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2 INTRODUCTION

On October 20, 2016, Governor Charles Baker signed Executive Order (EO) 572 [To Promote the Testing and Deployment of Highly Automated Driving Technologies.] The Executive Order was signed concurrently with a similar order from Mayor Martin Walsh and the City of Boston and articulated the Commonwealth's support for innovating driver-assistive technologies, expressing the Commonwealth's belief that, if developed prudently, automated driving systems (i.e. autonomous vehicles\(^1\)) have the potential to transform personal mobility and road safety.

EO 572 established an Autonomous Vehicles Working Group to encourage the safe introduction of automated driving systems (ADS) onto Commonwealth roadways, and to provide input on potential policies, regulations, and legislation for consideration. The Working Group comprised members of MassDOT (including the Registry of Motor Vehicles and the Highway Division), the Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development, the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security, the Legislature and designees thereof.

The Working Group convened 10 meetings between October 2016 and September 2018, and covered topics including: automated vehicle technologies; governance and federal guidance for ADS; testing of ADS in other states and countries; the ADS industry and testing process in Massachusetts; existing laws and regulations; insurance and liability; cybersecurity; and related research initiatives. The Working Group convened a meeting at the Massachusetts State House to hear from Legislators about bills pertaining to automated vehicles, and in a subsequent meeting heard feedback from nearly 20 stakeholders including ADS companies, component developers, research institutions, and transportation advocacy organizations.

The following report provides a summary of key background information, and several recommendations for advancing the state of the ADS industry in the Commonwealth. The Appendix contains additional information about automated vehicle technologies, governance, the industry in the Commonwealth, initiatives in other states and nations, and materials from the Working Group meetings.

\(^1\) There are a variety of terms that have been used by industry, government, and consumers to describe various forms of automation in surface transportation (i.e., self-driving, autonomous, driverless, highly automated). For clarity, this document uses the terms “automated vehicles” and “ADS” throughout, as appropriate. “Automated vehicles” (AV) is a general term to describe the full range of automation functions, from dynamic cruise control through to fully-automated systems (SAE J3016 Levels 1-5). The term “Automated Driving System” (ADS) refers to the hardware and software which can perform the dynamic driving tasks in place of a human, for at least some period of time, within a designated operational domain (SAE J3016 Levels 3-5). “ADS” is synonymous with “autonomous vehicle” and “highly automated vehicle”. See 3.1 - Connected and Automated Driving Technologies for more information.
In addition to establishing the Working Group, EO 572 defined a process for MassDOT to allow the testing of ADS-equipped vehicles (SAE levels 3-5) on public roads. Among other requirements, the process included a memorandum of understanding with MassDOT and any affected municipality or state agency; an application to MassDOT including documentation of testing experience, testing and safety plans, insurance coverage, vehicle registration, and operator licensure; and a licensed driver in the vehicle with the ability to take immediate control as necessary.

To ensure that ADS-equipped vehicles are tested safely, the Commonwealth has developed a phased permitting approach. Whereas several states permit ADS testing on public ways without limitation, the Commonwealth has developed an approach which facilitates testing in well-defined environmental conditions and roadways of gradually increasing complexity. Massachusetts similarly recognizes the important role of municipalities in effectuating safe travel of citizens and visitors, and thus the importance of municipalities’ role in developing safe and effective policies and protocols for the introduction of new technologies to public roadways.

It will be necessary for ADS developers to test and refine their hardware and software on public roads, and this testing is likely to occur for many years to come as the systems become increasingly capable of navigating all types of interactions with other road users, complex roadway sections, and adverse weather conditions. The iterative application structure which the Commonwealth has developed ensures that ADS technologies have demonstrated safe and effective operation in closed course and simulated environments prior to conducting testing on public roads in similar environments. It is important that developers of ADS technologies be permitted to conduct safe testing in collaboration with municipal and state agencies to further both stakeholders’ understanding of the technologies and their efficacy in real-world environments.

Massachusetts is not waiting for connected or automated vehicles to reduce traffic fatalities to zero or prevent all roadway crashes, nor is it inherently certain that all connected and automated vehicle technologies will help us to meet this goal. There are many approaches and strategies which the Commonwealth is implementing to address travel safety, such as constructing safe roads through the Complete Streets program, developing multi-modal network plans, updating capital investment strategies, and coordinating construction and mitigation plans. MassDOT also recently updated its Strategic Highway Safety Plan, which outlines specific programs and opportunities for improving travel safety generally within the Commonwealth. Collaboration between State agencies, the Legislature, municipalities, and regional stakeholders to explore and understand ADS technologies represents another way in which the Commonwealth is working to address overall travel safety for road users.
The considerations developed by the AV Working Group build upon the Executive Order testing protocol and policies, and provide clear guidance for ADS testing in the near term. After the Working Group was created, the Commission on the Future of Transportation began its work, which included examining the longer-term deployment of ADS technologies. The Commission issued separate policy recommendations in its report in December 2018 which referenced the September 12, 2018 Draft AV Working Group Report and encompassed steps for supporting connected and automated vehicles.

The Working Group would like to thank everyone who participated in its efforts, including industry experts and members of the public. The strategic planning research conducted by the University of Massachusetts at Lowell on behalf of MassDOT and the research into regional automated vehicle issues by AECOM for the New England Transportation Center provided valuable insights for the Working Group’s consideration. The Group would like to also acknowledge the City of Boston’s Department of Transportation and Mayor’s Office of New Urban Mechanics, and the Metropolitan Area Planning Council for their leadership and engagement on the testing of ADS-equipped vehicles on public roads in the Commonwealth.

For questions or additional information, please contact: AVs@dot.state.ma.us
3 CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLES – OVERVIEW

3.1 CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED DRIVING TECHNOLOGIES

There are several innovative hardware and software systems that are fundamental to connected vehicles and automated driving systems. A new vocabulary has been established to define and regulate the nascent industry.

Connected vehicles employ vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) or vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) technologies that allow vehicles to send and receive critical safety information about their surroundings to improve awareness of potential crashes or other hazards. V2I technologies can support environmental and safety goals by providing traffic signal phase information, red light violation warnings, reduced speed/work zone warnings, and pedestrian in crosswalk warnings. V2V technologies can share information such as a vehicle’s speed and bearing to provide warnings or intervention in the case of an impending collision. V2I and V2V communications can detect developing threat situations up to a few hundred yards away and in situations in which the driver and on-board sensors alone may not be able to.

There are a variety of terms (i.e., self-driving, autonomous, driverless, highly automated) that have been used by industry, government, and consumers to describe various forms of automation in surface transportation. “Automation” and “automated vehicle” (AV) are general terms to describe the full range of automation functions, from dynamic cruise control through to fully-automated systems (SAE J3016 Levels 1-5).

The term “Automated Driving System” (ADS) refers to the combination of hardware and software which can conduct all dynamic driving tasks for at least some period of time within a designated operating domain (e.g. weather, road type, etc.). These dynamic driving tasks include steering, acceleration/deceleration, monitoring of the environment, and object and event detection and response. An ADS is also commonly described as an "autonomous vehicle", “highly automated vehicle”, or “self-driving” vehicle, though particular definitions can vary.

The most commonly accepted terminology is based on the SAE International standard J3016: Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to On-Road Motor Vehicle Automated Driving Systems. For the purposes of this report, the term “automated vehicles” includes both ADS and lower-level automated functions (SAE levels 1-5), and “automated driving system” (ADS) includes only those classified by SAE standards as level 3-5.
Level 0 – No Automation: No automated systems, full human driver operation.  
*Example:* Traditional cruise control systems (fixed-speed only).

Level 1 – Driver Assistance: Automated steering or acceleration/deceleration only. 
*Example:* Dynamic cruise control or lane-centering (individually, not in combination).

Level 2 – Partial Automation: Automated steering and acceleration/deceleration, with the human driver responsible for monitoring the environment and taking full control of steering and acceleration/deceleration instantaneously as needed. A variety of driver-state monitoring systems may be employed to ensure the human driver is monitoring the environment, such as driver-facing cameras and actuators within the steering wheel. Systems are designed to disengage and lock out the autonomous mode if a driver is not actively monitoring the environment. 
*Example:* Several automakers including Tesla and Cadillac have deployed level 2 systems which combine traffic-aware cruise control and lane centering. Cadillac specifies the road segments on which its level 2 system “Super Cruise” may be used.

Level 3 – Conditional Automation (Automated Driving System): Steering, acceleration/deceleration, and monitoring of the environment all conducted by the automated driving systems (ADS). A human driver must be seated and available for fallback takeover within ~2-10 seconds. If a human driver fails to takeover when necessary, the ADS must enter a minimal risk condition (i.e. safely stop). The requirements for a safe transition from an autonomous system to a human driver are unclear, and are a primary challenge for ADS developers and regulators. A level 3 vehicle must still have manual operator controls for “fallback” instances where a driver must take over, and for operational design domains which exceed that of the ADS. 
*Example:* No level 3 ADS are in deployment yet, but several manufacturers claim to release such vehicles in the next year or two in which drivers will not need to actively monitor the environment on specified roadways and in well-defined environmental conditions.

Level 4 – High Automation (Automated Driving System): No human operator needed for the vehicle to operate within its defined design domain and driving modes. The system can operate entirely autonomously on some roads, and in some environmental conditions, but not all. A level 4 vehicle may not require a steering wheel or other manual operator controls, but may be rendered inoperable if environmental conditions, such as heavy rain or snow, exceed the design limitations of the system. 
*Example:* Autonomous low-speed shuttles that operate within closed environments (such as large industrial complexes) or on public roads and campus environments.

Level 5 – Full Automation (Automated Driving System): The system can operate entirely autonomously in all conditions and on all roads. Many experts anticipate that, if it is possible, it will take several decades to fully develop and deploy a true level 5 vehicle.
An ADS (levels 3-5) is responsible for monitoring the driving environment and providing object and event detection and response. An ADS-equipped vehicle should navigate around an unanticipated object in the roadway, or reach a safe and minimal risk operating condition, without human intervention. A vehicle with lower-level automation functions, such as lane-keeping assistance and/or dynamic cruise control (levels 1-2), requires immediate human intervention if an object or unanticipated scenario is encountered.

ADS-equipped vehicles operate within well-defined operational design domains (ODD) which describe the boundary conditions within which an ADS is intended to operate, including but not limited to roadway types, speed range, environmental conditions (weather, daytime/nighttime, etc.), and other domain constraints. Within its ODD, an ADS is expected to be able to detect and respond to other vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists, animals, and objects both in and out of its travel path that could affect safe operation of the ADS. Object and event detection and response (OEDR) refers to this detection by a driver or ADS of any circumstance that is relevant to the immediate driving task, as well as the response to such a circumstance. An ADS’s OEDR should be able to deal with a variety of conditions including emergency vehicles, temporary work zones, and other unusual conditions (e.g., police manually directing traffic, construction worker controlling traffic, emergency response workers) that may impact safe operations within its operational design domain.

An ADS comprises many different hardware systems for localizing the vehicle on the roadway and perceiving its surrounding environment. Each type of sensor has strengths and weaknesses which must be accounted for. Autonomous driving is only feasible using a range of sensors which, when used in parallel, can reliably achieve precise localization and detailed perception of the environment. An ADS generally relies upon detailed base maps, in addition to the sensors and hardware systems, which enable it to understand precisely where it is (localization), and what is going on in that particular environment (perception).

ADS developers employ machine learning to process tremendous amounts of driving data which helps to develop and refine its operating systems. Developers must also subject their hardware and software systems to significant testing in simulations. Real-world tests can be combined with simulations to help reduce the number of miles required for validating performance. One of the most significant challenges in developing reliable autonomous (level 3-5) vehicles is being able to accurately identify objects.

Driver monitoring systems (DMS) are used to detect a human operator’s level of awareness. DMS are instrumental in level 1-3 vehicles wherein a driver may be required to take control of a vehicle. Driver attention monitoring is also beneficial for reducing crashes caused by drowsy, distracted, or impaired drivers. Most DMS rely on biological and/or automotive indicators. Biological signals include face and eye tracking via driver-facing cameras or
infrared sensors, and heart rate monitoring. In level 3 vehicles, DMS may be used to evaluate whether a driver is able to take over control of the vehicle any time such takeover becomes necessary. In vehicles with level 1-2 automation, DMS are critical to ensuring that a driver is consistently monitoring the environment and prepared to take over immediately.

Event Data Recorders (EDRs) can capture information such as a vehicle’s performance and driver actions immediately preceding and following a crash, and the resultant collision dynamics. NHTSA’s guidance and the Commonwealth’s testing requirements state that ADS-equipped vehicles should record all available information relevant to the crash, so that the circumstances of the crash can be reconstructed.

Connected vehicles are relevant to automated vehicles insofar as they may offer supplemental safety benefits through data provided from other vehicles or physical infrastructure, such as traffic lights. An automated vehicle does not need to be a “connected” vehicle in order to operate in autonomous mode; however connected vehicle technologies can be an integral supplement for both human operators and autonomous systems. There are several benefits to connected vehicle technologies, most notably that they offer a longer effective range than current ADS sensors, can communicate a wide range of information from multiple sources, and do not require an unobstructed line of sight (as ADS sensors generally do). Combining connected and automated vehicle technologies could offer great potential for improving vehicles’ awareness of roadway conditions and other road users (3).

For additional information about connected vehicles and ADS technologies, and the related industries, please consult the Appendix.
3.2 CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLE INDUSTRY IN MASSACHUSETTS

This overview represents the best efforts of the Working Group to provide its understanding of the connected and automated vehicles (C/AV) industry in Massachusetts, based on publicly available information. It is not a fully comprehensive review of the C/AV industry in the Commonwealth, which is developing rapidly. The Acts of 2018 Chapter 228 Section 54, signed by Governor Baker in August of 2018, directed the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative to conduct a more holistic study of the automated vehicle industry by the end of 2019, and issue recommendations on how to advance the state's competitiveness in the emerging industry.

Automated vehicles represent one industry within the rapidly growing intersection of robotics, automation, 'big data,' and machine learning. The Commonwealth is a leading development hub for artificial intelligence, robotics, automation, and key sensor components which comprise the enabling elements of C/AVs. Within the Commonwealth, there are a growing network of companies engaged in robotics, data processing, and artificial intelligence initiatives for use in fields from healthcare to advanced manufacturing and underwater or airborne vehicles.

The MIT Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (CSAIL) is a research institute at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology located within the Stata Center. Founded in 1963, the institute was one of the first to begin researching AI. The 1960s were a formative decade for organizations supporting the development of artificial intelligence (AI). The first two major academic laboratories were at MIT and Carnegie Mellon (then Carnegie Tech), with AI laboratories at Stanford and Edinburgh established soon after. MIT
remains today one of the world’s premier universities pioneering research and development of ADS technologies. In 2015, MIT-CSAIL announced a $25 million research center funded by Toyota to further the development of automated driving system (ADS) technologies.

In addition to the MIT CSAIL laboratory, Massachusetts has rich intellectual and research assets involved directly and indirectly with autonomous and connected vehicle technologies. Academic institutions involved in such research include several of the University of Massachusetts campuses, Boston University, Northeastern University, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, MIT, Harvard University, and others. The Commonwealth is also home to several renowned non-profit, defense, and company-based research institutions. MIT’s Lincoln Laboratory (Lexington) and the MITRE Corporation (Bedford) are two of the nation’s 42 federally funded research and development centers, which are public-private partnerships that conduct research on behalf of the United States government. The United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) Volpe Center (Cambridge) is a center of transportation and logistics expertise operating under USDOT. Draper Labs (Cambridge) is focuses on multidisciplinary engineering, positioning, navigation and timing microsystems, and autonomous systems.

ADS developers located in the Commonwealth include nuTonomy (an Aptiv company), Optimus Ride, NextDroid, and Toyota Research Institute. nuTonomy and Optimus Ride are currently testing in Massachusetts (see 3.3 ADS Testing in Massachusetts). Several firms in Massachusetts specialize in hardware and software systems, including Analog Devices, Autoliv, Neurala, Sensata, Analog Photonics, Aptiv, and more. In addition, many related companies like Zipcar, Amazon Robotics, Lyft, Uber, and Google have a presence in the Commonwealth.

The Commonwealth is also home to several industry-based organizations. MassRobotics is the collective work of a group of Boston-area engineers, scientists, and entrepreneurs. The goal of the organization is to create an innovation hub and startup cluster focused on the needs of the robotics community. MassRobotics develops common-need technology services, offers prototyping facilities, and promotes cost efficiencies by sharing services and testing space.

Closed-course testing facilities are necessary in the development of automated driving systems for operator training, validation of vehicle behavior and systems, calibration of hardware, and stress testing edge-case scenarios (such as adverse weather). One site used by several ADS developers and academic institution is the former Fort Devens campus. Devens was used for 79 years as the U.S. Army’s New England headquarters before being closed in 1996. The site contains both public and private roads, with 4,400 acres of mixed-
use development. The site currently permits closed-track testing for various vehicle types and functions on some of its extensive runways and closed track facilities. There is a nominal daily charge for facility use.

The Commonwealth’s support for automated vehicle development is focused on several key enabling technologies: photonic sensors, robotics, and cybersecurity. The Massachusetts Manufacturing Innovation Initiative (M2I2) provides capital cost shares for projects and discovery centers located within the Commonwealth’s borders, as part of the state’s ongoing commitment to the Manufacturing USA program put forth by the federal government. Since 2016, the Commonwealth has invested more than $14M via M2I2 program in AIM Photonics and ARM Manufacturing USA institutes supporting Massachusetts companies and research institutions focusing on photonics and robotics technologies and applications.

Among several technology sectors within the Commonwealth, a significant focus has been placed on cybersecurity, leading to the creation of the MassCyberCenter. Currently housed as an initiative within the Massachusetts Technology Collaborative (MassTech), the MassCyberCenter is a government-backed initiative to advance the regional cybersecurity ecosystem.
3.3 ADS TESTING IN MASSACHUSETTS

nuTonomy and Optimus Ride have been permitted to test ADS-equipped vehicles by MassDOT and the City of Boston (in December 2016 and May 2017, respectively) and are actively conducting research and development activities within the City on designated roadways and in defined environmental conditions, per their respective testing plans.

nuTonomy currently has approval from MassDOT and the City of Boston to conduct testing on any Boston- or MassDOT-owned state roadway within the City’s jurisdiction with speed limits of 35 mph or less, in daytime and nighttime hours and in weather including light rain and fog. nuTonomy began expanding testing out of the Seaport in June 2018, and has begun testing in limited areas of South Boston.

