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**CORRECTED FINAL DECISION**

The issue for adjudication identified by the parties at the Pre-Hearing Conference was whether the Department’s SORAD correctly determined jurisdiction at the site by finding that the streams on the site are intermittent pursuant to 310 CMR 10.58(2)(a)1.c. I agree with the conclusion as articulated in the Recommended Final Decision that the method of determining watershed size as described by the Department’s witnesses is correct and that a Final Decision sustaining the SORAD shall be issued[[1]](#footnote-1).

The parties to this proceeding are notified of their right to file a motion for reconsideration of this decision, pursuant to 310 CMR 1.01(14)(d). The motion must be filed with the Case Administrator and served on all parties within seven business days of the postmark date of this decision. A person who has the right to seek judicial review may appeal this decision to the Superior Court pursuant to M.G.L. c. 30A, §14(1). The complaint must be filed in the

Court within thirty days of receipt of this decision.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Martin Suuberg

Commissioner

1. In the second sentence of the Final Decision dated May 29, 2015, I in advertantly omitted the word “Recommended” in describing the Recommended Final Decision of the Presiding Officer. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)