COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS

State Building Code Appeals Board Docket No. 05-461

BOARD'S RULING ON APPEAL

All hearings are audio recorded. The digital recording (which is on file at the office of the Board of Building Regulations and Standards) serves as the official record of the hearing. Copies of the recording are available from the Board for a fee of \$10.00 per copy. Please make requests for copies in writing and attach a check made payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the appropriate fee. Requests may be addressed to:

Patricia Barry, Coordinator State Building Code Appeals Board BBRS/Department of Public Safety One Ashburton Place – Room 1301 Boston, MA 02108

David Morgan)
Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
Town of Westborough, Donald Moquin)
Appellees)
)

Procedural History

This matter came before the State Building Code Appeals Board ("the Board") on the Appellant's appeal filed pursuant to 780 CMR 122.1. In accordance with 780 CMR 122.3, Appellant asks the Board to grant a variance from 3603.12.1 pertaining to the property of 2 Crestview Drive, Westborough, MA 01581. In accordance with MGL c. 30A, §§ 10 and 11; MGL c. 143, §100; 801 CMR 1.02 et. Seq.; and 780 CMR 122.3.4, the Board convened a public hearing on August 21, 2007 where all interested parties were provided with an opportunity to testify and present evidence to the Board.

Present and representing himself was the Appellant. Also present was Donald Moquin and Jennifer and Christian Wright.

Decision : Following test voted as indicated below		relevant information p	provided, Board members	
X Granted	□ Denied	□ Rendered	Interpretation	
□Granted with cond	itions (see below)	□ Dismissed	l	
The vote was:				
XUnanimous		🗆 Majority	y	
Reasons for Variance:				
Testimony was presented by the Appellant, which described a landing that was build in compliance with the 6th edition of the MSBC at the time of the issuance of the building permit. Subsequently in the MSBC via a subsequent amendment the Exception 3 which stated that "a landing is not required where the exit door does not swing over the stair" has been eliminated. During the final inspection of the project the Building Official cited the step as being in non compliance to the current language of the code which now requires a landing. The Building Official, Donald Moquin stated that he does not think the step poses a safety hazard. Following testimony, and based upon relevant information provided, Sandy MacLeod made a motion to grant the variance from 3603.12.1 based on the fact that the door swings inward and not over the stair/step. The motion was seconded by Jake Nunnemacher and a vote was taken and all board members voted in favor of the motion.				
Chairman -Brian Gale	Alexander l	MacLeod	Jake Nunnemacher	
A complete administrative Standards.	ve record is on file at the	office of the Board of	Building Regulations and	
A true copy attest, dated	Cotober 2, 2007 Sablus Samy Patricia Barry Clerk			

Any person aggrieved by a decision of the State Building Code Appeals Board may appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with Chapter 30A, Section 14 of the Massachusetts General Laws.