DEP BWSC CRA TECHNICAL SCREENING AUDIT FORM

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Comprehensive Response Actions (CRA), and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of CRA requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

Lead RTN:							
SUBMITTAL TYPE (Circle one))	OHM description: (Source, Type of OHM, Media Affected)	Date Rcv	d	/	/	
Phase II (Sec. 1&II) Phase III (Se	ec. III)						
Phase IV (Sec. IV) Phase V/RC	S (Sec V)						
Other:		Site Use:					
Related RTNs:		Sile Use.					
Town:		Site Name:					
Address:							
PRP/OP:		LSP Name:					
Consultant:		LSP No.:					
		ECHNICAL SCREENING CHECKLIST					
		Condition					Page #
	ised upon	conditions at time of CRA filing)					
A. Time Critical Conditions				Yes	No	?	
		sidence/school with no soil gas/indoor air sampling					
2. 🔁 More than 0.5" NAPL observe	d in any mor	nitoring well					
3. 🔁 One or more data points exce							
4. 🔁 EPC in S-1 soil exceeds Meth	od 1 standar	d and school/residence within 500 feet					
5. 🔁 Site contaminants impacting ir	ndoor air						
B. Drinking Water				Yes	No	?	
1. Site within potentially drinking wa	iter source a	rea (PDWSA)					
2. Site located within IWPA/mapped	d Zone II						
3. Private/Non- municipal public we	II(s) located	within 500 feet of site					
4. Municipal well(s) located within 1	000 feet of s	ite					
5. D Private well contaminated as s	s result of si	te					
6. Public water supply contamination	ated as a res	ult of site					
C Contaminated Soil				Yes	No	?	
1. Category S-3 Soils							
2. Category S-2 Soils							
3. Category S-1 Soils							
D. Site and Area Use				Yes	No	?	
1. Industrial (no children likely to be	present)						
2. Commercial (limited presence of	children)						
3. School/Institution	· · ·						
4. Residential							
E. Released OHM [Contamina	nt Type(s)			Yes	No	?	
1. Petroleum Fuel Oils	2 T - X - 1						
2. Gasoline, lube oils, waste oils an	d other petro	leum products					
3. Metals, coal tar, PCBs, pesticide		•					
4. Chlorinated Solvents							
F. Environmental Concerns				Yes	No	?	
1. Site within 500 feet of surface wa	ter and/or w	etlands					
2. Endangered species habitat, ACI							
		diments and/or wetlands with site contaminants					
G. Site Complexity	,			Yes	No	?	
1. Media other than groundwater or	soil affected	I (surface water, air. sediment)					
2. Co-mingled plumes (i.e., differen							
3. Bedrock contamination							
If \square conditions exist, see superviso	r to discuss						<u>. </u>

DEP BWSC CRA TECHNICAL SCREENING AUDIT FORM

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Comprehensive Response Actions (CRA), and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of CRA requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