Optimus Ride has approval from MassDOT, the City of Boston, and Massport to operate in parts of the Seaport and Marine Industrial Park, in daylight and nighttime hours, and weather including light rain.

Optimus Ride received approval in October 2018 from MassDOT and the jurisdictions within Union Point to conduct testing and a passenger carriage pilot within the Union Point development. The company is conducting phased testing in Union Point, and is currently carrying (non-paying) passengers in daylight and good weather or light rain conditions. The passengers comprise residents and employees at the Union Point development, and the service connects to the South Weymouth Commuter Rail station directly adjacent to the site. Optimus Ride is also permitted to test in Union Point without passengers in daylight and night hours, and in moderate rain conditions.
4 Governance

There are several layers of local, state, and federal governance that apply to traditional motor vehicles and human operators.

The Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) were first introduced in 1966 and now include 73 separate standards that generally focus on crash avoidance, crashworthiness, and post-crash survivability. The current FMVSS do not explicitly address automated vehicle technology and often assume the presence of a human driver. The standards will have to be updated in order to accommodate changes to vehicle design and operation, but the timing of this is uncertain.

Traditionally, a manufacturer self-certifies that the vehicle being manufactured and sold meets the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS). The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), part of USDOT, is authorized by the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (49 U.S.C. Chapter 301) to issue FMVSS that set performance requirements for new motor vehicles and new items of motor vehicle equipment. Under the Safety Act, NHTSA does not provide approvals of motor vehicles or motor vehicle equipment and does not make determinations as to whether a product conforms to the FMVSS outside of an NHTSA compliance test. The Safety Act requires manufacturers to self-certify that their products conform to all applicable FMVSS that are in effect on the date of manufacture. Manufacturers also are responsible for ensuring that their products are free of safety-related defects. NHTSA checks compliance with the FMVSS by testing vehicles and regulated equipment. NHTSA also investigates safety-related defects and conducts related enforcement and recall actions. Finally, NHTSA can issue interpretations for how vehicles may comply with FMVSS.

NHTSA and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) may be required to issue exemptions or waivers to allow for ADS tests. nuTonomy’s Renault ZOE vehicles being tested in the Commonwealth are provided with EPA exemptions for nonconformity, as they are European cars not traditionally sold in the US. NHTSA must issue exemptions for any vehicle which does not meet FMVSS to be testing on public ways. NHTSA can provide a maximum of 2,500 FMVSS exemptions annually. Pending federal legislation in the House and Senate both seek to address this limited number of exemptions in addition to several other provisions intended to streamline ADS testing and deployment.

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), an independent federal agency, is charged with determining the probable cause of transportation accidents and promoting transportation safety. In the course of its investigations, NTSB regularly issues...
recommendations to federal regulatory agencies such as USDOT and NHTSA, Congress, and vehicle manufacturers and industry groups. NTSB has conducted several investigations of level 1-3 automated vehicle crashes, and will investigate future ADS crashes as necessary.

In September 2017, USDOT issued an update to its Federal Automated Vehicles Policy, Automated Driving Systems 2.0. The document includes a 12-point Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment for ADS manufacturers, and best practices guidance for state legislature and transportation departments regarding vehicle licensing and registration, traffic laws and enforcement, and motor vehicle insurance and liability regimes. NHTSA has defined a commitment to use existing regulatory tools to advance the testing and deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles, including: interpretations, exemptions, notice-and-comment rulemaking, and defects and enforcement authority. A detailed review of the federal guidance is included in the Appendix section.

In October 2018, USDA released new Federal guidance for automated vehicles, Automated Vehicles 3.0: Preparing for the Future of Transportation. This builds upon USDOT’s 2.0: A Vision for Safety and provides guidance for states to consider for the training and licensing of test drivers. It also offers guidance for testing entities to consider driver engagement methods during testing.

At the state level, the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Registry of Motor Vehicles (RMV) is charged with licensing operators through administration of a road test and written exam, and registering vehicles with proper titling and insurance documentation. In addition, the RMV requires annual vehicle inspections to ensure compliance with manufacture and performance standards, such as the functionality of seat belts and braking capacity. The state is also responsible for enacting and enforcing traffic laws and regulations, and regulating motor vehicle insurance and liability.

A manufacturer’s certificate of origin or statement of origin (MCO or MSO) is used by most jurisdictions for titling and registering a new motor vehicle. The MCO document contains information such as the make, model, vehicle identification number (VIN), horse power, gross vehicle weight, etc. A vehicle Certificate of Registration is issued by the RMV for identification of a vehicle and its owner. Massachusetts General Laws (M.G.L) Chapter 90, Chapter 6C, and Code of Massachusetts Regulations 540 (C.M.R.) include the legislative authority, definitions of vehicle types and registration processes, operator licensure, vehicle use and operations, and insurance requirements.

MassDOT regulates the use of public ways under its jurisdiction, including the Massachusetts Turnpike and the Metropolitan Highway System. In addition, MassDOT is directed to administer a long-range statewide transportation plan which includes
intermodal and integrated transportation, and develop and administer procedures for transportation project selection. Other responsibilities of MassDOT include to: establish transit facilities and related infrastructure; act as the central entity and coordinating organization for transportation initiatives on behalf of the Commonwealth and work in collaboration with governmental and other entities to advance transportation interests; disburse, appropriate, grant, loan or allocate funds for investing in transportation initiatives; provide assistance to local entities, authorities, public bodies for purposes of maximizing opportunities for transportation and development; and ensure regional equity related to transportation planning, construction, repair, maintenance, capital improvement.

M.G.L. Ch. 40 § 22 permits municipalities to regulate certain forms of vehicles on local roadways, and set municipal licensing or registration fees. However, municipalities cannot bar the use of “passenger or station-wagon type motor vehicles” registered for commercial use where non-commercial passenger-type vehicles operate (1). A city or town may also regulate the parking of vehicles by restricting certain areas within its control, including through the installation and operation of parking meters.

The Massachusetts Port Authority (Massport) is empowered to and has promulgated rules and regulations governing the conduct of its business and affairs and the use of its roadways and facilities, including some areas within the South Boston Seaport, the Logan International Airport, and other Massport properties. Title 740 of the C.M.R. provides Massport regulations regarding general public safety, vehicular operations, and commercial ground transportation services.

Similarly, the Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) has distinct regulatory authority which may impact the operation of motor vehicles on DCR roadways (M.G.L. Ch 92 §37 and 132A §7). DCR may make rules and regulations for the government and use of all property under the control of DCR, including all roads, driveways, parkways, boulevards and bridges. Such rules and regulations may provide for the payment of fees and other charges for the parking of vehicles and for other privileges within DCR territory. DCR requires an annual permit for commercial non-construction vehicles which access DCR roadways (2).

Existing State motor vehicles laws neither expressly allow nor expressly prohibit the testing of highly automated vehicles with a backup driver on public roadways in the Commonwealth. To facilitate such testing, Executive Order 572 defined a process which requires companies to submit an Application to MassDOT in addition to executing a memorandum of agreement (MOA) with MassDOT and any municipalities or other State agencies whose road facilities will be utilized. MassDOT developed an initial ADS Testing
Application in November of 2016, and signed the first memorandum and Letter of Approval in December.

In June of 2018, MassDOT signed an agreement with fourteen municipalities and the Department of Conservation and Recreation (“DCR”) to streamline and standardize testing in participating jurisdictions through a regional Application and template MOA process. Any interested municipalities are encouraged to sign on to the MOU to facilitate testing. The current signatories include:

- Arlington
- Boston
- Braintree
- Brookline
- Cambridge
- Chelsea
- Medford
- Melrose
- Newton
- Revere
- Somerville
- Weymouth
- Winthrop
- Worcester
- DCR

The Working Group provided its feedback and considerations to MassDOT on the initial and revised Application documents, which can be found on the mass.gov website under “How to test autonomous vehicles in Massachusetts”.
5 RECOMMENDATIONS

The autonomous and connected vehicles industry and associated regulatory frameworks are rapidly evolving, however the timing of automated driving systems (ADS) deployments, the efficacy of the technologies, and the types of automation functions and services which will be introduced to the commercial market are all uncertain. It is important for policymakers and members of the public to understand the technical context in which ADS-equipped vehicles are being developed and the operational environments in which they are being tested. The Commonwealth should continue to monitor developments in the industry while also proactively planning for a variety of different futures in which automation plays a greater and lesser role.

There are numerous potential benefits that may be realized through the strategic testing and deployment of connected and automated vehicle (C/AV) technologies:

1. Improve travel safety for all road users by reducing the occurrence of human errors
2. Reduce transportation emissions and encourage low emissions travel options, shared mobility, and integration with transit and active mobility options
3. Improve transportation affordability and accessibility in communities across the Commonwealth
4. Support mobility and equitable transportation options for all demographics
5. Balance competing land use demands for road right of way space and parking facilities
6. Reduce costs due to crashes, congestion, and pollution mitigation
7. Support workforce transitions and education for new automated industries
8. Promote economic development and encourage C/AV hardware and software innovation, research and development, and supply chain manufacturing
9. Standardize road map data including curb access, egress points, shoulder and easement areas, signage, etc. to digitize road rules and infrastructure assets
10. Standardize data-sharing protocols for performance and operations of C/AVs to support research and transportation planning efforts

As the C/AV industry is still in its infancy, it is uncertain if and when these benefits will be realized. There are also many potential negative impacts of automated driving systems, such as increased congestion, emissions, and sprawl. Testing ADS-equipped vehicles on public roads could introduce additional risk to the roadway, as the use of unproven technologies or unsafe testing can undermine the potential long-term safety of AVs. With nearly 400 roadway fatalities in Massachusetts in 2017, however, there is an inherent risk
in using a roadway today, and thus a need to identify and support solutions to make the roads safer for all modes.

States and municipalities have a significant role in connected and automated vehicles testing and deployment, including but not limited to: operator licensure; vehicle inspections; insurance and liability; enforcement of operational and business-related laws and regulations; and general road design, construction, maintenance, and travel safety.

It is necessary and prudent for the Commonwealth to maintain a deliberative and iterative process for permitting the testing and eventual deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles on public ways. An informed and structured application and permitting process can help to mitigate the safety risks of ADS testing while allowing the Commonwealth to actively shape the deployment and utilization of ADS-equipped vehicles to equitably serve its residents and reduce crashes due to human error. A defined strategy and policy approach to testing and deployment of autonomous and connected vehicles will also support long-term investments in development of the technologies within the Commonwealth.

The testing approval process developed through EO 572 has accomplished the goal of facilitating safe testing activities on public ways with a driver in the vehicle and support of participating jurisdictions. The Working Group recommends that MassDOT continue to take the lead role in facilitating testing under the conditions prescribed in EO 572 with a backup driver in the vehicle and able to take immediate control as necessary.

To support current and expanded connected vehicles and ADS activities in the Commonwealth, MassDOT will need both expertise and resources. In the short term, MassDOT staff should continue to work in conjunction with other pertinent state agencies and regional and municipal bodies to refine the existing procedures for testing ADS-equipped vehicles in Massachusetts; begin engagement with first responders; transition to a regulatory structure; and expand participation in the testing program. Current efforts to participate in regional initiatives, such as the New England Vehicle Consortium and I-95 Corridor Coalition, and to advance efforts such as first responder training and consumer outreach and education, should all continue.

Legislative authority may be necessary in advance of regulating the testing of driverless vehicles and vehicles without standard operating equipment (steering wheel, gas, brake, etc.) The Working Group has developed a set of considerations for the Legislature in the regulation of such testing. The Connected and Automated Vehicle Committee could support continued engagement with the Legislature on ADS testing activities and considerations for the regulation of driverless testing and deployment.
5.1 **ESTABLISHING A CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLE COMMITTEE**

Over the longer term, and perhaps in response to legislation or a new regulatory scheme for the operation of vehicles equipped with automated driving systems (ADS) in Massachusetts, the Commonwealth should establish and lead a robust connected and automated vehicle (C/AV) Committee, including possibly representatives of the Legislature, the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security (EOPSS), the Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development (EOHED), the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, municipalities, law enforcement and first responders, regional planning agencies, regional transit authorities, seniors, people with disabilities, active transportation advocates, and other stakeholders. This body should be an active, working Committee, supported by staff from MassDOT and other agencies as needed, with responsibility and purview for a large range of issues. Meetings of the Committee should be open to the public and should be a forum for engagement with industry experts, stakeholders, and the general public.

The Committee should be generally tasked with evaluating the progress of the connected vehicles and ADS technologies; monitoring relevant ADS testing and deployment initiatives and policies; providing support for ADS legislation as described in the final Working Group Recommendation; considering whether and how to permit testing of ADS equipped on trucks, shuttles, and sidewalk vehicles; identifying C/AV infrastructure needs and opportunities; and other general C/AV activities as deemed necessary.

The Committee should research metrics, standards, and processes which could help the Commonwealth evaluate the functional safety of an ADS-equipped vehicle for the purposes of reviewing testing applications and information provided in progress reports. Such metrics, standards, and/or processes are not intended to preempt the role that the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration should play in determining the safety of vehicles, but should help MassDOT and its stakeholders to understand whether a technology has achieved a minimum level of competency to perform the dynamic driving tasks within its design domain, and if the developer and its test drivers are conducting testing in a prudent manner to provide overall functional safety.

In addition, MassDOT and the C/AV Committee should develop protocols for handling crashes and/or failures of the automated systems. The Committee should further provide ongoing support to MassDOT and EOPSS in outreach and education about C/AV technologies and operations for first responders, driver license examiners, and motor vehicle inspectors. The C/AV Committee should also monitor legislative and regulatory initiatives at the federal and state levels, and provide input as necessary.
Finally, there will be many questions to research as the industry continues to develop, including how ADS-equipped vehicles may impact the transportation systems for people and goods, the role of mobility-as-a-service and fleet providers, resulting GHG emissions with continued electrification of the transportation sector, and changes to state and local revenues in addition to capital and operating expenditures. The Committee should identify and pursue research and funding opportunities for connected and automated vehicle technology research and implementation, in partnership with academic and research institutions in the Commonwealth when possible.
5.2 Engaging First Responders and Law Enforcement

The Working Group recommends that MassDOT and the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security (EOPSS) initiate meetings and workshops with first responders and law enforcement in jurisdictions which have opted in to permit ADS testing. Such workshops should be offered on a regular basis as the technology develops, and in collaboration with related organizations and stakeholders at the state and national levels. The information provided in the initial workshops should include:

1. The types of connected and automated vehicle technologies currently deployed on the roads today (in production vehicles)
2. Ongoing and planned testing of ADS-equipped vehicles in the region
3. Application documents, including the first responders interaction plans for ADS testing in the region
4. Frequently asked questions and considerations
5. Points of contact for relevant agencies

Moving forward, information about how to respond to an incident involving an ADS-equipped vehicle should be developed, referencing examples and best practices within the industry. Information prepared for these purposes should be made available online, along with any active applications and respective first responder interaction plans.
5.3 MOVING FROM EXECUTIVE ORDER TO REGULATION

MassDOT has developed an effective and collaborative approach for permitting the testing of ADS-equipped vehicles with a backup driver, following the guidance of Executive Order 572. To build on the existing testing process, the Working Group recommends that MassDOT exercise its authority under Massachusetts General Law Chapter 90 §31 to draft and adopt regulations which encompass the terms of the Memorandum of Agreement.

The regulatory structure should be limited to testing in conditions defined by EO 572, including the need for a backup driver present in the vehicle and able to take immediate control of the dynamic driving task. The regulatory process should allow for the carriage of passengers as part of a pilot test, provided such passenger tests adhere to any relevant ride-for-hire laws and regulations and agreements with road owners. MassDOT should consider whether the regulatory process could allow for the testing of low-speed vehicles without standard driver controls. In addition, the regulations should consider any technical assistance and public outreach support for municipalities which may be necessary. Finally, the framework should consider a sunset clause for the entire regulation, or particular elements that may be waived or eliminated in the future as the technology develops.

The process for drafting and filing regulations will include a public comment phase, which will allow MassDOT an additional opportunity to consider feedback to the permitting protocols and requirements.

In parallel with transitioning to a regulatory framework, MassDOT should continue to iteratively develop the application and phased testing protocol, with a focus on public safety and engagement with state and local transportation officials and first responders.
5.4 **ESTABLISHING LEGISLATION**

Legislative authority may be necessary in advance of permitting the operation of ADS-equipped vehicles without a safety driver, and vehicles without standard human operator controls (steering wheel, gas, brake, etc). Statutory revisions may also be necessary prior to the deployment of fully-driverless systems and related commercial services in the Commonwealth. The Working Group has developed a set of general considerations for the Legislature on the regulation of driverless testing and future deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles. The C/AV Committee should support continued engagement with the Legislature regarding ongoing and future ADS testing activities, and input on when and how to regulate driverless testing and ADS deployment.

1. Provide clear and defined authority for MassDOT and RMV to promulgate regulations for ADS testing, including driverless vehicles and vehicles without standard operator controls
2. Review existing statutory definitions relating to motor vehicles and operators, and develop new definitions for key terms including automated driving system (ADS), operator, minimal risk condition, dynamic driving task, and others as necessary
3. Review vehicle codes, applicable traffic laws, terms and definitions, and similar items to determine if there are legislative or regulatory barriers that may unnecessarily restrict testing and deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles on public roads. In particular, the following sections of the Massachusetts General Laws (MGL) and the Code of Massachusetts Regulations (CMR) may be most relevant:
   - MGL Ch 89: Law of the Road
   - MGL Ch 90 §1: Definitions
   - MGL Ch 90 §7: Vehicle Standards
   - MGL Ch 90 §8: Licensure
   - MGL Ch 90 §10: Right to Operate
   - MGL Ch 90 §13: Operations
   - MGL Ch 90 §20: Violations
   - MGL Ch 90 §22: Violation / Suspension
   - MGL Ch 90 §24: OUI, Reckless Driving
   - MGL Ch 90 §31: Registrar’s Authority
   - 540 CMR 2.0: Definitions
   - 540 CMR 4.0: Inspections
   - 540 CMR 23.0: Licensing / Education
   - 540 CMR 24.0: Medical Qualification
4. Require proof of insurance prior to operation of an ADS
5. Review liability of original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) for third party or after-market vehicle conversions
6. Establish clear liability for level 4-5 ADS-equipped vehicles
7. Review existing statutes pertaining to ride-for-hire services and consider revisions as necessary for future deployment of autonomous ride-for-hire services
8. Support further study or consideration of enforcement actions, insurance requirements for driverless vehicles, data sharing, cybersecurity, potential impacts on the transportation system, legislative and regulatory ‘best practices,’ or other C/AV research
6 CONCLUSION

Automated and connected vehicles offer many potential benefits for the Commonwealth. The ways in which these technologies are tested and introduced into the public domain will have an impact on their adoption, and the policy decisions of the Commonwealth may influence the extent to which benefits are realized. An effective permitting process to test automated driving systems helps to mitigate safety risks and improve public perception and trust as the technologies are being developed. Strategic policies for the future deployment and utilization of ADS-equipped vehicles can support development of connected and automated vehicle technologies in the Commonwealth to enhance safety, promote equitable access, reduce congestion and emissions, and improve overall mobility.

