	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 1 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

WSC – CAM – VB

Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for
the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas
Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under
the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 2 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

V. Gas Chromatography (GC) Methods


B. Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-V B (Chlorinated Pesticides by GC)

Table of Contents

Acronym List	3
1.0 Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-V B	4
1.1 Overview of WSC-CAM-V B	4
1.2 Summary of SW-846 Method 8081B	6
1.3 Sample Extraction/Cleanup Methods for WSC-CAM-V B	7
1.4 Method Interferences	8
1.5 Quality Control Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B	9
1.6 Special Analytical Considerations for WSC-CAM-V B	10
1.7 Analyte List for WSC-CAM-V B	20
2.0 Data Usability Assessment	22
3.0 Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B	22
3.1 General Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B	22
3.2 Specific Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B	22

List of Tables and Appendices

Table V B-1	Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-V B	12-19
Table V B-2	Analyte List for WSC-CAM-V B	21
Table V B-3	Routine Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B	23
Appendix V B-1	Sample Collection, Preservation and Handling Procedures for Chlorinated Pesticide Analyses	24-25
Appendix V B-2	Data Deliverable Requirements for Data Audits	26-28

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 3 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

ACRONYM LIST

BHC	Benzene hexachloride	MD	Matrix duplicate
CAM	Compendium of Analytical Methods	MOHML	Massachusetts Oil and Hazardous Materials List
CASN	Chemical Abstracts Service Number		
CCAL	Continuing calibration	MS	Matrix spike
%D	Percent difference or percent drift	MSD	Matrix spike duplicate
DCB	Decachlorobiphenyl	NA	Not applicable
DDD	Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane	PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
DDE	Dichlorodiphenylethane	QA	Quality assurance
DDT	Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane	QC	Quality control
DF	Dilution factor	r	Correlation coefficient
ECD	Electron capture detector	r ²	Coefficient of determination
ELCD	Electrolytic conductivity detector	RCs	Reportable Concentrations
GC	Gas chromatograph	RL	Reporting limit
ICV	Initial calibration verification	RPD	Relative percent difference
IRAs	Immediate Response Actions	RQs	Reportable Quantities
LCS	Laboratory control sample	%RSD	Percent relative standard deviation
LLOQ	Lower limit of quantitation	TCMX	Tetrachloro-m-xylene
MassDEP	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection	µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram
		µg/L	micrograms per liter
MCP	Massachusetts Contingency Plan	µL	microliter

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 4 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

1.0 Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-V B

1.1 Overview of WSC-CAM-V B

WSC-CAM-V B, *Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC) in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)*, is a component of MassDEP's Compendium of Analytical Methods (CAM). Effective January 1, 2026, this revised CAM protocol, WSC-CAM-V B, replaces the previous version of the Chlorinated Pesticide GC CAM document, WSC-CAM-V B (effective date, April 12, 2024). Refer to WSC-CAM-I A for an overview of the CAM process. Please note that while this protocol must be followed on and after the effective date of January 1, 2026 for the purpose of "Presumptive Certainty," the revised protocol may be used optionally prior to its effective date upon its publication on October 1, 2025.

This document provides Quality Control (QC) requirements and performance standards to be used in conjunction with the required analytical method SW-846 8081B (or the most current version), analysis for chlorinated pesticides in aqueous and solid samples by GC preceded by conventional sample preparation methods via SW-846 Methods, as described in Section 1.3 of this protocol. The QC requirements and performance standards specified in this document in Table V B-1 together with the analytical procedures described in EPA SW-846 Method 8081B, *Organochlorine Pesticides by Gas Chromatography*, constitute the WSC-CAM-V B protocol.

All protocols included in the CAM are considered "methods" published by the MassDEP pursuant to the provisions of 310 CMR 40.0017(2). Since the analytical techniques for EPA SW-846 8081B and EPA SW-846 8081A are substantially the same, use of either of these analytical methods (or a subsequent/more current version) meets the "Presumptive Certainty" requirement of WSC-CAM-V B.


Sample preservation, container and analytical holding time specifications for aqueous, soil, and sediment matrices for chlorinated pesticides analyzed in support of MCP decision-making are presented in Appendix V B-1 of this document and Appendix VII-A of WSC-CAM-VII A *Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data in Support of Response Actions Conducted Under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)*. Data reporting requirements are also provided in WSC-CAM-VII A.

Overall usability of data produced using this CAM protocol should be evaluated for compliance with project-specific data quality objectives, regardless of "Presumptive Certainty" status. For more guidance on data usability, refer to MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments*.

1.1.1 Reporting Limits or Lower Limits of Quantitation for WSC-CAM-V B

The reporting limit (RL) or lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) for an individual compound using WSC-CAM-V B is dependent on the concentration of the lowest non-zero standard in the initial calibration, analyzed under identical conditions as the sample, with adjustments made for the sample size, extraction concentration factor, percent solids, dilution factors, etc., as required. Except as provided in the table below, the CAM RLs/LLOQs for WSC-CAM-V B target analytes are:

- 0.05 µg/L for aqueous samples (surface water, groundwater and drinking water); and
- 3-8 µg/kg (wet weight) for soil/sediment samples (assuming 100% solids).

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 5 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

These values are readily achievable using electron capture detectors (ECDs). Somewhat higher RLs/LLOQs may be expected using electrolytic conductivity detectors (ELCD).

There may be exceptions to the above CAM RLs/LLOQs for some target analytes (that is, the CAM RL/LLOQ for some target analytes may not be readily achieved by a laboratory using WSC-CAM-V B). These CAM RL/LLOQ exceptions for the WSC-CAM-V B target analytes are presented in the table below for various matrices. For “Presumptive Certainty” purposes, if the CAM RLs/LLOQs are not achieved, respond “NO” to Question G of the “MassDEP MCP Analytical Protocol Certification Form” and address the CAM RL/LLOQ exceedance in the laboratory narrative.

CAM RL/LLOQ Exceptions for WSC-CAM-V B Target Analytes		
Target Analyte	Groundwater/Surface Water (µg/L)	Soil/Sediment ¹ (µg/kg)
Chlordane	0.2	20
Methoxychlor	0.5	50
¹ Assuming 100% solids		

RLs/LLOQs lower than the above-referenced CAM RLs/LLOQs for WSC-CAM-V B target analytes maybe required to satisfy project requirements. The RL/LLOQ (based on the concentration of the lowest calibration standard) for each contaminant of concern must be less than or equal to the MCP standards or criteria that the contaminant concentrations are being compared to (e.g., Method 1 Standards, benchmark values, background, etc.). Meeting MCP standards or criteria may require method modifications, such as reducing the volume of the final extract, to improve sensitivity. All such modifications must be described in the laboratory narrative. Regardless of the modification that is used, RLs/LLOQs for the WSC-CAM-V B target analytes will be proportionately higher for samples that require dilution, when a reduced sample size is used, or for an increased final extract volume.