II. PHASE II Comprehensive Site Assessment – Indication That:	Citation(s)	Yes	No	?	NA	Page #
1. A Phase II SOW has been developed and submitted to the Department	40.0832(1)					
2. The Phase II Report was received within 2 years of Tier Classification or within 2 years of the effective date	40.0550(2)(a), 40.0560(2)(a)					
of the Tier 1 Permit						
3. The source, nature, extent, and potential impacts of the release(s) of oil and/or hazardous material have	40.0833(1)(a)					
been identified						
4. The need to conduct remedial actions at the disposal site have been identified	40.0833(1)(c)					
5. A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed	40.0836(3)					
6. If appropriate, the disposal site has been re-scored using the Numerical Ranking System (NRS)	40.0840(2)					
7. Public Involvement Activities have been completed	40.1403(3)(a)(e)(f)					
Risk Characterization						
8. Background has been identified or characterized	40.0904(2)(b), 40.1020					
9. The correct risk characterization method has been used	40.0941, 40.0942					
10. The appropriate soil/groundwater categories have been properly identified	40.0930					
11. EPC calculations have been provided (spatial or temporal) and EPCs have been properly calculated	40.0926					
12. Hot Spot(s) addressed, identified (as Hot Spot) and have not added in to other EPCs	40.0924(2), 40.0926(3)					
13. Migration Pathways (air, groundwater, etc.) assessed and evaluated (All Methods, media dependent)	40.0904(2)(c), 40.1004(1)(a)					
14. Applicable soil and/or groundwater standards have not been exceeded (Method 1 or 2) or AUL applied	40.0974, 40.0975, 40.0988(2)					
15. All receptors have been accounted for (construction worker, trespassers, wetland, etc.) (Method 3)	40.0920-40.0922					
16. Proper Exposure Scenario assumptions (exposure period, etc.) (Method 3)	40.0923-40.0925					
17. All Exposure Pathways (dermal, inhalation, etc.) have been presented (Method 3)	40.0925					
18. Total site risk has been calculated (Method 3)	40.0992, 40.0993(7),(8), (9)					
III. Phase III (RAP) Identification, Evaluation and Selection of Comprehensive Remedial Action	40.0332, 40.0333(7),(8), (3)	Yes	No	?	NA	D
Alternatives – Indication That:		163	NO	•		Page #
1. The evaluation of remedial technologies was documented in a Ph III Remedial Action Plan (RAP)	40.0861(1)					
2. The RAP was received w/in 2 years of Tier Class. or w/in 2 years of the effective date of the Tier 1 Permit.	40.0550(2)(a), 40.0560(2)(a)					
3. A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included	40.0861(2)(a)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(e)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(e) 40.0861(2)(e)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(e) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(e) 40.0861(2)(e)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0858(1-7) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(e) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0861(1)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0861(1) 40.0862(3)(a)(b)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.1403(3)(e), 40.1406					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed If a Temporary Solution was chosen: 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.1403(3)(e), 40.1406 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(f)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed If a Temporary Solution was chosen: 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.1403(3)(e), 40.1406					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0860(2), 40.0861(2)(d) 40.0861(2)(f)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed Evaluation of the feasibility of implementing a Permanent Solution which is more cost-effective and timely Discussion of how a Temporary Solution is likely to eliminate any Substantial Hazards is included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0860(2), 40.0861(2)(d)					
 3. A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included 4. The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included 5. A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) 6. Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: 7. Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk 8. Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) 9. If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included 10. A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 11. A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed 12. Public Involvement Activities have been completed 13. Evaluation of the feasibility of implementing a Permanent Solution which is more cost-effective and timely 14. Discussion of how a Temporary Solution is likely to eliminate any Substantial Hazards is included 15. A detailed description of definitive and enterprising steps to identify and develop a likely Permanent Solution and a schedule of such steps have been completed 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0860(2), 40.0861(2)(d) 40.0861(2)(f)					
 A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included A completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement Activities have been completed If a Temporary Solution was chosen: Evaluation of the feasibility of implementing a Permanent Solution which is more cost-effective and timely A detailed description of definitive and enterprising steps to identify and develop a likely Permanent 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0860(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0869(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(h)					
 3. A description of all identified remedial action alternatives was included 4. The results of an initial screening of the remedial action alternatives was included 5. A detailed evaluation of the remedial technologies (except as provided in 40.0857(2)) was included (comparative effectiveness, short- & long-term reliability, difficulty, costs, risks, benefits, and timeliness) 6. Justification for the selection of the proposed remedial action alternative If a Permanent Solution was chosen: 7. Discussion of how the Permanent Solution is likely to achieve No Significant Risk 8. Evaluation of the feasibility of reaching/approaching background (unless RAA will result in A-1 RAO) 9. If RAA leaves OHM above UCLs at depths >15 ft or beneath an engineered barrier, an evaluation of the feasibility of reducing OHM below UCLs is included 10. A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 11. A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed 12. Public Involvement Activities have been completed 13. Evaluation of the feasibility of implementing a Permanent Solution which is more cost-effective and timely 14. Discussion of how a Temporary Solution is likely to eliminate any Substantial Hazards is included 15. A detailed description of definitive and enterprising steps to identify and develop a likely Permanent Solution and a schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 16. A projected schedule for the implementation of Phase IV activities was included 	40.0861(2)(a) 40.0856(1), 40.0861(2)(a) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0857(1), 40.0861(2)(b) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(c) 40.0861(2)(e) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0861(2)(g) 40.0860(4) 40.0861(1) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(3)(a)(b) 40.0862(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0860(2), 40.0861(2)(f) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(h) 40.0859(2), 40.0861(2)(h) 40.0861(1))					

DEP BWSC CRA TECHNICAL SCREENING AUDIT FORM

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Comprehensive Response Actions (CRA), and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of CRA requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

