FitchRatings

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Rates Massachusetts Clean Water Trust's \$405MM SRF Bonds 'AAA'; Outlook Stable

Fri 18 Nov, 2022 - 9:08 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Austin - 18 Nov 2022: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the following bonds issued by the Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MCWT) under its 2015 Master Trust Agreement (MTA):

- --Approximately \$143 million state revolving fund (SRF) bonds, series 24A (Green Bonds);
- --Approximately \$150 million SRF bonds, series 24B (Sustainability Bonds);
- --Approximately \$112 million SRF refunding bonds, series 2022.

The series 24A and 24B bond proceeds will be used to finance or refinance certain wastewater and drinking water projects within the state and pay issuance costs. The refunding bond proceeds will be used to refund certain series of previously issued bonds and to pay costs of issuance. The bonds are expected to sell via negotiation the week of November 28.

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the 'AAA' rating on the following obligations:

- --Approximately \$1.3 billion in outstanding parity MTA bonds;
- --Approximately \$834.0 million in outstanding prior pool-program bonds;
- --Approximately \$7.4 million in outstanding prior single-obligor bonds.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

ENTITY / DEBT \$	RATING \$			PRIOR \$
Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MA) [State Revolving Fund]				
Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MA) /Massachusetts Water Resources Authority Program - Subordinated Obligations/1 LT	LT	AAA Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AAA Rating Outlook Stable
Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MA) /Massachusetts Water Resources Authority Program Revenues/1 LT	LT	AAA Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AAA Rating Outlook Stable
Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MA) /Revolving Fund Revenues/1 LT	LT	AAA Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AAA Rating Outlook Stable

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

SECURITY

Bonds are secured by pledged loan repayments, Commonwealth of Massachusetts (the commonwealth) contract assistance payments (CAPs), rights and interest of the MTA, and certain other funds including the equity fund.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Sound Financial Structure: Fitch's cash flow modeling demonstrates that the combined SRF programs can continue to pay bond debt service even with loan defaults in excess of Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle, as produced using Fitch's Portfolio Stress Model (PSM).

Highly Rated Borrower Pool: Approximately 97% of the aggregate programs consist of borrowers exhibiting investment-grade ratings. Loan security is also strong as underlying borrowers are secured by general obligation pledges of city and towns, local utility revenue pledges, or a combination of both.

Moderate Concentration: Single-borrower and top-10 concentration are moderate, with each at around 20% and 48% respectively. Together these metrics are generally consistent with sector peers.

Strong Program Management: MCWT manages one of the largest SRF programs in the nation. To date, there have been no pledged loan defaults in MCWT's pool programs.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to a positive rating action/upgrade:

--The ratings are at the highest level on Fitch's scale and cannot be upgraded.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to a negative rating action/downgrade:

- --Inability to pass Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle due to any of the following;
- --Increased leveraging;
- --Significant deterioration in aggregate borrower credit quality.

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

CREDIT PROFILE

MCWT's SRF programs provide subsidized financing and grants to local government entities within the commonwealth for eligible clean water and drinking water projects. As typical for SRFs, bond proceeds are combined with federal grants, a required state match, and other recycled funds on hand to fund loans to borrowers.

The series 24A and B bonds and series 2022 refunding bonds will be the ninth and tenth series of bonds issued under the 2015 MTA. MCWT also administers the clean water and drinking water SRF programs under the 1993 program resolution including the prior pool program and the prior single-obligor bonds to Massachusetts Water Resource Authority (MWRA; together, the prior programs). These programs are closed for new-money issuances.

Program metrics are stable over time. At this review, minimum annual loan-to-bond debt service coverage (DSC) inclusive of the combined programs is approximately 1.2x, falling within the historical range of 1.1x-1.3x registered since 2018. The PSM's liability stress hurdle, a measure of pool quality, shows a 'AAA' hurdle of 15%, an increase from 11.3% yoy, but remaining very favorable in comparison to Fitch's 'AAA' median of 31%. Lastly, single-borrower concentration has improved from about 25% historically to less than 20% at this review.

