Minutes Drug Utilization Review Board Meeting DATE: March 10, 2021





Meeting Purpose: Quarterly Drug Utilization Board Meeting Meeting opened at 6:00 p.m. by Sarah M McGee, MD

The meeting was conducted under Massachusetts Public Meeting Law requirements.

Attendance: Melissa Coyle, PharmD; Timothy Fensky, RPh; James Gagnon, RPh, PharmD; Colleen Labelle, MSN, RN-BC, CARN; Lori Lewicki, RPh; Greg Low, RPh, PhD; Sarah M McGee, MD; Julita Mir, MD; Karen Ryle, MS, RPh; Laura Spring, MD; Christy Stine, MD, PhD; Michael Thompson, MD

Absent: None

Agenda Items:

- Welcome and Introductory Remarks
- Annual Pipeline Continuing Education Program
- Compounding Quality Assurance Analysis
- MHDL Update
- DUR Operational Update
- MassHealth Update
- Progesterone Agents Quality Assurance Analysis

Agenda Item	Discussion	Conclusions/Follow Up
Annual Pipeline Continuing Education Program	Annual Pipeline Continuing Education Program by Dr Mckenzie Taylor and Dr Warren Smith The Pipeline Update provided an overview of clinical and/or regulatory updates regarding select pharmaceutical pipeline agents in late-stage development.	Follow Up Informational/Advisory
Action	 Discussion Pipeline Trends: FDA-approved New Molecular Entities First-cycle approvals granted for 92% (49/53) NMEs Does not include gene therapies or immunotherapies (reported by CBER) First-in-class approvals: 40% (21/53) Approvals for orphan diseases: 58% (31/53) 	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

\circ Of 53 new drugs, 36 (68%) were approved using the expedited	
approval method	
 32% were approved using the fast track method. 	
 42% were approved using the breakthrough method. 	
 57% were approved using priority review. 	
 23% were approved using accelerated approval. 	
Orphan Diseases Pipeline	
 Almost one-third of drugs in development are for 608 diseases 	
\circ Mean cost per patient per year of the top 100 orphan drugs in the	
US in 2018 was \$150,854 vs \$33,654 for other drugs.	
Oncology	
 Idecabtagene Vicleucel 	
 Ciltacabtagene autoleucel (cilta-cel) 	
 Improvements to first generation CAR-T therapies 	
 High cost to manufacturer and administered treatment 	
 High rates of CRS/NT that is also costly to manage 	
 Up to three weeks to manufacturer and payer approval 	
 Newly approved therapy: 	
Breyanzi (lisocabtagene maraleucel): delayed	
occurrence of CRS making outpatient administration	
possible	
 Infectious Diseases 	
 Limited activity in antibiotic pipeline 	
 HIV pipeline may offer 	
🖊 Less frequent dosing	
Options for multi-drug resistant disease and pre-	
exposure prophylaxis	
 Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease 	
 Prevalence ~15 million adults 	
 Largely asymptomatic 	
 Diagnosed by liver biopsy 	
 May progress to cirrhosis and require liver transplant 	
 No FDA-approved treatments 	
o Immunology	
 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus 	
Anifrolumab	
 Chronic Graft-Versus-Host Disease 	
Helumosudil	
 Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease 	
Leukotac (inolimomab)	
 Psoriasis 	
🔸 Deucravacitinib	
• Hematology	
 Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease 	