The Working Group Report was prepared to establish a basis of connected and automated vehicle technologies, the industry in the Commonwealth, governance of the sector, and examples of regulations and testing in other states and nations. The recommendations developed by the Working Group provide a clear framework for ADS testing for the near future. After the Working Group was created, the Commission on the Future of Transportation began its work, which included examining the longer-term deployment of ADS technologies. The Commission issued separate policy recommendations which encompassed connected vehicles and automated driving systems in its report in December 2018.

The Appendix contains additional information about: connected and automated vehicle technologies; the C/AV industry in Massachusetts; domestic and international initiatives; related research and guidance; and federal legislation, regulations, and guidance.

For questions or additional information, please contact: AVs@dot.state.ma.us
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7.1 CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES

The following is not intended to be a comprehensive review of the industry, given the highly complex nature of the technologies involved and rapid rate of development within the sector. This introduction represents the best efforts of the Working Group to provide its current understanding of the technologies which comprise connected and automated vehicles.

An automated driving system (ADS) is generally described as a vehicle which can conduct all of the dynamic driving tasks for at least some period of time within a designated operating domain (weather, road type, etc.). The dynamic driving task includes steering, acceleration and deceleration, monitoring of the environment, and object and event detection and response. An ADS is also commonly described as a “autonomous vehicle”, or “highly automated vehicle”, or “self-driving” vehicle, though particular definitions can vary.

The distinction between an autonomous and non-autonomous vehicle is primarily based on whether the human operator or ADS is responsible for monitoring the driving environment and providing object and event detection and response. An ADS should navigate around an unanticipated object in the roadway, or reach a safe and minimal risk operating condition, without human intervention. A vehicle with lower-level automation functions, such as lane-keeping assistance and/or dynamic cruise control (levels 1-2), requires immediate human intervention if an object is encountered.

All ADS-equipped vehicles will, for the foreseeable future, be constrained to a limited operational design domain (ODD), which defines the boundary conditions within which an ADS is designed to operate, including but not limited to roadway types, speed range, environmental conditions (weather, daytime/nighttime, etc.), and other domain constraints. Within its ODD, an ADS is expected to be able to detect and respond to other vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists, animals, and objects both in and out of its travel path that could affect safe operation of the ADS-equipped vehicle. Object and event detection and response (OEDR) refers to this detection by a driver or ADS of any circumstance that is relevant to the immediate driving task, as well as the response to such a circumstance. An ADS’s OEDR should be able to deal with a variety of conditions including emergency vehicles, temporary work zones, and other unusual conditions (e.g., police manually directing traffic, construction worker controlling traffic, emergency response workers) that may impact safe operations of an ADS within its operational design domain.

The most commonly accepted terminology is based on the SAE International standard J3016: Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to On-Road Motor Vehicle Automated Driving Systems. For the purposes of this report, the term “ADS” includes those classified by
SAE standards as level 3-5. Level 1-2 vehicles are included within the term “automated vehicles”, which covers levels 1-5.

- **Level 0 – No Automation**: No automated systems, full human driver operation.  
  *Example*: Traditional cruise control systems.

- **Level 1 – Driver Assistance**: Automated steering or acceleration/deceleration, otherwise full human driver operation.  
  *Example*: Dynamic cruise control or lane-keep or lane-centering (used individually, not in combination).

- **Level 2 – Partial Automation**: Automated steering and acceleration/deceleration, with the human driver responsible for monitoring the environment and taking full control of steering and acceleration/deceleration instantaneously as needed. A variety of driver-state monitoring systems may be employed to ensure the human driver is monitoring the environment, such as driver-facing cameras and actuators within the steering wheel. Systems are designed to disengage and lock out the autonomous mode if a driver is not actively monitoring the environment.  
  *Example*: Several automakers including Tesla and Cadillac have deployed level 2 systems which combine traffic-aware cruise control and lane centering. Cadillac specifies the road segments on which its level 2 system “Super Cruise” may be used.

- **Level 3 – Conditional Automation**: Steering, acceleration/deceleration, and monitoring of the environment all conducted by the ADS. A human driver must be seated and available for fallback takeover within ~2-10 seconds. If a human driver fails to takeover when necessary, the ADS must enter a minimal risk condition (i.e. safely stop). The requirements for a safe transition from an autonomous system to a human driver are unclear, and are a primary challenge for ADS developers and regulators. A level 3 vehicle must still have manual operator controls for when a driver must take over, and for operational design domains the vehicle is not capable of. Some experts do not consider a level 3 vehicle to be an “ADS” given the necessity for a human operator.  
  *Example*: None yet in deployment, but several manufacturers claim to release such vehicles in the next year or two in which drivers will not need to actively monitor the environment on specified roadways and in well-defined environmental conditions.

- **Level 4 – High Automation**: No human operator is needed for the vehicle to operate within its defined design domain and driving modes. The system can operate entirely autonomously on some roads, and in some environmental conditions, but not all. A level 4 vehicle may not require a steering wheel or other manual operator controls, but may be rendered inoperable if environmental conditions, such as heavy rain or snow, exceed the design limitations of the system.
**Example:** Autonomous shuttles that operate within closed environments (such as large industrial complexes) or on public low-speed routes and campus environments.

- **Level 5 – Full Automation:** The system can operate entirely autonomously in all conditions and on all roads. Many experts anticipate it will take several decades to fully develop a level 5.

SAE International - J3016: Taxonomy and Definitions for Terms Related to On-Road Motor Vehicle Automated Driving Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAE level</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Narrative Definition</th>
<th>Execution of Steering and Acceleration/Deceleration</th>
<th>Monitoring of Driving Environment</th>
<th>Fallback Performance of Dynamic Driving Task</th>
<th>System Capability (Driving Modes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No Automation</td>
<td>the full-time performance by the human driver of all aspects of the dynamic driving task, even when enhanced by warning or intervention systems</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Driver Assistance</td>
<td>the driving mode-specific execution by a driver assistance system of either steering or acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the human driver perform all remaining aspects of the dynamic driving task</td>
<td>Human driver and system</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Some driving modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partial Automation</td>
<td>the driving mode-specific execution by one or more driver assistance systems of both steering and acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the human driver perform all remaining aspects of the dynamic driving task</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Some driving modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Conditional Automation</td>
<td>the driving mode-specific performance by an automated driving system of all aspects of the dynamic driving task, with the expectation that the human driver will respond appropriately to a request to intervene</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Human driver</td>
<td>Some driving modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>High Automation</td>
<td>the driving mode-specific performance by an automated driving system of all aspects of the dynamic driving task, even if a human driver does not respond appropriately to a request to intervene</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Some driving modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Full Automation</td>
<td>the full-time performance by an automated driving system of all aspects of the dynamic driving task under all roadway and environmental conditions that can be managed by a human driver</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>All driving modes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When testing a level 3-5 vehicle, the testing entity is responsible for monitoring and evaluating the vehicle’s performance, including effective monitoring of the environment and appropriate response to any object and event detection. Testing of a level 3-5 ADS with a human backup driver is most similar to how a driver operates a level 2 vehicle: the driver must be actively monitoring the environment and able to take control if needed, and may not be distracted or otherwise disengaged (i.e. reading, sleeping, eating, etc.). Testing a level 4-5 ADS may entail remote monitoring and tele-operations to actively evaluate the vehicles' performance and intervene as necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>SAE Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route Planning</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deceleration</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring Environment</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tactical</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object and Event Detection and Response (OEDR)</td>
<td>3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallback</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.1.1 Hardware Systems

An ADS is equipped with many different hardware systems for localizing the vehicle on the roadway, and perceiving its surrounding environment.

**Global positioning systems (GPS)**

- **Localize vehicle using satellite triangulation.**
- **Accuracy is within several meters.**

**Light detection and ranging (lidar)**

- **Uses light beams to estimate distance between obstacles and sensors with high resolution.**

**Cameras**

- **Use inexpensive hardware that requires complex software suite to interpret collected images.**

**Radio detection and ranging (radar)**

- **Uses electromagnetic waves in certain bands to reflect off of an object and determine its speed and distance.**

**Infrared sensors**

- **Use infrared spectrum to identify and track objects that are hard to detect in low lighting conditions.**

**Ultrasonic sensors**

- **Generally have low resolution and are used for short distances (e.g., park assist).**

**Dedicated short-range communication (DSRC)**

- **Used for vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) and vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) systems to receive and send vehicle and infrastructure (e.g., road, traffic light) information.**

**Inertial navigation systems (INS)**

- **Use accelerometers and gyroscopes to estimate vehicle position, orientation, and speed. Typically used in combination with other vehicle-related data (e.g., GPS).**

**Prebuilt maps**

- **High-definition maps with detailed information about roads and infrastructure (e.g., shoulders, road edges, lanes) are used for precise localization and allow vehicles to better perceive their environment.**

**Odometry sensors**

- **Use wheel speed to estimate how much vehicle travels.**
LIDAR, cameras, radar, and ultrasound are considered “perception” sensors, which are synthesized to establish a detailed 3-dimensional electronic map of the surrounding environment. “Localization” sensors include the global positioning system (GPS), inertial navigation systems, and wheel odometry sensors which calculate where the vehicle is on the roadway at any given moment. The range of sensors utilized, the placement locations on the vehicle, and the quality of the instruments all have profound impacts on the vehicle’s overall perception and localization capabilities. Each type of sensor, as described below, has strengths and weaknesses which must be accounted for. ADS-equipped vehicles are made possible only by using a range of sensors which, when used in parallel, can reliably achieve precise localization and detailed perception of the environment.

Brandon Schoettle of the University of Michigan authored a white paper on sensing capabilities of human drivers and highly automated vehicles, including the above table (5). The study found that while no individual sensor completely equals human sensing capabilities alone, some offer capabilities not possible for a human driver. In daytime conditions, the human eye is capable of seeing at least a half of a mile, but this is reduced to only about 250 feet at night under low-beam headlamp conditions. Camera systems can function at about 500 feet or less in daylight, but are similarly subject to performance reductions in low light and poor weather conditions. However, radar and LIDAR systems function about as well at night as during the day, at a range of up to 750-1,000 feet. Rain, snow, and fog conditions can cause LIDAR systems to sense a significant amount of ‘white noise’. These effects may be mitigated using machine learning, as Google recently demonstrated (6).
LIDAR stands for Light Detection and Ranging technology, which function similarly to radar systems. LIDAR systems measure the distance to a target by illuminating that target with a pulsed laser light and measuring the reflected pulses with a sensor. Differences in laser return times and wavelengths can then be used to make digital 3D-representations of the target. The technology is used to make high-resolution maps and has applications in archaeology, geography, geology, seismology, forestry, atmospheric physics, and more. LIDAR use small wavelength lasers which provide very fine detail resolution, but are susceptible to falling rain and snow, and require unobstructed lines of sight to function effectively (7).

LIDAR systems are generally a core component of ADS; however some companies - most notably Tesla - have stated intentions to develop level 3-5 automated driving systems without any LIDAR system (8).

When the LIDAR is active, it emits dozens of laser light pulses, and analyzes the light that bounces back, collecting up to a million individual data points per second. An inertial sensor tracks the pitch, roll, and yaw of the car so that the LIDAR data can be corrected for the position of the car. Depending on the LIDAR design, it may have about a 10 - 30° field of view vertically. Some have limited horizontal fields of view, while others provide a full 360° horizontal perspective. At street level, the margin of error of most LIDAR is at most a few centimeters, and the effective range can vary between about 100 to 500 feet (9).

The “point cloud” developed by a LIDAR can be used to create a detailed 3D map of the surrounding environment in order to view all obstacles in real time. The current cost of a high-end LIDAR system can be upwards of $50,000, however several companies are developing new solid-state LIDAR systems with expectations to significantly reduce the cost of such sensors.
Solid-State LIDAR Systems

Many companies are pioneering efforts to create a solid-state integrated photonics LIDAR system. Such systems are slated for production by various manufacturers within the next few years, with promises to be smaller, lighter, and several orders of magnitude cheaper at production scale. Sensata is one Massachusetts-based company (Attleboro) which is pioneering solid state LIDAR research and development in partnership with Quanergy, a California-based startup.

Today: Mechanical LIDAR
- Revolving Mirrors, Lenses and Motors
- Current unit cost from $5,000 - $75,000

The Future: Integrated Photonics LIDAR
- Lighter, Cheaper, Smaller, No Moving Parts
- Targeted unit cost under $1,000

7.1.1.2 Radar, Ultrasound, and Cameras

Ultrasound is an object detection system which emits ultrasonic sound waves and detects their return to determine distance to within a centimeter. Ultrasound is useful for short-range detection, with a limit of about 15 feet, and can be used in difficult weather conditions with high accuracy. Ultrasound is used in mostly parking assist functions, though Tesla and other companies employ longer-range ultrasound systems to detect cars in adjacent lanes, up to about 16 feet away (10).

Radar is small and inexpensive, with good range and effectiveness in inclement weather, but relatively poor resolution compared with other sensors. Radar can provide the velocity, range and angle of objects. Short and medium-range radar (up to 250’) is used for applications such as cross-traffic alerts, forward and rear collision warnings, adaptive cruise control, and blind spot detection. Long-range radar (250-600’) is used in adaptive cruise control systems. Radar systems struggle to detect and measure height.
Cameras and sophisticated object detection algorithms can be used for parking assist, blind-spot detection, lane departure warnings, and some traffic sign recognition. Cameras with image recognition systems have recently become cheaper and smaller, while providing high-resolution. The range and performance of cameras fall in low-light environments and with precipitation, but cameras are the only sensor that can capture texture, color, and contrast information to enable image processing and classification. Cameras are thus effectively necessary for most ADS-equipped vehicles operating in mixed traffic. However, cameras alone are not very effective for depth perception. (11)
7.1.1.3 Global Positioning Systems

Very precise geolocation information is needed by ADS-equipped vehicles to understand exactly where they are in the physical world. A vehicle can “localize” itself in the surroundings using a highly detailed map and accurate GPS. Current GPS allows only a limited level of accuracy; therefore, it is likely that more precise GPS must be developed and deployed to meet the demands of current and future ADS mapping needs. Highly-precise, centimeter-accurate global position system services will be utilized in automated driving systems, unmanned aerial vehicles, robotics, maritime, transportation/logistics, agricultural operations, and outdoor industrial applications. Newer GPS systems provide accuracy up to 100 times that of traditional GPS found in a typical phone, to an accuracy of within centimeters (12). In the case that GPS is not available, such as a tunnel or canyon, other systems such as inertial measurement units must be used to localize the vehicle on the roadway.

7.1.1.4 Inertial Measurement Units

Inertial Measurement Units (IMUs), also known as inertial navigational systems, include gyroscopes and accelerometers which collect vehicular motion and rotation data. IMUs can supplement GPS when satellite data is unavailable. IMUs cannot alone determine a vehicle’s absolute position but can determine the location of a vehicle relative to its starting point. IMUs are small and fairly inexpensive components.
7.1.2 SOFTWARE

An ADS generally relies upon detailed base maps, in addition to the sensors and hardware systems, which enable it to understand precisely where it is (localization), and what is going on in that particular environment (perception). The range of data inputs coming from the vehicle’s radar, LIDAR, cameras, and other sensors must be compiled together to develop the vehicle’s digital construct of the real-world environment, in a process called ‘sensor fusion’. By combining the data from different sensor arrays, the vehicle can significantly improve the accuracy of its understanding of the environment (12). The vehicle continually updates its understanding of the environment and the ‘scene’ while it drives. As the vehicle interprets the scene, it determines the path planning and actions, which are executed by controls systems. This iterative process generally describes how ADS-equipped vehicles move through an environment. The decision-making process (i.e. the reasoning layer between the ADS perception and execution) is the subject of highly technical research and development in machine learning far beyond the scope of this Report. Generally speaking, most ADS developers employ some combination of machine learning coupled with basic rules-based decision making, to define some basic boundary conditions and conventions, while also allowing vehicles to improve via advanced machine learning techniques.

Among the most significant challenges in developing reliable level 3-5 vehicles is being able to accurately identify objects. The classification of objects exhibits traits of a ‘long tailed’ distribution, wherein it becomes exponentially more difficult to correctly classify objects the less frequently they are seen. An object can be easily classified if it is viewed from a
traditional frame and perspective, but must still be classified correctly regardless of the angle, lighting, weather conditions, or other variables through which the object is perceived.

ADS developers must also subject their hardware and software systems to significant testing in simulations. Real-world tests can be combined with simulations to help reduce the number of miles required for validating performance. There are many different platforms with which developers can simulate driving environments for both hardware and software systems, as well as some emerging efforts to define a testing and validation regime for evaluating ADS performance. One example to establish such a regime is the PEGASUS project in Germany. PEGASUS aims to develop generally accepted and standardized procedures for the testing and approval of automated driving functions. While the extent to which regulators may certify or approve such functions is unclear, there is a need for all stakeholders to critically evaluate ADS design and performance across vehicle platforms.

### 7.1.3 Driver Monitoring Systems

Driver monitoring systems (DMS) are used to detect a human operator's level of awareness. DMS are instrumental in level 1-3 vehicles wherein a driver may be required to take control of a vehicle. Driver attention monitoring is also beneficial for reducing crashes generally due to drowsy, distracted, or impaired driving.

The first DMS was introduced to production vehicles by Toyota in 2006; there are currently many different systems and technologies employed by various automakers and ADS developers to evaluate drivers' state and attentiveness.

Most DMS rely on biological and/or automotive indicators. Biological signals include face and eye tracking via driver-facing cameras or infrared sensors, and heart rate monitoring. Automotive signals evaluate the driver's engagement with the vehicle, such as the pressure of hands on the steering wheel, amount of torque applied, engagement of gas or brake pedals, and use of the center console. Alcohol detection is a third category of DMS which can continuously measure the blood alcohol concentration of a driver without the use of breathalyzers.

