

DEP has provided this form for use by local Boards of Health. Other forms may be used, but the information must be substantially the same as that provided here. Before using this form, check with your local Board of Health to determine the form they use.

Form 9A is to be submitted to the Local Board of Health for the upgrade of a failed or nonconforming septic system with a design flow of less than 10,000 gpd, where full compliance, as defined in 310 CMR 15.404(1), is not feasible.

System upgrades that cannot be performed in accordance with 310 CMR 15.404 and 15.405, or in full compliance with the requirements of 310 CMR 15.000, require a variance pursuant to 310 CMR 15.410 through 15.415.

<u>NOTE:</u> Local upgrade approval shall not be granted for an upgrade proposal that includes the addition of a new design flow to a cesspool or privy, or the addition of a new design flow above the existing approved capacity of an on-site system constructed in accordance with either the 1978 Code or 310 CMR 15.000.

A. Facility Information

When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.

1	tab
	V
1	

1. Facility Name and Address:

Name		
Street Address		
City/Town	State	Zip Code
Owner Name and Address (if diffe	erent from above):	
Name	Street Address	
City/Town	State	
Zip Code	Telephone Number	
Type of Facility (check all that app	oly):	
Residential Institution	nal 🗌 Commercial	School
Describe Facility:		
Type of Existing System:		
	Conventional Oth	ner (describe below):
Privy Cesspool(s)		. (



DEP has provided this form for use by local Boards of Health. Other forms may be used, but the information must be substantially the same as that provided here. Before using this form, check with your local Board of Health to determine the form they use.

А.	Facility Information (continued)		
7.	Design Flow per 310 CMR 15.203:		
	Design flow of existing system:	gpd	
	Design flow of proposed upgraded system	gpd	
	Design flow of facility:	gpd	
Β.	Proposed Upgrade of System		
1.	Proposed upgrade is (check one):		
	□ Voluntary □ Required by order, letter	r, etc. (attach copy)	
	Required following inspection pursuant to 31	0 CMR 15.301:	date of inspection
2.	Describe the proposed upgrade to the system:		
3.	Local Upgrade Approval is requested for (check		
	Reduction in setback(s) – describe reduction		
	 Reduction in setback(s) – describe reduction Reduction in SAS area of up to 25%: 	IS: SAS size, sq. ft.	% reduction
	 Reduction in setback(s) – describe reduction Reduction in SAS area of up to 25%: Reduction in separation between the SAS area 	IS: SAS size, sq. ft.	% reduction
	 Reduction in setback(s) – describe reduction Reduction in SAS area of up to 25%: Reduction in separation between the SAS are Separation reduction 	IS: SAS size, sq. ft.	% reduction
	 Reduction in setback(s) – describe reduction Reduction in SAS area of up to 25%: Reduction in separation between the SAS area 	ns: SAS size, sq. ft. nd high groundwater:	% reduction



DEP has provided this form for use by local Boards of Health. Other forms may be used, but the information must be substantially the same as that provided here. Before using this form, check with your local Board of Health to determine the form they use.

Β.	Proposed Upgrade of System (continued)							
	Relocation of water supply	Relocation of water supply well (explain):						
	Reduction of 12-inch sepa	ration between inlet and o	outlet tees and high groundwater					
	Use of only one deep hole in proposed disposal area							
	Use of a sieve analysis as	a substitute for a perc tes	t					
	Other requirements of 310 Code:) CMR 15.000 that cannot	be met – describe and specify sections of the					
abs higl	sorption system and the high gr	oundwater elevation, an A ant to 310 CMR 15.405(1)(proving authority.	separation between the bottom of the soil pproved Soil Evaluator must determine the (h)(1). <i>The soil evaluator must be a</i>					
	Evaluator's Name (type or print)	Signature	Date of evaluation					
C.	Explanation							
	Explain why full compliance, a completed)	s defined in 310 CMR 15.4	404(1), is not feasible. (Each section must be					
1.	An upgraded system in full co	mpliance with 310 CMR 15	5.000 is not feasible:					
2.	An alternative system approve	ed pursuant to 310 CMR 1	5.283 to 15.288 is not feasible:					



DEP has provided this form for use by local Boards of Health. Other forms may be used, but the information must be substantially the same as that provided here. Before using this form, check with your local Board of Health to determine the form they use.

C. Explanation (continued)	C.	Exp	lanation	(continued)
-----------------------------------	----	-----	----------	-------------

3. A shared system is not feasible:

4.	Connection	to a	public	sewer	is	not	feasibl	e:
----	------------	------	--------	-------	----	-----	---------	----

- 5. The Application for Local Upgrade Approval must be accompanied by all of the following (check the appropriate boxes):
 - Application for Disposal System Construction Permit

Complete plans and specifications

- Site evaluation forms
- A list of abutters affected by reduced setbacks to private water supply wells or property lines. Provide proof that affected abutters have been notified pursuant to 310 CMR 15.405(2).
- Other (List):

D. Certification

"I, the facility owner, certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments, to the best of my knowledge and belief, are true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there may be significant consequences for submitting false information, including, but not limited to, penalties or fine and/or imprisonment for deliberate violations."

Facility Owner's Signature	Date
Print Name	
Name of Preparer	Date
Preparer's address	City/Town
State/ZIP Code	Telephone