

April 28, 2022

MassDEP Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup Advisory Committee Meeting

CHAT Transcript – Video available at https://youtu.be/PvwzjVdK_eg

00:56:50 jconnolly: can municipalities apply for a TAG

00:57:08 Peggy Shaw: yes they can

00:57:11 lisa campe: I was just going to ask that question too!

01:00:14 Susan Chapnick, NEH: Is there a house bill # yet?

01:01:10 Michelle O'Brien, Pierce Atwood: it should keep the new Senate bill number as it goes through the rest of the process

01:01:17 Peggy Shaw: Per 40.1453(1), eligible applicants for TAGs include "groups of individuals," municipalities, and district owner/operator of public water supply.

01:02:38 Barry Fogel: Michelle - what is the new SB number for the bill?

01:06:45 Susan Chapnick, NEH: SB #2830:
lspa.memberclicks.net/assets/Advocacy/2022/S2830.pdf

01:07:11 lisa campe: What about for private well PFAS contamination above MMCL and no source identified?

01:13:53 Paul Locke (MassDEP): There is also a story map for private wells...
<https://www.mass.gov/info-details/per-and-polyfluoroalkyl-substances-pfas-in-private-well-drinking-water-supplies-faq#pfas-testing-in-private-wells->

01:14:35 lisa campe: What percentage of private wells had detectable concentrations?

01:18:51 Paul Locke (MassDEP): If you go to the Private Well Sampling story map and click on the Results tab, you can see each individual result. I haven't done percentages recently, but I think they were running about 5% greater than the 20 ppt. Obviously more (another 10%??) detectable but less than 20. Lot's of ND.

01:19:36 Paul Locke (MassDEP): The "2nd sample" column gives you a sense of the percentage above 10 ppt - that was the trigger for resampling.

01:20:18 Peggy Shaw: Follow up on use of TAGs/PFAS question – just want to emphasize that TAGs are available to specific categories of disposal sites, per TAG provisions of MCP: tier classified sites, NPL sites, and adequately regulated sites. Scenario would need to fall into one of those categories.

01:33:16 Paul Locke (MassDEP): Statistics Update: 94.7% of the 1,262 results received so far are below the MMCL. ND is 69.4%

01:36:17 lisa campe: Thanks!

01:43:38 lisa campe: "pferris wheels"

01:45:19 Navpreet Brolowski - MassDEP: what was the range of depths?

01:45:37 Jennifer Wharff: 0-6" I believe

01:45:42 Dianne R. Phillips (she/her): Any chance these slides could be shared or posted?
Thanks!

01:46:24 lisa campe: Yes - 0-6".

01:46:29 Paul Locke (MassDEP): At the very least the recording will be available shortly after the meeting.

01:46:48 lisa campe: We are not able to share our slides at this point and are currently working on a paper to publish our findings

01:54:41 Dianne R. Phillips (she/her): OK looking forward to it!

01:55:50 Susan Chapnick, NEH: Were the data validated?

01:56:52 Lisa McIntosh, Woodard & Curran: We did not send out to a 3rd party validator - just lab & in- house review.

01:58:09 Kristina McCarthy: were there any S1/GW-2 or S1/GW-3 exceedances?

01:58:42 Barry Fogel, Keegan Werlin: did you distinguish soil types - sandy, silty, clay?

01:59:27 ntindall: Were any MCP Reportable Concentrations exceeded?

02:00:55 Larry Feldman: Nice job, W&C team!

02:02:41 GBraun: Thanks for sharing this!

02:03:02 lisa campe: Thanks for listening all!

02:03:05 jconnolly: yes, thank you for this work

02:03:06 Jeff Porter: A great service Woodard and Curran! Thanks for your effort and good luck with publication!

02:03:09 Wendy Rundle, LSP Association: Thank you W & C for conducting and sharing this study.

02:03:34 Lisa McIntosh, Woodard & Curran: You're very welcome!

02:04:05 Matthew Mostoller: Thanks for your work on this, looking forward to your rainfall study results!

02:12:54 lisa campe: As part of soil capacity discussions, please consider potential impacts and exacerbation if municipal biosolids also need to be disposed of if further regulated for PFAS.

02:19:13 Logan.Mort-Jones: Where will the recording for this meeting be located?

02:22:33 Logan.Mort-Jones: thank you!