### List of figures in Cost Trends Report: July 2014 Supplement

Figure A.1: Drivers of growth in claims-based medical expenditures Figure A.2: Growth in claims-based medical expenditures by category of service Figure A.3: Member cost sharing, 2010 - 2012 Figure A.4: Members with cost sharing above \$500, 2010-2012 Figure A.5: Percent difference between Massachusetts and U.S. spending per enrollee, 2010 Figure A.6: Difference in spending per enrollee by eligibility group Figure A.7: Breakdown of difference between Massachusetts and U.S. spending per aged enrollee Figure A.8: Total spending per capita on long-term care and home health Figure A.9: Medicare spending per beneficiary on long-term care and home health Figure A.10: Medicaid spending per beneficiary on long-term care and home health Figure A.11: Relative likelihood of discharge to post-acute care by hospital Figure A.12: Relative likelihood of discharge to a nursing facility for post-acute care by hospital Figure A.13: Adjusted rates of discharge to post-acute care and excess readmission ratios by hospital Figure A.14: Adjusted rates of discharge to post-acute care and average length-of-stay by hospital Figure A.15: Complexity of behavioral health conditions and treatment options Figure A.16: Spending by category of service for people with and without behavioral health conditions Figure A.17: Impact of behavioral health comorbidity on expenditures for non-behavioral health conditions Figure A.18: ED visits and boarding by diagnosis type Figure B.1: Discharges by payer type for inpatient service categories Figure B.2: Breakdown of difference in discharges between Massachusetts and U.S. by inpatient service category Figure B.3: Hospital admissions for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions among Medicare beneficiaries Figure B.4: Inflow and outflow of inpatient discharges across regions in Massachusetts Figure B.5: Inpatient care received outside of home region by payer type Figure B.6: Breakdown of difference in discharges between Massachusetts and U.S. by inpatient service category Figure B.7: Concentration of inpatient care in Massachusetts Figure B.8: Concentration of commercial inpatient care in Massachusetts Figure B.9: Concentration of commercial inpatient discharges by diagnostic area Figure B.10: APM coverage by payer type

Figure C.1: Overall rates of preventable hospitalization by income quartile

Figure C.2: Rates of preventable hospitalization for acute and chronic conditions by income quartile

### Figure A.1: Drivers of growth in claims-based medical expenditures in **Massachusetts**

Percent annual growth in claims-based medical expenditures<sup>\*</sup>, 2010-2012



<sup>\*</sup> Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers - Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) - representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

SOURCE: HPC analysis of the All-Payer Claims Database

## Figure A.2: Growth in claims-based medical expenditures by category of service

Percent annual growth rate and percent of total growth in claims-based medical expenditures<sup>\*</sup>, 2010-2012

Categories of service <sup>†</sup>	PMPM	by category	Compound annual growth rate	Percent of total growth, 2010-2012	
		\$350	2.9%	100%	
Inpatient	\$330 \$85	\$90	3.1%	27%	
Outpatient	\$55	\$64	7.7%	45%	
Other Institutional	\$5	\$5	4.2%	2%	
Professional	\$131	\$137	2.3%	31%	
Lab/X-Ray	\$54	\$53	-1.0%	-5%	
	2010	2012			

\* Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers – Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) – representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

\* For detailed definitions of categories of service, see CHIA and HPC publication "Massachusetts Commercial Medical Care Spending: Findings from the All-Payer Claims Database." Lab/x-ray category includes professional services associated with laboratory and imaging. SOURCE: All-Payers Claims Database; HPC and CHIA analysis

### Figure A.3: Member cost sharing, 2010-2012

Out-of-pocket spending on cost sharing<sup>\*</sup> as percent of total claims-based medical expenditures<sup>†</sup>



\* Out-of-pocket spending includes cost-sharing (co-payments, co-insurance, and deductibles) for medical services covered by by commercial insurance. Pharmacy spending and services paid for outside of the insurance claims system are not included.

† Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers – Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) – representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

SOURCE: All-Payers Claims Database; HPC and CHIA analysis

#### Figure A.4: Members with cost sharing above \$500, 2010-2012

Percent of total members with cost sharing above \$500, \$1000, and \$2000 thresholds\*



\* Out-of-pocket spending includes cost-sharing (co-payments, co-insurance, and deductibles) for medical services covered by by commercial insurance. Pharmacy spending and services paid for outside of the insurance claims system are not included.

**NOTE:** Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers – Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) – representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

SOURCE: All-Payers Claims Database; HPC and CHIA analysis

### Figure A.5: Percent difference between Massachusetts and U.S. Medicaid spending per enrollee, 2010



2010 spending per MassHealth enrollee

# Figure A.6: Difference in spending per Medicaid enrollee by eligibility group

Dollars per enrollee, 2010



### Figure A.7: Breakdown of difference between Massachusetts and U.S. spending per aged enrollee

Dollars per enrollee, FFY 2010



#### Figure A.8: Total spending per capita on long-term care and home health

Dollars per capita, 2009



### Figure A.9: Medicare spending per beneficiary on long-term care and home health

Dollars per beneficiary, 2009



### Figure A.10: Medicaid spending per beneficiary on long-term care and home health

Dollars per beneficiary, 2009



#### Figure A.11: Relative likelihood of discharge to post-acute care by hospital

Adjusted rate of discharge to nursing facilities and home health\*, 2012



\* Rates for each hospital were estimated using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the following: age, sex, payer group, income, admit source of the patient, length of stay, and DRG. Our sample included patients who were at least 18 years of age and had a routine discharge, a discharge to a skilled nursing facility, or a discharge to a home healthcare provider. Specialty hospitals are excluded from figure and from displayed state average. Rates are normalized with the statewide average equal to 1.0.
 SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

### Figure A.12: Relative likelihood of discharge to a nursing facility for postacute care by hospital

Adjusted rate of selecting nursing facility as setting for post-acute care\*,<sup>†</sup>, 2012



\* Rates for each hospital were estimated using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the following: age, sex, payer group, income, admit source of the patient, length of stay, and DRG. Our sample included patients who were at least 18 years of age and had a routine discharge, a discharge to a skilled nursing facility, or a discharge to a home healthcare provider. Specialty hospitals are excluded from figure and from displayed state average. Rates are normalized with the statewide average equal to 1.0.
 † Discharge to nursing facility as a proportion of total discharges to either nursing facility or home health.
 SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

### Figure A.13: Adjusted rates of discharge\* to post-acute care and excess readmission ratios<sup>†</sup> by hospital





\* Rates for each hospital were estimated using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the following: age, sex, payer group, income, admit source of the patient, length of stay, and DRG. Our sample included patients who were at least 18 years of age and had a routine discharge, a discharge to a skilled nursing facility, or a discharge to a home healthcare provider. Specialty hospitals are excluded from figure and from displayed state average. Rates are normalized with the statewide average equal to 1.0
 † Composite of risk-standardized 30-day Medicare excess readmission ratios for acute mycardial infarction, heart failure, and pneumonia

(2009-2011). The composite rate is a weighted average of the three condition-specific rates. 1.0 represents national average.

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; HPC analysis

### Figure A.14: Adjusted rates of discharge\* to post-acute care and average length-of-stay by hospital





\* Rates for each hospital were estimated using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the following: age, sex, payer group, income, admit source of the patient, length of stay, and DRG. Our sample included patients who were at least 18 years of age and had a routine discharge, a discharge to a skilled nursing facility, or a discharge to a home healthcare provider. Specialty hospitals are excluded from figure and from displayed state average. Rates are normalized with the statewide average equal to 1.0
SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; HPC analysis

## Figure A.15: Complexity of behavioral health conditions and treatment options

Diverse set of conditions

each with different set of risk

factors and disease trajectory

### Complex continuum of care that varies for each type of condition and according to condition severity



### Figure A.16: Spending by category of service for people with and with-out behavioral health conditions

Claims-based medical expenditures<sup>\*</sup> by category of service<sup>†</sup>, for people with and without behavioral health (BH) conditions<sup>‡</sup>, 2011



\* Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers – Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) – representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

† For detailed definitions of categories of service, see CHIA and HPC publication, "Massachusetts Commercial Medical Care Spending: Findings from the All-Payer Claims Database." Lab/x-ray category includes professional services associated with laboratory and imaging.

