DEP BWSC DPS Technical Screening Audit Form

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Downgradient Property Status (DPS) assertions, and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of DPS requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

Lead RTN:					
SUBMITTAL TYPE (Circle one)	OHM description: (Source, Type of OHM, Date		ate DPS Rcvd//_		
DPS	Media Affected, if known)				
Initial Modification					
Termination	Site Use:				
	One ose.				
	Upgradient Site Use:				
D. I. I. I. D.T.N.	Upgradient Site Address:				
Related RTNs:	Upgradient Site RTN:				
Town:	Site Name:				
Address:					
PRP/OP:	LSP Name:				
Consultant:	LSP No.:				
	TECHNICAL SCREENING CHECKLIST				
	Condition				Page
I SITE CONCERNS (Based upon con	ditions at time of DPS submittal)				#
A. Time Critical Conditions (Check a	all that apply)	Ye	s No	?	
1. D > Applicable GW-2 standard @ res	sidence/school with no soil gas/indoor air samplir	ng 🗆			
I. SITE CONCERNS (Based upon conditions at time of DPS submittal) A. Time Critical Conditions (Check all that apply) 1. □ > Applicable GW-2 standard @ residence/school with no soil gas/indoor air sampling 2. □ More than 0.5" NAPL observed in any monitoring well 3. □ One or more data points exceed UCL 4. □ EPC in S-1 soil exceeds Method 1 standard and school/residence within 500 feet 5. □ Site contaminants present in indoor air B. Drinking Water (Check all that apply) 1. Site within potential drinking water source area (PDWSA) 2. Site located within IWPA/mapped Zone II					
1. □ > Applicable GW-2 standard @ residence/school with no soil gas/indoor air sampling 2. □ More than 0.5" NAPL observed in any monitoring well 3. □ One or more data points exceed UCL 4. □ EPC in S-1 soil exceeds Method 1 standard and school/residence within 500 feet 5. □ Site contaminants present in indoor air B. Drinking Water (Check all that apply)					
3. ☐ One or more data points exceed UCL 4. ☐ EPC in S-1 soil exceeds Method 1 standard and school/residence within 500 feet 5. ☐ Site contaminants present in indoor air B. Drinking Water (Check all that apply) 1. Site within potential drinking water source area (PDWSA) 2. Site located within IWPA/mapped Zone II		Ye		?	
Site within potential drinking water source area (PDWSA)				-	
Site located within IWPA/mapped Zone II					
Site located within IWPA/mapped Zone II Private/Non- municipal public well(s) located within 500 feet of site Municipal well(s) located within 1000 feet of site					
		se 🗆			
		Ye		?	
	. арріу <i>)</i>		<u> </u>		
3. Category S1 Soils					
I. SITE CONCERNS (Based upon conditions at time of DPS submittal) A. Time Critical Conditions (Check all that apply) 1. □ > Applicable GW-2 standard @ residence/school with no soil gas/indoor air sampling 2. □ More than 0.5" NAPL observed in any monitoring well 3. □ One or more data points exceed UCL 4. □ EPC in S-1 soil exceeds Method 1 standard and school/residence within 500 feet 5. □ Site contaminants present in indoor air B. Drinking Water (Check all that apply) 1. Site within potential drinking water source area (PDWSA) 2. Site located within IWPA/mapped Zone II 3. Private/Non- municipal public well(s) located within 500 feet of site 4. Municipal well(s) located within 1000 feet of site 5. □ Contaminated private well confirmed with same contaminant-type as source/release 6. □ Contaminated public water supply confirmed as a result of site C. Contaminated Soil (Check all that apply) 1. Category S3 Soils 2. Category S2 Soils		Ye		?	
	aren)			-	
		ᆖ			
	nat apply)	Ye		?	
Petroleum Fuel Oils					
	bicides, asbestos				
	all that apply)	Ye	s No	?	
1 Site within 500 feet of surface water a	an triat apply) and/or wetlands			<u> </u>	
contaminants			_	_	
G. Site Complexity (Check all that a		Ye		?	
Media other than groundwater or soil					
2. Co-mingled plumes (i.e., different sou	irces from one or more sites co-mingled)				
3. Bedrock contamination If ② conditions currently exist, see supe	rvisor to discuss		Ц		

DEP BWSC DPS Technical Screening Audit Form

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Downgradient Property Status (DPS) assertions, and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of DPS requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

