

CHARLES D. BAKER GOVERNOR

> KARYN E. POLITO LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

MIKE KENNEALY SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Commonwealth of Massachusetts Division of Occupational Licensure Board of State Examiners of Electricians

1000 Washington Street, Suite 710 Boston, Massachusetts 02118 EDWARD A. PALLESCHI UNDERSECRETARY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS REGULATION

LAYLA D'EMILIA COMMISSIONER, DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSURE

Massachusetts Board State Examiners of Electricians CMR Subcommittee Meeting Minutes March 18, 2022

Conducted remotely via Microsoft Teams meeting

INFORMATIONAL NOTE: All votes were taken by roll call. Where each member voted in favor, the vote will be reported as unanimous.

The meeting was called to order by the Chair at 10:00 A.M.

Subcommittee members present:

James Colleary, Inspector of Wires Member (chairing) John Bagni, MAVA Member Brendan Driscoll, Journeyman Member (11:20 A.M.)

Board members noted in audience for the record: Paul J. Malagrifa, International Municipal Signal Association, Member David Edmonds, Dept. of Elementary and Secondary Education Designee Paul Vigneau, State Fire Marshal Designee

Members of Board Staff present:

Keith Gleason, Executive Director Tamara Smith, Program Coordinator Milla Lewis, Administrative Assistant Charles Kilb, Legal Counsel

Public attendees wishing to be recognized: Bev & Paul Kennedy, Kennedy Seminars Glenn Hartland, Porter & Chester Institute William Butler, Porter & Chester Institute Vicki Kane, Porter & Chester Institute

1. Reviewed Minutes of the meeting August 20, 2021- J. Colleary did not have a chance to review the minutes.

J. Bagni made a motion J. Colleary seconded and it was so unanimously voted to table the minutes to the next meeting.

2. Discuss proposed CMR amendments

J. Colleary mentioned that the subcommittee should discuss the licensure requirement of Inspectors, the education requirement as per 237 CMR 17.01, certification requirement and a form of registration with the Division of Occupational licensure.

- a) Inspector accreditation continuing education requirements, license requirement, board certification formulation
 - J. Bagni discussed the proposal outline as presented at the committee's listening session. The members discussed the proposals that would require adding a Master license requirement to Inspector qualifications and considered some of the concerns and complications raised in public testimony. The members agreed to remove the master license requirement for outline purposes, but this was not to be a final determination. Counsel stated that in his estimation the current law was ambiguous regarding whether the Board could require a master license or not. Members inquired as to whether they could require a master license inspector, but allow lower level inspectors who are journeymen. Counsel noted that the law only refers to an inspector, no other levels are currently in the law, thus creating a lower inspector would also be subject to legal challenge. i
 - The chair allowed for comments from Paul and Bev Kennedy who spoke indicating it was unclear whether the Board can have jurisdiction over inspector appointments, they also were unclear about the implications of new requirements when running the Inspector's CE program.
 - The subcommittee closed discussions with the plan to continue discussions at the next meeting.
- b) Instructor accreditation review draft requirements
 - J. Bagni reviewed the latest draft proposal from the education subcommittee, explaining reasoning behind the requirements was to ensure instructors were qualified and knew how to teach. No action taken
- 11:20 A.M B. Driscoll joined the meeting
- c) Application of Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Frameworks to all education providers and possible frameworks for employers of apprentices.
 - J. Bagni stated that the CMR change would apply to 237 CMR 22.01 to reflect the DESE Frameworks strand 2 which details the performance points for both the didactic and applied learning objectives. The subcommittee acknowledged that the strand as described by the frameworks does not lend itself to online learning due to some requirements which appeared to be hands-on.
 - The chair allowed comment from William Butler who spoke in favor of a hybrid learning format.

_

3. Discuss other matters not reasonably anticipated 48 hours in advance of meeting. None presented.

None

4. Adjournment -Following conclusion of the agenda items and hearing no further matters to discuss the chair called for a motion to adjourn.

At 12:06 P.M. D. Driscoll made a motion J. Bagni seconded, and it was so unanimously voted to adjourn.

List of Documents used at the meeting

- 1. Meeting agenda
- 2. Meeting minutes August 20, 2021
- 3. Draft Inspector accreditation requirements
- 4. Draft Instructor accreditation requirements