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Massachusetts Society of Radiologic Technologists To: MSRT Leadership

Re: Limited Scope Practice in Radiography

I am writing this letter regarding the current recommended changes to the MA Licensure requirements for Radiologic Technologists. I strongly oppose this change to the licensure of Radiologic Technologists. I see no place for a limited scope individual in the hospital setting, in an orthopedic office or in an urgent care facility.

I am currently the CT and MRI Manager for Southcoast Health, I am also a registered radiologic technologist in radiography and CT. I am opposed to the development of limited licensure in the commonwealth.

Developing a limited scope practice inclusive of limited education and training will not be sufficient to prepare an individual to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary to apply appropriate radiation safety principles, acquire quality images along with the skills necessary to adjust technical factors to improve image acquisition and develop a solid understanding of the principles and effects of radiation dose, all which impact one’s ability to produce quality radiographs to aid in the diagnosis and treatment of patients. Patients are very aware of their radiation exposure. I believe a limited scope education will increase the publics risk for radiation.

The scope of practice of a radiographer includes assessment skills to correlate the patient’s condition with the requested imaging procedure/exam, analytical ability to determine the appropriate technical factors based on the anatomy to be demonstrated along with the patient’s habitus and condition, a minimum of two years of academic training, the ability to evaluate the quality of an acquired image along with the knowledge needed to make any modifications to improve image quality and the ability to implement changes to image acquisition to ensure a quality exam.

I appreciate the opportunity to share my thoughts and position on this key piece of legislation that is being evaluated in the commonwealth and the potential negative impact that it can have on the practice of radiography in the state of Massachusetts.

Respectfully,

Sent from my iPhone

*Liz Langfield MBA RT® (CT)*