**‡** Presence of behavioral health condition identified based on diagnostic codes in claims using Optum ERG software

SOURCE: HPC analysis of the All-Payer Claims Database

### Figure A.17: Impact of behavioral health comorbidity on expenditures for non-behavioral conditions

Per person claims-based medical expenditures<sup>\*</sup> on non-behavioral health conditions based on presence of behavioral health (BH) comorbidity<sup>†</sup>, 2012 (Commercial) and 2011 (Medicare)

		COMMERCIAL		MEDICARE, UNDER 65		MEDICARE, OVER 65	
No chronic medical conditions	With any BH condition With both MH and SUD	(Baseline) com = \$2,336 ba +\$804	ending pared to seline 1.3x 1.7x	No BH conditions (Baseline) = \$2,632 +\$205 +\$1,297	Spending compared to baseline 1.1x 1.5x	No BH conditions (Baseline) = \$2,933 +\$4,744 +\$6,290	Spending compared to baseline <b>2.6x</b> <b>3.1x</b>
One or more chronic medical conditions	With any BH condition With both MH and SUD	(Baseline) comp = \$6,045 bas +\$4,792	ending pared to seline 1.8x 2.7x	No BH conditions (Baseline) = \$8,812 +\$3,907 +\$6,183	Spending compared to baseline 1.4x 1.7x	No BH conditions (Baseline) = \$8,239 +\$15,575 +\$22,00	Spending compared to baseline 2.9x 02 3.7x

\* Analysis is based on a sample that consists of claims submitted by the three largest commercial payers – Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts (BCBS), Harvard Pilgrim Health Care (HPHC), and Tufts Health Plan (THP) – representing 66 percent of commercially insured lives. Claims-based medical expenditure measure excludes pharmacy spending and payments made outside the claims system (such as shared savings, pay-for-performance, and capitation payments).

Presence of behavioral health condition identified based on diagnostic codes in claims using Optum ERG software. Expenditures for non-behavioral health conditions were identified using Optum ETG episode grouper. Additional detail is available in a technical appendix.

SOURCE: HPC analysis of the All-Payer Claims Database

### Figure A.18: ED visits and boarding by diagnosis type

Percent of visits, 2012



All ED visits

Visits resulting in "ED boarding"

Commercial MassHealth

#### Figure B.1: Discharges by payer type for inpatient service categories

Percent of discharges in each service category, 2012



\* Discharges in general acute care hospitals. Excludes discharges in psychiatric, specialty non-acute, and chronic care hospitals.

† Payer mix for discharges in general acute hospitals. Psychiatric hospitals do not report number of discharges by payer type, although in 2012 their mix of charges (gross patient service revenue) was 39 percent commercial, 30 percent MassHealth, and 32 percent Medicare. SOURCE: Massachusetts Health Data Consortium; HPC analysis

### Figure B.2: Breakdown of difference in inpatient discharges between Massachusetts and U.S. by inpatient service category

Inpatient discharges\* per 1,000 persons, 2011



\* Discharges in general acute care hospitals. Excludes discharges in psychiatric, specialty non-acute, and chronic care hospitals. **SOURCE**: Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, Kaiser Family Foundation, HPC analysis

### Figure B.3: Hospital admissions for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions among Medicare beneficiaries, age 65-74

Admissions per 1,000 persons



SOURCE: Commonwealth Fund Health System Data Center

## Figure B.4: Inflow and outflow of inpatient discharges across regions in Massachusetts

Number of inpatient discharges for non-transfer, non-emergency volume, 2012



\* Discharges at hospitals in region for patients who reside outside of region
 † Discharges at hospitals outside of region for patients who reside in region
 SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

#### Figure B.5: Inpatient care received outside of home region by payer type

Percent of non-emergency, non-transfer inpatient discharges for payer type, 2012



NOTE: Rates are adjusted for age, sex, payer group, distance from hospitals, distance from Metro Boston, and major diagnostic category. Analysis excluded individuals below 18 years of age, residents of Metro Boston, discharges with an ED visit in their record, and transfers from

Analysis excluded individuals below 18 years of age, residents of Metro Boston, discharges with an ED visit in their record, and transfers fro other acute hospitals.

