Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision: Denied Appeal Number: 2310770

Decision Date: 1/5/2024 **Hearing Date:** 12/04/2023

Hearing Officer: Marc Tonaszuck

Appearance for Appellant:

Appearance for MassHealth:Dr. Carl Perlmutter, DentaQuest



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: Comprehensive

Orthodontics

Decision Date: 1/5/2024 **Hearing Date:** 12/04/2023

MassHealth's Rep.: Dr. Carl Perlmutter, Appellant's Rep.: Mother

DentaQuest

Hearing Location: Springfield

MassHealth

Enrollment Center

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated 10/01/2023, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (see 130 CMR 420.431 and Exhibit 4). A timely appeal was filed on the appellant's behalf¹ on 11/02/2023 (see 130 CMR 610.015(B) and Exhibit 2). Denial of a request for prior approval is a valid basis for appeal (see 130 CMR 610.032).

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct, pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431(C), in

¹ The appellant is a minor child represented in these proceedings by his mother.

determining that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Summary of Evidence

The appellant is a minor MassHealth member who appeared at the fair hearing with his mother who represented him in this matter. MassHealth was represented at hearing by Dr. Carl Perlmutter, an orthodontic consultant from DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor. All parties appeared at the fair hearing in person.

On 09/26/2023, the appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, including photographs and X-rays (Exhibit 4). As required, the provider completed the MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations ("HLD") Form, which requires as a condition for approval a total score of 22 or higher or that the appellant has one of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The provider indicated that the appellant has a condition which is an automatic qualifying condition, specifically, that he has an overjet greater than 9 mm. The provider did not find any other of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. The provider also documented an HLD Index score of 13:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	9	1	9
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in	0	5	0
mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: 0	Flat score of 5	0
	Mandible: 0	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in	0	1	0
mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	0	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior Impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth (excluding			
3 rd molars)			
Total HLD Score			13

When DentaQuest evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 12. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

Page 2 of Appeal No.: 2310770

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	6	1	6
Overbite in mm	4	1	4
Mandibular Protrusion in	0	5	0
mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third			
molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: 0	Flat score of 5	0
	Mandible: 0	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in	2	1	2
mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	0	Flat score of 4	0
Crossbite			
Posterior Impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth (excluding			
3 rd molars)			
Total HLD Score			12

DentaQuest did not find an automatic qualifying condition. Because it found an HLD score below the threshold of 22 and no autoqualifier, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request on 10/01/2023.

At hearing, Dr. Perlmutter, a licensed orthodontist, represented MassHealth. He testified that he received and reviewed the provider's packet, including documentation, photographs and X-rays prior to the hearing. At the hearing, he requested and received permission from the appellant's mother to physically examine the appellant's malocclusion and make measurements that were applied to the HLD Index. He testified that the appellant has an overjet, but it is not 9 mm.; but 6 mm. He testified that his measurements totaled an HLD Index score that did not meet the necessary 22 points.

The MassHealth orthodontist concluded that because there was no automatic qualifying condition present, no HLD score of at least 22 points, and no documentation of medical necessity, the request for comprehensive orthodontic services was denied.

The appellant's mother testified that she did not understand why the appellant's request was denied. She is concerned about the appellant's overjet. He complains that his teeth "hurt when he eats" and he cannot chew. She testified that she did not know the difference between 6 and 9 mm of overjet or why that difference might be important. She admitted she was not familiar with the "rules."

