



Addressing Impact of Provider Consolidation

Paul B. Ginsburg, Ph.D.

Testimony presented to Massachusetts Health
Policy Commission, October 2, 2013

Powerful Trend towards Provider Consolidation

- Understanding the Trend
 - Context of Consolidation
 - Drivers of Consolidation
- Impact of Trend
- Particular Impact of Hospital-Physician Consolidation
- Policy Responses

Trends in Provider Consolidation

- Hospital consolidation is on the rise:
 - Over 1,000 hospital mergers since mid-90s (Gaynor)
 - Consolidation slowed in the past decade, but has picked up recently
 - Most urban areas are now dominated by 1-3 large hospital systems

Drivers of Provider Consolidation

- Increased leverage/revenue
- Respond to push for coordinated and integrated care
 - HIT and quality reporting requirements
- Future requirements appear daunting to smaller hospitals and medical practices
 - Motivating mergers with larger organizations
- Advocates of coordinated care:
 - Accept some additional consolidation
 - Put in place mechanisms to contain price increases

Impact of Provider Consolidation

- Research shows that consolidation drives up prices (Gaynor, Kleiner, Schneider, Dafny)
 - Hospitals mergers have led to price increases of 3.5-53 percent (Gaynor)
- Range of increase is affected by availability of competitive options
- Providers with “must have” status have substantial leverage even when concentration is low
- Higher prices lead to higher insurance premiums
 - Burden to consumers, employees, employers, governments

Recent Challenge of Hospital-Physician Consolidation (1)

- Hospital acquisition or affiliation with physician groups and employment of physicians
 - The most active area of consolidation
 - Strong direct effects on prices
 - Hospitals negotiate much higher prices for services of employed physicians
 - Addition of a facility fee
 - Indications of higher hospital prices as well

Recent Challenge of Hospital-Physician Consolidation (2)

- Challenges for purchasers beyond price increases
 - Obstacle to insurers' steering of patients to high-value providers
 - PCPs and specialists locked into referring to system
 - Discourages development of physician organizations
 - Reduced potential for competition in ACO/risk contracting market

Care Coordination with Less Consolidation

- Small physician practices can join IPA or larger group instead of becoming hospital employees
- Hospital can develop contractual relations looser than ownership
 - Not only physician organizations, but other providers
 - For example, rather than purchase post-acute providers, hospitals can identify those worthy of contractual relationship

Need for Steps to Limit Impacts on Prices

- Market approaches
 - Steps by employers/insurers to engage patient/consumer to seek lower-priced providers
 - Incentives
 - Information
- Government efforts to facilitate market approaches

Better Information on Price and Quality for Enrollees

- Online tools for enrollees
 - Customize to relevant insurance product and enrollee's deductible/account
- Scope will grow with increasing deductibles
 - But most opportunities on outpatient side
 - Inpatient pricing much more complex
 - Other approaches involving less price data have more promise

Limited Networks

- Fewer providers in network leads to lower prices in two ways: steering and increased leverage
- Public more receptive now than in 1990s
 - Affordability challenges are larger
 - ACA exchanges and subsidies create ideal incentive structure
 - Absence of “one size fits all” requirements that apply to employer-sponsored insurance
- Potential regulatory obstacles from network adequacy

Tiered Networks

- Potential for broader appeal than limited networks
 - Less of a commitment by enrollee
 - Potentially more effective if done by service line
- But prominent hospitals can block through refusal to contract

Reference Pricing

- More aggressive approach to tiers
 - Stronger patient incentives
 - But applies to relatively small share of spending
- Works best with discrete outpatient procedures
 - Colonoscopy
 - MRI
 - Cataract surgery
- Carriers split on priority to give to approach

Fostering Physician Organizations (1)

- Potential upside
 - More competitive hospital market
 - Reduce attractiveness of hospital employment
 - Protect use of incentives to steer patients to higher-value hospitals and specialists
 - Results from AQC evaluations
 - Potentially more effective performance under global payment incentives than hospital-led organizations
 - Less conflicted incentives

Fostering Physician Organizations (2)

- Financial/technical assistance to organizations
 - BCBSNC HIT subsidies for practices
 - CareFirst BCBS PCMH initiative
 - Global incentives and information provision for PCPs
 - Pods for small PCP practices
- Purchase of physician organizations
 - Insurers (United purchase of Monarch IPA)
 - Others (e.g. DaVita purchase of HealthCare Partners)
 - Capital injections support expansion

Government Actions to Foster Market Approaches (1)

- Regulation of hospital contracting practices
 - Prohibit demands for tier placement
 - Prohibit all or none system contracting
- Require plans to provide real-time price data for enrollees
- Support for physician organizations
 - Loans/grants to establish infrastructure
 - Easier requirements for ACOs (Medicare)
 - Eliminate higher Medicare payments for physician services in hospitals (MedPAC proposal)

Government Actions to Foster Market Approaches (2)

- Broader access to physician-specific data for profiling
 - Medicare Part B claims data
 - State all-payer claims data

Conclusions

- Strong trend towards provider consolidation in response to challenging environment
 - Potential to facilitate integration and coordination, but also potential for higher prices
- Both private sector and government can take steps to address increasing provider leverage on prices through market approaches
- Degree of success will determine whether direct regulation is pursued