# Office of Medicaid BOARD OF HEARINGS

#### **Appellant Name and Address:**



Appeal Decision: Denied Appeal Number: 2502367

**Decision Date:** 5/1/2025 **Hearing Date:** 04/17/2025

Hearing Officer: Marc Tonaszuck

Appearance for Appellant:

**Appearance for MassHealth:** Dr. John Fraone, BeneCare

Interpreter: Spanish



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services Office of Medicaid Board of Hearings 100 Hancock Street, Quincy, Massachusetts 02171

#### APPEAL DECISION

Appeal Decision: Denied Issue: Dental Services -

**Orthodontic Services** 

**Decision Date:** 5/1/2025 **Hearing Date:** 04/17/2025

MassHealth's Rep.: Dr. John Fraone, Appellant's Rep.: Mother

BeneCare

Hearing Location: Springfield Aid Pending: No

MassHealth

**Enrollment Center** 

## **Authority**

This hearing was conducted pursuant to Massachusetts General Laws Chapters 119E and 30A, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

## Jurisdiction

Through a notice dated 01/28/2205, MassHealth informed the appellant that it denied her request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment (130 CMR 420.431(C); Exhibit 1). A timely appeal was filed on the appellant's behalf on 02/07/2025¹ (130 CMR 610.015(B); Exhibit 2). Individual MassHealth agency determinations regarding scope and amount of assistance (including, but not limited to, level-of-care determinations) are valid grounds for appeal (130 CMR 610.032).

## **Action Taken by MassHealth**

MassHealth denied the appellant's request for prior authorization of comprehensive orthodontic treatment.

#### Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant is a minor child who was represented in these proceedings by her mother.

Did MassHealth correctly deny the appellant's prior authorization request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment to pursuant to 130 CMR 420.431(C)?

## **Summary of Evidence**

A fair hearing was held before the Board of Hearings on 04/17/2025. The appellant appeared in person with her mother. They were assisted by a Spanish-language interpreter. The MassHealth representative also appeared in person. Exhibits 1-4 were admitted into evidence.

Dr. Fraone, a licensed dentist from BeneCare, appeared on behalf of MassHealth as the dental consultant. He testified that on 01/16/2025 the appellant's provider, requested prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment. MassHealth only provides coverage for comprehensive orthodontic treatment when there is a severe and handicapping malocclusion. She testified that the orthodontic provider submitted a prior authorization request on behalf of the appellant, who is under of age. The request was considered after review of the oral photographs and written information submitted by the appellant's orthodontic provider. This information was applied to a standardized Handicapping Labio-Lingual Deviations (HLD) Index that is used to make an objective determination of whether the appellant has a severe and handicapping malocclusion. The representative testified that the HLD Index uses objective measurements taken from the subject's teeth to generate an overall numeric score. A severe and handicapping malocclusion typically reflects a minimum score of 22 or an automatic qualifying condition. MassHealth submitted into evidence: HLD MassHealth Form, the HLD Index (Exhibit 4).

MassHealth testified that according to the prior authorization (PA) request, the appellant's orthodontic provider reported that the appellant had an auto-qualifying situation; specifically, that she has an "impinging overbite," and therefore the treating orthodontist asserted that the appellant qualifies for payment by MassHealth of her comprehensive orthodontia. The appellant's provider also submitted his calculations of an HLD Index score, totaling 16 points. He indicated on the HLD Index form that there was no "medical necessity" documentation included with the request.

The BeneCare dentist testified that MassHealth received the PA packet, including the treating orthodontist's HLD Index score and photographs and X-rays of the appellant's teeth. MassHealth reviewed the documentation and determined that the appellant's HLD Index score did not meet the necessary criteria for MassHealth payment for her comprehensive orthodontic services. MassHealth also determined that there was no impinging overbite, as defined on the HLD Index worksheet. Further, there were no other automatic qualifying conditions or documentation of medical necessity and no HLD Index score of 22 or more. As a result, MassHealth denied the request on 01/28/2026.

Dr. Fraone testified that in preparation for the fair hearing he reviewed the appellant's materials

Page 2 of Appeal No.: 2502367

that were provided to MassHealth with the prior authorization request from her orthodontist. According to the photographs and X-rays, Dr. Fraone testified that the appellant does not have an "impinging overbite," or any other automatic qualifying condition and she does not have an HLD Index score of 22 or more. Dr. Fraone referenced the X-ray and photos to show that the appellant's bottom front teeth do not come into contact with the tissue behind the front top teeth, as required to meet this automatic qualifying category. He also testified that there is no evidence of indentations, sores, or ulcerations on the tissue behind the front top teeth. Further, he found that there was nothing in the submission to show that the appellant meets MassHealth's criteria for the payment of her comprehensive orthodontia. As a result, he upheld MassHealth's denial of the request for comprehensive orthodontic services.

The appellant's mother appeared at the fair hearing with the appellant. The mother testified that the appellant has "pain when eating and chewing food," and she "suffers from toothaches after eating." She stated that she didn't understand why one doctor's opinion matters more than another's. The mother concluded that her five children have bad teeth from their "father's side of the family."

