COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS STATE ETHICS COMMISSION

SUFFOLK, ss.

COMMISSION ADJUDICATORY DOCKET NO. 13-0003

IN THE MATTER

OF

CHARLES BORSTEL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

- 1. The State Ethics Commission is authorized to enforce G.L. c. 268B, the Financial Disclosure Law, and in that regard to initiate and conduct adjudicatory proceedings.
- 2. On January 18, 2013, the Commission found reasonable cause to believe that Charles Borstel violated G.L. c. 268B, § 5 and authorized the initiation of adjudicatory proceedings.
- 3. Borstel, a resident of Melrose, served as the Division of Professional Licensure Assistant to the Director for more than 30 days in 2011. Borstel is no longer serving as the Division of Professional Licensure Assistant to the Director.
- 4. As the Division of Professional Licensure Assistant to the Director,
 Borstel was a state employee as that term is defined in G.L. c. 268A, § 1.
- 5. In accordance with G.L. c. 268B and 930 CMR 2.00, Borstel's position of Division of Professional Licensure Assistant to the Director was designated as a major policy-making position for calendar year 2011. As such, Borstel was required to file a Statement of Financial Interests ("SFI") for calendar year 2011 in accordance with G.L.

c. 268B and 930 CMR 2.00.

- 6. Borstel's SFI for 2011 was required to be filed by May 1, 2012, in accordance with G.L. c. 268B and 930 CMR 2.00. Borstel was informed of his obligation to file an SFI for calendar year 2011.
- 7. Borstel did not file an SFI on or before May 1, 2012. On May 7, 2012, the Commission sent by email a Formal Notice of Lateness ("Notice") to Borstel. The Notice advised Borstel that his SFI had not been filed and was, therefore, delinquent. The Notice further advised Borstel that failure to file his 2011 SFI within 10 days of receipt of the Notice would result in the imposition of civil penalties. Therefore, Borstel would not have incurred a civil penalty if he had filed his SFI by May 17, 2012.
- 8. Borstel failed to timely file his SFI after receiving the Notice, and, therefore, violated G.L. c. 268B, § 5.
- 9. General Laws c. 268B, § 4 authorizes the Commission to impose a civil penalty of up to \$10,000 for each violation of c. 268B. The Commission has adopted the following civil penalty schedule for SFIs filed more than 10 days after the receipt of the Notice.

1-10 days late	\$100
11-20 days late	\$200
21-30 days late	\$300
31-40 days late	\$400
41-50 days late	\$500
51-60 days late	\$600
61-70 days late	\$700
71-80 days late	\$800
81-90 days late	\$900
91-100 days late	\$1,000
101- 110 days late	\$1,100
111-120 days late	\$1,200
121 days to the day before an Order to Show Cause is issued	\$1,250
The date an Order to Show Cause is issued to	

the day before a Decision and Order is issued by the Commission	\$2,500
The date a Decision and Order is issued by the Commission	Up to \$10,000

WHEREFORE, Petitioner asks that the Commission:

- a) find that Charles Borstel violated G.L. c. 268B, § 5 by failing to file his 2011 SFI within ten (10) days of receiving the Formal Notice of Lateness; and
- b) impose a civil penalty of at least \$2,500 pursuant to G.L. c. 268B, § 4(j) and the Commission's civil penalty schedule.

Respectfully submitted, Petitioner State Ethics Commission By its attorney,

Date: March 12, 2013

/s/ Karen Beth Gray
Karen Beth Gray
Enforcement Division Deputy Chief
State Ethics Commission
One Ashburton Place, Room 619
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 371-9500
BBO# 554775