THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY CABLE TELEVISION DIVISION

) Docket No. Y-99 INC, Y-99 EQU		
In the Matter of:)	Date Issued: November 22, 1999	
)		
A-R Cable)	Acton, CUID MA 0196	Hudson, CUID MA 0139
Investments, Inc.)	Ashburnham, CUID MA 0337	Leominster, CUID MA 0017
)	Ayer, CUID MA 0257	Lexington, CUID MA 0140
A-R Cable Partners)	Bedford, CUID MA 0210	Lincoln, CUID MA 0324
)	Belmont, CUID MA 0316	Littleton, CUID MA 0294
Cablevision of Boston, Inc.)	Boston, CUID MA 0182	Lunenburg, CUID MA 0077
)	Boxborough, CUID MA 0289	Lynnfield, CUID MA 0167
Cablevision of Brookline)	Braintree, CUID MA 0217	Maynard, CUID MA 0146
Limited Partnership)	Brookline, CUID MA 0219	Norwood, CUID MA 0148
)	Carlisle, CUID MA 0293	Peabody, CUID MA 0119
Cablevision of)	Concord, CUID MA 0270	Stow, CUID MA 0256
Framingham, Inc.)	Danvers, CUID MA 0279	Sudbury, CUID MA 0255
)	Fitchburg, CUID MA 0015	Templeton, CUID MA 0127
For a Determination of)	Framingham, CUID MA 0094	Townsend, CUID MA 0296
Cable Television Rates)	Gardner, CUID MA 0016	Tyngsborough, CUID MA 0232
)	Georgetown, CUID MA 0133	Westford, CUID MA 0192
)	Groveland, CUID MA 0071	Westminster, CUID MA 0230
)	Haverhill, CUID MA 0031	Westwood, CUID MA 0204

ORDER ON REFUND PLAN

 APPEARANCES: Marti Green, Vice President, Law – Cable and Network Operations Cablevision Systems Corporation 1111 Stewart Avenue Bethpage, NY 11714 FOR: CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION <u>Petitioner</u>
John M. Urban, Vice President, Public Affairs Cablevision of Massachusetts 28 Travis Street Boston, MA 02134 FOR: CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION <u>Petitioner</u> Sally Everett Williamson, Esq. Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111 FOR: CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION <u>Petitioner</u>

Charles J. Beard, Esq. Foley, Hoag & Eliot LLP 1 Post Office Square Boston, MA 02109-2170 FOR: THE CITY OF BOSTON Intervenor

Michael J. Lynch, Director Office of Cable Communications 43 Hawkins Street Boston, MA 02114 FOR: THE CITY OF BOSTON <u>Intervenor</u>

Peter J. Epstein, Esq. 101 Arch Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02110-1112 FOR: THE TOWN OF BROOKLINE <u>Intervenor</u>

Timothy F. McCoy, Chairman Cable Television Committee Town Hall 78 Main Street Hudson, MA 01749 FOR: THE TOWN OF HUDSON <u>Intervenor</u>

David G. Kanter 48 Fifer Lane Lexington, MA 02420-1224 FOR: THE TOWN OF LEXINGTON <u>Intervenor</u>

Laura Dunbar, Executive Secretary Office of the Selectmen 17 Main Street P.O. Box 135 Lunenburg, MA 01462 FOR: THE TOWN OF LUNENBURG Intervenor

Stephen L. Ledoux, Town Manager Town of Sudbury Office of Selectmen 288 Old Sudbury Road Sudbury, MA 01776-1843 FOR: THE TOWN OF SUDBURY Intervenor

Janice Mattila, Chair Cable Advisory Committee 122 South Row Road Townsend, MA 01469 FOR: THE TOWN OF TOWNSEND

Intervenor

Madonna J. McKenzie, Interim Town Manager Town Hall 55 Main Street Westford, MA 01886-2597 FOR: THE TOWN OF WESTFORD Intervenor Cablevision Docket No. Y-98 INC, Y-98 EQU

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

On September 29, 1999, the Cable Television Division ("Cable Division") of the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy issued a Rate Order establishing basic service tier and equipment rates for Cablevision of Massachusetts ("Cablevision" or "Company"). <u>A-R Cable Investments, Inc., Acton et al., Y-98</u> INC, Y-98 EQU (1999). In this Rate Order, the Cable Division rejected certain rates as unreasonable and ordered the Company to make refunds to its subscribers. <u>Id.</u> at 4. The Cable Division directed the Company to submit a refund plan to the Cable Division for our review. <u>Id.</u> at 5. Cablevision submitted a refund plan on October 14, 1999.

Upon review of the proposed refund plan, the Cable Division issued information requests to the Company. By letter dated November 8, 1999, the Company clarified several aspects of its refund plan and revised other parts. This Order addresses the Company's refund plan so clarified and revised.

II. <u>ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS</u>

In our Rate Order, the Cable Division directed Cablevision to make three categories of refunds. Id. at 4. First, Cablevision had initially filed its FCC Form 1205 for its Suburban Massachusetts communities showing a proposed hourly service charge ("HSC") rate of \$45.4525. Id. at 3. During the rate proceeding, the Company acknowledged that it had inadvertently omitted certain data, and refiled its FCC Form 1205 showing an HSC of \$32.2495. Id. at 3-4, citing (RR-CATV-8). Under its refund plan, Cablevision has proposed to pay refunds to those subscribers who were charged more than \$32.2495 per hour for HSC related activity, such as installations and additional outlet connections. Second, we concluded that the maximum permitted equipment rates for addressable converters and remote controls were \$1.19 and \$0.28, respectively. Since the Company had put rates of \$1.21 and \$0.29 into effect for this equipment, the Cable Division ordered the Company to make refunds. Id. at 4. Cablevision has proposed to pay refunds to those subscribers who were overcharged for this equipment. In both instances, the Company has proposed to make refunds to only those subscribers who paid excessive HSCs or who leased this type of equipment, rather than to distribute its total refund liability among all of its subscribers. Finally, the Cable Division determined that the maximum permitted monthly rate for Home Wiring Maintenance was \$0.38. Id. In its former A-R Cable Partners communities, the Company charges the subscribers a monthly rate of \$0.49 for Home Wiring Maintenance. Cablevision has proposed to make refunds all of its subscribers in its former A-R Cable Partners communities, who were charged a monthly rate of \$0.49

for Home Wiring Maintenance. Cablevision seeks to make all of these refunds as a credit on subscribers' bills during the month of January 2000. Cablevision Page 2 Docket No. Y-98 INC, Y-98 EQU

III. ORDER

We find that Cablevision has proposed refund amounts that are consistent with the directives contained in our Rate Order. We determine that Cablevision's proposal to make refunds to only those subscribers who were overcharged is reasonable and justified. In addition, we find that the Company's proposal to include the refunds as a credit on subscribers' bills in the month of January 2000 is within the Company's discretion under the federal rules. 47 C.F.R. § 76.942(d). Therefore, the Cable Division hereby approves of Cablevision's proposed refund plan.

By Order of the Department of Telecommunications and Energy Cable Television Division

s/ Alicia c. Matthews

Alicia C. Matthews Director