

APPENDIX A

ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATING DESIGNATED USE STATUS OF MASSACHUSETTS SURFACE WATERS

The Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 305(b) water quality reporting process is an essential aspect of the Nation's water pollution control effort. It is the principal means by which EPA, Congress, and the public evaluate existing water quality, assess progress made in maintaining and restoring water quality, and determine the extent of remaining problems. By this process, states report on waterbodies within the context of meeting their designated uses. These uses include: *Aquatic Life, Fish Consumption, Drinking Water, Primary Contact Recreation, Secondary Contact Recreation, Shellfish Harvesting and Aesthetics*. Two subclasses of Aquatic Life are also designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (SWQS): Cold Water Fishery – waters capable of sustaining a year-round population of cold water aquatic life, such as trout – and Warm Water Fishery – waters that are not capable of sustaining a year-round population of cold water aquatic life (MassDEP 1996).

The SWQS, summarized in Table A1, prescribe minimum water quality criteria to sustain the designated uses. Furthermore, these standards describe the hydrological conditions at which water quality criteria must be applied (MassDEP 1996). In rivers the lowest flow conditions at and above which aquatic life criteria must be applied are the lowest mean flow for seven consecutive days to be expected once in ten years (7Q10). In artificially regulated waters, the lowest flow conditions at which aquatic life criteria must be applied are the flow equal or exceeded 99% of the time on a yearly basis or another equivalent flow that has been agreed upon. In coastal and marine waters and for lakes, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) will determine by on a case-by-case basis the most severe hydrological condition for which the aquatic life criteria must be applied.

The availability of appropriate and reliable scientific data and technical information is fundamental to the 305(b) reporting process. It is EPA policy (EPA Order 5360.1 CHG 1) that any individual or group performing work for or on behalf of EPA establish a quality system to support the development, review, approval, implementation, and assessment of data collection operations. To this end MassDEP describes its Quality System in an EPA-approved Quality Management Plan to ensure that environmental data collected or compiled by the MassDEP are of known and documented quality and are suitable for their intended use. For external sources of information, MassDEP requires the following: 1) an appropriate Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) including a laboratory Quality Assurance /Quality Control (QA/QC) plan; 2) use of a state certified lab (or as otherwise approved by DEP for a particular analysis); and 3) sample data, QA/QC and other pertinent sample handling information documented in a citable report. This information will be reviewed by MassDEP to determine its validity and usability to assess water use support. Data use could be modified or rejected due to poor or undocumented QAPP implementation, lack of project documentation, incomplete reporting of data or information, and/or project monitoring objectives unsuitable for MassDEP assessment purposes.

EPA provides guidelines to states for making their use support determinations (EPA 1997 and 2002, Grubbs and Wayland III 2000 and Wayland III 2001). The determination of whether or not a waterbody supports each of its designated uses is a function of the type(s), quality and quantity of available current information. Although data/information older than five years are usually considered “historical” and used for descriptive purposes they can be utilized in the use support determination provided they are known to reflect the current conditions. While the water quality standards (Table A1) prescribe minimum water quality criteria to sustain the designated uses, numerical criteria are not available for every indicator of pollution. Best available guidance from available literature may be applied in lieu of actual numerical criteria (e.g., freshwater sediment data may be compared to *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario* 1993 by D. Persaud, R. Jaagumagi and A. Hayton). Excursions from criteria due solely to “naturally occurring” conditions (e.g., low pH in some areas) do not constitute violations of the SWQS.

Each designated use within a given segment is individually assessed as **support** or **impaired**. When too little current data/information exist or no reliable data are available, the use is **not assessed**. In this report, however, if there is some indication that water quality impairment may exist, and it is not “naturally occurring”, the use is identified with an “Alert Status”. It is important to note that not all waters are

assessed. Many small and/or unnamed ponds, rivers, and estuaries have *never been assessed*; the status of their designated uses has never been reported to EPA in the Commonwealth's 305(b) Report or the Integrated List of Waters nor is information on these waters maintained in the waterbody system database (WBS) or the new assessment database (ADB).

Table A1. Summary of Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (MassDEP 1996, MA DPH 2002, and FDA 2003).

