Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision: Denied Appeal Number: 2304580

Decision Date: 8/15/2023 **Hearing Date:** 07/14/2023

Hearing Officer: Thomas Doyle Record Open to:

Appearance for Appellant: Appearance for MassHealth:

Pro se Dr. Sheldon Sullaway

Interpreter:



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: Prior Authorization-

Periodontal Scaling

and Root Planing

Decision Date: 8/15/2023 **Hearing Date:** 07/14/2023

MassHealth's Rep.: Dr. Sheldon Sullaway Appellant's Rep.: Pro se

Hearing Location: Remote (phone) Aid Pending: No

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated May 21, 2023, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of periodontal scaling and root planing on all four quadrants of the appellant's mouth. (130 CMR 420.431; Ex. 2). The appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on June 5, 2023. (130 CMR 610.015(B); Ex. 2). Challenging a denial of services is valid grounds to appeal before the Board of Hearings. (130 CMR 610.032).

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied Appellant's request for approval of the prior authorization request for periodontal scaling and root planing on all four quadrants of the appellant's mouth.

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct in determining that appellant did not currently have the medical condition to qualify for approval of the requested treatment.

Page 1 of Appeal No.: 2304580

Summary of Evidence

Appellant is a MassHealth member over the age of 21 who appeared at hearing via telephone. MassHealth was represented at hearing by Dr. Sullaway, as a consultant for DentaQuest, the entity that has contracted with MassHealth agency to administer and run the agency's dental program for MassHealth members. He also appeared telephonically.

Dr. Sullaway testified that appellant's dentist had requested the service of periodontal scaling and/or root planing, hereinafter referred to as "periodontal scaling", for all four quadrants (upper left, upper right, lower left, and lower right) of adult teeth within the appellant's mouth. Dr. Sullaway stated that the periodontal scaling prior authorization request was denied by DentaQuest because there was no evidence of significant bone loss. He testified that he looked at the x-rays offered by appellant's provider and he did not see any signs of significant bone loss. Dr. Sullaway testified there was an additional denial of the prior authorization because the x-ray did not show 4 or more teeth per quadrant. The x-rays only showed the posterior/back teeth which is insufficient to show 4 or more teeth per quadrant. Dr. Sullaway agreed the x-rays did not show enough teeth and he upheld this additional denial.

Appellant testified that his dentist never mentioned bone loss. He said he was not experiencing any bleeding or discomfort. He stated that after a two-year gap of going to the dentist due to Covid, he requested a deep cleaning in 2022. MassHealth issued a denial and he did not appeal that decision.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. Appellant is an adult MassHealth member who had a pre-authorization request under Service Code D4341 for periodontal scaling and root planing on all four quadrants of the member's mouth denied. (Testimony; Ex. 1; Ex. 4).
- 2. Appellant does not have significant bone loss in relation to his teeth. (Testimony).
- 3. Appellant does not have bleeding or discomfort in his mouth. (Testimony).
- 4. The x-rays sent by appellant's provider only show posterior/back teeth and do not show there were 4 or more teeth per quadrant with bone loss or comparable issues. (Testimony).
- 5. Appellant's provider did not submit a medical necessity narrative. (Ex. 4).

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

Page 2 of Appeal No.: 2304580

As a rule, the MassHealth agency and its dental program pays only for medically necessary services to eligible MassHealth members and may require that such medical necessity be established through a prior authorization process. (130 CMR 450.204; 130 CMR 420.410). In addition to complying with the prior authorization requirements at 130 CMR 420.410 et seq., covered services for certain dental treatments, including periodontal scaling, are subject to the relevant limitations of 130 CMR 420.421 through 420.456. (130 CMR 420.421 (A) through (C)). The regulation at 130 CMR 420.427 contains the relevant description and limitation for periodontal services like that at issue in this appeal. As to periodontal scaling and root planing requests, that regulation reads in relevant part as follows:

420.427: Service Descriptions and Limitations: Periodontal Services

...

