Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

Appellant Name and Address:



Appeal Decision: Denied Appeal Number: 2415571

Decision Date: 12/30/2024 Hearing Date: 11/25/2024

Hearing Officer: Mariah Burns

Appearance for Appellant:

Appearance for MassHealth:

Dr. David Cabeceiras, for DentaQuest



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
Executive Office of Health and Human Services
Office of Medicaid
Board of Hearings
100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: Prior Authorization -

> Comprehensive Orthodontic

Treatment

Decision Date: 12/30/2024 **Hearing Date:** 11/25/2024

MassHealth's Rep.: Dr. David Cabeceiras Appellant's Rep.:

Hearing Location: Aid Pending: No Quincy

Authority

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 118E, Chapter 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated September 2, 2024, MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. Exhibit 1. The appellant filed this appeal in a timely manner on October 9, 2024. See 130 CMR 610.015(B) and Exhibit 2. Denial of a request for prior authorization is a valid basis for appeal. See 130 CMR 610.032.

Action Taken by MassHealth

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Issue

The appeal issue is whether MassHealth was correct in determining that the appellant is ineligible for coverage of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

Summary of Evidence

The appellant, a minor under the age of appeared at the hearing with his parent. The MassHealth representative, a licensed orthodontist, appeared for MassHealth on behalf of DentaQuest, the MassHealth dental contractor. Below is a summary of each party's testimony and the information submitted for hearing:

The appellant's orthodontic provider ("the provider") submitted a prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment on behalf of the appellant to DentaQuest on August 21, 2024. This request included the appellant's X-rays, photographs, and a completed MassHealth Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Form.

The MassHealth representative testified that MassHealth will only provide coverage for comprehensive orthodontic treatment for members who have a "severe, handicapping, or deforming" malocclusion. Such a condition exists when the applicant has either (1) dental discrepancies that result in a score of 22 or more points on the HLD Form, as detailed in the MassHealth *Dental Manual*, or (2) evidence of a group of exceptional or handicapping "autoqualifying" dental conditions. If the applicant meets any of these qualifications, MassHealth, through DentaQuest, will approve a request for prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. Alternatively, a provider, such as the applicant's primary care physician or pediatrician, can submit a narrative and supporting documentation detailing how the treatment is medically necessary.

In this case, the appellant's provider submitted an HLD form that did not allege any autoqualifying conditions and reflected a score of 15¹, as detailed below:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	0	1	5 ²
Overbite in mm	0	1	7
Mandibular Protrusion in mm	0	5	0
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of teeth, excluding third molars)	0	3	3

¹ The provider's HLD form says that the score adds up to 18, but the provided scores only add up to 15.

² The provider submitted only the weighted score, not the raw score for Overjet and Overbite.

Anterior Crowding ³	Maxilla: No Mandible: No	Flat score of 5 for each ⁴	0
Labio-Lingual Spread, in mm (anterior spacing)	0	1	3
Posterior Unilateral Crossbite	No	Flat score of 4	0
Posterior impactions or congenitally missing posterior teeth	0	3	0
Total HLD Score			15

Exhibit 5 at 7. The provider did not include a medical necessity narrative in the appellant's application. *Id.* at 8.

When DentaQuest initially evaluated this prior authorization request on behalf of MassHealth, its orthodontists did not find any of the conditions that would warrant automatic approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment and determined that the appellant has an HLD score of 9. The DentaQuest HLD Form reflects the following scores:

Conditions Observed	Raw Score	Multiplier	Weighted Score
Overjet in mm	0	1	2
Overbite in mm	0	1	5
Mandibular Protrusion	0	5	0
in mm			
Open Bite in mm	0	4	0
Ectopic Eruption (# of	0	3	0
teeth, excluding third molars)			
Anterior Crowding	Maxilla: No	Flat score of 5	0
	Mandible: No	for each	
Labio-Lingual Spread,	0	1	2
in mm (anterior spacing)			
Posterior Unilateral	No	Flat score of 4	
Crossbite			
Posterior impactions or	0	3	0
congenitally missing			
posterior teeth			
Total HLD Score			9

Exhibit 5 at 4. Having found an HLD score below the threshold of 22, no auto-qualifying conditions, and no medical necessity, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request. Exhibit 1.

³ The HLD Form instructs the user to record the more serious (i.e., higher score) of either the ectopic eruption **or** the anterior crowding, but not to count both scores.

⁴ The HLD scoring instructions state that to give points for anterior crowding, arch length insufficiency must exceed 3.5 mm.

At the hearing, the MassHealth representative was able to conduct his own examination of the appellant's mouth. He testified that, based on his own observations, he agreed with the measurements and HLD score found by the appellant's provider. He explained that the appellant's overbite is close to being an auto-qualifying condition but does not quite meet the required measurement. As a result, he did not see enough evidence to overturn MassHealth's decision of a denial.