IV. Phase IV Implementation of the Selected Remedial Action Alternative – Indication That:	Citation(s)	Yes	No	?	NA	Page#
1. The Phase IV Remedial Implementation Plan (RIP) was received within 3 years of Tier Classification or within 3 years of the effective date of the Tier 1 Permit.	40.0550(2)(c), 40.0560(2)(c)					
2. The selected Remedial Action Alternative (RAA) has been documented in a Remedy Implementation Plan	40.0874(1,2)					
(RIP), unless technically justified	10.007 1(1,2)	_	-	-	-	
3. A list of relevant contacts including the PRP, the LSP, and the person who will operate and maintain RAA	40.0874(3)(a)					
4. Engineering designs (including goals, changes, disposal site map, proposed locations, env. media to be	40.0874(3)(b)					
treated, conceptual plan, design and operational parameters, etc.) are included						
5. Construction Plans and Specifications (including a construction schedule) are included	40.0874(3)(c)					
6. An Operation, Maintenance and/or Monitoring (OMM) Plan, containing names & phone #s, general operating	40.0874(3)(d)					
procedures, frequency & type of monitoring, is included						
7. A Health and Safety Plan is included	40.0874(3)(e)					
8. A list of federal, state, or local permits, licenses, and/or approvals is included	40.0874(3)(f)					
9. A discussion of property access issues (with a plan & timetable to resolve such issues) is included	40.0874(3)(g)					
10. If significant variation from RIP occurred, or if an engineered barrier, or containment/immobilization system	40.0875(1)(b,c)					
was installed, an As-Built Construction Report has been submitted.						
11. The As-Built Construction Report contains a description of construction activities, tests and measurements,	40.0875(2)					
significant modifications of the design or construction, and as-built drawings						
12. The Final Inspection Report was completed	40.0878(3)					
13. The Final Inspection Report contains a description of activities/findings, modifications from the RIP, any	40.0878(1,2,3)					
required permits, and a determination that the CRA meets projected design standards						
14. A Completion Statement (including an LSP Opinion and certification) have been completed	40.0879(2)					
15. Public Involvement Activities have been completed	40.1403(3)(a)(e)/40.1406					
V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That:		Yes	No	1	?	Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective 	40.1403(3)(a)(e)/40.1406 40.0891(5)				—	Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 	40.0891(5)	Yes	No	1	2	Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 2. OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan 	40.0891(5)	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 2. OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan 3. Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 2. OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan 3. Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) 4. I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications 	40.0891(5)	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 2. OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan 3. Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) 4. I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2)	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0894	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: 1. Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS 2. OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan 3. Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) 4. I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP 5. A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed 6. Public Involvement activities have been completed 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2)	Yes	No			Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0894 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0894 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0894 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution Each source has been eliminated or controlled 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution Each source has been eliminated or controlled 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(2)(d)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status applies – Active O&M is being operated to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution Each source has been eliminated or controlled Any Substantial Hazard has been eliminated ROS submittal contains a transmittal form, an ROS Opinion, and certification under 40.0009 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(2)(d) 40.893(3)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system is being operated and maintained according to PhV OM&M Each source has been eliminated or controlled Any Substantial Hazard has been eliminated ROS submittal contains a transmittal form, an ROS Opinion, and certification under 40.0009 ROS Status Reports have been completed and submitted to the Department every six months 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(2)(d) 40.893(3) 40.893(2(e))	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system is being operated and maintained according to PhV OM&M Each source has been eliminated or controlled Any Substantial Hazard has been eliminated ROS submittal contains a transmittal form, an ROS Opinion, and certification under 40.0009 ROS Status Reports have been completed and submitted to the Department every six months 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(3) 40.893(2)(e)) 40.893(2)(e)	Yes				Page#
 V. Phase V Operation, Maintenance, and/or Monitoring or ROS – Indication That: Operation, maintenance and/or monitoring activities (OM&M) have been performed to ensure the effective performance and integrity of the remedial action consistent with RAPS OM&M follows the OM&M plan developed as part of the Remedy Implementation Plan Inspection and/or monitoring reports have been completed every six months (at minimum) I&M Reports contain descriptions of type & frequency of inspection and monitoring, significant modifications since preceding report, conditions or problems noted which may affect performance, corrective measures taken, sampling/screening results, and the name, license number, signature, and seal of the LSP A Completion Statement (including an Opinion and certification) have been completed Public Involvement activities have been completed Remedy Operation Status Remedy Operation Status The remedial system was adequately designed to achieve a Permanent Solution The remedial system is being operated and maintained according to PhV OM&M Each source has been eliminated or controlled Any Substantial Hazard has been eliminated ROS submittal contains a transmittal form, an ROS Opinion, and certification under 40.0009 ROS Status Reports have been completed and submitted to the Department every six months 	40.0891(5) 40.0891(3) 40.0892 40.0893(2) 40.0893(2) 40.0895, 40.1403(3)(e) 40.893(1) 40.893(2)(a) 40.893(2)(b) 40.893(2)(c) 40.893(2)(d) 40.893(3) 40.893(2(e))	Yes				Page#