II. DOWNGRADIENT PROPERTY STATUS SCREEN –						
For DPS submitted on or before 10/29/99						
A. Criteria For Asserting DPS	Citation 310 CMR	Yes	No	?	NA	Page #
Was DEP notified of the release, if required?	40.0183(2)(a)					
2. Was the source of the release of OHM at the downgradient property located on one or more	40.0183(2)(b)					
upgradient properties and OHM from that source has come to be located at the downgradient						
property as a result of migration of the OHM in or on groundwater regardless of whether the						
upgradient property or properties which is/are the source has/have been identified; or has the						
OHM come to be located at the downgradient property as the result of surface water migration and						
the source of the OHM has been identified?						
3. Has an act of the person asserting DPS contributed to the release?	40.0183(2)(c)					
4. Has an act of the person who is asserting DPS caused the release to become worse?	40.0183(2)(c)					
5. Is the person asserting DPS affiliated with any other person who owned or operated the property	40.0183(2)(d)					
from which the release originated, or caused such release, who is potentially liable under M.G.L. c.						
21E for the disposal site through any direct or indirect contractual, corporate or financial						
relationship?						
6. To the extent that such person has performed response actions at the disposal site, have those	40.0183(2)(e)					
response actions been performed in compliance with the requirements and procedures in M.G.L. c.						
21E and 310 CMR 40.0000?						
B. Performance Standards For A DPS Opinion						
Has an evaluation of the boundaries of the property been completed?	40.0183(4)(a)					
2. Has an evaluation of the disposal site boundaries, to the extent they have been defined by	40.0183(4)(b)					
assessments conducted to date, been completed?						
3. Has an evaluation of the known releases of OHM at the disposal site to the extent the releases	40.0183(4)(c)					
have been identified been completed?						
4. Has an evaluation of the relevant hydrogeologic conditions been completed?	40.0183(4)(d)					
5. Has the need to conduct an IRA been evaluated?	40.0183(4)(e)					
5a. If yes to question 5, is an IRA needed?	40.0183(4)(e)					
6. Were all abutters and/or PRPs notified with a copy of the DPS Opinion?	40.0183(5)					
7. Have public officials been notified of the DPS submittal?	40.1403(3)(g)					
8. Has a copy of the letter sent to the public officials been provided to the Department?	40.1403(4)(b)					

Version 1.3 2 DPS 7/11/01 Lead RTN _____

DEP BWSC DPS Technical Screening Audit Form

<u>Disclaimer</u>: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Downgradient Property Status (DPS) assertions, and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of DPS requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

III.DOWNGRADIENT PROPERTY STATUS SCREEN –						
For DPS submitted on or after 10/30/99						
A. Criteria For Asserting DPS	Citation 310 CMR	Yes	No	?	NA	Pag e#
Was DEP notified of the release, if required?	40.0183(2)(a)					
2. Has OHM from an upgradient source come to be located on the DPS property via GW or SW?	40.0183(2)(b)					
Has an act of the person asserting DPS contributed to the release?	40.0183(2)(c)					
4. Has an act of the person who is asserting DPS caused the release to become worse?	40.0183(2)(c)					
5. Is there any affiliation with owner or operator of the upgradient property?	40.0183(2)(d)					
6. Have response actions (if any) been conducted at the DPS property in compliance with MCP?	40.0183(2)(e)					
B. Performance Standards For A DPS Opinion						
Has an evaluation of the boundaries of the DPS property been completed?	40.0183(4)(a)					
2. Has an evaluation of the disposal site boundaries, to the extent they have been defined, been completed?	40.0183(4)(b)					
3. Has an evaluation of the release of OHM, at the disposal site, to the extent it has been defined, been completed?	40.0183(4)(c)					
4. When migration of OHM has occurred via groundwater, has an evaluation of the relevant hydrogeologic conditions, including, at a minimum, groundwater flow direction and local transport characteristics based on field data been completed?	40.0183(4)(d)					
5. Has a plan been provided showing the downgradient or downstream property and the disposal site boundaries (to the extent known), the locations of any known or suspected source(s) of OHM release(s) that have come to be located at the downgradient or downstream property, the groundwater flow and/or surface water flow (as appropriate), the locations where samples were collected for analysis, and the results of the analyses?	40.0183(4)(e)					
6. Has the need to conduct an IRA been evaluated?	40.0183(4)(f)					
6a. If yes to question 6, is an IRA needed?	40.0183(4)(f)					
7. Were all abutters and/or PRPs notified with a copy of the DPS Opinion?	40.0183(5)					
8. Have public officials been notified of the DPS submittal?	40.1403(3)(g)					
9. Has a copy of the letters sent to the public officials been provided to the Department?	40.1403(4)(b)					

Version 1.3 DPS 7/11/01 Lead RTN ______