In level 3 vehicles, DMS may be used to evaluate whether a driver is able to take over control of the vehicle any time such takeover becomes necessary. In vehicles with level 1-2 automation, DMS are critical to ensuring that a driver is consistently monitoring the environment and prepared to take over immediately.
Drivers of level 2 vehicles have already begun pushing the limitations of DMS in an effort to exceed the designed limitations of the technology. For example, it was discovered that a tangerine or orange could be wedged into the steering wheel of a Tesla vehicle to trick the pressure sensors into believing that a person’s hand was holding the wheel. The Tesla Autopilot Buddy product was developed and sold at a cost of $199 to provide the same effect of over-riding the intended purpose of the driver monitoring system. After the Autopilot Buddy garnered widespread attention, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) issued a cease and desist order to the manufacturer, who had advised that the product was intended only for use on a closed-course (13). In prior investigations, NTSB has noted that a driver’s inattention due to overreliance on vehicle automation can be a contributing cause of a crash, and that some systems may not effectively ensure driver engagement (14).

**7.1.4 EVENT DATA RECORDERS**

Event Data Recorders (EDRs) can capture information such as a vehicle’s performance and driver actions immediately preceding and following a crash, and the resultant collision dynamics. Early EDRs developed in the 1990s and early 2000s provided data such as air bag deployment timing, vehicle longitudinal speed and acceleration, driver seat belt status, and other circumstantial conditions of the vehicle sensors. Within the past two decades, additional EDR data has become standard, such as ABS activity, stability and traction control status, steering wheel angle, and more.

NHTSA began working with motor vehicle manufacturers in the 1990s to promulgate an EDR rule as a safety technology useful to safety researchers and common in content across automotive manufacturers and Tier 1 suppliers that applied the technology (15). In June 2004, NHTSA issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking recommending that EDRs record a specific set of vehicle-centric parameters. In 2006, NHTSA issued a final rule requiring EDRs in light duty passenger vehicles manufactured after September 2012 (49 CFR Part 563). By model year 2013, 96% of new light duty passenger vehicles were equipped with an EDR (16).

The exact functions and specifications of an “event data recorder” (EDR) equivalent for an ADS may be developed by USDOT and NHTSA. NHTSA’s Automated Driving Systems 2.0 Guidance outlined a Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment framework, with a recommendation to ADS developers that, for crash reconstruction purposes, including during testing, ADS data be “...stored, maintained, and readily available for retrieval as is current practice, including applicable privacy protections, for crash event data recorders. Vehicles should
record, at a minimum, all available information relevant to the crash, so that the circumstances of the crash can be reconstructed. These data should also contain the status of the [ADS] and whether the [ADS] or the human driver was in control of the vehicle leading up to, during, and immediately following a crash. Entities should have the technical and legal capability to share with government authorities the relevant recorded information as necessary for crash reconstruction purposes. Meanwhile, for consistency and to build public trust and acceptance, NHTSA will continue working with SAE International to begin the work necessary to establish uniform data elements for [ADS] crash reconstruction."

Pennsylvania’s voluntary guidance requests that testing entities equip each ADS with a means to record operational data before a collision occurs, and that such data should be made available to PennDOT and applicable law enforcement agencies upon request.

California mandates through state regulation that ADS-equipped vehicles must have a mechanism to “capture and store the autonomous technology sensor data for at least 30 seconds before a collision occurs between the ADS and another vehicle, object, or natural person while the vehicle is operating in autonomous mode.” (17)

Similar language as that in California’s regulation has been incorporated into the template agreements for testing of ADS-equipped vehicles in Massachusetts to help ensure that such data exists in the event of an ADS crash. MassDOT also currently requires that any testing entity provide in its application a copy of the Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment, or similar documentation, including descriptions of the data recording functions and specifications.

The Driver Privacy Act of 2015 (codified at 49 U.S.C. § 30101) places restrictions on retrieval of data contained in an EDR following a crash. Under the law and NHTSA policies, such data belongs to the owner of the vehicle in which the recorder is installed, and cannot be accessed by anyone else, law enforcement or otherwise, without one of the following:

- Judicial authorization
- Owner’s consent
- Investigation by the U.S. Department of Transportation pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 30166
- Necessity for facilitating emergency medical response
- Traffic safety research, provided the owner’s personally identifiable information and vehicle identification number are not disclosed

Seventeen states have passed legislation restricting access to event data recorders; Massachusetts is not one of them. (18)
7.1.5 CONNECTED VEHICLES

Connected vehicles employ vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) or vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) technologies that allow vehicles to send and receive critical safety information about their surroundings. V2I technologies can support environmental and safety goals by providing traffic signal phase information, red light violation warnings, reduced speed/work zone warnings, and pedestrian in crosswalk warnings. V2V technologies can share information such as a vehicle’s speed and bearing to provide warnings or intervention in the case of an impending collision. V2I and V2V communications can detect developing threat situations up to a few hundred yards away and in situations in which the driver and on-board sensors alone may not be able to.

Vehicles with V2V devices transmit can data such as location, direction and speed, to nearby vehicles. That data is updated and broadcast to nearby vehicles several times per second. Other vehicles equipped with V2V technology can then identify issues and provide warnings to drivers to help prevent crashes. V2V applications enable crash prevention benefits by providing collision warnings, left turn assistance, blind spot warnings, and more. Vehicles with advanced driver assistance systems such as automatic emergency braking or adaptive cruise control can also benefit from the use of V2V technologies to better understand the surrounding environment.

V2I technologies can support environmental and safety goals by providing traffic signal phase information, red light violation warnings, reduced speed/work zone warnings, and pedestrian in crosswalk warnings. V2V and V2I technologies can provide the vehicle and driver with an improved awareness of potential crash situations. In those situations, V2V communications can detect developing threat situations up to a few hundred yards away, and often in situations in which the driver and on-board sensors alone may not be able to detect the threat.

An ADS does not need be “connected” in order to operate in an automated mode (as defined by SAE levels 3-5), however it is beneficial to understand how connected vehicles can be an integral supplement for both human operators and autonomous systems. There are several benefits to connected vehicle technologies, most notably that they offer a long effective range, can communicate a wide range of information from multiple sources, and do not require unobstructed line of sight as ADS sensors generally do. Combining connected and automated vehicle technologies can potentially offer great potential for improving awareness of roadway conditions and other road users (5).

Connected vehicles can employ two main types of communications platforms: Direct Short-Range Communications (DSRC) and cellular-based 5G. There are distinct benefits and costs
associated with DSRC and 5G, and there is some disagreement within the industry as to which platform is most effective and scalable overall.

DSRC is a two-way short- to- medium-range wireless communications capability (802.11p-based) that permits very high data transmission, which is critical in communications-based active safety applications. It is a proactive technology for crash prevention. DSRC uses radio frequencies in the 5.9 GHz spectrum which offer low latency, or minimal “lag” between transmission and receive of messages. DSRC was designed to operate in this spectrum in a mobile environment to achieve both low latency and high reliability. It has very short time delays and high assurance of message delivery, so that it works in a fast-moving and mobile environment. The communication paths used for safety applications need to be available continuously so that critical information can get through in the split-seconds needed to avoid collisions, and so that as many vehicles as possible can communicate together. DSRC applications can function up to a distance of 1,000 feet, and are not impacted by inclement weather. DSRC between vehicles (V2V) simply requires the vehicles to both have a transmitter and receiver. To connect with infrastructure (V2I), DSRC requires installation of roadside units at traffic signals, intersections, and other areas where the technology could be used to improve safety or traffic flow. Among the noted benefits of connected vehicle technologies is the ability to transmit information over significantly greater distances than current ADS perception systems (LIDAR, radar, and camera) are capable of sensing. DSRC can transmit safety-critical messages up to a quarter of a mile depending on the environment, more than twice as far as current radar and LIDAR systems.

There is currently a debate about the best medium for V2V and V2I communications; some stakeholders anticipate that cellular-based connected technologies will support automated driving systems better than DSRC. Cellular connected vehicles would operate on 5G, the next generation of cellular technology, which could provide peak speeds of up to 20 gigabits per second. 5G operates at much higher frequencies (30GHz-300GHz) than the current 4G networks, enabling greater data transmissions and connections to more devices in a given area. Higher frequency waves can be more easily blocked by obstacles, and so more transmitters may be required than for the current cellular system which could slow the roll-out of such technologies, particularly in rural areas.

The speed, security, and reliability of the basic safety messages are critical to the safety technology. Connected vehicles can transmit position, direction, and speed (e.g., whether you were turning or putting on your brakes), as well as other information, to vehicles (V2V) and any infrastructure that is able to receive and broadcast such signals (V2I) (19).

The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) has been researching and testing this system of vehicle-based communications since the early 2000’s.
In December 2016, NHTSA issued a notice of proposed rulemaking for connected V2V technologies (20) (20). The first phase of the NHTSA proposed rulemaking would require the technology only for new light-duty vehicles. The proposal foresees a phased implementation with a final ruling in 2019, a phased in period beginning in 2021, and all light-duty vehicles subject to the rule in 2023 (21). The notice is still currently pending.

The Federal Highway Administration issued guidance in late January 2017 on V2I deployments to assist planners, transportation engineers, and policy makers on technologies which allow vehicles to communicate with transportation infrastructure such as traffic lights, stop signs, and works zones to reduce congestion and improve safety (22) (23).

Privacy is also a key concern for V2V and V2I safety protocols. Connected vehicle technologies do not generally involve the exchange of personally identifiable information, however the proposed rulemaking by NHTSA would mandate certain privacy and security controls in V2V devices.

Connected vehicles are relevant to automated vehicles insofar as they may offer supplemental safety benefits through data provided from other vehicles or physical infrastructure, such as traffic lights. An automated vehicle does not need be a “connected” vehicle in order to operate in autonomous mode; however connected vehicle technologies can be an integral supplement for both human operators and autonomous systems. There are several benefits to connected vehicle technologies, most notably that they offer a longer effective range than current ADS sensors, can communicate a wide range of information from multiple sources, and do not require an unobstructed line of sight (as ADS sensors generally do). Combining connected and automated vehicle technologies could offer great potential for improving vehicles’ awareness of roadway conditions and other road users (3).
7.2 CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLE INDUSTRY IN MASSACHUSETTS

7.2.1 AUTOMATED DRIVING SYSTEM DEVELOPERS

The **Toyota-CSAIL Joint Research Center** is part of a combined $50 million that Toyota has committed to dual centers at MIT and Stanford University to advance the state of automated systems. The center focuses on developing advanced decision-making algorithms and systems that allow vehicles to perceive and safely navigate their surroundings without human assistance. Toyota Research Institute is headquartered in Palo Alto, California, with additional teams in Ann Arbor, Michigan, and Cambridge, Massachusetts.

**nuTonomy** is a leading developer of state-of-the-art software for self-driving vehicles founded by two world-renowned experts in robotics and intelligent vehicle technology, Drs. Karl Iagnemma and Emilio Frazzoli of MIT. nuTonomy is developing level 4 ADS technologies. The company’s vehicles have been tested in the U.S., Singapore, and Europe.

nuTonomy is one of a handful of agencies which are permitted by the Land Transport Authority (LTA) of Singapore to conduct trials of driverless vehicles. nuTonomy targets to have a limited commercial service by 2018 with up to 75 vehicles available in certain areas, with services rolled out island-wide by 2020, according to reports.

**Optimus Ride** is a MIT spinoff self-driving vehicle technology company developing systems for geo-fenced environments. The founders built self-driving technology while at MIT and entered into the DARPA Urban Challenge in 2007, one of the six teams that finished the race. Since then, they have built fully autonomous cars, trucks, forklifts and other ground vehicles. The team also has experience in designing and building shared-electric vehicles as well as managing shared fleets. Optimus Ride was founded as a Delaware corporation in August 2015. Their goal is to develop self-driving vehicles that enable safe, sustainable, and equitable mobility access. They design fully autonomous (level 4) systems for electric vehicle fleets.
Optimus Ride has been testing continuously since 2015. They have been conducting off-road testing in collaboration with the City of Boston and the Perkins School for the Blind. The company tests on closed facilities up to 40 hours per week in vehicles manufactured by Textron and Polaris. Optimus Ride has been testing SAE level 4 technologies.

NextDroid is a “stealth mode” startup company that is on advanced driving assistance systems in Boston and Pittsburgh. Founded by alumni of MIT and CMU, the company states only that they are working in partnership with a top five automaker and an offshore market leader.
7.2.2 Hardware and Software Developers

Analog Devices, Inc., also known as ADI or Analog, is an American multinational semiconductor company that specializes in data conversion and signal processing technology. The company is headquartered in Norwood, MA and serves roughly 100,000 customers, with roughly $5.2B in sales in FY2017. There are about 10,000 current employees worldwide, and three locations in Massachusetts (Norwood, Wilmington, and Chelmsford). ADI manufactures analog, mixed-signal and digital signal processing integrated circuits used in electronic equipment. These technologies are used to convert, condition, and process real-world environmental conditions, such as light, sound, temperature, motion, and pressure into electrical signals. In July 2018, ADI began collaborating with Baidu on Project Apollo to Advance Autonomous Driving. The collaboration will focus on providing comprehensive, systematic and reliable solutions to address autonomous driving and intelligent connectivity critical to the future of smart traffic. ADI and Baidu will share resources and technologies to further develop the sensing and navigation application for Project Apollo including radar, LIDAR, Inertial Measurement Units, and digital signal processing products.

Analog Photonics is a fast-growing startup, based in Boston, developing the next generation miniaturized LIDAR sensors for autonomous applications. The company is developing the next generation chip-scale LIDAR sensor solutions using proprietary and patented silicon photonics and optical phased array technology. Using a combination of silicon and silicon nitride as core waveguide layers, the silicon photonics platform is low loss and can handle high optical power in the visible and near-infrared region of the electromagnetic spectrum. Having the capability to achieve high, medium and low index contrast is at the basis of their state-of-the-art photonic components, while maintaining a low footprint.

Aptiv designs and manufactures vehicle components, and provides electrical and electronic and active safety technology solutions to the global automotive and commercial vehicle markets. Formerly known as Delphi Automotive, Aptiv emerged from the completion of Delphi’s spin-off of its powertrain segment in late 2017. A core function of Aptiv is software and vehicle architecture expertise that enables the advanced safety, automated driving, user experience, and connected services that are making the future of mobility work.

Aptiv acquired nuTonomy in October of 2017, and two months later announced plans to open a new technology center in Boston’s Seaport District. Aptiv has two additional technology centers in Pittsburgh, PA and Mountain View, CA focused on automated mobility-on-demand and data management development. Aptiv selected Boston’s Seaport
district as the location of its new technical center due to the City’s technically proficient work force, world-class universities, and local government’s track record of supporting technology and growth. Aptiv has about 147,000 employees and operates 14 technical centers, as well as manufacturing sites and customer support centers, in 45 countries.

**Autoliv** is a Fortune 500 company headquartered in Stockholm, Sweden and incorporated in Delaware. It is one of the world’s largest automotive safety suppliers, with sales to all the leading car manufacturers in the world. Autoliv has about 80 facilities worldwide, more than 70,000 employees, and 19 closed-course test tracks, with revenues exceeding $10B in 2016. Autoliv’s mission is to be the leading supplier of safety systems for the future autonomous car.

Autoliv develops, manufactures, and markets protective systems such as airbags, seatbelts, steering wheels, as well as passive and active safety systems including brake control systems, radar, night vision and camera vision systems. The company occupies a market share of approximately 39% of the global passive safety market. A main focus area of Autoliv’s business ventures is in active safety systems, such as radar, night vision, driver monitoring systems.

In June of 2018, Autoliv spun off its electronics business segment, creating a new, independent publicly traded company called Veoneer, Inc. The electronics segment includes the active safety market, comprising automotive radars, cameras with driver assist systems, night vision systems, and positioning systems.

Autoliv came to Lowell in 2008 when it acquired a piece of M/A-Com Inc., purchasing the whole company in 2015. Autoliv spokesman Henrik Kaar stated that the Lowell engineering facility is "a key corporate technology center to design radar systems for the automotive market." Applications of this work include night vision and sensors that can detect traffic when backing out of a parking space. Autoliv’s Lowell workforce also includes quality testing, radar-range testing, engineering, human relations and other operations.

**Neurala** is a software and services company that helps bring artificial intelligence (AI) to drones, robots, cars, and consumer electronics. Founded in 2006, Neurala has been building the “intelligence” to enable autonomous machines to be able to function without a human, from toys to self-driving cars. There are currently between 11-50 employees.

In the photo at right, a Neurala camera on Massachusetts Avenue in Cambridge automatically identifies pedestrians, cars, cyclists and trucks in the scene.
The Boston-based startup offers what it calls the Neurala Brains for Bots software development kit (SDK), which developers can request access to. In a nutshell, the SDK gives developers access to out-of-the-box knowledge to integrate into their products, built on a deep learning neural network that is designed to emulate the human brain, and with computer vision capabilities too. All the functions of the SDK can work offline, meaning internet or server access isn’t required.

**Sensata Technologies** is one of the world’s leading suppliers of sensing, electrical protection, control and power management solutions, with operations and business centers in fifteen countries. In 2016, there were 20,300 employees across the globe; 1,000 of which were centered in Attleboro at the company’s global headquarters. Sensata’s products improve safety, efficiency and comfort for millions of people every day in automotive, appliance, aircraft, industrial, military, heavy vehicle, heating, air-conditioning, data, telecommunications, recreational vehicle and marine applications (24).

Sensata entered into a strategic partnership and investment agreements with Quanergy Systems to advance the ongoing development and commercialization of Quanergy’s LIDAR technology. Quanergy Systems, Inc. is a leading provider of solid state LIDAR sensors and smart sensing solutions. Sensata and Quanergy will be exclusive partners for component level solid state LIDAR sensors in the transportation market.

“A production line being set up in Attleboro, Massachusetts, is intended to make those crucial components compact enough to fit inside the outlines of a conventional vehicle. It will start producing fist-sized LIDARs early 2017 that should also see farther and more clearly than [existing mechanical systems].” (25)
### 7.2.3 History of Vehicle Development in Massachusetts

Since the early 1900's Massachusetts has played a role in the development of motor vehicles and their component parts, beginning in earnest with the 1913 opening of Ford's Cambridge Assembly plant along the Charles River in Cambridge (pictured). The building was subsequently reused by Polaroid, and is now owned by MIT.

