023, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (see 130 CMR 420.431 and Exhibits 1 and 4). The appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on March 22, 2023 (see 130 CMR 610.015(B) and Exhibit 2). Denial of a request for prior approval is a valid basis for appeal (see 130 CMR 610.032).

#### **Action Taken by MassHealth**

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

#### Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct, pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431, in determining that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

## **Summary of Evidence**

The appellant is a minor MassHealth member whose mother appeared at hearing via telephone. MassHealth was represented at hearing via telephone by Dr. Harold Kaplan, an orthodontic consultant from DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor.

The appellant's provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, including photographs and x-rays, on February 23, 2023. As required, the provider completed the MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations ("HLD") Form, which requires a total score of 22 or higher for approval or that the appellant has one of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The provider did not find any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The provider treatment. The provider's HLD Form indicates that she found a total score of 13, broken down as follows:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in	0	5	0
mm			
Anterior Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding <sup>1</sup>	Maxilla: x	Flat score of 5	5
	Mandible: n/a	for each <sup>2</sup>	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in	0	1	0
mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	0	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior Impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth (excluding			
3 <sup>rd</sup> molars)			
Total HLD Score			13

When DentaQuest evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 10. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The HLD Form instructs the user to record the more serious (i.e., higher score) of either the ectopic eruption **or** the anterior crowding, but not to count both scores.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The HLD scoring instructions state that to give points for anterior crowding, arch length insufficiency must exceed 3.5 mm.

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in	0	5	0
mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: n/a	Flat score of 5	0
	Mandible: n/a	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in	2	1	2
mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	0	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior Impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth (excluding			
3 <sup>rd</sup> molars)			
Total HLD Score			10

Because it found an HLD score below the threshold of 22 and no autoqualifying condition, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request on February 27, 2023.

At hearing, Dr. Kaplan completed an HLD form based on a careful review of the x-rays and photographs. He determined that the appellant's overall HLD score was 16. Dr. Kaplan's HLD Form reflects the following scores:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	4	1	4
Overbite in mm	5	1	5
Mandibular Protrusion in	0	5	0
mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: x	Flat score of 5	5
	Mandible: n/a	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in	2	1	2
mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	0	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior Impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth (excluding			
3 <sup>rd</sup> molars)			
Total HLD Score			16

The appellant's mother responded that she understood the HLD scoring system, but she stated that getting her son braces was not for fashion or looks. Her son feels badly about himself due to his teeth. He also has a problem with the teeth in the front of his mouth. They are twisted a bit and not straight. She cares about her son and does not have the resources to pay for braces on her own.

Dr. Kaplan advised the appellant that he may be re-examined every six months and has until the age of 21 to be treated. Because the appellant's HLD score is below 22 and there were no autoqualifiers present, the appellant does not have a handicapping malocclusion and MassHealth will not pay for comprehensive orthodontic treatment at this time. Dr. Kaplan explained that while the appellant's bite would be improved with braces, it is not severe enough for MassHealth to pay for it.

## **Findings of Fact**

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. On February 23, 2023, the appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment to MassHealth (Exhibit 4).
- 2. The provider completed a Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Form for the appellant and calculated an overall score of 13 (Exhibit 4).
- 3. The provider did not find any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (Exhibit 4).
- 4. When DentaQuest evaluated the prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 10 (Exhibit 4).
- 5. MassHealth approves requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment when the member has an HLD score of 22 or more (Testimony).
- 6. On February 27, 2023, MassHealth notified the appellant that the prior authorization request had been denied (Exhibits 1 and 4).
- 7. On March 22, 2023, the appellant filed a timely appeal of the denial (Exhibit 2).
- 8. At hearing, a MassHealth orthodontic consultant reviewed the provider's paperwork, photographs, and x-rays and found an HLD score of 16 (Testimony).
- 9. The appellant's HLD score is below 22.

10. The appellant does not have any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (cleft palate; impinging overbite with evidence of occlusal contact into the opposing soft tissue; impaction where eruption is impeded but extraction is not indicated (excluding third molars); severe traumatic deviation; overjet greater than 9 mm; reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm; crowding of 10mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch (excluding 3<sup>rd</sup> molars); spacing of 10mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch (excluding 3<sup>rd</sup> molars); anterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior of 4 or more teeth per arch; anterior open bite 2mm or more of 4 or more teeth per arch).

## Analysis and Conclusions of Law

130 CMR 420.431(C)(3) states, in relevant part, as follows:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, once per member per lifetime for a member younger than 21 years old and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping **based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the Dental Manual**.

(Emphasis added).

Appendix D of the Dental Manual is the "Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Form" (HLD), which is described as a quantitative, objective method for measuring malocclusion. The HLD index provides a single score, based on a series of measurements that represent the degree to which a case deviates from normal alignment and occlusion. MassHealth has determined that a score of 22 or higher signifies a handicapping malocclusion. MassHealth will also approve a prior authorization request, without regard for the HLD numerical score, if there is evidence of one of the following automatic qualifying conditions: cleft palate; impinging overbite with evidence of occlusal contact into the opposing soft tissue; impaction where eruption is impeded but extraction is not indicated (excluding third molars); severe traumatic deviation; overjet greater than 9 mm; reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm; crowding of 10mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch (excluding 3<sup>rd</sup> molars); spacing of 10mm or more in either the maxillary or mandibular arch (excluding 3<sup>rd</sup> molars); anterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch; two or more congenitally missing teeth (excluding third molars) of at least one tooth per quadrant; lateral open bite 2mm or more of 4 or more teeth per arch; or anterior open bite 2mm or more of 4 or more teeth per arch.

The appellant's provider found an overall HLD score of 13. After reviewing the provider's submission, MassHealth found an HLD score of 10. Upon review of the prior authorization documents, at hearing Dr. Kaplan found an HLD score of 16. None of the orthodontists, including the appellant's own provider, found any evidence of any of the automatic qualifying conditions. Dr. Kaplan's measurements and testimony are credible and his determination of the overall HLD score and the lack of autoqualifers is consistent with the evidence.

All three of the appellant's HLD scores fall below the necessary 22 points. The appellant also does not have any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

As the appellant does not qualify for comprehensive orthodontic treatment under the HLD guidelines, MassHealth was correct in determining that he does not have a handicapping malocclusion. Accordingly, this appeal is denied.

# **Order for MassHealth**

None.

## Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Alexandra Shube Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc: MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 1, MA