## COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

MASSACHUSETTS COMMISSION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION, JOHN T. CONNORS Complainants,

v. DOCKET NO. 10-SEM-03369

TOWN OF STOW, et al., Respondents

## DECISION OF THE FULL COMMISSION

On December 11, 2018 Hearing Officer Judith E. Kaplan issued a decision in favor of the Respondents, Town of Stow and Mark Trefry in the above-entitled matter. The parties were duly notified of the decision and Complainant filed a Notice of Appeal on or about January 3, 2019.

The Commission's Rules of Procedure at 804 C.M.R. 1.23(1)(a) require that, in addition to a Notice of Appeal, an aggrieved party must file a Petition for Review within 30 days of receipt of the decision of the hearing officer, setting forth:

- 1. Facts showing the appellant to be aggrieved;
- 2. All matters alleged to have been erroneously decided;
- 3. All other matters on which the appellant relies and;

4. The relief to which the appellant believes it is entitled, which relief may be requested in the alternative.

Complainant has not filed a Petition for Review as required by 804 C.M.R 1.23. 1 As a result of this failure to perfect its appeal in accordance with Commission regulations, Complainant's appeal is hereby dismissed and the Order of the Hearing Officer in favor of the Town of Stow and Mark Trefry stands.

So Ordered this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2019.

Commissioner

7. Habbard

On January 23, 2019 Complainant's attorney sought an extension of time until March 3, 2019 to file the Petition.