1.1.2 Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for WSC-CAM-V B

Each laboratory that uses the WSC-CAM-V B protocol is required to operate a formal quality assurance (QA) program. The minimum requirements of this program consist of an initial demonstration of laboratory proficiency, ongoing analysis of standards and blanks to confirm acceptable continuing performance, and the extraction/analysis of laboratory control samples (LCSs) and LCS duplicates to assess analytical accuracy and precision. Matrix spikes (MS), matrix spike duplicates (MSD) or matrix duplicates (MD) may also be used to evaluate accuracy and precision when such samples are analyzed either at the discretion of the laboratory or at the request of the data user.

Laboratories must document and have on file an Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for each combination of sample preparation and determinative method being used. These data must meet or exceed the performance standards as presented in Table V B-1 of this protocol and SW-846 Method 8000D. Procedural requirements for performing the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency can be found in SW-846 Method 8000D (Section 9.3) and SW-846 method 8081B (Section 9.4). The data associated with the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency must be kept on file at the laboratory and made available to potential data users on request. The data associated with the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency for WSC-CAM-V B must include the following information:



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

QC Element	Performance Criteria
Initial Calibration	WSC-CAM-V B, Table V B-1
Continuing Calibration	WSC-CAM-V B, Table V B-1
Method Blanks	WSC-CAM-V B, Table V B-1
Average Recovery	SW-846 Method 8000D, Section 9.3
% Relative Standard Deviation	SW-846 Method 8000D, Section 9.3
Surrogate Recovery	WSC-CAM-V B, Table V B-1
Internal Standards	WSC-CAM-V B, Table V B-1

NOTE: Because of the number of QC elements associated with the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency, it should be expected that one or more analytes may not meet the performance standard for one or more QC elements. Under these circumstances, the analyst should attempt to locate and correct the problem and repeat the analysis for all non-conforming analytes. All non-conforming analytes along with the laboratory- specific acceptance criteria should be noted in the Initial Demonstration of Proficiency documentation.

It is essential that laboratory-specific performance criteria for LCS, LCS duplicate and surrogate recoveries also be calculated and documented as described in SW-846 Method 8000D, Section 9.6. Experience indicates that the criteria recommended in specific methods are frequently not met for some analytes and/or matrices; the in-house performance criteria will be a means of documenting these repeated exceedances. Laboratories are encouraged to actively monitor pertinent QC performance standards described in Table V B-1 to assess analytical trends (i.e., systematic bias, etc.) and improve overall method performance by preempting potential non-conformances.

For the WSC-CAM-V B protocol, laboratory-specific control limits must meet or exceed (demonstrate less variability than) the performance standards for each QC element listed in Table V B-1. It should be noted that the performance standards listed in Table V B-1 are based on multiple-laboratory data, which are in most cases expected to demonstrate more variability than performance standards developed by a single laboratory.

This protocol is restricted to use by, or under the supervision of, analysts experienced in the use of GC instrumentation as a quantitative tool and skilled in the interpretation of chromatograms for individual and multi-component mixtures of chlorinated pesticides.

1.2 Summary of SW-846 Method 8081B

The samples are prepared for GC analysis using the appropriate sample preparation and, if necessary, sample cleanup procedures (refer to Section 1.3).

After cleanup, the extract is analyzed by injecting a 1 to 2- μ L aliquot into a GC with a narrow- or wide-bore fused silica capillary column. The GC column is temperature-programmed to facilitate separation of

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 7 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

the analytes of interest, which are then detected by an ECD or ECLD that is interfaced directly to the GC.

Identification of single-component target pesticides is accomplished by comparing the retention time of the pesticide in samples with the retention time of the pesticide in standards obtained under identical analytical conditions. Quantitation is accomplished by using the peak area and a calibration factor/response factor generated from a minimum five-point calibration curve. Refer to SW-846 method 8081B (Section 11.6) for identification and quantitation requirements for multi-component target pesticides (i.e., Technical Chlordane).

Identification of chlorinated pesticides on a single-column must be confirmed on a second column, or must be supported by at least one other independent qualitative technique. Although a dual-column option may satisfy this requirement, due caution should be exercised when highly contaminated samples are processed or during times of high sample throughput. Dual column confirmation is not required for samples with concentrations of individual and multi-component mixtures of chlorinated pesticides below their respective RL/LLOQ.

1.3 Sample Extraction/Cleanup Methods for WSC-CAM-V B

Samples for analysis by SW-846 Method 8081B must be extracted or diluted using one of the following methods.

SW-846 Extraction Method	Matrix	Description
3510C	Aqueous	Separatory Funnel Liquid-Liquid Extraction
3520C	Aqueous	Continuous Liquid-Liquid Extraction
3511	Aqueous	Organic Compounds in Water by Microextraction
3535A	Aqueous	Solid-phase Extraction
3540C	Soil/Sediment	Soxhlet Extraction
3541	Soil/Sediment	Automated Soxhlet Extraction
3545A	Soil/Sediment	Pressurized Fluid Extraction
3546	Soil/Sediment	Microwave Extraction
3570	Soil/Sediment	Microscale Solvent Extraction
3550C	Contaminated Solids ¹	Ultrasonic Extraction
3580A	Non-aqueous Phase Liquid	Waste Dilution
¹ Sonication may only be used for the extraction of highly contaminated (free product) non-soil/sediments (debris). Any other use of ultrasonic extraction is not allowed.		

Extracts may be cleaned up, as required, by any of the following methods prior to GC analysis by SW-846 Method 8081B.