	🖊 Roxadustat
	 Chronic Immune Thrombocytopenia
	🖊 Rozanolixizumab
	 Hemophilia
	🖊 Roctavian (valoctocogene roxaparvovec)
	 Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria
	4 Pegcetacoplan
c	Central Nervous System
	 Myasthenia Gravis
	🖕 Efgartigimod
	 Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis
	 Multiple Sclerosis
	Ublituximab
	 Endocrine and Metabolic
	 Type I Diabetes Mellitus
	↓ Teplizumab
	 Cushing's Disease
	↓ Levoketoconazole
	 Achondroplasia
	c Gene Therapy
(Beta-Thalassemia
	 Lentiglobin (betibeglogene autotemcel)
	 Severe Combined Immunodeficiency
	 Gevene combined immunodenciency ↓ OTL-101
	 Choroideremia
	AAV2-REP1
	clusions
C	Immuno-oncology and drugs for rare diseases continue to lead the
	pack for pipeline drug development.
C	 Cell and gene therapy approvals may accelerate.
	 10 to 20 approvals per year by 2025
C	 Biosimilar legislation will continue to be an ongoing area of reform
	within the federal government.
Questions	
	Paul Jeffrey requested clarification about what was stated about the
new	chemical entity approvals by the FDA and new biologics.
• Dr M	AcKenzie Taylor clarified that the graph specifically showed approvals
	CBER.

Compounding Quality Assurance Analysis	Compounding Quality Assurance Analysis by Dr Stephanie Tran This overview was an evaluation of current medical literature and provided a brief overview of new guideline recommendations in this disease state.	Follow Up Informational/Advisory
Action	 Discussion Goal of Management MassHealth will pay for compounds that are medically necessary. FDA-approved formulation does not exist Member unable to take FDA-approved commercially available product (<i>e.g., allergy to dye, inability to swallow tablet/capsule</i>) Powders flagged for placement on PA Increase in cost Lack of literature Topical route Management Timeline – Compounding Management Preparation (2017) Claims analysis (ongoing: monthly) Literature analysis Outreach to compounding pharmacies Implementation (2018 – present) Claims monitoring to place high-cost agents on PA January 2018: amitriptyline, clonidine, gabapentin, lidocaine powders placed on PA Agents used in topical/transdermal compounds were subsequently placed on PA Outcomes (2019 – present) Decrease in spend per cost analysis Data presented at ADURS and EMPAA in 2020 QA Methods & Results Utilization: January 2020 to December 2020 Agents requiring PA Topia amount paid: \$174,599 PAs: January 2020 to December 2020 PAs requests: 251 Majority of requests for: Ketotifen powder (31) Baclofen powder (17) Topiramate powder (16) 	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

 ↓ Levocarnitine powder (15) ↓ Naltrexone powder (15) ↓ Biotin powder (12) ↓ Coenzyme Q10 (11) ○ Overall Trends: April 2017 to August 2020 ○ Decrease in per member per month spend ○ Decrease in cost per member per year for ingredients placed on PA Question for the Audience ○ How have the MassHealth Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) managed compounded pharmaceuticals? Conclusions ○ Coding pending to require PA for Not administered by medically necessary ROA Age ≥ 19 years ○ Total ingredient cost ≥ \$200 ○ QA Recommendations: ○ Continue monitoring on a monthly basis for high-cost ingredients ○ Require PA for antimicrobials powders used in
 Questions Dr Andrew Colby from Health New England responded to the question from the presentation and stated that they have put in a low dollar threshold and have seen the same decrease. Dr Paul Jeffrey stated that setting a dollar threshold is a common mechanism for managing compounding pharmaceuticals in the marketplace. Dr Paul Jeffery stated that we will pay up to a certain dollar amount for the drug, and then it will need a prior authorization after that. Dr Darcy Jones from Fallon stated that they have a dollar threshold and if it is above that, it will reject for a prior authorization and will review for medical necessity. Dr Greg Low commented that on the ACO side, very few prescribers get asked to sign a fax that came from a pharmacy requesting a product that was not prescribed, and when informing the prescribers, they were surprised.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Conclusions/Follow
		Up

