Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision:	Denied	Appeal Number:	2405716
Decision Date:	6/3/2024	Hearing Date:	05/13/2024
Hearing Officer:	Mariah Burns		

Appearance for Appellant:

Appearance for MassHealth: Dr. Katherine Moynihan for DentaQuest

Interpreter:



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision:	Denied	Issue:	Prior Authorization; Comprehensive Orthodontic Treatment
Decision Date:	6/3/2024	Hearing Date:	05/13/2024
MassHealth's Rep.:	Dr. Katherine Moynihan	Appellant's Rep.:	
Hearing Location:	Quincy Harbor South	Aid Pending:	No

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated February 28, 2024, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. Exhibit 1. The appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on April 8, 2024. *See* 130 CMR 610.015(B) and Exhibit 2. Denial of a request for prior authorization is a valid basis for appeal. *See* 130 CMR 610.032.

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

lssue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was acting within its discretion in determining that the appellant is ineligible for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Summary of Evidence

The appellant, a young adult under the age of 21, was present at hearing with her mother. The MassHealth representative, a licensed orthodontist, appeared for MassHealth on behalf of DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor. Below is a summary of each party's testimony and the information submitted for hearing:

The appellant's orthodontic provider ("the provider") submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment to DentaQuest on behalf of the appellant on January 25, 2024. This request included the appellant's X-rays, photographs, and a completed MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form.

MassHealth will only provide coverage for comprehensive orthodontic treatment for members who have a "severe, handicapping, or deforming" malocclusion. Such a condition exists when the applicant has either (1) dental discrepancies that result in a score of 22 or more points on the HLD Form, as detailed in the MassHealth *Dental Manual*, or (2) evidence of a group of exceptional or handicapping dental conditions. Alternatively, a provider, such as the applicant's primary care physician or pediatrician, can submit a narrative and supporting documentation detailing how the treatment is medically necessary. If the applicant meets any of these qualifications, MassHealth, through DentaQuest, will approve a request for prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

In this case, the appellant's provider submitted an HLD form that alleged that the appellant has an autoqualifying condition involving impacted teeth where extraction is not indicated. Exhibit 5 at 10. The appellant's provider did not otherwise provide an HLD score, nor did they submit a medical necessity narrative. *Id.* at 10-11.

When DentaQuest initially evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists did not find any of the conditions that would warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment and determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 10. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	0	1	3 ¹
Overbite in mm	0	1	5
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0

¹ The DentaQuest reviewer only indicated the weighted score and not the raw score in their assessment.

Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	0
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: No Mandible: Yes	Flat score of 5 for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	0	1	2
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			10

Exhibit 5 at 7. Having found an HLD score below the threshold of 22, no auto-qualifying conditions, and no medical necessity, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request on February 29, 2024.

At hearing, the MassHealth representative was able to conduct her own examination of the appellant's mouth. She testified that, based on her own observations, she could see no impactions, as all of the appellant's teeth have erupted through her gumline. Furthermore, the MassHealth representative found an HLD score of 11. As a result, the MassHealth representative did not see enough evidence to overturn MassHealth's decision of a denial.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

1. The appellant is a MassHealth member under the age of 21. Exhibit 4.

2. The appellant's provider requested prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment and submitted an Orthodontics Prior Authorization Form, an HLD Form, photographs, and x-rays. Exhibit 5.

2. The provider found an auto-qualifying condition of impacted teeth, but did not calculate an HLD score and declined to submit a medical necessity narrative. *Id.* at 9-16.

3. On February 28, 2024, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request, as DentaQuest found an HLD score of 10 and did not find evidence of any auto-qualifying condition. Exhibit 1, Exhibit 5 at 7.

4. The appellant timely appealed the denial to the Board of Hearings. Exhibit 2.

5. The MassHealth representative found an HLD score of 11 with no exceptional handicapping

Page 3 of Appeal No.: 2405716

dental condition. Testimony.

6. The MassHealth representative's score differed from the provider's because, upon her own examination of the appellant's mouth, she could see that all the appellant's teeth have erupted through the gumline and were not impacted. Testimony.