SW-846 Cleanup Methods	Cleanup Type
3600C	NA; General cleanup selection
3610B	Alumina column
3620C	Florisil column
3630C	Silica gel
3640A	Gel permeation chromatography
3660B	Sulfur


	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 8 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

1.4 Method Interferences

- Refer to SW-846 Methods 3500C (Section 4.0, in particular), 3600C, and 8000D for a detailed discussion of interferences. Interferences co-extracted from the samples will vary considerably from matrix to matrix. While general cleanup techniques are referenced or provided as part of this method, unique samples may require additional cleanup approaches to achieve desired degrees of discrimination and quantitation. Sources of interference in this method can be grouped into four broad categories.
 - Contaminated solvents, reagents, or sample processing hardware,
 - Contaminated GC carrier gas, parts, column surfaces, or detector surfaces,
 - Non-target compounds simultaneously extracted from the sample matrix which cause a detector response, and
 - Co-elution of target analytes.

An in depth discussion of the causes and corrective actions for all of these interferences is beyond the scope of this guidance document. A brief discussion of the more prevalent interferences is presented below.

- Refer to SW-846 Method 8081B for a detailed description of chemical contaminants, cross-contamination, and corrective actions which may be taken to eliminate contamination. If a method blank contains a contaminant, data for samples associated with that blank must **not** undergo “blank correction” (i.e., if an associated sample also contains the contaminant, subtraction of the blank amount from the sample amount is not permitted).
- Cross-contamination may occur when any sample is analyzed immediately after a sample containing high concentrations of chlorinated pesticides. After the analysis of a sample containing high concentrations of chlorinated pesticides, one or more blanks should be analyzed to check for potential cross-contamination/carryover. Concentrations of chlorinated pesticides which exceed the upper limit of calibration should prompt the analyst to check for potential cross- contamination/carryover. In addition, samples containing large amounts of water-soluble materials, suspended solids, or high boiling point compounds may also present potential for cross- contamination/carryover. Laboratories should be aware that carryover from high boiling point compounds may not appear until a later sample analysis. To reduce carryover, the sample syringe must be rinsed with solvent between sample injections.
- Interferences by phthalate esters introduced during sample preparation can pose a major problem in chlorinated pesticide determinations by SW-846 Method 8081B. Common flexible plastics contain varying amounts of phthalate esters, as plasticizers, which are easily extracted or leached from such materials during laboratory operations. Interferences from phthalate esters can best be minimized by avoiding contact with any plastic materials and checking all solvents and reagents for phthalate contamination. These materials can be removed through the use of SW-846 Cleanup Methods 3640A (Gel Permeation Chromatography Cleanup) or 3630C (Silica Gel Cleanup).
- Elemental sulfur (S) is readily extracted from soil/sediment samples and may cause chromatographic interferences (e.g., broad peaks) that interfere with the detection of early-eluting chlorinated

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 9 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

pesticides. Sulfur contamination should be expected with sediment samples. Sulfur contamination can be removed through the use of SW-846 Cleanup Method 3660B.

- As described in Sections 4.8 and 4.9 of SW-846 Method 8081B, co-elution among the many chlorinated pesticides can cause interference problems. Non-target compounds simultaneously extracted from the sample matrix can cause a detector response and interfere with the detection of chlorinated pesticides.

1.5 Quality Control Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B

1.5.1 General QC Requirements

Refer to SW-846 Method 8000D for general QC procedures for all chromatographic methods, which includes SW-846 method 8081B. Instrument QC and method performance requirements for the GC/ECD or GC/ELCD system may be found in SW-846 method 8081B, Sections 9.0 and 13.0, respectively.

1.5.2 Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for WSC-CAM-V B

Specific QC requirements and performance standards for the WSC-CAM-V B protocol are presented in Table V B-1. Refer to WSC-CAM-VII A for field QC requirements. Strict compliance with the QC requirements and performance standards, as well as satisfying the CAM's other analytical and reporting requirements will provide a data user with "Presumptive Certainty" in support of Response Actions under the MCP. The concept of "Presumptive Certainty" is explained in detail in Section 2.0 of WSC-CAM-VII A.

While optional, parties electing to utilize these protocols will be assured of "Presumptive Certainty" of data acceptance by agency reviewers. In order to achieve "Presumptive Certainty" for analytical data, parties must:

- Use the analytical method specified for the selected CAM protocol;
- Incorporate **all** required analytical QC elements specified for the selected CAM protocol;
- Implement, as necessary, required corrective actions and analytical response actions for **all** non-conforming analytical performance standards;
- Evaluate and narrate, as necessary, **all** identified CAM protocol non-compliances; and
- Comply with **all** the reporting requirements specified in WSC-CAM-VII A, including retention of reported and unreported analytical data and information for a period of ten (10) years.

In achieving "Presumptive Certainty" status, parties will be assured that analytical data sets:

- ✓ Satisfy the broad QA/QC requirements of 310 CMR 40.0017 and 40.0191 regarding the scientific defensibility, precision and accuracy, and reporting of analytical data; and
- ✓ May be used in a data usability and representativeness assessment, as required in 310 CMR 40.1056(2)(k) and 40.1057(2)(k) for Permanent and Temporary Solution submittals, consistent with the guidance described in MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments*.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 10 of 29
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

1.6 Special Analytical Considerations for WSC-CAM-V B

The following bullets highlight potential issues that may be encountered with the analysis of chlorinated pesticides using this protocol.


- DDT and endrin are easily degraded in the injection port. Breakdown occurs when the injection port liner is contaminated with high boiling residue from sample injection or when the injector contains metal fittings. The potential for DDT and endrin breakdown should be evaluated before samples are analyzed and at the beginning of each 12-hour shift as described in Section 9.3.3 of SW-846 Method 8081B.
- The identification of multi-component mixtures (i.e., Technical Chlordane) is not based on a single peak, but rather on the characteristic peaks that comprise the "fingerprint" of the mixture, using both the retention times and shapes of the indicator peaks. If, based on site history, multi-component chlorinated pesticides are contaminants of concern, it is the responsibility of the data user to request that these multi-component chlorinated pesticide spikes be included in the LCSs and MS/MSDs. Multi-component chlorinated pesticide mixtures are not routinely included in LCSs or MS/MSDs.
- Quantitation of target pesticides must be performed using peak area. Use of peak height is only permissible if significant interference is present, precluding the use of the peak area. As a general rule, peak area is considered to be more reproducible since it represents an integrated response over the entire elution window of the analyte rather than an instantaneous (i.e., single point) maximum response within the elution window. Instantaneous response is inherently more variable than integrated response, and older GC/ECD instruments can be more prone to variable maximum/instantaneous response when GC conditions cause fluctuations in peak width vs height, as with temperature or pressure variations. Modern GC/ECD instruments are significantly less vulnerable to these types of fluctuations, but the inherent variability of instantaneous response can still be a factor. Complex matrices with multiple closely eluting peaks due to other analytes or interferences may make the use of peak area difficult; only in these cases can peak height be used for quantitation.