MHDL Update	MHDL Update by Dr Phuong Luc MHDL Overview including new additions, changes in Prior Authorization (PA) status, and related attachment updates to be implemented with a recent publication rollout.	Follow Up Informational/Advisory
Action	 Discussion There were 16 additions to the MHDL Drug list effective as of March 22, 2021. Of the 16 additions, 13 will require prior authorization and three will not require prior authorization. Changes in prior authorization status One acne and rosacea agent will no longer require prior authorization while another will require prior authorization. One topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) agent will no longer require prior authorization. One bowel preparation agent will no longer require prior authorization. One anti-Parkinson agents will no longer require prior authorization. Two anti-Parkinson agents will no longer require prior authorization within quantity limits. One benzodiazepine agent will no longer require prior authorization linitiative criteria will still apply. Changes to the MassHealth Brand Name Preferred Over Generic Drug List Three agents will be added to the MassHealth Brand Name Preferred Over Generic Drug List. Changes to Miscellaneous Documents on the MassHealth Supplemental Rebate/Preferred Drug List. Updates have been made to two agents on the MassHealth ACPP/MCO Unified Pharmacy Product List. 	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Conclusions/Follow Up
DUR Operational	DUR Operational Update by Dr Patricia Leto	Follow Up
Update	DUR Operational Overview including statistics associated with Prior Authorization	Informational/Advisory

	(PA) review and PA response, and Call Center metrics.	
Action	 Discussion MassHealth prior authorization requests from 2017 to 2021 (calendar year to date) showing with COVID leniencies initiated in March 2020 and then removed in August 2020. MassHealth call center volume from 2017 to 2021 (calendar year to date) showing with COVID leniencies initiated in March 2020 and then removed in August 2020. The monthly average from prior authorizations from 2017 to 2021 (to date) were reviewed. Peak average of 10,547 per month in 2018 while currently 2021 (to date) average per month is 8,718. Abandonment rate generally in the 2% range Average wait time of answered call generally in the 20 second range Average treatment time consistently around four minutes MassHealth Appeals: Current monthly average is four Provider Outreach Volume: Current monthly average is 845 calls Top Ten Medications Requested for Prior Authorization – October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2020 Clindamycin Tretinoin Pregabalin Testosterone Methylphenidate Latuda Clonazepam Linzess Clonidine Botulinum Toxin Prior Authorization Compliance Response Time – January 2020 to December 2020 Total requests: 95,986 requests 60% of all PAs decisions in less than 24 hours. Prior Authorization Compliance Response Time during Call Center hours – January 2020 to December 2020 Total requests: 95,986 requests 81% of all PAs decisions with in six hours. 98% of all PAs decisions in less than nine hours. 	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

MassHealth Update	MassHealth Update by Dr Paul Jeffrey The MassHealth Update was a brief summary of recent developments in MassHealth in the context of pharmacy, managed care, or public health.	Follow Up Informational/Advisory
Action	 Discussion Near the expiration of the 1115 waiver Waiver refers to the way MassHealth operates its Medicaid program. Exceptions to the standard rules for Medicaid need a waiver. Waiver is going to expire on December 31, 2022 Typically, waivers are five-year approvals. Plan on how MassHealth is to be operated needs to be submitted by July 1, 2021 to CMS Foundation to run the Medicaid program 2023 and beyond Process has already started including stakeholder meetings- Currently a couple concepts MassHealth is considering Carving the pharmacy benefit out of the managed care plans Already began through the stake holder group to socialize, including one on one discussions Carve the behavioral health benefit out of the managed care plans Implementing a new version of POPS processing system (POPS IV) As of March 14, 2021, phase one of the POPS IV implementation was completed successfully. Phase one was called "lift and shift." POPS III was "lifted" into the cloud and POPS IV will be shifted into place. No issues have been reported with this process of phase one. Phase two will include enhancements (e.g., real time benefit checks, electronic PA, etc.) and will be in process until about April 2022. Direct negotiating authority 45 drugs under contract with \$157 million savings annually Have met budget target (\$150 million) Seven of these contracts are value based New DME products added to the pharmacy benefit (e.g., continuous glucose monitors). Payment tied to DME unit rates. In order to separate, would need to procure contracts with manufacturers through pharmacy. Through MBHP (PPC members) and DUR (FFS members), MassHealth is reaching out to membersthat were identified as having received one	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