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. The appellant is a MassHealth member who is under 21 years of age.
- 2. On 09/26/2023, the appellant's orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment to MassHealth (Exhibit 4).
- The provider completed a Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Form for the appellant, scoring for an overjet greater than 9 mm., which is an automatic qualifying condition (Exhibit 4).
- 4. The appellant's provider calculated a total HLD Index score of 13 points, including 9 mm. of overjet (Exhibit 4).
- 5. The provider did not include a medical necessity narrative with the prior authorization request (Exhibit 4).
- 6. When DentaQuest evaluated the prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists determined that the appellant had an HLD score of 12, with no automatic qualifying condition (Exhibit 4).
- 7. MassHealth approves requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment when the member has an HLD score of 22 or more or when there exists an automatic qualifying condition (Testimony).
- 8. On 10/01/2023, MassHealth notified the appellant that the prior authorization request had been denied (Exhibits 1 and 4).
- 9. On 11/02/2023, the appellant filed a timely appeal of the denial (Exhibit 2).
- 10. At hearing on 12/04/2023, a MassHealth orthodontic consultant requested and received permission from the appellant's mother to measure various aspects of the appellant's malocclusion. He reviewed the provider's paperwork, photographs, X-rays, and the results of his physical examination and found an HLD score that did not reach 22. He further found that the appellant's overjet measured 6 mm. (Testimony).
- 11. The appellant does not have any of the conditions that warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (cleft palate, severe maxillary anterior crowding greater than 8 mm., deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, severe traumatic

Page 4 of Appeal No.: 2310770

deviation, overjet greater than 9 mm., or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm.).

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

130 CMR 420.431(C) states, in relevant part, as follows:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, once per member per lifetime under the age of 21 and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on the clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the Dental Manual.

Appendix D of the Dental Manual is the "Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations Form" (HLD), which is described as a quantitative, objective method for measuring malocclusion. The HLD index provides a single score, based on a series of measurements that represent the degree to which a case deviates from normal alignment and occlusion. MassHealth has determined that a score of 22 or higher signifies a severe and handicapping malocclusion. MassHealth will also approve a prior authorization request, without regard for the HLD numerical score, if there is evidence of a cleft palate, deep impinging overbite, impactions, severe traumatic deviation, overjet greater than 9 mm, reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, crowding or spacing greater than 10 mm, anterior or posterior crossbite of three or more teeth on either arch, two or more congenitally missing teeth, or lateral open bite greater than 2 mm of four or more teeth.

The appellant's provider documented that the appellant has an overjet greater than 9 mm., which is an automatic qualifying condition. The provider also provided an HLD Index score of 13 points, which included a score of 9 for 9 mm of an overjet. Upon receipt of the PA request and after reviewing the provider's submission, MassHealth found an HLD score of 12 and no automatic qualifying condition. DentaQuest measured an overjet of 6 mm. Based upon an HLD score that was less than 22 points and no automatic qualifying condition, DentaQuest denied the request on 10/01/2023.

At hearing, the MassHealth orthodontist physically examined the appellant's malocclusion. Upon review of the prior authorization documents and the results of his physical examination, the MassHealth orthodontic consultant found no automatic qualifying condition. He also did not find an HLD Index score of 22. He measured an overjet of 6 mm.

Since the appellant's orthodontic provider did not calculate an HLD Index score of 22 or above, the only issue is whether the appellant has an overjet greater than 9 mm. The provider provided photographs and X-rays with the PA packet. He also indicated on the HLD Index that the appellant has an overjet of 9 mm. To indicate that the appellant has an overjet both "greater than 9 mm." and also "9 mm." is contradictory. The providing orthodontist's measurements are therefore not

Page 5 of Appeal No.: 2310770

credible.

At the fair hearing, the MassHealth orthodontist measured the appellant's overjet and testified that it measures 6 mm. I credit Dr. Perlmutter's testimony and professional opinion. He explained his scores to the appellant's mother and to the hearing officer, referencing the photographs of the appellant's teeth that were included with the PA request. He also demonstrated to the hearing officer how he measured the 6 mm. of overjet. Dr. Perlmutter, a licensed orthodontist, demonstrated a familiarity with the HLD Index. His measurements are credible and his determination of the overall HLD score is consistent with the evidence. Moreover, he was available to be questioned by the hearing officer and cross-examined by the appellant's representative.

The appellant's mother testified credibly that the appellant may benefit from orthodonture; however, she was unable to show that the appellant met the requirements set out by MassHealth for approval for payment of the orthodonture. Accordingly, MassHealth's testimony is given greater weight. As the appellant does not qualify for comprehensive orthodontic treatment under the HLD guidelines, MassHealth was correct in determining that she does not have a severe and handicapping malocclusion. Accordingly, MassHealth correctly denied this request for comprehensive orthodontic services and this appeal is denied.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Marc Tonaszuck Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc:

MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 2, MA