In addition, a change in the peak shape due to matrix interference or slight variances in column resolution may occur, causing the peak to become shorter and wider, thus causing a decrease in the target pesticide concentration relative to the lower peak height. Although the peak height may change under these conditions, the same effect is not always seen on the peak area. When the peak shape changes, the height can be reduced, but the peak usually also gets wider resulting in the same peak area. This correlation often results in more consistent concentrations as peak shape changes. Therefore, as stated above, use of peak height may only be suitable with complex matrices.

- It has come to MassDEP's attention that two Chemical Abstracts Service Numbers (CASN) for Technical Chlordane are used interchangeably (CASN 57749 and 12789036). Most of the chlordane used in the past was Technical Chlordane, CASN 12789036, which is a mixture of pure chlordane (CASN 57749), its isomers, and other "related" compounds. The calibration standard used by the analytical laboratories for analysis using this CAM Protocol is the CASN 57749 chlordane. Further, the MCP has different Reportable Concentrations and Reportable Quantities for each of the two CASN; Method 1 standards are only provided for CASN 12789036. When evaluating sites under the MCP, data users should compare the results of Technical Chlordane using this CAM Protocol to the Reportable Concentrations, Reportable Quantities, and Method 1 standards for Technical Chlordane, CASN 12789036, even though CASN 57749 is used for the purposes of calibration and the basis of the analytical results.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 11 of 29
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

- A linear or non-linear calibration model must not be used to compensate for detector saturation or to avoid proper instrument maintenance. As such, linear or non-linear regression must not be employed for initial calibration calculations that typically meet percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) requirements specified in Table V B-1.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental
Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

WSC-CAM

Section: V B

January 1, 2026

Revision No. 3


Final

Page 12 of 28

Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
Initial Demonstration of Proficiency	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy & Precision	(1) Must be performed prior to using method on samples. (2) Must be performed for each matrix. (3) Must contain all target analytes. (4) Must follow procedure in Section 9.3 of SW-846 8000D and Section 9.4 of SW-846 8081B.	No	NA	Refer to Section 9.3 of SW-846 8000D and Section 1.1.2 of this protocol.	NA
Retention Time Windows	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Prior to initial calibration and when a new GC column is installed. (2) Calculated according to the method (Section 11.6 of SW-846 8000D).	No	NA	NA	NA
Endrin/DDT Breakdown	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Before samples are analyzed and at the beginning of each 12-hour shift. (2) % Breakdown must be ≤ 15 and must be evaluated using peak areas.	Yes	(1) If DDT breakdown $> 20\%$, reject nondetect results for 4,4'-DDT. (2) If endrin breakdown $> 20\%$, reject nondetect results for endrin.	Perform injection port maintenance. Re-calibrate, if required.	Report exceedances (% breakdown $> 15\%$) and associated samples in laboratory narrative.
Initial Calibration	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Must be analyzed at least once prior to analyzing samples, when initial calibration verification or continuing calibration does not meet the performance standards, and when major instrument maintenance is performed. (2) Minimum of 5 standards (or 6 if non-linear regression used). (3) Low standard must be $\leq \text{RL/LLOQ}$. (4) $\% \text{RSD} \leq 20$ (average calibration/response factor), $r > 0.995$ (linear regression), or $r^2 > 0.99$ (non-linear regression) for each single-component pesticide. (5) If $\% \text{RSD} > 20$, linear or non-linear regression must be used. (6) Must contain all single-component pesticides. (7) Multi-component analytes: Analysis of a single standard at expected mid-point of	No	NA	(1) Recalibrate as required by method. (2) If recalculated concentrations from the lowest calibration standard are outside of 70-130% recovery range, either: * The RL/LLOQ must be reported as an estimated value ³ , or * The RL/LLOQ must be raised to the concentration of the next highest calibration standard that exhibits acceptable recoveries when recalculated using the final calibration curve.	Sample analysis cannot proceed without a valid initial calibration. Report non-conforming compounds ($\% \text{RSD} > 20$, $r < 0.995$, or $r^2 < 0.99$) in laboratory narrative. If non-linear regression (i.e., quadratic equation) is used for calibration, this must be noted in the laboratory narrative along with the compounds affected.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
		calibration range. (8) Calibration must be performed under the same conditions as the samples. (9) If linear or non-linear regression used, verify the RL/LLOQ by recalculating concentrations in lowest calibration standard using the final calibration curve; recoveries must be 70-130%.				
Initial Calibration Verification	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Immediately after each initial calibration. (2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. (3) Prepared using standard source different than used for initial calibration. (4) Must contain all single-component pesticides. (5) Percent recoveries must be between 80-120% for each target analyte.	No	NA	Locate source of problem; recalibrate if >10% of all analytes are outside of criteria.	If recovery is outside of 80-120% for any analyte, report non-conformances in laboratory narrative.
Continuing Calibration	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Prior to samples, every 12 hours or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent, and at the end of the analytical sequence. (NOTE: if internal standard calibration used, the continuing calibration at the end of the analytical sequence is not required). (2) Concentration level must alternate between low and high concentration standards (equivalent to second and fourth levels in calibration curve). (3) Must contain all single-component pesticides. (4) Multi-component analytes must be verified with a one-point standard within 12 hours of being detected in a sample. (5) Percent difference or percent drift (%D) must be ≤20 for each target analyte. (6) Verify that all analytes fall within retention time windows. (7) Area count of internal standard in continuing calibration must be within +50% of the average area count in the associated initial calibration.	No	NA	(1) Perform instrument maintenance, reanalyze continuing calibration and/or recalibrate as required by method. (2) Reanalyze “associated samples” if beginning or ending continuing calibration exhibited low response. (3) Reanalyze “associated samples” if beginning or ending continuing calibration exhibited high response and associated pesticides were detected in the “associated samples.” NOTE: “Associated samples” refers to all samples analyzed since the last acceptable continuing calibration.	Report non-conforming compounds (%D >20) and associated samples in laboratory narrative. Note in the laboratory narrative if the %D indicates a low or high bias.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
Method Blank	Laboratory Method Sensitivity (contamination evaluation)	(1) Extracted with every batch or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent. (2) Matrix-specific (e.g., water, soil). (3) Target analytes must be <RL/LLOQ.	Yes	NA	(1) If concentration of contaminant in sample is ≤10x concentration in blank, locate source of contamination; correct problem; re-extract and re-analyze method blank and associated samples. (2) No corrective action required if concentration of contaminant in sample is >10x concentration in blank or if contaminant not detected in sample.	(1) If sample re- extraction is not possible, report non-conformance in laboratory narrative. (2) If contamination of method blanks is suspected or present, the laboratory, using a "B" or some other convention, should qualify the sample results. Blank contamination should also be documented in the laboratory narrative. (3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable method blank results, the laboratory may report results of the re-extraction only. (4) If re-extraction is performed outside of holding time, the laboratory must report results of both the initial extraction and re- extraction.
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy	(1) Extracted with every batch or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent. (2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. (3) Must contain all single-component pesticides. ¹ (4) Matrix-specific (e.g., soil, water). (5) Percent recoveries must be between 40-140%. (6) Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol).	Yes	Recovery <10%; affects nondetect results for affected analyte in all samples extracted with this LCS.	(1) Locate source of problem; re-extract and re-analyze LCS and associated samples if >10% of all analytes are outside of criteria. (2) If ≤10% of compounds are outside of the acceptance criteria, re-extraction is not required as long as recoveries are >10%. (3) If >10% of compounds	(1) If sample re- extraction is not possible, report non-conformance in laboratory narrative. (2) If recovery is outside of 40-140% for any analyte, report non- conforming compounds in laboratory narrative. (3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable LCS results, the laboratory