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Dissolved Oxygen | <p><u>Class A, Class B Cold Water Fishery (BCWF), and Class SA:</u> ≥ 6.0 mg/L and $\geq 75\%$ saturation unless background conditions are lower</p> <p><u>Class B Warm Water Fishery (BWWF) and Class SB:</u> ≥ 5.0 mg/L and $\geq 60\%$ saturation unless background conditions are lower</p> <p><u>Class C:</u> Not < 5.0 mg/L for more than 16 of any 24-hour period and not < 3.0 mg/L anytime unless background conditions are lower; levels cannot be lowered below 50% saturation due to a discharge</p> <p><u>Class SC:</u> Not < 5.0 mg/L for more than 16 of any 24-hour period and not < 4.0 mg/L anytime unless background conditions are lower; and 50% saturation; levels cannot be lowered below 50% saturation due to a discharge</p> |
| Temperature | <p><u>Class A:</u> $\leq 68^{\circ}\text{F}$ (20°C) and $\Delta 1.5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (0.8°C) for Cold Water and $\leq 83^{\circ}\text{F}$ (28.3°C) and $\Delta 1.5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (0.8°C) for Warm Water.</p> <p><u>Class BCWF:</u> $\leq 68^{\circ}\text{F}$ (20°C) and $\Delta 3^{\circ}\text{F}$ (1.7°C) due to a discharge</p> <p><u>Class BWWF:</u> $\leq 83^{\circ}\text{F}$ (28.3°C) and $\Delta 3^{\circ}\text{F}$ (1.7°C) in lakes, $\Delta 5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (2.8°C) in rivers</p> <p><u>Class C and Class SC:</u> $\leq 85^{\circ}\text{F}$ (29.4°C) nor $\Delta 5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (2.8°C) due to a discharge</p> <p><u>Class SA:</u> $\leq 85^{\circ}\text{F}$ (29.4°C) nor a maximum daily mean of 80°F (26.7°C) and $\Delta 1.5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (0.8°C)</p> <p><u>Class SB:</u> $\leq 85^{\circ}\text{F}$ (29.4°C) nor a maximum daily mean of 80°F (26.7°C) and $\Delta 1.5^{\circ}\text{F}$ (0.8°C) between July through September and $\Delta 4.0^{\circ}\text{F}$ (2.2°C) between October through June</p> |
| pH | <p><u>Class A, Class BCWF and Class BWWF:</u> 6.5 - 8.3 SU and $\Delta 0.5$ outside the background range.</p> <p><u>Class C:</u> 6.5 - 9.0 SU and $\Delta 1.0$ outside the naturally occurring range.</p> <p><u>Class SA and Class SB:</u> 6.5 - 8.5 SU and $\Delta 0.2$ outside the normally occurring range.</p> <p><u>Class SC:</u> 6.5 - 9.0 SU and $\Delta 0.5$ outside the naturally occurring range.</p> |
| Solids | <p><u>All Classes:</u> <i>These waters shall be free from floating, suspended, and settleable solids in concentrations or combinations that would impair any use assigned to each class, that would cause aesthetically objectionable conditions, or that would impair the benthic biota or degrade the chemical composition of the bottom.</i></p> |
| Color and Turbidity | <p><u>All Classes:</u> <i>These waters shall be free from color and turbidity in concentrations or combinations that are aesthetically objectionable or would impair any use.</i></p> |
| Oil and Grease | <p><u>Class A and Class SA:</u> <i>Waters shall be free from oil and grease, petrochemicals and other volatile or synthetic organic pollutants.</i></p> <p><u>Class SA:</u> <i>Waters shall be free from oil and grease and petrochemicals.</i></p> <p><u>Class B, Class C, Class SB and Class SC:</u> <i>Waters shall be free from oil and grease, petrochemicals that produce a visible film on the surface of the water, impart an oily taste to the water or an oily or other undesirable taste to the edible portions of aquatic life, coat the banks or bottom of the water course or are deleterious or become toxic to aquatic life.</i></p> |
| Taste and Odor | <p><u>Class A and Class SA:</u> <i>None other than of natural origin.</i></p> <p><u>Class B, Class C, Class SB and Class SC:</u> <i>None in such concentrations or combinations that are aesthetically objectionable, that would impair any use assigned to each class, or that would cause tainting or undesirable flavors in the edible portions of aquatic life.</i></p> |
| Aesthetics | <p><u>All Classes:</u> <i>All surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that settle to form objectionable deposits; float as debris, scum or other matter to form nuisances; produce objectionable odor, color, taste or turbidity; or produce undesirable or nuisance species of aquatic life.</i></p> |
| Toxic Pollutants | <p><u>All Classes:</u> <i>All surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that are toxic to humans, aquatic life or wildlife... The division shall use the recommended limit published by EPA pursuant to 33 USC 1251, 304(a) as the allowable receiving water concentrations for the affected waters unless a site-specific limit is established.</i></p> |
| Nutrients | <p><i>Shall not exceed the site-specific limits necessary to control accelerated or cultural eutrophication.</i></p> |

Note: *Italics are direct quotations.*

Δ criterion (referring to a change from natural background conditions) is applied to the effects of a permitted discharge.