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

MassHealth pays only for medically necessary services to eligible MassHealth members and may require that medical necessity be established through the prior authorization process. 130 CMR 420.410(A)(1). A service is "medically necessary" if:

 (1) it is reasonably calculated to prevent, diagnose, prevent the worsening of, alleviate, correct, or cure conditions in the member that endanger life, cause suffering or pain, cause physical deformity or malfunction, threaten to cause or to aggravate a handicap, or result in illness or infirmity; and
(2) there is no other medical service or site of service, comparable in effect, available, and suitable for the member requesting the service, that is more conservative or less costly to MassHealth.

130 CMR 450.204(A). Medical necessity for dental and orthodontic treatment must be shown in accordance with the regulations governing dental treatment codified at 130 CMR 420.000 and within the MassHealth *Dental Manual*. Specifically, 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3) states, in relevant part:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, only once per member per lifetime for a member younger than 21 years old and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*.

Those clinical standards for medical necessity are met when (1) the member has one of the "auto-qualifying" conditions described by MassHealth in the HLD Form,² (2) the member meets

² Auto-qualifying conditions include cleft palate, severe traumatic deviation, severe maxillary or mandibular crowding or spacing, deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, overjet greater than 9 mm, or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, anterior or posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch, 2 or more of at least one congenitally missing tooth per quadrant, and anterior or lateral open bite of 2mm or more or 4 or more teeth per arch. Appendix D at D-2 and D-5.

or exceeds the threshold score designated by MassHealth on the HLD Form, or (3) comprehensive orthodontic treatment is otherwise medically necessary for the member, as demonstrated by a medical-necessity narrative and supporting documentation submitted by the requesting provider. *See generally*, Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. In such circumstances, MassHealth will approve payment for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3).

Appendix D of the *Dental Manual* includes the HLD form, which is described as "a quantitative, objective method for evaluating [prior authorization] requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment." Appendix D at D-1. The HLD form allows for the identification of those auto-qualifying conditions and also provides the method for discerning a single score, "based on a series of measurements, which represent the presence, absence, and degree of handicap." *Id.* MassHealth will authorize treatment for cases with verified auto-qualifiers or verified scores of 22 and above. *Id.* at D-2.

Specifically relevant to this appeal, Appendix D of the *Dental Manual* provides Scoring Instructions on how to properly calculate each measurement included on the HLD form. *Id.* at D-5 to D-6. With respect to impactions, the instructions state: "Impactions (excluding third molars) that are impeding eruption in the maxillary and mandibular arches" are considered an auto-qualifying condition. *Id.* at D-6.

Providers may also establish eligibility for comprehensive orthodontic treatment by submitting a medical necessity narrative from a physician that indicates that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary to treat a handicapping malocclusion, including to correct or significantly ameliorate certain medical or dental conditions. *Id.* at D-3-4. Such a narrative may be submitted "in cases where the patient does not have an autoqualifying condition or meet the threshold score on the HLD, but where, in the professional judgment of the requesting provider and any other involved clinician(s), comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary to treat a handicapping malocclusion." *Id*.

While a MassHealth member may benefit from orthodontic treatment, the regulations clearly limit eligibility for such treatment to patients with handicapping malocclusions. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3). As such, the appellant bears the burden of showing that she has an HLD score of 22 or higher, an auto-qualifying condition, or that the treatment is otherwise medically necessary. She has failed to do so.

The MassHealth representative's sworn testimony, which I fully credit, is that she does not agree that the appellant has any impacted teeth, and thus does not have an auto-qualifying condition. Further, the appellant's provider did not submit an HLD score nor a medical necessity narrative, and both MassHealth providers found an HLD score of under 22. MassHealth was thereby within its discretion to deny the appellant's request for prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. This appeal is denied.

Page 5 of Appeal No.: 2405716

If the appellant's dental condition should worsen or her orthodontist is able to provide the necessary documentation to demonstrate that the treatment is medically necessary, a new prior authorization request can be filed at that time, provided she has not yet reached the age of 21.

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Mariah Burns Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

cc: MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 2, MA