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
					are above the acceptance criteria (>140%), reextraction is not required if affected compounds were not detected in associated samples.	may report results of the re- extraction only. (4) If re-extraction is performed outside of holding time, the laboratory must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction.
LCS Duplicate	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy & Precision	(1) Extracted with every batch or every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent. (2) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. (3) Must contain all single-component pesticides. ¹ (4) Matrix-specific (e.g., soil, water). (5) Percent recoveries must be between 40-140%. (6) RPDs must be ≤ 20 for waters and ≤ 30 for solids. (7) Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol).	Yes	Recovery <10%; affects nondetect results for affected analyte in all samples extracted with this LCS.	(1) Locate source of problem; re-extract and re-analyze LCS and associated samples if >10% of all analytes are outside of recovery acceptance criteria. (2) If $\leq 10\%$ of compounds are outside of the recovery acceptance criteria, re-extraction is not required as long as recoveries are >10%. (3) If >10% of compounds are above the recovery acceptance criteria (>140%), re-extraction is not required if affected compounds were not detected in associated samples.	(1) If sample re- extraction is not possible, report non-conformance in laboratory narrative. (2) If recovery is outside of 40-140% for any analyte or if RPD is outside of criteria, report non-conforming compounds in laboratory narrative. (3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable LCS results, the laboratory may report results of the re- extraction only. (4) If re-extraction is performed outside of holding time, the laboratory must report results of both the initial extraction and re-extraction.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B

Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
MS/MSD	Method Accuracy & Precision in Sample Matrix	(1) Every 20 samples (at discretion of laboratory or at request of data user). (2) Matrix-specific (e.g., water, soil). (3) Concentration level near midpoint of curve. (4) Must contain all single-component pesticides. ¹ (5) Percent recoveries between 40-140%. (6) RPDs ≤ 20 for waters and ≤ 30 for solids. (7) Must be prepared in a water-miscible solvent (e.g., acetone, methanol).	Yes ONLY when requested by the data user	Recovery <10%; affects nondetect result for affected analyte in unspiked sample only.	Check LCS; if recoveries are acceptable in LCS, narrate non-conformance.	Note non-conformances in laboratory narrative.
Surrogates	Method Accuracy in Sample Matrix	(1) Minimum of 2 surrogates, one that elutes at beginning of GC run and one that elutes at end of GC run. Recommended surrogates: TCMX and DCB (2) Percent recoveries must be between 30-150% for both surrogates on both columns.	Yes (report surrogate recoveries from both columns)	Recovery <10%; affects all nondetect results in affected sample.	If the same surrogate is outside of limits on both columns: (1) Re-extract the sample if surrogate recoveries are low and there is no chromatographic interference. (2) Re-extract the sample if surrogate recoveries are high and pesticides were detected in the sample. NOTES: (a) If surrogate recoveries are high and target analytes are not detected in sample, re-extraction is not required. (b) If chromatographic interference is present and surrogate recovery would cause rejection of data (i.e., < 10%), re-analyze sample on dilution. (c) If a surrogate is diluted to a concentration below that of the lowest calibration standard, re-extraction	(1) Report recoveries outside of acceptance limits in laboratory narrative. (2) If re-extraction yields similar surrogate non-conformances, the laboratory must report results of both extractions. (3) If re-extraction is performed within holding time and yields acceptable surrogate recoveries, the laboratory may report results of the re-extraction only. (4) If re-extraction is performed outside of the holding time and yields acceptable surrogate recoveries, the laboratory must report results of both extractions. (5) If sample is not re-extracted due to chromatographic interference, the laboratory must provide the chromatogram in the



Massachusetts Department of Environmental
Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

WSC-CAM

Section: V B

January 1, 2026

Revision No. 3


Final

Page 17 of 28

Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
					and/or re-analysis is not required.	data report.
Internal Standards (optional)	Laboratory Analytical Accuracy and Method Accuracy in Sample Matrix	(1) Minimum of 1. Recommended internal standard: DCB (2) Area counts in samples must be between 50 – 200% of the area counts in the associated continuing calibration standard. (3) Retention times of internal standards must be within +30 seconds of retention times in associated continuing calibration standard.	No	Recovery <20%; affects all nondetect results quantitated using affected internal standard in associated sample.	If internal standard is outside of limits, re-analyze sample unless chromatographic interference present. NOTE: If chromatographic interference is present and internal standard area would cause rejection of data (i.e., <20%), re-analyze sample on dilution.	(1) Report nonconformances in laboratory narrative. Include actual recovery of internal standard and provide summary of analytes quantitated using the internal standard. (2) If re-analysis yields similar internal standard non-conformances, the laboratory must report results of both analyses. (3) If re-analysis is performed within holding time and yields acceptable internal standard recoveries, the laboratory may report results of the re-analysis only. (4) If re-analysis is performed outside of the holding time and yields acceptable internal standard recoveries, the laboratory must report results of both analyses. (5) If sample is not re-analyzed due to chromatographic interference, the laboratory must provide the chromatogram in the data report.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B

Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
Identification and Quantitation	NA	(1) Peak area is required to be used for quantitation of target pesticides unless significant interference precludes the use of peak area, in which case peak height can be used (See Section 1.6). If peak height is used due to the significant interference, a separate calibration curve using peak height would need to be generated for quantitation.. (2) The laboratory must use the average calibration factor, response factor, linear or non-linear regression curve generated from the associated initial calibration for quantitation of each single-component pesticide. Refer to SW-846 8081B (Section 11.6) for quantitation of multi-component pesticides (i.e., Technical Chlordane). (3) Secondary column analysis: Laboratory must utilize a second dissimilar column to confirm positive results. The laboratory must report the higher of the two results. All required QC parameters (e.g., calibrations, LCSs, etc.) must be met on the secondary column as well. (4) Results must be reported with 2 or more "significant figures" if $>RL/LLOQ$. If reporting values below the $RL/LLOQ$, report with 1 or more "significant figures".⁴	NA	If $RPD > 100$ for single-component pesticides, reject positive result for affected pesticide. If $RPD > 500$ for multi-component pesticide, reject positive result for affected pesticide.	If the RPD between the dual column results is > 100 for single-component pesticides or > 500 for multi-component pesticides, re-analyze the sample on dilution. Both analyses must be reported. Alternatively, additional sample cleanup techniques may be warranted.	If the RPD between the dual column results exceeds 40, the laboratory must qualify the sample results and/or note the exceedance in the laboratory narrative. If the RPD exceedance is due to interference, the lower of the dual column values can be reported; this must be noted in the laboratory narrative.
General Reporting Issues	NA	(1) The laboratory must only report values $\geq$ the sample-specific $RL/LLOQ$. (2) Dilutions: If diluted and undiluted analyses are performed, the laboratory should report results for the lowest dilution within the valid calibration range for each analyte. The associated QC (e.g., method blanks, surrogates, etc.) for each analysis must be reported. NOTE: Laboratories shall not perform dilutions on samples due to sulfur interference. Laboratories must employ a	NA	NA	NA	(1) Complete analytical documentation for diluted and undiluted analyses must be made available for review during an audit. (2) The performance of dilutions must be documented in the laboratory narrative or on the report form. Unless due to elevated concentrations of target compounds, reasons for



Massachusetts Department of Environmental
Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

WSC-CAM

Section: V B

January 1, 2026

Revision No. 3

Final

Page 19 of 28

Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-1: Specific QC Requirements and Performance Standards for Chlorinated Pesticides (SW-846 8081B) Using WSC-CAM-V B


Required QC Parameter	Data Quality Objective	Required Performance Standard	Required Deliverable?	Rejection Criteria per WSC-07-350 ²	Required Corrective Action	Required Analytical Response Action
		cleanup technique to reduce the presence of sulfur interference. (3) Results for soils/sediments must be reported on a dry-weight basis for comparison to MCP regulatory standards. (4) Refer to Appendix V B-1 for chain-of-custody requirements regarding preservation, cooler temperature, and holding times.				dilutions must be explained in the laboratory narrative. (3) If samples are not preserved properly or are not received with an acceptable cooler temperature, note the non-conformances in the laboratory narrative. (4) If samples are extracted and/or analyzed outside of the holding time, note the non-conformances in the laboratory narrative.

¹Refer to Section 1.6 for guidance regarding the inclusion of multi-component pesticides in LCSs and MS/MSDs.

²As per Appendix IV of MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments*, if these results are observed, data users should consider nondetect results as unusable and positive results as estimated with a significant low bias.

³If the RL/LLOQ is estimated due to unacceptable recovery of the lowest standard, the CAM RL/LLOQ has not been achieved; Question G of the "MassDEP MCP Analytical Protocol Certification Form" must be answered "NO" and this must be addressed in the laboratory narrative.

⁴Reporting protocol for "significant figures" is a policy decision included for standardization and consistency for reporting of results and is not a definition of "significant" in the scientific or mathematical sense.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 20 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

1.7 Analyte List for WSC-CAM-V B

The MCP analyte list for WSC-CAM-V B is presented in Table V B-2. The list is comprised of potential contaminants that are readily-analyzable by WSC-CAM-V B.

It is the responsibility of the data user, in concert with the laboratory, to establish the range and required RL/LLOQ for the target analytes. Sources of various MassDEP standards and criteria are as follows:

- Reportable Quantities (RQs) and Reportable Concentrations (RCs) as described in 310 CMR 40.1600, The Massachusetts Oil and Hazardous Materials List (MOHML), in Subpart P of the MCP may be found at the following URL: <http://www.mass.gov/dep/cleanup/laws/regulati.htm#mcp>
- An online searchable Oil & Hazardous Materials List of RQs and RCs values may be found at the following URL: <http://eeaonline.eea.state.ma.us/DEP/MOMHL/hazmat.aspx>
- An updated list of MCP Method 1 Standards may be found at the following URL: <https://www.mass.gov/regulations/310-CMR-4000-massachusetts-contingency-plan>

Most of the analytes listed in Table V B-2 have a promulgated MCP Method 1 groundwater/soil standard. The remaining analytes listed are designated “consensus contaminants” and do not have promulgated MCP Method 1 Standards as of the publication date of this revision.

1.7.1 Analyte List Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B

While it is not necessary to request and report all the WSC-CAM-V B analytes listed in Table V B-2 to obtain “Presumptive Certainty” status, it is necessary to document use and reporting of a reduced analyte list, for site characterization and data representativeness considerations. MassDEP strongly recommends use of the full analyte list during the initial stages of site investigations, and/or at sites with an unknown or complicated history of uses of oil or hazardous materials. These assessment activities may include but are not limited to:

- ✓ Immediate Response Actions (IRAs) performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0410;
- ✓ Initial Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0405(1);
- ✓ Phase I Initial Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0480 through 40.0483; and
- ✓ Phase II Comprehensive Site Investigation Activities performed in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0830

In a limited number of cases, the use of the full analyte list for a chosen analytical method may not be necessary, with respect to data representativeness concerns, including:

- ✓ Sites where substantial site/use history information is available to rule-out all but a limited number of contaminants of concern, and where use of the full analyte list would significantly increase investigative costs; or
- ✓ Well-characterized sites where initial full-analyte list testing efforts have sufficiently narrowed the list of contaminants of concern.

