The claimant presented documentary evidence verifying that she performed work in Massachusetts in 2019, the applicable tax year for her PUA claim. Therefore, she presented sufficient evidence to verify employment within the meaning of the Continued Assistance Act.

Board of Review 19 Staniford St., 4th Floor Boston, MA 02114 Phone: 617-626-6400 Fax: 617-727-5874 Paul T. Fitzgerald, Esq. Chairman Charlene A. Stawicki, Esq. Member Michael J. Albano Member

Issue ID: N6-H54T-H4KF

Introduction and Procedural History of this Appeal

The claimant appeals a decision by a review examiner of the Department of Unemployment Assistance (DUA) to deny Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits. We review, pursuant to our authority under G.L. c. 151A, § 41, and reverse.

The claimant filed a claim for PUA benefits with the DUA, effective March 15, 2020, which was denied in a determination issued on May 21, 2021. The claimant appealed the determination to the DUA hearings department. Following a hearing on the merits, the review examiner affirmed the agency's initial determination and denied PUA benefits in a decision rendered on September 30, 2021. We accepted the claimant's application for review.

Benefits were denied after the review examiner determined that the claimant had failed to meet the eligibility requirements to substantiate employment, self-employment, or planned commencement of employment or self-employment, and, thus, the claimant was not eligible for PUA benefits. After considering the recorded testimony and evidence from the hearing, the review examiner's decision, and the claimant's appeal, we remanded the case to the review examiner to obtain additional evidence pertaining to the claimant's employment status in 2019. The claimant attended the remand hearing. Thereafter, the review examiner issued her consolidated findings of fact. Our decision is based upon our review of the entire record.

The issue before the Board is whether the review examiner's decision, which concluded that the claimant did not meet her burden to substantiate employment or self-employment because she failed to provide any documentation showing she worked or was going to be working in Massachusetts in 2019 or 2020, is supported by substantial and credible evidence and is free from error of law.

Findings of Fact

The review examiner's consolidated findings of fact and credibility assessment are set forth below in their entirety:

1. The claimant filed a claim for PUA benefits, with an effective date of March 15, 2020.

- 2. The Department of Unemployment Assistance (DUA) determined that the claimant has a benefit rate of \$267 per week on the claim.
- 3. From September 24, 2019 until October 9, 2019, the claimant worked as a sales representative for a beauty supply retailer in [City A], Massachusetts. She earned \$515.00 in gross wages for her services.
- 4. After 2019, the claimant has been looking for, and applying to different jobs. However, she has not received a job offer.

[Credibility] Assessment:

The claimant testified credibly and provided corroborating documentation regarding her 2019 employment *i.e.*, she submitted her 2019 W-2 from the beauty supply retailer. Furthermore, these wages are corroborated in UI Online.

Ruling of the Board

In accordance with our statutory obligation, we review the record and the decision made by the review examiner to determine: (1) whether the consolidated findings are supported by substantial and credible evidence; and (2) whether the review examiner's original conclusion is free from error of law. Upon such review, the Board adopts the review examiner's consolidated findings of fact and deems them to be supported by substantial and credible evidence. We further believe that the review examiner's credibility assessment is reasonable in relation to the evidence presented. However, as discussed more fully below, we disagree with the review examiner's legal conclusion that the claimant failed to meet her burden to continue receiving PUA benefits.

The claimant in this case seeks PUA benefits, a new unemployment benefit program provided under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act of 2020, and administered by the U.S. Secretary of Labor.¹ Pursuant to the Continued Assistance for Unemployed Workers Act (Continued Assistance Act), any claimant who files a new application for PUA benefits on or after January 31, 2021, or any claimant who receives a payment of PUA benefits on or after December 27, 2020, is required to provide documentation substantiating employment, self-employment, or planned commencement of employment or self-employment² at some point between the start of the applicable tax year and the date the claimant filed for benefits. *See* U.S. Department of Labor Unemployment Insurance Program Letter (UIPL) 16-20, Change 4 (Jan. 8, 2021), 4(b), p. 5, and Attachment I, C(2)(b), p. I-11. There is no requirement that such documentation relate to work the claimant lost because of COVID-19.

The claimant filed for PUA benefits effective March 15, 2020. Therefore, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Continued Assistance Act, the claimant was required to substantiate employment, self-employment or planned commencement of employment or self-employment at some time between January 1, 2019, and March 15, 2020.

¹ Pub. L. 116-136 (Mar. 27, 2020), § 2102.

² Pub. L. 116-260 (Dec. 27, 2020), § 241.

The claimant presented documentary evidence verifying that she worked as a sales representative for a beauty supply retailer in September and October of 2019. *See* Consolidated Finding # 3. The documents and the claimant's testimony together are sufficient to substantiate her employment during a portion of 2019, the applicable tax year.

We, therefore, conclude as a matter of law that the claimant met the eligibility requirement to substantiate employment or self-employment within the meaning of the Continued Assistance Act.

The review examiner's decision is reversed. The claimant is entitled to receive PUA benefits for the week beginning January 2, 2021, and for subsequent weeks if otherwise eligible.

and Y. Jizquald

BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS DATE OF DECISION - March 10, 2022

Paul T. Fitzgerald, Esq. Chairman

Ul affersono

Michael J. Albano Member

Member Charlene A. Stawicki, Esq. did not participate in this decision.

ANY FURTHER APPEAL WOULD BE TO A MASSACHUSETTS STATE DISTRICT COURT (See Section 42, Chapter 151A, General Laws Enclosed)

The last day to appeal this decision to a Massachusetts District Court is thirty days from the mail date on the first page of this decision. If that thirtieth day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the last day to appeal this decision is the business day next following the thirtieth day.

To locate the nearest Massachusetts District Court, see: <u>www.mass.gov/courts/court-info/courthouses</u>

Please be advised that fees for services rendered by an attorney or agent to a claimant in connection with an appeal to the Board of Review are not payable unless submitted to the Board of Review for approval, under G.L. c. 151A, § 37.

LSW/rh