(B) Periodontal Scaling and Root Planing. The MassHealth agency pays for periodontal scaling and root planing once per member per quadrant every three calendar years. The MassHealth agency does not pay separately for prophylaxis provided on the same day as periodontal scaling and root planing or on the same day as a gingivectomy or a gingivoplasty. The MassHealth agency pays only for periodontal scaling and root planing for a maximum of two quadrants on the same date of service in an office setting. Periodontal scaling and root planing involves instrumentation of the crown and root surfaces of the teeth to remove plaque and calculus. It is indicated for members with active periodontal disease, not prophylactic. Root planing is the definitive procedure for the removal of rough cementum and dentin, and/or permeated by calculus or contaminated with toxins or microorganisms. Some soft tissue removal occurs. Local anesthesia is considered an integral part of periodontal procedures and may not be billed separately. Prior authorization is required for members 21 years of age or older. (Bolded emphasis added.)

130 CMR 450.204 speaks to the medical necessity issue for all MassHealth providers. 130 CMR 450.204 reads in relevant part as follows:

450.204: Medical Necessity

The MassHealth agency does not pay a provider for services that are not medically necessary

¹ 130 CMR 420.410(C) also references and incorporates the MassHealth Dental Program Office Reference Manual ("Dental ORM" or "Dental Office Reference Manual") publication as a source of additional explanatory guidance beyond the regulations. It is noted that references in the regulations to the "Dental Manual" include the pertinent state regulations, the administrative and billing instructions (including the HLD form), and service codes found in related subchapters and appendices. See https://www.mass.gov/lists/dental-manual-for-masshealth-providers.

and may impose sanctions on a provider for providing or prescribing a service or for admitting a member to an inpatient facility where such service or admission is not medically necessary.

A) A service is medically necessary if:

- 1) it is reasonably calculated to prevent, diagnose, prevent the worsening of, alleviate, correct, or cure conditions in the member that endanger life, cause suffering or pain, cause physical deformity or malfunction, threaten to cause or to aggravate a handicap, or result in illness or infirmity; and
- (2) there is no other medical service or site of service, comparable in effect, available, and suitable for the member requesting the service, that is more conservative or less costly to the MassHealth agency. Services that are less costly to the MassHealth agency include, but are not limited to, health care reasonably known by the provider, or identified by the MassHealth agency pursuant to a prior-authorization request, to be available to the member through sources described in 130 CMR 450.317(C), 503.007: Potential Sources of Health Care, or 517.007: Utilization of Potential Benefits.
- B) Medically necessary services must be of a quality that meets professionally recognized standards of health care and must be substantiated by records including evidence of such medical necessity and quality. A provider must make those records, including medical records, available to the MassHealth agency upon request. (See 42 U.S.C. 1396a(a)(30) and 42 CFR 440.230 and 440.260.)

...

D) Additional requirements about the medical necessity of MassHealth services are contained in other MassHealth regulations and medical necessity and coverage guidelines.

(Bolded emphasis added.)

MassHealth has laid out a consistent and detailed standard regarding the medical necessity for this service which it properly applied here to appellant's submission before reviewing and ultimately denying this request. There is no evidence in the record suggesting that appellant meets the standard of having four teeth in any quadrant with enough bone loss or comparable medical issues and there was no evidence submitted by appellant showing any significant bone loss. Neither DentaQuest nor Dr. Sullaway found any evidence of significant bone loss. The appellant's provider also did not submit a separate medical necessity narrative so greater weight is given to the DentaQuest paperwork in Exhibit 4 and the testimony presented at hearing. For these reasons, I conclude that the MassHealth decision to deny the request is proper and consistent with the record and regulatory standards. Therefore, this appeal is DENIED.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Page 4 of Appeal No.: 2304580

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Thomas Doyle Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc:

MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 1, MA

Page 5 of Appeal No.: 2304580