After the construction of the McGrath Highway in 1925, full industrial development, albeit short-lived, took hold in Somerville. The Ford Motor Company moved their Cambridge Assembly to a plant in Somerville in 1926 (pictured below), which would over time lend Assembly Square its name. Additionally, the Boston and Maine Railroad also owned large tracts of land in the district and the land was crisscrossed by spur tracks. With both road and rail connections, the strong transportation infrastructure was a major draw, and other industries soon followed, including First National Stores, a retail supermarket chain, which opened a grocery manufacturing and distribution center in the area. Within the next 30 years, Assembly Square remained one of the largest employment centers in the region, and paid the city over $1 million in annual taxes (26).

In 1958, as a result of the failure of the Edsel Division of the Ford Motor Company and the change of Ford's manufacturing plans, the Assembly Plant was closed, leaving a vast complex of empty manufacturing buildings. First National moved into the Assembly Plant site shortly after Ford's departure. By the late 1950s and early 1960s, industries were already making the choice to move to suburban locations along newly constructed highways, where land costs were lower. The construction of the elevated Northern Expressway portion of Interstate 93 in the 1970s segregated the uses on both sides of the highway and significantly reduced its access and visibility from the surrounding areas. In 1976, First National closed its operations, marking the end of Assembly Square as a major industrial employment center.
While Massachusetts may no longer be a location for the manufacturing and assembly of vehicles, a range of companies and institutions within the State continue to play an essential role within the industry.
### 7.3 ADS INITIATIVES IN THE UNITED STATES

The following section is intended to provide basic information on the efforts of several states to facilitate testing and deployment of automated driving systems. The summarized information is not intended to be comprehensive, given the highly complex nature of the industry and activities involved. The following chart indicates some states’ testing and deployment conditions as of January 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Testing With Seated Driver</th>
<th>Testing Without Seated Driver</th>
<th>Passengers Allowed in Tests</th>
<th>Low-Speed Shuttles (Without Seated Driver)</th>
<th>Trucks and Platoons</th>
<th>Driverless Deployment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓*</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ By Permit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Legislation, Regulations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ Platoons Allowed with Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Legislation, Regulations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ Platoons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Legislation, Regulations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ Platoons Pilot Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Legislation, Regulations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exec Order)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Legislation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exec Order)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In CA, passengers are allowed as part of a test provided there are no fees charged to the passenger or compensation received by the manufacturer.*
7.3.1 California

California passed California Senate Bill 1298 in 2012 requiring the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to promulgate regulations for operating autonomous vehicles (AVs) in the State. Beginning with two public workshops in 2013, California’s DMV drafted and revised regulations for testing and deploying “autonomous vehicles” (the terminology used in California), and conducted extensive engagement and public outreach throughout the process.

The regulations for testing of autonomous vehicles (Article 3.7) established a testing program administered by the Occupational Licensing Branch of the DMV. California’s first AV testing regulations went into effect in September 2014, when the DMV began permitting autonomous vehicles to test with a driver inside. About two years later, in October 2016, the DMV had issued 18 permits. As of January 2019, the DMV has issued permits to 61 entities to conduct testing with a seated driver (including current and expired), up from 55 permits as of June 2018 and 30 as of April 2017 (27).

The current application for testing with a seated driver (Form OL 311) includes a checklist of “acknowledgements” such as: that the operator is an employee, contractor, or other trained designee; that the vehicle has been tested under controlled conditions which simulate the ODD which will be tested; that the test driver is in physical control or is monitoring and able to take immediate control; that the vehicle will have certain mechanisms and indicators for engaging/disengaging; and other reporting and operational requirements (28).

For testing both with a driver and without, California requires an annual report of disengagements occurring within the previous year. A disengagement is defined as a “deactivation of the autonomous mode when a failure of the autonomous technology is detected or when the safe operation of the vehicle requires that the autonomous vehicle test driver disengage the autonomous mode and take immediate manual control of the vehicle”. In the case of driverless test vehicles, a disengagement also includes instances where the safety of the vehicle, the occupants of the vehicle, or the public requires that the autonomous technology be deactivated.

The annual “disengagements” report includes a summary of disengagements by the circumstances or testing conditions at the time of the disengagements, including the location (road type), presence of a driver, description of the conditions, and party which disengaged the autonomous mode. The report must also provide the total number of miles each autonomous vehicle tested in autonomous mode on public roads each month. Form OL 311R is the required reporting format for disengagements starting January 2019.
Additionally, manufacturers are required to provide the DMV with a Report of Traffic Collision Involving an Autonomous Vehicle within 10 business days of the incident (regardless of amount of damage done). The report must include information about damage and injury, what happened, road conditions, etc.

The DMV updated regulations for AV testing and established new regulations for deployment in April 2018, including:

- A permitting process for *driverless testing* (with advanced notice of testing given to municipalities but no local approval required, and at no cost to riders)
- A permitting process for *deployment* of AVs with and without a driver
- Expansion of the current self-certification process for adherence to FMVSS
- *Requirement* of the NHTSA Voluntary Safety Assessment Letter for testing without a driver and deployment with or without a driver

California began accepting permit applications for driverless testing from qualifying companies starting in April 2018. Waymo (Google) and a Chinese/American company called JingChi.ai were the first to submit applications to date. Waymo was approved in October 2018 and remains the only company with current approval. Driverless test vehicles must have a remote operator who continuously monitors the status of the vehicle and the two-way communication link while the autonomous test vehicle is being operated without a driver.

In addition, for driverless testing, there must be a process to display or communicate vehicle owner or operator information in the event that the vehicle is involved in a collision or if there is a need to provide the information to a law enforcement officer for any reason. The manufacturer must certify that the autonomous vehicles are capable of operating without the presence of a driver inside the vehicle and that the autonomous technology meets the description of a level 4 or level 5 automated driving system. The manufacturer must also inform the DMV of the intended operational design domains of the autonomous vehicle. A fee of $3,600 per application is required, covering up to 10 vehicles. An additional set of 1-10 vehicles may be added for a fee for $50. Changes or modifications may be made to the application during the term of the permit for $70.

For driverless testing and deployment without a driver (level 4-5), a manufacturer must provide a copy of a law enforcement interaction plan, which must be updated annually. The plan will be made available to first responders in the vicinity of the operational design domains of the autonomous vehicles that will instruct those agencies on how to interact with the vehicle in emergency and traffic enforcement situations.
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Through Form OL 321, companies may apply for a permit to deploy autonomous vehicles on public streets. Within the application, the company must acknowledge and certify that: autonomous functions will be incapable outside of the disclosed operational design domain; the vehicles are equipped with data recorders to capture all sensor data for at least 30 seconds prior to a crash; the vehicle complies with relevant FMVSS or an exemption is provided; the technology is designed to detect and respond to roadway situations in compliance with the California Vehicle Code and local regulation applicable to the performance of the dynamic driving task in the vehicle’s ODD, except as necessary to enhance the safety of the vehicle’s occupants and/or other road users; industry standards are considered when protecting against cyber-attacks; testing and validation has been conducted; and more.

In 2016, AB 1592, a more localized bill was passed, exempting state AV rules for testing and piloting self-driving vehicles at the GoMentum Station under the guidance of the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA). CCTA has partnerships with private companies, including Honda, EasyMile and Uber’s Otto. California has also conducted research and pilot deployments of connected vehicle and infrastructure technologies. Driverless shuttles are being tested at Contra Costa’s closed-course facility.

In 2018, CA 145 passed which repealed a requirement that the Department of Motor Vehicles notify the Legislature of receipt of an application seeking approval to operate an autonomous vehicle capable of operating without the presence of a driver inside the vehicle on public roads.

7.3.1.1 I-10 Corridor Coalition

The I-10 Coalition is a four-state joint effort between the Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Texas state departments of transportation. The vision is to achieve a connected corridor throughout the four states, and the coalition leverages collective expertise, resources, and economies of scale, applying regional best practices to ensure safe and efficient corridor operations. The coalition members are developing technology, standards of practice, and protocols to enable better freight and passenger movement along the corridor, utilizing CV/AV applications such as truck platooning and V2V/V2I communications. The coalition is currently working on producing a Concept of Operations that identifies and implements operations and technology improvements that will lead to a “connected corridor”.
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### 7.3.2 Michigan

Michigan passed new autonomous vehicles (AV) legislation in December of 2016 (S995, 996, 997, and 998), which establish regulations for the testing, use, and eventual sale of automated driving systems (ADS) technology and are meant to more clearly define how self-driving vehicles can be legally used on public roadways. The law specifies the conditions under which driverless vehicles can be tested and used on public roads. Going far beyond current developments in driverless technology, the regulations also covers the testing of fully automated driving systems with no steering wheels, pedals, or any provision for human control.

The new legislation allows both traditional automakers and tech companies to operate driverless ridesharing services. Also, once self-driving technologies have been tested and certified for use, the regulations allow the sale of driverless cars for public use. The legislation reverses a 2013 law that required ADS-equipped vehicles to have a backup driver aboard, however there may be some issues regarding terminology and liability.

The bill updates the language to clarify that technology companies can take to the roads in Michigan, but all but requires “automobile manufacturers” to be part of the efforts. A company like Google would either have to link up with a vehicle manufacturer, purchase manufacturers’ federal government-approved vehicles, or build its own cars that meet federal safety standards to really get in on the action.

Michigan is also piloting several connected vehicle and infrastructure projects, including projects for red light violation warnings, work zone/reduced lane warnings, truck platooning, and truck parking information and management systems. The Michigan Department of Transportation and 3M are installing vehicle-to-infrastructure technology in a three-mile stretch of I-75 in Oakland County to become one of the country’s first connected highways. This project will help pave the way for the advancement and safety of
connected and automated vehicles. The I-75 Modernization project will allow it to serve as a test bed for emerging transportation technologies. Roadside dedicated short-range communication devices will deliver valuable information to connected vehicles. Advanced all-weather lane markings and retroreflective smart signs are not only easier for human drivers to see, but it allows for greater visibility for sensors on cars (machine vision), increasing safety of robot and human drivers alike.

University of Michigan opened Mcity (pictured on the prior page) in 2015, a $10 million 32-acre closed-course testing track in Ann Arbor that simulates urban and suburban streets.

Michigan has also established the American Center for Mobility, ACM, which includes real-world vehicle testing. The site is a uniquely purpose-built facility focused on testing, verification and self-certification of connected and automated vehicles and other mobility technologies at the 335-acre historic Willow Run site in Ypsilanti Township in Southeast Michigan.

The first phase of construction was approved in May 2017, and included a 2.5-mile highway loop with on-and off-ramps and a 700’ curved tunnel, a customer garage, and an operations center (pictured).

The first phase was completed and opened in December 2017. Construction began following announcements of a second round of $30 million in funding. More than half of the $110 million needed to fund the advanced test bed has been secured, and additional private investment announcements are expected.
7.3.2.1 Smart Belt Coalition

In May 2017, the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (PennDOT) and Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission (PTC) signed a letter of understanding to form the Smart Belt Coalition with transportation agencies in Ohio and Michigan to focus on automated and connected vehicle initiatives.

The coalition includes transportation and academic partners and brings together research, testing, policy, funding pursuits and deployment, as well as share data and provide unique opportunities for private-sector testers. The goals of the coalitions are to support research, testing, policy, funding pursuits and deployment, as well as share data and provide unique opportunities for private-sector testers. The coalition believes that in order to create a uniform code that will allow driverless cars and connected vehicles to seamlessly cross state borders, a collaborative effort beyond one state and one jurisdiction is needed. It is also working toward the creation of a “smart corridor”, and investigating applications in work zones, commercial freight opportunities, and incident management functions.

Participating agencies, organizations, and universities include:

- Michigan: Michigan Department of Transportation, University of Michigan, Kettering University, and The American Center for Mobility
- Ohio: Ohio Department of Transportation, Ohio Turnpike and Infrastructure Commission, The Ohio State University, and Transportation Research Center

7.3.3 Pennsylvania

There are no current laws or regulations which require AV Testers to report testing activities to PennDOT, so the number of testing companies, vehicles, and locations is not known. The only existing state law which applies to AVs in Pennsylvania is the requirement of a seated and licensed driver able to assume control if necessary (unmanned and/or remote testing on public ways is prohibited). In November 2018, Pennsylvania’s General Assembly passed HB 1958 to allow platooning of up to three vehicles and allow a highly
autonomous working zone vehicle to drive with no operator in working zones. According to current state law, reporting is only required for crashes that result in the death or injury of a person or damage a vehicle to the point it cannot be driven. Additionally, reports do not require the identification of cars as AVs.

Following workshops and meetings with the state’s Autonomous Vehicle Policy Task Force and automated vehicle technology companies, PennDOT issued voluntary guidance to enhance safety oversight of Highly Automated Vehicles in Pennsylvania (29). The guidance, effective August 1, 2018, requests that AV testing entities submit a Notice of Testing to PennDOT, including information on the testing organization and drivers, vehicles, counties where testing will occur, and a safety and risk mitigation plan (or voluntary safety self-assessment letter as defined by NHTSA).

PennDOT has prescribed that testing above 25 mph should be conducted with a secondary ‘safety associate’ present in the vehicle, or a plan for enhanced performance driver training should be provided. PennDOT shall provide an approval letter within ten business days of receipt of the Notice, or an explanation as to why the application was declined and opportunity to meet prior to resubmittal. The guidance also defines conditions which may necessitate suspension or revocation of testing authorization, and cases for temporarily restricting testing (due to emergencies, special events, or safety concerns). A local municipality, city, or operating agency may request a temporary prohibition or restriction on the testing of a HAV for emergencies, special events or safety concerns by contacting the Department. PennDOT will review the request and determine if the prohibition or restriction is necessary and justified to address a safety concern.

With respect to data and crash reporting, the guidance requests semi-annual submission of a simple “Data Collection Form”, including the approximate number of miles traveled in autonomous mode in PA, the type(s) of roadways tested on, the counties tested in, the approximate number of employees in the state, the approximate number of new jobs created as a function of the testing, and new facilities constructed, purchased, or rented as a function of the testing. Pennsylvania also requests that the vehicle record operational data before a collision occurs. Such data should be made available to PennDOT and applicable law enforcement agencies upon request.

In May 2018, PennDOT announced the Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission announced its partnership with Pennsylvania State University to create the Pennsylvania Safety, Transportation and Research Track, PennSTART (rendering pictured). The goal of the track is to provide a testing ground to benefit emergency
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responders, transportation companies, and research institutions by allowing them to test a number of other transportation technologies including traffic incident management systems tolling and intelligent transportation systems CVs and AVs. PennSTART defines one of its goals as providing “controlled environments to test various connected and automated vehicle technologies for infrastructure equipment, fleets, and other applications.” The test track is expected to be operational beginning 2020.

Several companies are headquartered or have significant AV research and development in Pennsylvania and Pittsburgh in particular. Argo AI, Aurora Innovation, Aptiv, GM, and other companies are testing AVs in the state. Uber introduced a fleet of autonomous test vehicles in Pittsburgh in late 2016, drawn by the engineering talent at Carnegie Mellon University (CMU). Google and Uber have opened research centers and hired many CMU faculty and students.

7.3.4 Ohio

Governor John Kasich signed an Executive Order in May of 2018 to allow companies to test cars on any public road in the state, including without anyone behind the wheel (30). A licensed driver will have to monitor the car remotely and have the ability to avoid crashes in the event the car’s system fails, according to the order. Following the fatal Uber crash in Arizona, said he wants to make Ohio the “wild, wild west” of ADS testing, while acknowledging that the crash was a terrible incident. “You’ll always have to take risks,” Kasich said Wednesday while announcing the order. “If you don’t take risks, you die.” (31) Governor Kasich noted that he believes Ohio should rank among the top five states for development of the technology, alongside California, Arizona, Florida and Michigan. In July 2018, ground was broken to build a $45 million center to test AVs.

In the Governor’s Executive Order, a process for companies to register is described. Companies must register with DriveOhio, and provide the following information:

- General contact information
- Vehicle identification such as make, model, and license plate numbers
- Names of designated operators
- Proof of insurance
- Municipalities or other areas of the state where the company plans to test
- The conditions under which the vehicle can operate in autonomous mode, and restrictions under which he vehicle cannot operate
- Compliance with state traffic and safety laws, and FMVSS or FMCSA regulations except where exempted
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For driverless testing of level 4-5 vehicles, the Executive Order requires additional information and assurances are provided to DriveOhio, including that the ADS(s) will:

- Achieve a minimum risk condition if there is a malfunction in the hardware or software
- Have a designated driver (not required to be inside the vehicle, but) able to ensure compliance with traffic laws, actively monitor the autonomous systems, detect whether the vehicle is not operating safely and intervene to bring the vehicle to a minimum risk condition
- Be capable of complying with Ohio motor vehicle laws
- Cooperate with any appropriate law enforcement agency request for information about an incident, including sharing of any non-proprietary data, in the event of a violation of the law or regulations of the state, or in the event of a collision

Companies must inform DriveOhio of plans to test an AV without a human operator, including the routes or areas where testing will occur, and the designated operators. The company and DriveOhio will also coordinate on providing notification to relevant municipalities where testing will occur. The Order also notes that the Governor may pause testing of any ADS if there is clear evidence that the technology is not safe.

Municipalities and companies may participate in the AV Pilot Program by entering into agreements with DriveOhio, which will work with the municipality to create an inventory of unique testing attributes for consideration by company partners. However, Kasich said he would not allow communities to block the operation of public cars in their area during his term in office. Smart Columbus and DriveOhio announced the state’s first autonomous shuttle service, Smart Circuit, which launched in Columbus in late 2018. Three May Mobility vehicles cover a 1.5-mile loop between 6AM and 10PM, with departures from each of the four stops every 10 minutes, and a human backup driver onboard.

7.3.5 Arizona

An Executive Order permits the testing with safety drivers, and driverless testing on university campuses through partnerships. In 2015, Governor Doug Ducey signed an executive order directing several state agencies to undertake "any necessary steps to support the testing and operation of self-driving vehicles on public roads." The order also set up a Self-Driving Vehicle Oversight Committee within the governor’s office.