Table A1 Continued. Summary of Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (MassDEP 1996, MA DPH 2002, and FDA 2003).

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Bacteria (MassDEP 1996 and MA DPH 2002)</p> <p>Class A criteria apply to the <i>Drinking Water Use</i>.</p> <p>Class B and SB criteria apply to <i>Primary Contact Recreation Use</i> while Class C and SC criteria apply to <i>Secondary Contact Recreation Use</i>.</p> | <p><u>Class A:</u> Fecal coliform bacteria: An arithmetic mean of <20 cfu/100 ml in any representative set of samples and <10% of the samples >100 cfu/100 ml.</p> <p><u>Class B:</u> At public bathing beaches, as defined by MA DPH, where <i>E. coli</i> is the chosen indicator: No single <i>E. coli</i> sample shall exceed 235 <i>E. coli</i> /100 ml and the geometric mean of the most recent five <i>E. coli</i> samples within the same bathing season shall not exceed 126 <i>E. coli</i> / 100 ml.</p> <p>At public bathing beaches, as defined by MA DPH, where <i>Enterococci</i> are the chosen indicator: No single <i>Enterococci</i> sample shall exceed 61 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml and the geometric mean of the most recent five <i>Enterococci</i> samples within same bathing season shall not exceed 33 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml.</p> <p>Current standards for other waters (not designated as bathing beaches), where fecal coliform bacteria are the chosen indicator: Waters shall not exceed a geometric mean of 200 cfu/100 ml in any representative set of samples, nor shall more than 10% of the samples exceed 400 cfu/100 ml. (This criterion may be applied on a seasonal basis at the discretion of the MassDEP.)</p> <p><u>Class C:</u> Fecal coliform bacteria: Shall not exceed a geometric mean of 1,000 cfu/100 ml, nor shall 10% of the samples exceed 2,000 cfu/100 ml.</p> <p><u>Class SA:</u> Fecal coliform bacteria: Waters designated shellfishing shall not exceed a geometric mean (most probable number (MPN) method) of 14 MPN/100 ml, nor shall more than 10% of the samples exceed 28 MPN/100 ml, or other values of equivalent protection based on sampling and analytical methods used by the Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries and approved by the National Shellfish Sanitation Program in the latest version of the Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish Areas (more stringent regulations may apply).</p> <p>At public bathing beaches, as defined by MA DPH, where <i>Enterococci</i> are the chosen indicator: No single <i>Enterococci</i> sample shall exceed 104 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml and the geometric mean of the five most recent <i>Enterococci</i> levels within the same bathing season shall not exceed 35 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml.</p> <p>Current standards for other waters (not designated as shellfishing areas or public bathing beaches), where fecal coliform bacteria are the chosen indicator: Waters shall not exceed a geometric mean of 200 cfu/100 ml in any representative set of samples, nor shall more than 10% of the samples exceed 400 cfu/100 ml. (This criterion may be applied on a seasonal basis at the discretion of the MassDEP.)</p> <p><u>Class SB:</u> Fecal coliform bacteria: Waters designated for shellfishing shall not exceed a fecal coliform median or geometric mean (MPN method) of 88 MPN/100 ml, nor shall <10% of the samples exceed 260 MPN/100 ml or other values of equivalent protection base on sampling and analytical methods used by the Massachusetts Shellfish Sanitation Program in the latest revision of the guide for the Control of Moluscan Shellfish (more stringent regulations may apply).</p> <p>At public bathing beaches, as defined by MA DPH, where <i>Enterococci</i> are the chosen indicator: No single <i>Enterococci</i> sample shall exceed 104 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml and the geometric mean of the most recent five <i>Enterococci</i> levels within the same bathing season shall not exceed 35 <i>Enterococci</i> /100 ml.</p> <p>Current standards for other waters (not designated as shellfishing areas or public bathing beaches), where fecal coliform bacteria are the chosen indicator: Waters shall not exceed a geometric mean of 200 cfu/100 ml in any representative set of samples, nor shall more than 10% of the samples exceed 400 cfu/100 ml. (This criterion may be applied on a seasonal basis at the discretion of the MassDEP.)</p> <p><u>Class SC:</u> Fecal coliform bacteria: Shall not exceed a geometric mean of 1,000 cfu/100 ml, nor shall 10% of the samples exceed 2,000 cfu/100 ml.</p> |
|--|---|