Note: a data user who avoids the detection and quantitation of a contaminant that is present or likely present at a site above background levels by limiting an analyte list could be found in criminal



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

violation of MGL c. 21E or any regulations or orders adopted or issued thereunder.

In cases where a reduced list of analytes is requested, laboratories must still employ the specified QC requirements and performance standards in WSC-CAM-V B to obtain "Presumptive Certainty" status.

Table V B-2: Analyte List for WSC-CAM-V B (SW-846 8081B)

Analyte	CASN
Aldrin	309002
alpha-BHC	319846
beta-BHC	319857
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58899
delta-BHC	319868
Technical Chlordane (nos), multi-component mixture	57749 ²
4,4'-DDD	72548
4,4'-DDE	72559
4,4'-DDT	50293
Dieldrin	60571
Endosulfan I ¹	959988
Endosulfan II ¹	33213659
Endosulfan Sulfate	1031078
Endrin	72208
Endrin ketone	53494705
Heptachlor	76448
Heptachlor epoxide	1024573
Hexachlorobenzene	118741
Methoxychlor	72435

(nos) – not otherwise specified

¹One of two isomers that comprise Endosulfan, CAS Number 115-29-7. Total concentration of both isomers must be used to evaluate compliance with MCP Method 1 Standards or Reportable Concentrations.

²It should be noted that the results obtained for Technical Chlordane (CASN 57749) by this CAM Protocol should be compared to the Reportable Concentrations, Reportable Quantities, and Method 1 standards for Technical Chlordane (CASN 12789036). Refer to Section 1.6 for more details.

CASN – Chemical Abstracts Service Numbers

NOTE: Other chlorinated pesticides may also be analyzed using the WSC-CAM-V B Protocol but are not considered part of the CAM target analyte list.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 22 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

2.0 Data Usability Assessment

Specific guidance applicable to all Permanent and Temporary Solutions, including Permanent and Temporary Solutions on a portion of a disposal site, for preparation of Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments pursuant to 310 CMR 40.1056(2)(k) and 40.1057(2)(k), respectively, of the MCP is provided in *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments* (Policy #WSC-07-350). This document provides general information regarding the purpose and content of these required evaluations as a component of and in support of a Permanent or Temporary Solution submittal. The most current version of this document may be found at the following URL: <http://www.mass.gov/dep/cleanup/laws/policies.htm#finpol>.

Overall usability of data produced using this CAM protocol should be evaluated for compliance with project-specific data objectives using MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, regardless of “Presumptive Certainty” status.

3.0 Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B

3.1 General Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B

General environmental laboratory reporting requirements for analytical data used in support of assessment and evaluation decisions at MCP disposal sites are presented in WSC-CAM-VII A, Section 2.4. This guidance document provides limited recommendations for field QC, as well as the required content of the laboratory report, which includes:

- Laboratory identification information,
- Analytical results and supporting information,
- Sample- and batch-specific QC information,
- Laboratory Report Certification Statement,
- Copy of the Analytical Protocol Certification Form,
- Laboratory narrative contents, and
- Chain-of-custody form requirements.

3.2 Specific Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B

Specific QC requirements and performance standards for WSC-CAM-V B are presented in Table V B-1. Specific reporting requirements for WSC-CAM-V B are summarized below in Table V B-3 as “Required Analytical Deliverables (**YES**)”. Requirements listed as “YES” must always be included as part of the laboratory deliverable for this method. It should be noted that data for those items listed as “NO” under “Required Analytical Deliverables” must be available for review during an audit and may also be requested for inclusion in the analytical deliverable on a client-specific basis.

Soil and sediment results must be reported on a dry-weight basis. Refer to ASTM Method D2216, Determination of Moisture Content of Soils and Sediments, for more detailed analytical and equipment specifications.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Table V B-3 Routine Reporting Requirements for WSC-CAM-V B (SW-846 8081B)

Parameter	Required Analytical Deliverable
Retention Time Windows	NO
Endrin/DDT Breakdown Check Standard	YES
Initial Calibration	NO
Initial Calibration Verification	NO
Continuing Calibration (CCAL)	NO
Method Blank	YES
Laboratory Control Samples (LCSs)	YES
LCS Duplicates	YES
Matrix Spike (MS)	YES (if requested by data user)
Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)	YES (if requested by data user)
Matrix Duplicate (MD)	YES (if requested by data user)
Surrogates	YES
Internal Standards	NO
Identification and Quantitation	NO
General Reporting Issues	YES

3.2.2 Sample Dilution

Under circumstances that sample dilution is required because either the concentration of one or more of the target analytes exceed the concentration of their respective highest calibration standard or any non-target peak exceeds the dynamic range of the detector (i.e., "off scale"), the RL/LLOQ for each chlorinated pesticide must be adjusted (increased) in direct proportion to the Dilution Factor (DF).

The revised RL/LLOQ for the diluted sample, RL/LLOQ_d:

$$RL/LLOQ_d = DF \times \text{Lowest Calibration Standard for Target Analyte}$$

It should be understood that samples with elevated RLs/LLOQs as a result of a dilution may not be able to satisfy MCP standards/criteria in some cases if the RL/LLOQ_d is greater than the applicable MCP standard or criterion to which the concentration is being compared. Such increases in RLs/LLOQs are the unavoidable but acceptable consequence of sample dilution that enable quantification of target analytes which exceed the calibration range. All dilutions must be fully documented in the laboratory narrative.

NOTE: Over dilution is an unacceptable laboratory practice. The post-dilution concentration of the target analyte with the highest concentration must be at least 50% of its associated highest calibration standard. This will avoid unnecessarily high RLs/LLOQs for other target analytes which did not require dilution.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 24 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

Appendix V B-1

Sample Collection, Preservation, and Handling Procedures for Chlorinated Pesticide Analyses

Sample preservation, container and analytical holding time specifications for aqueous, soil, and sediment matrices for chlorinated pesticides analyzed in support of MCP decision-making are summarized below and presented in Appendix VII A-1 of WSC-CAM-VII A, *Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for the Acquisition and Reporting of Analytical Data Conducted in Support of Response Actions Conducted Under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)*.