## **Findings of Fact**

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, I find the following:

- 1. The appellant, a MassHealth member, is under of age. She was represented in these proceedings by her mother (Testimony; Exhibit 2).
- 2. On 01/16/2026, the appellant's orthodontic provider, authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment on behalf of the appellant (Testimony, Exhibit 4).
- 3. MassHealth provides coverage for comprehensive orthodontic treatment only when there is a severe and handicapping malocclusion.
- 4. As one determinant of a severe and handicapping malocclusion, MassHealth employs a system of comparative measurements known as the HLD Index.
- 5. A HLD Index score of 22 or higher denotes a severe and handicapping malocclusion.
- 6. The appellant's orthodontic provider provided an HLD Index score of 16.
- 7. The appellant's orthodontic provider alleged that the appellant has an automatic qualifying condition; specifically, an "impinging overbite."

Page 3 of Appeal No.: 2502367

- 8. No medical necessity documentation was included with the PA request by the appellant's treating orthodontist.
- MassHealth reviewed the treating orthodontist's submission and determined that the appellant's malocclusion did not meet the required 22 points for MassHealth's payment for her comprehensive orthodontic treatment.
- 10. MassHealth also determined that the appellant did not meet the criteria set out for the automatic qualifying condition of an impinging overbite.
- 11. MassHealth denied the appellant's request for comprehensive orthodontic treatment on 01/28/2025.
- 12. A fair hearing request was submitted to the Board of Hearings on 02/07/2025.
- 13. A fair hearing was held before the Board of Hearings on 04/17/2025. The appellant appeared in person at the fair hearing with her mother. They were assisted by a Spanish-language interpreter.
- 14. The MassHealth representative, a dentist employed by BeneCare, appeared at the fair hearing in person.
- 15. Using measurements taken from the appellant's oral photographs, X-rays, and other submitted materials, the MassHealth dentist determined that the appellant did not have an HLD score of 22 or above or an automatic qualifying condition.
- 16. There is no evidence that the appellant's bottom front teeth come into contact with the tissue behind the top front teeth.
- 17. There was no other documentation of medical necessity for the comprehensive orthodontic treatment provided to MassHealth.
- 18. The appellant does not have an HLD score of 22 or above, no automatic qualifying condition and there is no documentation of medical necessity.

## **Analysis and Conclusions of Law**

Regulation 130 CMR 420.431(C) states, in relevant part, as follows:

The MassHealth agency pays for comprehensive orthodontic treatment only once per member under age per lifetime and only when the member has a severe and

handicapping malocclusion. The MassHealth agency determines whether a malocclusion is severe and handicapping based on the clinical standards described in Appendix D of the *Dental Manual*.

When requesting prior authorization for comprehensive orthodontic treatment, the provider submits, among other things, a completed HLD Index recording form which documents the results of applying the clinical standards described in Appendix D of the Dental Manual. For MassHealth to pay for orthodontic treatment, the appellant's malocclusion must be severe and handicapping as indicated by an automatic qualifier on the HLD index or a minimum HLD index score of 22.

In his submission to MassHealth, the appellant's treating orthodontist calculated an overall HLD Index score of 16, which does not meet the minimum points required for MassHealth payment of the orthodonture. The appellant's orthodontic provider noted on the HLD Index score sheet that the appellant has an "impinging overbite," a condition that if verified, qualifies the appellant for payment for braces, regardless of an HLD Index score. At the fair hearing, Dr. Fraone testified that there is no evidence that the appellant has an "impinging overbite" as defined by the HLD Index score sheet.

The HLD Index score sheet defines an "impinging overbite" as "evidence of occlusal contact into the opposing soft tissue." The treating orthodontist provided no further information with his assertion that the appellant meets the criteria of this automatic qualifying condition. Dr. Fraone referenced the appellant's X-ray showing the profile of the front part of the appellant's head. Dr. Fraone directed the hearing officer to the front teeth and testified that when the appellant closes her mouth, the bottom front teeth touch the back of the front top teeth, not the tissue behind the top teeth. He also testified that the photographs of the appellant's mouth did not show indentations behind the top front teeth, which is required to meet the criteria for this automatic qualifying condition. As a result, the appellant does not have an "impinging overbite," as defined by the HLD Index score sheet.

The appellant's mother testified that the appellant has some issues that may or may not be connected to her need for orthodonture; however, she failed to show how those conditions meet MassHealth's orthodonture criteria. Dr. Fraone testified credibly and demonstrated a familiarity with the HLD Index score sheet. He was also available to be questioned by the hearing officer and cross-examined by the appellant's representative. Further, he testified credibly that no other information was provided to show medical necessity. Dr. Fraone's testimony, as a licensed dentist, was given greater weight than the testimony of the appellant's mother, who is not a clinical dental professional. There is nothing in the hearing record to show that the appellant's current situation meets MassHealth criteria for payment of braces. Accordingly, this appeal is denied.

Page 5 of Appeal No.: 2502367

### **Order for MassHealth**

None.

# **Notification of Your Right to Appeal to Court**

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal to Court in accordance with Chapter 30A of the Massachusetts General Laws. To appeal, you must file a complaint with the Superior Court for the county where you reside, or Suffolk County Superior Court, within 30 days of your receipt of this decision.

Marc Tonaszuck Hearing Officer Board of Hearings

MassHealth Representative: BeneCare 1, Attn: Jessica Lusignan

Page 6 of Appeal No.: 2502367