Companies need only to carry minimum liability insurance policies to operate. Companies are not required to track crashes or disengagements or to report any information to the state. Vehicles may be operated only by an employee, contractor, or other person
designated or otherwise authorized by the entity developing the self-driving technology. Vehicles must be monitored and an operator should have the ability to direct the vehicle's movement if assistance is required. The individuals operating vehicles must be licensed to operate a motor vehicle in the United States. In addition, the vehicle owner must submit proof of financial responsibility, in an amount and on a form established by the Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation.

After a crash in early 2018 in which an Uber ADS killed a pedestrian in Tempe, Arizona, Arizona’s governor suspended the company from testing in the state. In May 2018, two months after the crash, Uber shut down its AV program in Arizona.

Waymo (Google) has been offering rides around Phoenix for a few hundred citizens in its “early rider” program, using their growing fleet of Chrysler minivans. The state had approximately 600 ADS-equipped vehicles that were operating on its roads as of mid-2018. In March of 2018, the state issued an update to the governor’s previous executive order allowing the operation of “robotaxi” services beyond testing purposes. Since that time, several companies have announced or begun tests of delivery services and commercial activities such as delivery services using ADS-equipped vehicles in Arizona (32).

7.3.6 VIRGINIA

In Virginia, no applications or permits are required for AV testing, or reporting requirements. There are no laws regarding ADS-equipped vehicles in the state; rather, the state’s ADS rules are based on pre-existing state laws that define an “operator” as a person in “actual physical control” of a vehicle. Additionally, the state does not require a bond for automation testing. Virginia DOT (VDOT) developed a Connected and Automated Vehicle Program Plan in the fall of 2017 as a strategic roadmap to guide the Department in the deployment and sustainment of Connected and Automated Vehicle technologies and solutions (33). VDOT’s vision for CAV is to capitalize on the safety and operational benefits of CAV technologies to meet its goals and objectives. VDOT also has the vision to position Virginia as the most attractive state for industry to deploy, test, operate and evolve CAV products and services.

The state has invested heavily to create, in conjunction with the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, the Virginia Automated Corridors. The state has done high-definition mapping of the 78 miles worth of express lanes comprising the Automated Corridors as well as installing equipment that can communicate with CVs and AVs. Virginia is also allowing testing on certain highways during light traffic conditions to test autonomous/traditional vehicle interactions. The corridors also include two test-track
environments - the Virginia Smart Road, located on-site at the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute, and the Virginia International Raceway. The Virginia Smart Roads, located in adjacent to Virginia Polytechnic University in Blacksburg, VA, are a unique, state-of-the-art, full-scale, closed test-bed research facility managed by the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) and owned and maintained by VDOT. The state has appropriated $25 million a year for roadway technology including those that assist AVs and CVs.

### 7.3.7 Nevada

The Nevada Legislature and the DMV enacted legislation and regulations to enable the testing and operation (deployment) of ADS-equipped vehicles. In 2011, Nevada became the first state to issue legislation on AVs with AB 511. The bill allowed for AV operation after obtaining a special license issued by the state DMV. The legislation also directed the DMV to adopt rules for license endorsement and for operation, including insurance, safety standards and testing. In February 2012, Nevada approved regulations allowing for the operation of self-driving vehicles on the state’s roadways. In 2013, SB 313 required that any ADS being tested on a highway meet certain conditions relating to a human operator. The legislation also prohibited ADS-equipped vehicles from being registered in the state, unless they meet certain conditions, authorized the use of driver-assistive platooning technology, and authorized the use of an ADS to transport persons or property in certain circumstances. On May 5, 2015, Nevada granted the first license for an autonomous commercial truck to operate on an open public highway.

On June 16, 2017, Nevada Gov. Brian Sandoval signed autonomous vehicle research legislation (Assembly Bill 69), allowing testing and commercial public deployment of fully self-driving vehicles. AB 69 provided further guidance for testing and operations of fully autonomous vehicles, clarified the legal authority for entities testing or operating autonomous vehicles in Nevada, and authorized commercial use of fully automated vehicles. The legislation laid the groundwork for potential autonomous ride-sharing fleets, creating a permitting requirement and addressing accessibility issues.

The application and permitting process in Nevada has changed significantly since its inception. As of August 8, 2016, the Department made a policy decision to remove a formal driving demonstration requirement prior to issuing an autonomous test license. The Department made changes to the application packet (OBL 326) to ensure the company provides a sufficient overview of the technology’s capabilities and limitations.
The autonomous, fully electric shuttle, which can transport a dozen passengers, is shown above in downtown Las Vegas at CES 2017. The Nevada Automotive Test Center is one place where AV testing is occurring within the state.

### 7.3.8 Florida

Florida Statutes Section 316.85 allows for the operation (testing and deployment) of AVs on public roads without an “operator” physically in the vehicle. Florida had previously allowed AVs only for testing, but removed that limitation in 2016, along with the requirement that the vehicle “operator” be present in the vehicle.

The vehicles must still have a means to engage and disengage the autonomous mode, and alert the operator of a failure. An AV can arguably be deployed so long as a remote operator is available to receive alerts about system failures, and onboard vehicle software is capable of safely bringing the vehicle to a stop if it or the operator is unable to effectively navigate the vehicle.

Florida is developing Suntrax, a large-scale, cutting-edge facility dedicated to the research, development and testing of emerging transportation technologies in controlled environments. The 475-acre site will include a 2.25-mile long oval track, which will provide an opportunity for high-speed testing, along with a 200-acre infield that will allow for the testing of a multitude of different technologies. Many of the designed functions are related to tolling, ITS, and automated and connected vehicles. Additionally, the entire site will be a connected environment for the testing of Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) and Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) communications.

Several cities within Florida have begun testing and pilot service initiatives. The city of Gainesville is piloting an autonomous EasyMile shuttle on city streets, free of charge. The pilot is part of a three-year study for up to $2.7 million, funded by Florida DOT. Babcock Ranch, a small town in southern Florida, also has an EasyMile (Transdev) shuttle in pilot service. The Tampa-Hillsborough Expressway Authority (THEA) hosted three demo days to give the public the opportunity to experience self-driving vehicle demonstrations on the Selmon Expressway’s Reversible Express Lanes, which was closed to traffic from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. on the days of testing. Public participants were also able to test out a virtual...
reality system, and were surveyed about their experiences. Ford has begun testing AVs in Miami, and is conducting driverless delivery pilots (35).

Florida is also piloting several connected vehicle projects to offer new safety functions on public roadways. In one such project, the Selmon Expressway is being utilized for connected vehicle testing as part of Tampa’s Connected Vehicle Pilot. THEA is equipping approximately 10 buses, 10 streetcars, and 1,600 privately-owned automobiles with this connected vehicle technology as part of the Tampa Connected Vehicle Pilot program. As an incentive, participating drivers will receive a 30% toll rebate on the Express Lanes, up to a maximum of $550 (36). The Tampa CV pilot, aimed at transforming the experience of automobile drivers, transit riders, and pedestrians by increasing safety and efficiency of the transportation network, deployed 13 CV applications, including end of ramp deceleration warning, wrong-way entry warning, and probe data enabled traffic monitoring.

7.3.9 MINNESOTA

In March of 2018, Governor Mark Dayton signed Executive Order 18-04 which established the Governor’s Advisory Council on Connected and Automated Vehicles. The Order recognizes that the state should prepare for the transportation, safety, business and equity transformations and opportunities. The Order establishes an advisory council comprising 15 members appointed by the Governor and ex-officio members from state agencies and the legislature. Information on council members, meeting notices, and advisory council background can be found online here\(^2\). The advisory council is charged with consulting with stakeholders, and preparing a report to the Governor and legislature by the end of 2018 to recommend changes in statutes, rules, and policies around several key topic areas including transportation infrastructure, vehicle registration, driver training and licensure, cybersecurity, and more.

Minnesota has conducted several pilot tests and research initiatives for connected and automated vehicle technologies, including several winter-weather technology tests. MnDOT conducted an Autonomous Bus Pilot project in 2017-18, the purpose of which was to help prepare for the operations of an automated shuttle bus in mixed general traffic and in Minnesota cold weather climate conditions. MnDOT tested an automated shuttle bus supplied by EasyMile at the MnROAD facility in December 2017 and January 2018.

The testing and demonstrations were useful in identifying challenges of operating AVs in snow and ice conditions, identifying challenges of having third parties conduct testing

\(^2\) [http://www.dot.state.mn.us/automated/advisory.html](http://www.dot.state.mn.us/automated/advisory.html)
activities, defining infrastructure gaps and solutions for the safe operation of AVs, and providing opportunities for engagement with various stakeholders and public outreach/education.

Findings of the final report were issued in June 2018 (37). The automated shuttle bus operated well under dry pavement conditions with no precipitation, kept a safe operating distance from other vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles and other roadway obstructions on the track, and performed slowdowns and stops as needed. Daytime and nighttime light conditions did not impact the shuttle performance.

Falling snow, blowing snow, or loose snow on the track was often detected as obstructions by vehicle sensors, causing it to slow down or stop. Snow banks alongside the vehicle routes caused issues with pre-programmed paths. Snow banks had to be removed at the demonstrations, and one was delayed a week from plan to allow the snow banks to melt. At times, compacted snow and patches of ice or slush on the track caused the wheels to slip, which in turn created issues with the bus not responding to its exact location on the track.

Salt from treated sections of roadway that collected on the vehicle sensors did not appear to significantly degrade performance. Cleaning dirt accumulation from the sensors due to normal operations appeared to improve the automated shuttle bus performance. Due to the rural nature of the MnROAD site, the vehicle required installation of localization infrastructure. Signs posts were installed approximately every 100 feet around the test loop to help the vehicle with localization. As the core temperature of the battery dropped, automated shuttle bus operations were negatively impacted. Charging times during colder temperatures increased compared to charging times during warmer temperatures.

7.3.10 **NEW YORK**

In 2017, New York Governance passed SB 2005 bill that gave authority to the commissioner of motor vehicles to approve AV testing within the state. The bill codified reporting and insurance requirements. In 2018, the finalized transportation and economic development bill extended AV testing in NY for up to one year and provides the State Police with more direct approval over the tests.
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New York law requires that all ADS tests and demonstrations must be done under supervision of New York State Police. The commissioner of motor vehicles with the superintendent of state police must then submit a report that includes “a description of the parameters and purpose of such demonstrations and tests, the location or locations where demonstrations and tests were conducted, the demonstrations’ and tests’ impacts on safety, traffic control, traffic enforcement, emergency services, and such other areas as may be identified by such commissioner.”

New York City is installing CV devices at 400 intersections in Manhattan and Brooklyn as part of a USDOT initiative that will communicate with up to 8,000 vehicles. In September 2016, New York announced the project had reached phase II and III of testing which are installing the device in the roadways, traffic lights, and vehicles and then an 18-month deployment period where the effectiveness of the deployed technology is tested. The New York City pilot, aimed at improving safety for travelers and pedestrians in the city, deployed 15 connected vehicle applications, including: red light violation warning, curve speed compliance, reduced speed/work zone warning and pedestrian in signalized crosswalk warning.

7.3.11 CONNECTICUT

Connecticut established, through legislation (SB 260/Public Act 17-69), a pilot AV testing program for up to four municipalities, which shall apply to participate in the pilot through the Secretary of the Office of Policy Management. There must be at least one municipality with a population of at least 120,000, but not more 124,000, and one municipality with a population of at least 100,000. No testing on limited access highways is permitted.

The chief elected official or chief executive officer of a municipality selected by the secretary shall select and enter into a written agreement with an ADS tester or ADS testers to test fully automated driving systems on the highways of the municipality. Such agreement shall, at a minimum: (1) Specify the locations and routes where such fully autonomous vehicles may operate; (2) Prohibit the operation of such fully automated driving systems outside such locations and routes except in the case of an emergency; (3) Identify each fully automated driving system to be tested by vehicle identification number, make, year and model; and (4) Specify the hours of operation of such fully automated driving system. The municipality is then required to apply to the state.

Testing companies must have a driver in the driver’s seat who is monitoring the environment and capable of taking immediate control. Each vehicle must be registered, and have insurance coverage of at least $5M.
Testing companies must provide non-confidential information to the secretary and the task force that the secretary and task force deem to be appropriate for measuring the performance of the pilot program.

The legislation also creates a Task Force to evaluate NHTSA standards, evaluate national laws, regulations, and legislation, recommend how Connecticut should regulate AVs with respect to laws and regulations, and evaluate the pilot program tests. The Task Force should report its findings in interim reports in January 2018 and July 2018, and a final report by January 2019.

7.3.12 MARYLAND

In 2015, Pete Rahn, Maryland’s Transportation Secretary established the Connected and Automated Vehicles (CAV) Working Group as the central point of coordination for the development and deployment of emerging CAV technologies. This includes all transportation business units with Maryland Department of Transportation (MDOT), Maryland Transportation Authority (MDTA), and collaboration with regional, state and local governments safety, planning, law enforcement and economic development interests, private sector automotive and tech industry, and elected officials. These collaborative efforts have produced considerable advancements:

- Maryland’s Vision for CAV, and an overall strategic plan for MDOT / MDTA. In addition, both State Highway Administration and MDTA have strategic plans for their respective business units to guide on-going and planned CV and AV efforts.
- Robust communication, coordination, and education within the full MDOT to integrate CAV into planning and processes.
- An online Expression of Interest portal to encourage any entities interested in development and testing of CAV technology in Maryland – that opens the door to coordinated, one-MDOT dialogue on possibilities and connections. Thirteen EOIs submitted as of December 2018.
- A permit process for testing highly automated vehicles (HAV) on Maryland roadways, that is a collaborative, constructive, and expedient pathway with the flexibility necessary to accommodate many different testing scenarios, while maintaining a safe environment for all roadway users. Two entities approved to test HAVs as of December 2018.
- Launched a web map site dedicated to providing information about available testing locations with the State that entities may use to test vehicle technology. Currently, these sites are selected MDOT-owned facilities such as park-and-ride lots and driver licensing test tracks. The addition of private sites impending, and MDOT welcomes
all public and private partners to submit potential test sites to be included on the webpage.

- Published educational materials for outreach to the general public (*Fast Facts on CAV Technology*) and to entities involved in the CAV arena (*Maryland Open for Business – CAV Technology*).

For more information see [MDOT.Maryland.gov/MarylandCAV](http://MDOT.Maryland.gov/MarylandCAV)

### 7.3.13 Texas

On June 15, 2017, Texas Governor Greg Abbott signed Senate Bill 2205, which allows AVs to operate without a driver on the state’s roads. SB 2205 revised the Texas Transportation Code Sec. 545.454 to provide that an automated motor vehicle may be “licensed” to operate in the state with the automated driving system engaged, regardless of whether a human operator is physically present in the vehicle. The owner is considered to be the operator, regardless of whether they are in the vehicle. Liability coverage or insurance must be maintained in an amount equal to the amount of coverage that is required under state laws. An automated motor vehicle may not operate on a highway with the automated driving system engaged unless the vehicle is: (1) capable of operating in compliance with applicable traffic and motor vehicle laws of the state, (2) equipped with a recording device (EDR), (3) equipped with an automated driving system in compliance with applicable federal law and federal motor vehicle safety standards; (4) registered and titled in accordance with the laws of Texas; and (5) covered by motor vehicle liability coverage or self-insurance in an amount equal to the amount of coverage that is required under the laws of Texas. In 2017, HB 1791 was passed, which added connected braking systems to allow for the platooning of vehicles.

### 7.3.14 Wyoming

There is no current legislation or requirements for data sharing in Wyoming. However, the Wyoming Department of Transportation has begun testing CV applications as part of a $4.4 million pilot project with USDOT. The pilot is testing the transmission of warning messages to drivers along I-80 via DSRC. During the winter, bad weather contributes to crashes on the state’s main east-west highway, so officials want to see how DSRC can improve driver awareness. The pilot program will test warnings advising travelers of crashes ahead, and advice about weather, speed restrictions, work zones and other matters. The state will use existing weather stations collect data and relay it to WYDOT’s Transportation Management Center, which can in turn send out the information to vehicles using the 75 DSRC radios it
has mounted along the roadway. This is an important means of communication in a region with limited to no cell service.

Additionally, Wyoming is recruiting up to 300 truck drivers to receive DSRC radios and mounted tablets in the cabin. Some companies, including UPS, have signed on. The onboard DSRC system will record information about the conditions the truck experienced in the past roadway section and upload it to the highway-based receivers, as well as be able to tell a truck driver to stop if he’s about to hit another DSRC-equipped vehicle.

### 7.3.15 TENNESSEE

In 2015, Tennessee joined a few other states in passing legislation to prohibit municipal governments from banning AVs at the local level. AVs are exempted from traditional licensing requirements, and the autonomous system is considered the operator of the vehicle for the purpose of determining liability in the event of a collision or violation of traffic laws. The state can legislate that ADS-equipped vehicles include an integrated electronic display visible to the operator while the motor vehicle’s autonomous technology is engaged. (T.C.A. Title 55 Ch. 8 § 55-8-202; 55-30-105; SB 151).

SB 1561 which passed in 2016 cleared up some ambiguity around the definitions of autonomous technology, driving mode and dynamic driving task. The following year, a bill passed to clear the way for vehicle platooning research on streets and highways as long as companies disclose programs to the Department of Transportation and Department of Safety. In 2017, the “Automated Vehicles Act,” was passed which established the requirements for ADS-equipped vehicles to operate on public roads and highways. The most recent governance modified requirements for operator licensing, seatbelts, liability issues and crash reporting. The law requires the vehicles owner or a person on behalf of the owner to promptly contact a law enforcement officer or agency to report the crash and the ADS-operated vehicle to remain on the scene of the crash.
7.4 INTERNATIONAL C/AV INITIATIVES

7.4.1 SINGAPORE

ADS trials first began in Singapore in July 2015. Under the Road Traffic Act, Singapore’s Land Transport Authority (LTA) is empowered to regulate automated driving system (ADS) trials.

In 2017, traffic law was amended to include some Av guidelines. The Road Traffic Act now includes rules regarding trials of ADS-equipped vehicles on the city-state’s roads. One amendment was that motor vehicles no longer need to have a human driver to take over command. ADS-equipped vehicles and their operators will also be exempt from current regulations which require a human driver to always be responsible for a motor vehicles safety on the road. However, the vehicle must have adequate liability insurance.

The government plans to provide ADS legislation by the second half of 2018 to be proactive and systematic in deploying regulations.

Companies are required to pass certain tests and requirements on a closed course (state-owned) prior to being permitted to conduct some testing in defined geographic area, under specific conditions (weather, time, etc).