Sound Financial Structure

Due to the enhancement described below, Fitch's cash flow modeling demonstrates that the programs can continue to pay bond debt service even with hypothetical loan defaults of 100% in any four-year period of the outstanding bonds' expected life (per Fitch criteria, a 90% recovery is also applied in its cash flow model when determining default tolerance). This result is well in excess of Fitch's 'AAA' liability stress hurdle of 15.0% as produced by the PSM. The liability rating stress hurdle is calculated based on overall pool credit quality as measured by the ratings and concentration of underlying borrowers and loan size and term.

In addition to its modelling analysis, Fitch measures the financial strength of pools by calculating the program asset strength ratio (PASR). The PASR, an asset-to-liability ratio, includes total scheduled pledged loan repayments from both programs, CAP payments, pledged accounts and account earnings divided by total scheduled bond debt service. MCWT's pool program PASR is 1.7x, compared to Fitch's 2022 'AAA' median level of 1.9x but still considered sound. Minimum annual DSC is also below median levels at 1.2x, although lower minimum annual coverage is typical, and less of a concern for SRF structures enhanced with large reserve-fund balances.

Pledged Accounts Provide Substantial Loss Protection

The series 24 and other SRF bondholders are primarily protected from losses by surplus loans made in excess of bond debt service. Bondholders of the prior pool program bonds are also protected from losses by pledged reserves of approximately \$284 million, equal to about 34% of all prior pool program bonds. As the prior program bonds amortize, related loan repayment surpluses and reserves are released to the deficiency fund before being released to program equity. The equity fund balance currently approximates about \$1.0 billion, or about 37% of outstanding bonds.

The 2015 MTA program pledges amounts available in the equity fund to all bondholders on a parity basis. In light of this feature, Fitch combines all of MCWT's SRF programs in its cash flow model analysis.

High-Quality Loan Pool with Moderate Concentration

Fitch estimates that at least 97% of the aggregate SRF program participants exhibit investment-grade credit quality, with the large majority rated 'A+' or higher. This drives the 'AAA' liability stress of 15%, which compares favorably to Fitch's 'AAA' median of 31% (lower liability stresses correlate to stronger credit quality). Loan security is also solid as the programs are secured by general obligation pledges of cities and towns, local utility revenue pledges or a combination of the two.

The combined programs consist of 258 borrowers, the top 10 of which comprise about 48% of total outstanding loan obligations. After MWRA's 20% (senior and subordinate lien revenue bonds rated AA+/Stable and AA/Stable, respectively), the remainder of the top 10 borrowers range in size from 2% to 5.3%.

Strong Program Management

MCWT is an interagency management team composed of officials from the commonwealth treasurer's office, the executive office of administration and finance. The commonwealth's Department of

Environmental Protection (DEP) administers Massachusetts' SRFs in an arrangement typical of such programs nationwide. DEP staff members review and monitor projects for several water and wastewater programs, including the pool program. MCWT exhibits strong program management, having never experienced a pledged or leveraged loan default across any of its SRF programs.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Major Parkhurst

Director
Primary Rating Analyst
+1 512 215 3724
major.parkhurst@fitchratings.com
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
2600 Via Fortuna, Suite 330 Austin, TX 78746

Vicky Raso

Analyst
Secondary Rating Analyst
+1 646 582 3649
vicky.raso@fitchratings.com

Kristen Reifsnyder

Director
Committee Chairperson
+1 646 582 3448
kristen.reifsnyder@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga

New York +1 212 908 0278 sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 01 Sep 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

State Revolving Fund and Municipal Finance Pool Program Rating Criteria (pub. 27 Sep 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Portfolio Stress Model, v1.11.1(1)

State Revolving Fund Cash Flow Model, v1.18.2 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Massachusetts Clean Water Trust (MA)

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch

believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any thirdparty verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States

securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

US Public Finance North America United States