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

Matrix	Container ¹	Preservation ⁷	Holding Time ^{3,6}
Aqueous Samples, with no Residual Chlorine	(2) 1-L amber glass bottles w/ Teflon-lined screw caps	Cool to $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ but not frozen	7 days to extraction; 40 days from extraction to analysis ⁵
Aqueous Samples, with Residual Chlorine ⁴	(2) 1-L amber glass bottles w/ Teflon-lined screw caps	Add 1-mL 10% sodium thiosulfate solution per container (or 0.008%) ⁴ . Addition of thiosulfate solution to sample container may be performed in the laboratory prior to field use. Cool to $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ but not frozen.	7 days to extraction; 40 days from extraction to analysis ⁵
Soil/Sediment Samples	(1) 8-oz. amber glass jar w/ a Teflon-lined screw cap ²	Cool to $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ ²	14 days to extraction; 40 days from extraction to analysis ^{2,5}
Waste Samples	Collect sample in one (1) x 500 mL amber wide mouth jar with a Teflon-lined screw cap.	No special preservation required	14 days to extraction; 40 days from extraction to analysis ⁵

¹The number of sampling containers specified is not a requirement. For specific analyses, the collection of multiple sample containers is encouraged to avoid resampling if sample is consumed or compromised during shipping and/or analysis.

²Alternatively, soil/sediment samples for chlorinated pesticide analyses may be held for up to one (1) year if frozen within 24 hours of collection at $<-10^{\circ}\text{C}$. Sampling container should only be filled to 2/3 of capacity to avoid breakage caused by expansion during freezing. Temperature must never be allowed to go below -20°C to avoid damage to seals, etc. Preparation or extraction must be commenced within 14 days of thawing. Once the thawing process begins, samples must be kept at $0-6^{\circ}\text{C}$ until extraction.

³Holding time begins from time of sample collection or date thawed (see note #2 above).

⁴Presence of chlorine residual is usually associated with drinking water samples. Confirm dechlorination. If residual chlorine $>5\text{ mg/L}$, additional dechlorination agent may be required.

⁵Pesticide sample extracts must be stored at $\leq 6^{\circ}\text{C}$, protected from light, and stored in sealed vials (e.g., screw-cap or crimp-capped vials) with un-pierced PTFE-lined septa.

⁶As per Appendix IV of MassDEP Policy #WSC-07-350, *MCP Representativeness Evaluations and Data Usability Assessments*, if the holding time is exceeded by $>2\times$ the allowable holding time, data users should consider nondetect results as unusable and positive results as estimated with a significantly low bias.

⁷If samples were received by the laboratory on the same day of collection and were stored and transported to the laboratory on ice, cooler temperatures above 6°C are acceptable.

	Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup	WSC-CAM	Section: V B
		January 1, 2026	Revision No. 3
		Final	Page 26 of 28
Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the <i>Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)</i> in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)			

Appendix V B-2

Data Deliverable Requirements for Data Audits



Massachusetts Department of Environmental
Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

WSC-CAM

Section: V B

January 1, 2026

Revision No. 3

Final

Page 27 of 28

Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

If requested by MassDEP, submission of the information listed below may be required to perform a data audit to verify compliance with the analytical methods and to evaluate accuracy and reliability of the reported results. These deliverables represent a “full data package” including all sample documentation from receipt through preparation, analysis, and data reporting. The laboratory must ensure that these deliverables are available, in the event a data audit is performed. The laboratory is required to retain these deliverables for a period of 10 years from the date generated.

DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR DATA AUDITS WSC-CAM-V B (Chlorinated Pesticides by GC/ECD: SW-846 8081B)	
Laboratory Narrative	Must comply with the required laboratory narrative contents as described in WSC-CAM-VII A
Sample Handling Information	Chains-of-custody (external and internal), sample receipt logs (cooler temperatures and sample pH), correspondences
Miscellaneous Logs	Dry weight logs Injection logs Soil/sediment sample weight logs Freezer logs Sample preparation/cleanup logs ¹
Initial Calibration Data (both columns)	Summary of calibration/response factors for all standards in initial calibration; average calibration/response factors, %RSDs, correlation coefficients, and coefficients of determination for all target compounds Chromatograms for all standards used in initial calibration (multi-point and single-point calibrations) Quantitation reports for all standards used in initial calibration (multi-point and single-point calibrations) Concentrations of standards used must be clearly presented
Initial Calibration Verification Data (both columns)	Summary of percent recoveries for all target compounds Chromatograms for all ICVs Quantitation reports for all ICVs
Continuing Calibration Data (both columns)	Summary of %Ds and calibration/response factors Chromatograms for all continuing calibration standards Quantitation reports for all continuing calibration standards Concentrations of standards used must be clearly presented
Sample Results (both columns)	Chromatograms for all sample analyses, re-analyses, and dilutions Quantitation reports for all sample analyses, re-analyses, and dilutions Percent solids results Summary of results, including RLs/LLOQs for each



Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for the ***Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides by Gas Chromatography (GC)*** in Support of Response Actions under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)

DELIVERABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR DATA AUDITS WSC-CAM-V B (Chlorinated Pesticides by GC/ECD)	
	sample Date of analysis
Method Blank Results (both columns)	Chromatograms for all method blanks Quantitation reports for all method blanks Summary of results, including RLs/LLOQs Summary of how method blank was prepared in solid and aqueous matrices, as appropriate
LCS/LCS Duplicate Results (both columns)	Chromatograms for all LCS and LCS Duplicates Quantitation reports for all LCS and LCS Duplicates Summary of results, including concentrations detected, concentrations spiked, percent recoveries and RPDs Summary of how LCS/LCS Duplicates were prepared in solid and aqueous matrices, as appropriate
MS/MSD Results (if performed) (both columns)	Chromatograms for all MS/MSDs Quantitation reports for all MS/MSDs Summary of results, including unspiked sample concentrations, concentrations detected, concentrations spiked, percent recoveries and RPDs Summary of how MS/MSDs were prepared in solid and aqueous matrices, as appropriate
Endrin/DDT Breakdown Results (both columns)	Chromatograms for all endrin/DDT breakdown check standards Quantitation reports for all endrin/DDT breakdown check standards Summary of results including percent breakdown for endrin and DDT
QC Summaries (both columns)	Surrogate recoveries Internal standard performance Retention time windows Dual column RPDs
Other Information	Demonstration that ICV prepared from second source standard
Quantitation reports must exhibit peak area counts or peak heights, as appropriate, of target compounds, internal standards, and surrogates. ¹ Must clearly indicate sample weights or volumes, final extract volumes, extraction method used, extraction times where appropriate for the method, etc.	