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

## Figure B.6: Inpatient care received outside of home region by income group

Percent of non-emergency, non-transfer inpatient discharges for community income group<sup>\*</sup>, 2012



\* Community income is estimated as the median household income for the patient's zip code

NOTE: Rates are adjusted for age, sex, payer group, distance from hospitals, distance from Metro Boston, and major diagnostic category. Analysis excluded individuals below 18 years of age, residents of Metro Boston, discharges with an ED visit in their record, and transfers from other acute hospitals.

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; Census Bureau; HPC analysis

#### Figure B.7: Concentration of inpatient care in Massachusetts

Share of total inpatient discharges held by five highest-volume systems, 2009-2012



\* 2014 data not yet available. Based on applying systems established by 2014 (including 2013 Partners HealthCare acquisition of Cooley Dickinson and 2014 Lahey Health acquisition of Winchester hospital) to 2012 inpatient discharge data

† Includes South Shore Hospital and Hallmark Health hospitals within Partners HealthCare System

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

#### Figure B.8: Concentration of commercial inpatient care in Massachusetts

Share of commercial inpatient discharges held by five highest-volume systems, 2009-2012



\* 2014 data not yet available. Based on applying systems established by 2014 (including 2013 Partners HealthCare acquisition of Cooley Dickinson and 2014 Lahey Health acquisition of Winchester hospital) to 2012 inpatient discharge data

† Includes South Shore Hospital and Hallmark Health hospitals within Partners HealthCare System

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

## Figure B.9: Concentration of commercial inpatient discharges by diagnostic area

Percent of commercial inpatient discharges at 5 highest-volume hospital systems in each diagnostic area<sup>\*</sup>, 2012

Share of system with highest volume

Total share of systems with 2nd- to 5th-highest volume



\* Diagnostic areas shown were selected as high-volume and/or high-expenditure service lines
 † Not shown because of low volume of discharges of this type
 SOURCE: Massachusetts Health Data Consortium; HPC analysis

### Figure B.10: APM coverage by payer type

Percent of members/beneficiaries covered by APMs\*, 2012



\* For the purpose of these estimates, we consider APMs based on the definition used in CHIA's 2013 report on Alternative Payment Methods in the Massachusetts Commercial Market. This definition includes global budget, limited budget, bundled payment, and other non-fee-for-service models. Pay-for-performance incentives accompanying fee-for-service payments are not included in this estimate.

\* Includes Commonwealth Care

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### Figure C.1: Overall rates of preventable hospitalization by income quartile\*

Preventable admissions per 100,000 residents, 2012



\* Income was estimated using the median household income for the patient's zip code. Preventable hospitalizations were calculated using AHRQ's prevention quality indicator (PQI) measures. All figures are age- and sex-adjusted.

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis

### Figure C.2: Rates of preventable hospitalization for acute and chronic conditions by income quartile<sup>\*</sup>

Preventable admissions per 100,000 residents, 2012



\* Income was estimated using the median household income for the patient's zip code. Preventable hospitalizations were calculated using AHRQ's prevention quality indicator (PQI) measures. All figures are age- and sex-adjusted.

† Composite of PQI 5 (COPD or asthma in older adults) and PQI 15 (asthma in younger adults)

Composite of PQI 1 (short-term complications for diabetes), PQI 3 (long-term complications for diabetes), PQI 14 (uncontrolled diabetes), and PQI 16 (amputation among diabetes)

SOURCE: Center for Health Information and Analysis; HPC analysis