In September 2016, LTA doubled the length of the public roads test routes within one-north from 6km to 12km. LTA expanded the ADS test bed in one-north again in June of 2017 to neighboring areas, adding 55km to the existing ADS trial routes (see map).

To conduct trials in the mixed-use, residential neighborhood areas*, trial participants must demonstrate to LTA and traffic police readiness to handle more dynamic traffic environments. Test vehicles are required to have a qualified safety driver ready to take over control of the vehicle; until the entity demonstrate that their technology is ready for driverless testing. Testing entities are required to have third-party insurance for their vehicles, and to share data from with LTA to facilitate the evaluation of trials.
7.4.2 **GERMANY**

In 2017, Germany passed the Road Traffic Act which required a driver to be sitting behind the wheel at all times ready to take back control if prompted to do so by the ADS. The act only covers the law governing driver behavior - it does not affect regulation for the approval of new vehicles with automated driving systems. This regulation is predominately governed by EU and international law (UN/ECE).

**Key governance aspects:**

- Defines liability for the driver. The driver is not obliged to monitor the driving system constantly; however, he must exert sufficient attention and perceptiveness so as to be able to take back control of the vehicle instantly the moment the system prompts him to do so.
- If the driver fails to meet any of these obligations, he may find himself liable in the event of a crash. However, if the crash is caused by a failure of the system at a time when the driver was properly relying on it, the driver will be able to exclude his liability. This is done by help of a black box integrated into the system. The manufacturer may then face liability for the system’s malfunction.

The law is set to be revised in two years’ time in the light of technological developments in order to address data protection and the use of the data collected during rides. A strategy for Automated and Connected Driving has been released by the Federal Government including the following aspects: Infrastructure (Digital infrastructure and standards), legislation, driver training, type approval/technical monitoring, cybersecurity, social dialogue and more.

With regards to data sharing the law requires recorded data to be kept for 6 months and in case of crash for 3 years. There are no specific requirements which have led to 4 major concerns from policy leaders:

- Who is responsible for recording and deleting the data
- The details on the technical design and the location of the data storage device
- The methods of recording the data
- The measures required to protect the recorded data against unauthorized access in the event the vehicle is sold

The legislation requires that a black box records the journey and logs whether the human driver or the car’s self-piloting system was in charge at all moments of the ride. This is required to help allocate fault and liability in the event of an crash. The box also records where and when these events happen via the vehicle’s GPS data on position and time. The driver will bear responsibility for crashes that take place under his or her watch, under the
legislation, but if the self-driving system is in charge and a system failure is to blame, the manufacturer will be responsible.

Driverless parking systems are only allowed on private grounds outside of public roadways. The vehicles must be operating at a low speed. There are several testing fields, and in spring 2018 a new field became operational in the state of Baden- Württemberg including all different types of roads.

The PEGASUS project, promoted by the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, is developing a standardized procedure for the testing and experimenting of automated vehicle systems in simulation, on test stands and in real environments.

7.4.3 FRANCE

The French government has announced that it will allow car companies to test self-driving cars on public French roads. The change in policy is an element of the New Industrial France initiative, which aims to energize the country's industrial and manufacturing sectors. France already allows some self-driving cars on its roads. The PSA Group, a French carmaker, has tested ADS-equipped vehicles on public roadways. These new regulations will allow foreign manufacturers to begin testing their autonomous cars in France as well, providing a new site to gather data and further develop the programming behind self-driving technology, much like Toyota hopes to achieve in Japan.

The New France for Industry plan provides a legal framework for ADS experimentation, 10,000 km of road facilities, and several active experiments, working groups, in addition to technical, research, and test bed projects.

7.4.4 FINLAND

Finland’s current road traffic legislation already permits automated vehicle trials – no amendments will be required. Trafi, the Finnish Transport Safety Agency, aims to make the testing and use of automated vehicles possible in Finland. Parties interested in or planning trials of automated vehicles are invited to contact Trafi in this regard. In practice, Trafi will facilitate the implementation of trials through means such as proposing solutions for driver specification and helping with the technical approval and registration of the vehicle. Testing of all automated vehicles (1-5) is possible on public roads in Finland using a test plate certificate. Vehicles under testing must have a driver either inside or outside the
vehicle. The test plate certificate holder, who is running the tests, must submit a report to the Finnish Transport Safety Agency. The report should describe how the trial was implemented, what kind of deviations were encountered, etc.

National activities include extreme weather testing in Northern Finland Aurora public test section for ADS-equipped vehicles on highway E8, urban testing facilities in Tampere and Tuusula, SOHJOA automated electric buses in Helsinki, Espoo, Tampere, and automated last-mile solutions. 3 companies had applied for a test plate as of December 2016, and reports will be posted online.

7.4.5 United Kingdom

The UK government created in 2015 the Centre for Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CCAV), and a 2015 Code of Practice sets out that testers must obey all relevant traffic laws and that test vehicles must be roadworthy, a trained driver or operator must be ready, willing and able to take control (but not necessarily in the vehicle), and appropriate insurance must be held.

A competition in 2015-16 was launched and funded projects will run in the 2016-19 timeframe. £20m was allocated for 21 collaborative R&D projects and feasibility studies to stimulate developments in ADS-equipped vehicles.

7.4.6 China

China has set a goal for 10 to 20 percent of vehicles to be highly autonomous by 2025, and for 10 percent of cars to be fully self-driving in 2030.

Baidu, a Chinese technology firm, wants to provide the technology to get those vehicles on the roads in China and abroad. The firm unveiled its 50+ partners in an open source development program, revised its timeline for introducing autonomous driving capabilities on open city roads, described the Project Apollo consortium and its goals, and declared Apollo to be the ‘Android of the autonomous driving industry.’ It will start driving testing in restricted environments immediately before gradually introducing fully autonomous driving capabilities on highways and open city roads by 2020. The program is making its autonomous car software open source in the same way that Google released its Android operating system for smartphones. By encouraging companies to build upon the system
and share their results, it hopes to overtake rivals such as Google/Waymo, Tencent, Alibaba and others researching self-driving technology.

The Apollo platform consists of a core software stack, a number of cloud services, and self-driving vehicle hardware such as GPS, cameras, LIDAR, and radar. The software currently available to outside developers is relatively simple: it can record the behavior of a car being driven by a person and then play that back in autonomous mode. This November, the company plans to release perception capabilities that will allow Apollo cars to identify objects in their vicinity. This will be followed by planning and localization capabilities and a driver interface.

The cloud services being developed by Baidu include mapping services, a simulation platform, a security framework, and Baidu’s DuerOS voice-interface technology.
7.5 RELATED RESEARCH AND GUIDANCE

1. **Strategic Planning for Connected and Automated Vehicles in Massachusetts** (May 2018)
   *MassDOT, University of Massachusetts at Lowell*

   This study was undertaken as part of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Research Program with the University of Massachusetts Transportation Center. This program is funded with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) State Planning and Research (SPR) funds. Through this program, applied research is conducted on topics of importance to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts transportation agencies. The purpose of this study is to provide baseline information pertaining to strategic planning for CAV technologies in Massachusetts.

   *New England Transportation Consortium, AECOM*

   The purpose of this research, funded by the New England Transportation Consortium (NETC), is to determine considerations for cross-border and collaborative challenges, and to develop a roadmap of actions for the states to conduct to facilitate the operation of CVs and AVs in the region. The roadmap is based on examining current status, reviewing best practices and current research, a stakeholder workshop, and discussions with relevant personnel and industry experts.

3. **How Autonomous Vehicles will Drive our Budgets** (July 2018)
   *Conservation law Foundation (CLF)*

   In July 2018, CLF released this report to help the Commonwealth realize the potential benefits of this new technology, while minimizing its potential downsides and costs. CLF analyzed the economic and fiscal impacts of self-driving cars on the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and provided policy recommendations. They concluded that self-driving vehicles may have a significant impact on state and local budgets, as well as the economic outlook in the Commonwealth. Self-driving cars may affect the considerable state and local revenues related to motor vehicles, including motor fuels taxes, excise taxes, and parking fees. In addition, the shift to self-driving vehicles may lead to economic impacts, including congestion and air
pollution costs, if not properly addressed. To maximize the benefits of the transition to AVs, CLF proposes that Massachusetts enacts policies such as an emphasis on electric and ride-pooling vehicles, and investments in public transit.

4. Legislative and Policy Considerations for Autonomous Vehicles (May 2017)
Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC)

In May 2017, the MAPC Executive Committee adopted a set of legislative and policy considerations for autonomous vehicles. The intent of the legislative and policy considerations is to encourage the Commonwealth and our member municipalities to safely and equitably accommodate AVs on public roadways. Considerations outlined include data collection and data sharing, potential changes to municipal and state revenue, public infrastructure, safety, speed limits, autonomous delivery of goods, land-use, parking, and long-range planning.

5. Connected and Autonomous Vehicles and the Boston MPO – A First Look (December 2017)
Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS)

CTPS is the Boston regional Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The report reviews current developments in CAV technology and discuss the range of benefits and impacts that could result once it is in use. The report also surveys the experiences that other MPOs have had with representing CAV technology in their planning products and tools, which includes incorporating the technology into long- and short-range planning, travel demand models, and scenario planning. The report ends by recommending concrete actions that the Boston Region MPO can take in order to incorporate, and simultaneously understand, CAV technology. Some suggestions are along the lines of keeping current with new CAV trends locally and nationally, and developing our own strategic action plans. Other recommendations involve integrating CAV technology into specific MPO plans and programs.

American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA)
AAMVA is a non-profit organization developing model programs in motor vehicle administration, law enforcement, and highway safety. In May of 2018, AAMVA released its first guidance for testing and deployment of AVs, with recommendations for manufacturers, state transportation and motor vehicle agencies, and other stakeholders.

7. **Preparing for Automated Vehicles** (August 2018)
   Governors Highway Safety Association (GHSA)

   The report outlines traffic safety issues and discusses how law enforcement and State Highway Safety Offices (SHSOs) should prepare for them. The report offers recommendations to states. The report provides references to research, survey findings, position papers, government documents, news media, and other resources on automated vehicles that have appeared in the last four years. It includes information obtained by GHSA from a survey of State Highway Safety Offices. It does not attempt to be a complete review of the extensive and rapidly-changing information available on automated vehicles.

8. **Impacts of Connected Vehicles and Automated Vehicles on State and Local Transportation Agencies** (NCHRP Project 20-102 – Ongoing)
   National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP)

   NCHRP is funded by the state Departments of Transportation and managed by the Transportation Research Board, a part of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine. The objectives of NCHRP Project 20-102 are to (1) identify critical issues associated with connected vehicles and automated vehicles that state and local transportation agencies and AASHTO will face, (2) conduct research to address those issues, and (3) conduct related technology transfer and information exchange activities.

   Several tasks have already been completed, with several ongoing tasks planned for finalization in Q3 and Q4 of 2018. Though not an exhaustive list, some tasks include:

     The 2017 report and accompanying briefing document present potential societal outcomes of these technologies along with 18 policy and planning strategies that
agency and legislative decision-makers could apply to align AV and CV technologies with public policy interests more effectively.

The report presents a roadmap of activities by industry, legislatures, federal government, and others to facilitate automated transit deployment and accelerate the societal benefits. These activities address technology, safety, workforce, operating policies, laws and regulations, and implementation.

The report describes freight environments and challenges for connected and highly automated technologies, identifies public and private sector barriers to implementation, and proposes next steps for addressing the challenges for deployment and adoption.

This project task report will provide information on the performance characteristics of longitudinal pavement markings (e.g., center lines, lane lines, edge lines) that affect the ability of machine vision systems to recognize them. This information will be used by the AASHTO/SAE Working Group as they develop guidelines and criteria. The results of this study (report not yet published) suggest that the contrast ratio of the longitudinal pavement markings relative to the pavement should be around three, meaning that a marking luminance factor or coefficient of retro-reflected luminance should be three times higher on the pavement marking than on the adjacent pavement surface. The full report is expected to be published soon.

- **Implications of Automation for Motor Vehicle Codes** (NCHRP Project 20-102[7])
This project task provides state DOTs and motor vehicle departments with guidance to assist with the legal changes that will result from the roll out of connected and automated vehicles. The project has been coordinated with AAMVA, and research is still ongoing as of December 2018.

- **Dedicating Lanes for Priority or Exclusive Use by CVs and AVs** (NCHRP Project 20-102[8] – April 2018)
The task identified conditions amenable to dedicated CV/AV lanes and obstacles to implementing them. The project also evaluated how to measure benefits and
possible consequences, and documented specific laws and regulations that might impact dedicating lanes to specific user categories.

  The purpose of this task is to provide a conceptual framework and applicable guidelines to support state DOTs and regional MPOs as they begin to incorporate CVs and AVs into their planning, modeling, and forecasting tools. The final report is expected in Q4 2018.

  This task has been incorporated into NCHRP Project 03-127, Cybersecurity of Traffic Signals and Related ITS. The objective of the research is to develop guidance for state and local transportation agencies on mitigating the risks from cyber-attacks on the field side of traffic management systems (including traffic signal systems, intelligent transportation systems, vehicle-to-infrastructure systems (V2I), and closed-circuit television systems) and, secondarily, on informing the agency's response to an attack. The report should be completed in the fall of 2019.

  The objective of this research is to produce guidance for state and local transportation agencies in evaluating and, if necessary, adapting standards and practices for roadway and intelligent transportation system designs (including traffic control devices) and related maintenance and operations to reflect the deployment of connected and automated vehicle technologies. The guidance will consider trends and timelines in the development and deployment of various connected and automated driving technologies (primarily SAE Levels 2 and 3, with some consideration of Level 4), including sensor systems and the increasing role of digital infrastructure and connectivity (e.g., dynamic high-definition maps, real-time data and information, and geo-referencing). The estimated completion date is February 2020.

- **Preparing Traffic Incident Management (TIM) Responders for CVs and AVs** (NCHRP 20-102[16] – Timing TBD)
  The objective of this project is to investigate how traffic incidents might change in a more connected transportation system and what the needs of traffic incident
responders would be. A secondary objective is to describe how traffic incident responders should be included in the CV/AV research agenda moving forward. This task has not yet started as of August 2018.

  This project aims to identify and define the minimum set of safety data associated with AVs that should be available to conduct crash and safety analyses. This data should include on-road and validation and testing data for crashes and near-crashes and include relevant information on the conditions associated with the incident. It is expected that a key part of the research will be convening safety and AV specialists and data scientists to explore, among other issues, uses of the data, relevant data collected by AVs, privacy restrictions, reliance on other data sources, data sharing arrangements, and liability. This task has not yet started as of August 2018.

  The objective of this project is to update and maintain the research roadmap to reflect the current landscape. The research team will be expected to bring knowledge gained from many sources to the roadmap, including the literature, relevant AASHTO events, and relevant research underway or planned by other research institutions, the annual Automated Vehicle Symposium sponsored by TRB and AUVSI, and the newly formed TRB Forum on Preparing for Automated Vehicles and Shared Mobility. The estimated completion date is March 2020.

9. **Connected Vehicle Pooled Fund Study** (Ongoing)
   Virginia DOT, Federal Highway Administration

   The Connected Vehicle Pooled Fund Study (CV PFS) was created by a group of state, local, and international transportation agencies and the Federal Highway Administration in order to provide a means to conduct the work necessary for infrastructure providers to play a leading role in advancing the Connected Vehicle systems. There are 19 state departments of transportation as of August 2018.

   The CV PFS research efforts facilitate pilot demonstrations and deployments of connected vehicle infrastructure applications, working with OEMS on documenting best practices and guidance for CV deployments. The CV PFS was initiated as a
phased program beginning 2009, with research, field testing, and continued development of pilot initiatives and related research.

The CV PFS is currently sponsoring three projects:

a. Connected Traffic Control System (CTCS): Research Planning and Concept Development
   - Review existing studies and developments, engage stakeholders to identify need, map potential benefits of CTCS
   - Engage with stakeholders to avoid duplication when possible and enhance research outcomes
   - Develop the CTCS research plan and Concept of Operations that comprehends the entire roadway system

b. Multi-Modal Intelligent Traffic Signal System – Phase III: Deployment Readiness Enhancements
   - The readiness enhancements are focused on created enhanced and “cleaned up” code that is transferable regardless of hardware vendors
   - Improve the MMITSS software manuals, including the requirements on platforms, download/build instructions, and the API
   - Make the source code hardware agnostic (can be run on any hardware)
   - Carry out additional testing for fine tuning

c. Basic Infrastructure Message Development and Standards Support
   - Goal is to develop a Basic Infrastructure Message (BIM) and a means to collaborate with relevant standards development organizations
   - Better understand and define what message infrastructure will send to vehicles
   - Will help public transportation agencies know what kind of information to broadcast for Road Side Equipment


FHWA initiated an effort to develop AMS tools for C/AV applications and to conduct realistic case studies with these tools to help inform implementation and estimation of benefits. Current traffic analysis and planning tools are not well suited for evaluating C/AV applications because of their inability to incorporate vehicle connectivity and automated features. It is necessary to adapt and re-engineer the existing set of tools available to agencies, validate these models and tools, and provide a mechanism to share these models and tools with public agencies.
11. **Analysis of Low-Speed Automated Vehicle (LSAV): Pilots and Deployments**  
   (TCRP J-11/Task 27 – Timing TBD)

   The objective of this research is to develop guidance for transit agencies and communities on the development and deployment of LSAV pilots. The guidance should provide lessons learned from the initial pilots that are underway and soon to be launched. The research should conduct analyses of possible use cases for LSAVs and develop guidance.
7.6 **FEDERAL ADS LEGISLATION**

There are not currently any federal laws specific to ADS-equipped vehicles. The 115th Congress introduced several bills concerning ADS technologies. This Report does not cover the legislation which has been filed in the recently-seated 116th Congress.

In July of 2017, the Self Drive Act (HR3388) was introduced in the House by Representative Robert Latta. The bill passed in the House with overwhelming support in September 2017 (38). The bill defined the federal role in ensuring the safety of ADS-equipped vehicles by encouraging the testing and deployment of such vehicles. Under the Self Drive Act, the Department of Transportation (DOT) would have required safety assessment certifications from manufacturers of an automated driving system. Manufacturers would also have been required to develop written cybersecurity and privacy plans for such vehicles prior to offering them for sale. Additionally, the bill would’ve required NHTSA to begin updating vehicle safety standards to consider newly-developing technology. The bill would have preempted states from enacting laws regarding the design, construction, or performance of highly automated vehicles or automated driving systems, unless such laws enact standards identical to federal standards, and would also have allowed for additional FMVSS exemptions for companies seeking to manufacture ADS-equipped vehicles (38). Finally, the bill would’ve established an advisory council to provide recommendations to DOT. (39)

The Senate’s most prominent effort was the AV Start Act (S1885), sponsored by Senator John Thune and introduced in September 2017. It was referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation and approved by a unanimous voice vote on October of 2017, but ultimately stalled and failed to pass the 115th Congress (40).