Findings of Fact

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. The appellant is a MassHealth member under the age of Exhibit 4.
- 2. On August 21, 2024, the appellant's provider requested prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment and submitted an Orthodontics Prior Authorization Form, an HLD Form, photographs, and x-rays. Exhibit 5.
- 3. The provider calculated an HLD score of 15, did not find an auto-qualifying condition, and declined to submit a medical necessity narrative. *Id.* at 9-15.
- 4. On September 2, 2024, MassHealth denied the appellant's prior authorization request, as DentaQuest found an HLD score of 9. Exhibit 1, Exhibit 5 at 4.
- 5. The appellant timely appealed the denial to the Board of Hearings on October 9, 2024. Exhibit 2.
- 6. The MassHealth representative examined the appellant's mouth and testified to finding an HLD score of 15 with no exceptional handicapping dental condition. Testimony.

Analysis and Conclusions of Law

MassHealth pays only for medically necessary services to eligible MassHealth members and may require that medical necessity be established through the prior authorization process. *See* 130 CMR 420.410(A)(1). A service is "medically necessary" if:

(1) it is reasonably calculated to prevent, diagnose, prevent the worsening of, alleviate, correct, or cure conditions in the member that endanger life, cause suffering or pain, cause physical deformity or malfunction, threaten to cause or to aggravate a handicap, or result in illness or infirmity; and

Page 4 of Appeal No.: 2415571

(2) there is no other medical service or site of service, comparable in effect, available, and suitable for the member requesting the service, that is more conservative or less costly to MassHealth.

130 CMR 450.204(A). Medical necessity for dental and orthodontic treatment must be shown in accordance with the regulations governing dental treatment codified at 130 CMR 420.000 and in the MassHealth *Dental Manual*. Specifically, 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3) states, in relevant part:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, subject to prior authorization, only once per member per lifetime for a member younger than years old and only when the member has a handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is handicapping based on clinical standards for medical necessity as described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*.

Those clinical standards for medical necessity are met when (1) the member has one of the "auto-qualifying" conditions described by MassHealth in the HLD Form, ⁵ (2) the member meets or exceeds the threshold score designated by MassHealth on the HLD Form, or (3) comprehensive orthodontic treatment is otherwise medically necessary for the member, as demonstrated by a medical-necessity narrative and supporting documentation submitted by the requesting provider. *See generally*, Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. In such circumstances, MassHealth will approve payment for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3).

Appendix D of the *Dental Manual* includes the HLD form, which is described as "a quantitative, objective method for evaluating [prior authorization] requests for comprehensive orthodontic treatment." Appendix D at D-1. The HLD form allows for the identification of those auto-qualifying conditions and also provides the method for discerning a single score, "based on a series of measurements, which represent the presence, absence, and degree of handicap." *Id.* MassHealth will authorize treatment for cases with verified auto-qualifiers or verified scores of 22 and above. *Id.* at D-2.

Providers may also establish that comprehensive orthodontic treatment is medically necessary by submitting a medical necessity narrative that establishes that comprehensive orthodontic

Page 5 of Appeal No.: 2415571

_

⁵ Auto-qualifying conditions include cleft palate, severe traumatic deviation, severe maxillary or mandibular crowding or spacing, deep impinging overbite, anterior impaction, overjet greater than 9 mm, or reverse overjet greater than 3.5 mm, anterior or posterior crossbite of 3 or more maxillary teeth per arch, 2 or more of at least one congenitally missing tooth per quadrant, and anterior or lateral open bite of 2mm or more or 4 or more teeth per arch. Appendix D at D-2 and D-5.

treatment is medically necessary to treat a handicapping malocclusion, including to correct or significantly ameliorate certain medical or dental conditions. *Id.* at D-3-4.

While a MassHealth member may benefit from orthodontic treatment, the regulations clearly limit eligibility for such treatment to patients with handicapping malocclusions. 130 CMR 420.431(C)(3). As such, the appellant bears the burden of showing that he has an HLD score of 22 or higher, an auto-qualifying condition, or that the treatment is otherwise medically necessary. He has failed to do so.

In this case, the appellant's provider found an overall HLD score of 15. The MassHealth initial reviewer found an HLD score of 9, and the MassHealth representative's examination yielded a score of 15. Each of these scores are below the threshold of 22. Further, the provider did not allege, nor did MassHealth find, that the appellant has any of the auto-qualifying conditions or that treatment is otherwise medically necessary as set forth in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*. Therefore, the appellant has not demonstrated that he meets the MassHealth criteria for approval of comprehensive orthodontic treatment. I find no error with MassHealth's September 2, 2024, denial of the appellant's prior authorization request.

For the foregoing reasons, the appeal is hereby denied.

If the appellant's dental condition should worsen or his orthodontist is able to provide the necessary documentation to demonstrate that the treatment is medically necessary, a new prior authorization request can be filed at that time, provided he has not yet reached the age of

Order for MassHealth

None.

Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Mariah Burns Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

MassHealth Representative: DentaQuest 2, MA

Page 6 of Appeal No.: 2415571