vaccination and are due or past due for second shot. This is the first time
DUR has made outbound calls to members.
Questions
 Dr Julita Mir inquired if the calls that were made to patients were based on
pharmacy claims or were from MIIS.
 Dr Paul Jeffrey stated that yes, the information was based solely on
pharmacy claims data. Working to get MIIS data into MMIS.
 Dr. Tim Fensky inquired about billing COVID vaccines for limited or Health
Safety Net patients.
 Dr Paul Jeffrey responded that you cannot bill MassHealth for limited, but
you can still bill HRSA (Health Resources and Services Administration).
MassHealth does pay for HSN claims at eligible pharmacies.
 NB: Subsequent to this Board meeting, MassHealth commenced
paying for vaccine administration for Limited benefit members in
accordance with new federal guidance.
 Dr Colleen Labelle inquired if the DUR program can text patients and if it
has other language capabilities?
Mr. Justin Peristere responded that they do have some language
capabilities but not all; though the number of calls that had a language
barrier has been small percentage.
 Dr Colleen Labelle stated that doing Telehealth, most of the prescribers
have seen that patients prefer texting than to answer a phone.

Agenda Item	Discussion	Conclusions/Follow Up
Progesterone Agents Quality Assurance Analysis	Progesterone Agents Quality Assurance Analysis by Dr Soumya Vishwanath This overview was an evaluation of current medical literature and will provide a brief overview of new guideline recommendations in this disease state.	Follow Up Informational/Advisory
Action	 Discussion Preterm birth is the birth of a baby < 37 completed weeks of pregnancy Risk Factors History of prior preterm birth Pregnancy with multiples Abnormalities associated with uterus or cervix Preterm birth can lead to multiple complications QA Results: Prior Authorization Approvals Approval Overview 	Conclusion The board reviewed and accepted the presentation.

 Approvals for 10 members were randomly selected and reviewed 	
 Requests submitted between 13 weeks and 20 weeks 	
gestation	
 Ninety percent of patients had subsequent paid claims for the approved medication. 	
 Three members did not all have subsequent claim 	
QA Results: Prior Authorization Denials	
 Denial Overview 	
 Denials for 10 members were reviewed 	
Six requests for Makena	
Three requests for Crinone	
One request for progesterone powder	
 Reasons for denials 	
🖊 Inappropriate diagnosis	
Missing documentation (e.g., gestational age,	
dose/duration of therapy requested)	
No trial with least costly alternative	
PA Subanalysis: Twin Pregnancy	
 Subanalysis Overview Beguests for Makana for four members with either surrent 	
 Requests for Makena for four members with either current twin programmer or bictory of protorm labor and/or delivery 	
twin pregnancy or history of preterm labor and/or delivery with twin pregnancies were reviewed.	
 Two members with current twin pregnancies and history of 	
pre-term deliveries	
 One approved based on literature 	
One denied due to lack of information	
 Two members currently pregnant with a singleton with a 	
history of preterm delivery with twins	
Both approved and had single live births	
Conclusions	
 Progesterone supplementation reduces the risk of preterm birth by 	
about one-third in women	
 with singleton pregnancy who have had a previous 	
 spontaneous singleton preterm birth with a short cervix on ultrasound examination in the current 	
pregnancy	
 Literature includes support for and against starting progesterone 	
treatment in individuals currently or previously pregnant with	
multiples	
 No clinical updates recommended at this time 	
 FDA's decision on Makena may impact class management 	
Questions	

0	Dr Tim Fensky inquired if the powder was for the progesterone suppositories or the injection.	
0	Dr Soumya Vishwanath responded that it was for the suppositories not the injection.	
0	Dr Tim Fensky inquired about paying for the brand versus the generic injection.	
0	Dr Soumya Vishwanath replied she will double check on that. Currently the understanding is that if the prescriber is inquiring about the generic injection, the indication for the generic is different	
	from the brand name injection.	

Meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Mylissa Price

Date: _____