The AV START Act would have required establishment of regulations for the development of ADS technologies for vehicles with a gross weight of 10,000 pounds or less, including provisions to address cyber security, safety standards, FMVSS exemptions, and consumer education (41). The bill prohibited state and local governments from regulating the design, construction, or performance standards but otherwise maintained the jurisdiction of the NHTSA. The Act would have initiated a process to update the FMVSS to apply to vehicles driven by autonomous technology instead of a human, and also would have established an advisory technical committee consisting of 15 members to make recommendations to DOT.

Manufacturers are currently prohibited from rendering inoperable any federally mandated vehicle safety controls (e.g., steering wheel and pedals). The AV START Act would have provided for the possibility of exemptions from these requirements. US DOT would also have been permitted to increase the number of vehicles per manufacturer which are exempt from FMVSS from 2,500 today to 50,000 in the first year, and then 100,000 by the fifth year. Manufacturers would have been required to submit a public safety evaluation
report (SER) no later than 90 days before the manufacturer introduces an ADS or component into commerce. The SER would have been updated each year and include assessment, testing, and validation processes for nine subject areas including system safety, data recording, cybersecurity, human-machine interface, crashworthiness, post-crash behavior, and more. While the reports would have been required, the manufacture and sale of automated driving systems would not have been conditional on the content of the reports.

The Act included several consumer education initiatives, including research of educational strategies, cybersecurity, and traffic safety effects of mixed fleets. The bill would also have required US DOT to establish a Technical Committee comprised of industry experts to make recommendations to US DOT related to rulemaking, policy, guidance, performance, and safety of ADS-equipped vehicles. The bill would have preempted state and local laws that affect the “design, construction or performance” of an automated vehicle. As “performance” was not defined in the bill or federal legislation, it is unclear which state or local laws would be considered to affect the “performance” of the vehicle and therefore subject to this preemption. This framework could preempt any current or future law or regulation related to any of the nine subject areas in the SER.

The AV Start Act stalled in the Senate due to several main concerns around preemption language, data sharing, safety issues, and litigation processes.

Many stakeholders have expressed a need for increased data sharing between manufacturers and states. The Act could have limited the amount and range of data types that states and cities could require of ADS operators. The proposed HAV Data Access Advisory Committee would have also only addressed ownership and access issues, and not the type (collisions, near-misses, disengagements, etc), amount, or frequency of data to be collected and shared.

Public interest groups and states have expressed concerned about exposing motorists and pedestrians to unreasonable risks by limiting safety regulations and testing oversight (42). Some stakeholders have suggested that level 2 automated vehicles should adhere to all of the same safety regulations as level 3-5 systems (43).
7.7 **FEDERAL ADS GUIDANCE – DETAILED REVIEW**

The United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) has released three iterations of policy guidance for automated driving systems (ADS). The original guidance, released in 2016, was replaced by *Automated Driving Systems 2.0: A Vision for Safety*, which offers a non-regulatory approach to ADS technology development and safety, including voluntary guidance for manufacturers and best practices for states’ consideration. The newest guidance, *AV 3.0* (October 2018), builds upon, but does not replace, the voluntary guidance provided in *ADS 2.0* (September 2017). In *AV 3.0*, USDOT’s surface transportation operating administrations collaborated on a Departmental policy statement on automation across modalities. *AV 3.0* outlines how automation can be safely integrated across passenger vehicles, commercial vehicles, on-road transit, and public roadways.

7.7.1 **AUTOMATED DRIVING SYSTEMS 2.0: A VISION FOR SAFETY**

7.7.1.1 **Voluntary Guidance (For ADS Developers)**

The Voluntary Guidance takes the form of a “Safety Self-Assessment” which includes 12 “Safety Elements”, as compared with 15 in the prior Safety Assessment Letter (see below sections). The Voluntary Guidance applies to the design aspects of motor vehicles and equipment under NHTSA’s jurisdiction, including low-speed and heavy-duty vehicles.

The purpose of the Voluntary Guidance is to help ADS developers and manufacturers analyze, identify, and resolve safety considerations prior to deployment. It outlines 12 safety elements that are generally considered to be the most significant design aspects to consider and address when developing, testing, and deploying ADS-equipped vehicles on public roadways. Within each of the 12 safety design elements, entities are encouraged to consider and document their use of industry standards, best practices, company policies, or other methods they have employed to provide for increased system safety in real-world conditions. The 12 safety design elements apply to both ADS original equipment and to replacement equipment or updates (including software) to ADS-equipped vehicles.

Entities engaged in ADS testing and deployment may demonstrate how they address the safety elements contained in the Voluntary Guidance by publishing a Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment (VSSA). Entities are not required to submit a VSSA, nor is there any mechanism to compel entities to do so. While these assessments are encouraged prior to testing and deployment, NHTSA does not require that entities provide submissions nor are they required to delay testing or deployment. Assessments are not subject to Federal approval.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADS 2.0 Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment</th>
<th>Original Policy – Safety Assessment Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• System Safety</td>
<td>• System Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operational Design Domain</td>
<td>• Operational Design Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Object/Event Detection and Response</td>
<td>• Object/Event Detection and Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fallback (Minimal Risk Condition)</td>
<td>• Fall Back (Minimal Risk Condition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Validation Methods</td>
<td>• Validation Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Human Machine Interface</td>
<td>• Human Machine Interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vehicle Cybersecurity</td>
<td>• Vehicle Cybersecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Crashworthiness</td>
<td>• Crashworthiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Post-Crash ADS Behavior</td>
<td>• Post-Crash Behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Data Recording</td>
<td>• Data Recording and Sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consumer Education and Training</td>
<td>• Consumer Education and Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Federal, State, and Local Laws</td>
<td>• Federal, State and Local Laws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Registration and Certification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ethical Consideration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.7.1.2 **Technical Assistance to States**

The updated ADS 2.0 document includes a section on “Technical Assistance to States” which provides useful guidance for testing and deployment at the state level.

NHTSA again urges states to not codify the Voluntary Safety Self-Assessment into law or regulation as a legal requirement for any phases of development, testing, or deployment in order to leave safety design and performance aspects of ADS within the purview of USDOT/NHTSA.

It reiterates that NHTSA’s responsibilities include: setting FMVSS for new vehicles and equipment, enforcing compliance with FMVSS, investigating and managing recalls of noncompliance and defects, and communicating with and educating the public about motor vehicle safety.

The states’ responsibilities include: licensing of human drivers, registering vehicles, enacting and enforcing traffic laws and regulations, conducting safety inspections, and regulating motor vehicle insurance and liability.

**Best Practices for Legislatures**

The Guidance suggest best practices for state Legislatures which include: providing a tech-neutral environment, providing licensing and registration procedures for vehicles with ADS, providing reporting and communications methods for public safety officials, and reviewing traffic laws and regulations which may impede ADS operation.

**Best Practices for State Highway Safety Officials**

The policy framework provides administrative recommendations to states, including to:

- Establish a lead state agency
- Create an inter-agency committee and communication plan
- Use or establish statutory authority to implement a framework for policies and regulations
- Examine existing laws and regulations to remove operational barriers
- Consider an application to test in the state
• Consider issuing ADS test vehicle permits

Within an **application to test on public roadways**, the policy recommends:

• The application for testing should remain at the state level
• States could have an ADS testing application process, and should consider applications in which multiple entities are involved in the testing
• States could request information such as physical and mailing addresses, VIN numbers of vehicles, and test operator ID information
• Including the Safety Self-Assessment could provide additional assurance to the state
• Proof of insurance, a surety bond, or proof of self-insurance
• Inclusion of a summary of training provided to operators

With respect to **granting permission to test on public roadways**:

• It is recommended that permission to test remain at the state level; however, state and local governments should coordinate. If a state chooses to request applications at a local level, these considerations would carry to those jurisdictions.
• The lead state agency should involve law enforcement in responding to applications to test
• Testing permissions should be suspended for failure to comply with insurance or driver requirements
• It would be appropriate to request additional information or require an entity to modify its application before granting approval
• State should provide a notice of permission to test, and may require that permission be carried in the vehicles

Some of the **additional considerations** presented in the policy include:

• For vehicles at SAE levels 3 and lower, a licensed driver has responsibility to operate the vehicle, monitor the operation, or be immediately available to perform the driving task when requested or the lower level automated system disengages
• Fully automated vehicles are driven entirely by the vehicle itself and require no licensed human driver
• Consider identifying ADS on titles and registrations
• Consider requiring notification of ADS upgrades if the vehicle has been significantly upgraded post-sale. Applicable state forms could be adjusted to reflect the upgrade
• States could consider training public safety officials in conjunction with ADS deployments in to improve understanding of ADS operation and potential interactions
• Coordination among states would be beneficial for developing policies on human operator behaviors, as to monitor behavior changes—if any—in the presence of ADS-equipped vehicles when the vehicle is in control
• Initial considerations for state relegation of liability during an incident and insurance of the driver, entity, and/or ADS. How to allocate liability among ADS owners, operators, passengers, manufacturers, and other entities when a crash occurs
• For insurance purposes, who (owner, operator, passenger, manufacturer, other entity, etc.) must carry motor vehicle insurance
• Consider rules and laws allocating tort liability

7.7.2 AUTOMATED VEHICLES 3.0
7.7.2.1 Roles in Automation
This section outlines the primary authorities and policy issues for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to demonstrate how the U.S. DOT is incorporating safety throughout the surface transportation system as it relates to automated vehicles. These sections also discuss ADS-equipped vehicles (SAE automation Levels 3 to 5) and lower level technologies (SAE automation Levels 0 to 2), depending on the role of each operating administration and its current engagement with automation.

NHTSA intends to reconsider the necessity and appropriateness of its current safety standards as applied to ADS-equipped vehicles. In an upcoming rulemaking, NHTSA plans to seek comment on proposed changes to particular safety standards to accommodate automated vehicle technologies and the possibility of setting exceptions to certain standards for ADS-equipped vehicles which are relevant only when human drivers are present. USDOT and NHTSA further recognize that new ADS technologies will require new approaches to the formulation of the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS), in addition to a more short-term process for evaluating exemption petitions.

The FMCSA regulates the safety of commercial motor carriers operating in interstate commerce, the qualifications and safety of commercial motor vehicle drivers, and the safe operation of commercial trucks and motor coaches. AV 3.0 states that “...FMCSA will work with (1) industry, State governments, and other partners to further the safe operation of ADS-equipped commercial vehicles, and (2) law enforcement, inspection officers, and first responders to create new techniques and protocols.” (44)
7.7.2.2 **The Road Ahead**

U.S. DOT is implementing five strategies for accelerating the integration of automated vehicles across all modes: engaging with stakeholders and the public; developing best practices and policy support; working on voluntary technical standards; conducting technical research; and modernizing regulations.

AV 3.0 provides an overview of Safety Risk Management Stages along the Path to Full Commercial Integration, which outlines a mix of industry best practices, consensus standards, and voluntary guidance to manage safety risk along the different stages of technology development. The guidance outlines processes for development and early stage road testing through to limited or full deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles.

The report closes with a summary of ongoing efforts within NHTSA, FMCSA, FTA, and FHWA to support integration of automation technologies, and address regulatory gaps or challenges that inhibit safe ADS testing and deployment.
7.8 **Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS)**

In order to sell a motor vehicle in the U.S. market, a vehicle manufacturer must certify that the vehicle meets performance requirements specified in the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards, or FMVSS. The FMVSS are codified in 49 C.F.R. 571, and encompass 73 separate standards that address crash avoidance, crashworthiness, and post-crash survivability. The FMVSS includes safety standards which apply specifically to different vehicle types, including low-speed vehicles, passenger cars, vans and sport-utility vehicles, trucks, trailers, and buses.

The FMVSS were first established through the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966. The standards were developed with the general assumption that vehicles would be driven by a human operator. Automated vehicle technologies present a need to consider how to revise the FMVSS to contemplate vehicles which may be partially or fully capable of managing the dynamic driving tasks.

Considering that automated vehicles of some form are likely to be production-ready in the near future, USDOT Volpe Center, in support of the Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Joint Program Office’s (JPO) Automated Vehicle Program and in coordination with NHTSA, recently completed a review of the FMVSS to understand how the existing standards might create certification challenges for manufacturers producing highly automated vehicle technologies. (45) The review highlighted situations in which automated technology characteristics could conflict with or introduce ambiguity into the interpretation of existing standards.

USDOT Volpe conducted two reviews of the FMVSS, for drivers and for automated vehicle technologies. The driver reference review identified standards which include explicit or implicit reference to a human driver. The driver reference scan identified several standards for drivers (defined in §571.3 as “…the occupant of the motor vehicle seated immediately behind the steering control system”), a driver’s seating position, or controls and displays that must be visible to or operable by a driver, or actuated by a driver’s hands or feet. The scan for automated vehicle concepts identified standards which could pose an issue for a range of automated vehicle capabilities and concepts. In order to conduct this scan, Volpe developed 13 different automated vehicle concepts, ranging from lower levels of automation (and near-term applications) to highly automated or completely driverless concepts with innovative vehicle designs.

The review revealed a limited number of barriers for lower level automated vehicles to comply with FMVSS, provided the vehicle does not significantly diverge from conventional vehicle designs. Two standards – theft protection and rollaway prevention (§571.114), and
light vehicle brake systems (§571.135) – were identified as having potential conflicts for automated vehicles with conventional designs.

Automated vehicles that begin diverge from conventional design (for example, those with alternative interior layouts and/or lack of manual controls) are constrained by the current FMVSS. Many of the current standards are based on conventional vehicle designs and the presence of a human operator, and thus pose challenges for some fully automated concepts wherein the human occupants have no way of driving the vehicle (i.e. §571.101, controls and displays, §571.111, rear visibility, §571.208, occupant crash protection).
7.9 REVIEW OF AV WORKING GROUP MEETINGS

The Autonomous Vehicles Working Group convened 10 meetings between October 2016 and September 2018, with around 50 to 75 attendees on average, including companies and representatives, academic institutions, regional planning organizations, and news organizations.

In the Working Group’s first meeting on December 15, 2016, MassDOT provided a general overview of automated driving system (ADS) technologies, federal ADS guidance, and the varied approaches which other states have developed to regulate ADS testing and deployment. MassDOT provided an overview of the ADS industry and testing process in Massachusetts, where efforts began in conjunction with the City of Boston and incorporated considerations of NHTSA’s original Federal ADS Policy guidance. MassDOT shared the Application and MOU, along with news of the first applicant to the process.

In its second meeting in February 2017, the Working Group discussed the Group’s goals and activities pursuant to EO 572. The Group received a presentation by WSP USA on Scenarios and Approaches to AVs, and an update from the City of Boston and nuTonomy on testing activities. The meeting concluded with a discussion about upcoming dates, topics, and deliverables for the Group.

For the third meeting on March 30, 2017 the Working Group discussed existing laws and regulations that may be relevant in the testing and deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles. MassDOT staff reviewed sections of the Massachusetts General Laws and the Code of Massachusetts Regulations for consideration in future legislative or regulatory updates. In this meeting, MassDOT provided a draft regulation for consideration which addressed enforcement of the ADS testing program requirements. Finally, staff also provided an overview of California’s draft regulations for ADS testing and deployment.

In May 2017, nuTonomy provided a regular update on their testing activities. The Working Group reviewed draft Testing Guidance developed by MassDOT which summarized the MOU and Application process and contents. The Group was also provided an introductory presentation to cybersecurity considerations for ADS-equipped vehicles and policy and regulatory implications.

The July 2017 meeting was convened at the Massachusetts State House to hear from State legislators about bills filed pertaining to autonomous vehicles. Senator Jason Lewis (S1945), Representative Tricia Farley-Bouvier (H1829), Representative Michael Day (H3417), Representative Peter Durant (H1822), Representative Aaron Michlewitz (H2742), and Representative Ann-Margaret Ferrante (H3422) either spoke at the event or provided written comments on the respective legislation they had filed.
On September 19th of 2017, the Working Group heard from nearly 20 stakeholders in an open forum event. Stakeholders ranged from ADS companies and component suppliers to academic research institutions and transportation advocacy organizations. The Group received a wealth of feedback and testimony through this condensed forum.

In November 2017, the Group was provided an update on testing activities, and discussed insurance and liability considerations in depth with representatives of the Massachusetts Insurance Federation and the National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies. The meeting concluded with a discussion of lessons learned, additional considerations and areas of interest, and next steps towards developing a report and guidance.

After a planned March 2018 meeting was postponed due to weather, the Group met again for the eighth time on May 3rd, 2018 to discuss the draft report of the Working Group, including considerations for areas of policy and operation to continue monitoring and discussing. In addition, the Group received an update from MassDOT and Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) staff about efforts to establish a regional testing framework to streamline the application process across multiple municipalities. The meeting also included a brief update of two active research projects; one by the University of Massachusetts Transportation Center to develop strategic plan considerations for the Commonwealth’s connected and automated vehicle efforts, and a second effort through the New England Transportation Consortium about inter-state ADS testing opportunities and challenges.

The ninth meeting of the Working Group was held on June 27, 2018 and included updates on current ADS testing and the development of the regional ADS testing process in collaboration with MAPC. The City of Boston & Harvard Kennedy School provided a review of a “Policy Scrum” event which aimed to develop recommendations for the deployment of ADS-equipped vehicles. The Chairs of the Autonomous and Connected Vehicles sub-group of the Governor’s Commission on the Future of Transportation shared an update on the Commission’s listening sessions and activities, and discussed continued engagement and collaboration between the two efforts. The meeting concluded with a review and discussion on the draft report, followed by public comment.

The final meeting of the Working Group was held on September 12, 2018. The meeting included a general update on testing activities, and a detailed review of the draft Working Group Report. In addition, a review of the draft documents was provided, including the revised Application to Test Automated Driving Systems, Memorandum of Agreement, and Crash Response Form. Finally, the Working Group heard summaries of two reports: the New England Transportation Consortium report conducted by AECOM; and the Strategic Planning Considerations for C/AVs in Massachusetts conducted by UMass Lowell.
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