DESIGNATED USES

The Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards designate the most sensitive uses for which the surface waters of the Commonwealth shall be enhanced, maintained and protected. Each of these uses is briefly described below (MassDEP 1996):

- *AQUATIC LIFE* - suitable habitat for sustaining a native, naturally diverse, community of aquatic flora and fauna. Two subclasses of aquatic life are also designated in the standards for freshwater bodies: *Cold Water Fishery* - capable of sustaining a year-round population of cold water aquatic life, such as trout; *Warm Water Fishery* - waters that are not capable of sustaining a year-round population of cold water aquatic life.
- *FISH CONSUMPTION* - pollutants shall not result in unacceptable concentrations in edible portions of marketable fish or for the recreational use of fish, other aquatic life or wildlife for human consumption.
- *DRINKING WATER* - used to denote those waters used as a source of public drinking water. They may be subject to more stringent regulation in accordance with the Massachusetts Drinking Water Regulations (310 CMR 22.00). These waters are designated for protection as Outstanding Resource Waters under 314 CMR 4.04(3).
- *SHELLFISH HARVESTING* (in SA and SB segments) – Class SA waters in approved areas (Open Shellfish Areas) shellfish harvested without depuration shall be suitable for consumption; Class SB waters in approved areas (Restricted Shellfish Areas) shellfish harvested with depuration shall be suitable for consumption.
- *PRIMARY CONTACT RECREATION* - suitable for any recreation or other water use in which there is prolonged and intimate contact with the water with a significant risk of ingestion of water. These include, but are not limited to, wading, swimming, diving, surfing and water skiing.
- *SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION* - suitable for any recreation or other water use in which contact with the water is either incidental or accidental. These include, but are not limited to, fishing, boating and limited contact incident to shoreline activities.
- *AESTHETICS* - all surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that settle to form objectionable deposits; float as debris, scum or other matter to form nuisances; produce objectionable odor, color, taste or turbidity; or produce undesirable or nuisance species of aquatic life.
- *AGRICULTURAL AND INDUSTRIAL* - suitable for irrigation or other agricultural process water and for compatible industrial cooling and process water.

The guidance used to assess the *Aquatic Life*, *Fish Consumption*, *Drinking Water*, *Shellfish Harvesting*, *Primary* and *Secondary Contact Recreation* and *Aesthetics* uses follows.

AQUATIC LIFE USE

This use is suitable for sustaining a native, naturally diverse, community of aquatic flora and fauna. The results of biological (and habitat), toxicological, and chemical data are integrated to assess this use. The nature, frequency, and precision of the MassDEP's data collection techniques dictate that a weight of evidence be used to make the assessment, with biosurvey results used as the final arbiter of borderline cases. The following chart provides an overview of the guidance used to assess the status (support or impaired) of the *Aquatic Life Use*.

| Variable | Support Data available clearly indicates support or minor modification of the biological community. Excursions from chemical criteria (Table A1) not frequent or prolonged and may be tolerated if the biosurvey results demonstrate support. | Impaired There are frequent or severe violations of chemical criteria, presence of acute toxicity, or a moderate or severe modification of the biological community. |
|---|---|---|
| BIOLOGY | | |
| Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) III* | Non/Slightly impacted | Moderately or Severely Impacted |
| Fish Community | Best Professional Judgment (BPJ) | BPJ |
| Habitat and Flow | BPJ | Dewatered streambed due to artificial regulation or channel alteration, BPJ |
| Eelgrass Bed Habitat (Howes <i>et al.</i> 2003) | Stable (No/minimal loss), BPJ | Loss/decline, BPJ |
| Non-native species | BPJ | Non-native species present, BPJ |
| Plankton/Periphyton | No/infrequent algal blooms | Frequent and/or prolonged algal blooms |
| TOXICITY TESTS** | | |
| Water Column/Ambient | ≥75% survival either 48 hr or 7-day exposure | <75% survival either 48 hr or 7-day exposure |
| Sediment | ≥75% survival | <75% survival |
| CHEMISTRY-WATER** | | |
| Dissolved oxygen (DO)/Percent saturation (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1997) | Infrequent excursion from criteria (Table A1), BPJ (minimum of three samples representing critical period) | Frequent and/or prolonged excursion from criteria [river and shallow lakes - exceedances >10% of representative measurements; deep lakes (with hypolimnion) - exceedances in the hypolimnetic area >10% of the surface area during maximum oxygen depletion]. |
| pH (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1999a) | Infrequent excursion from criteria (Table A1) | Criteria exceeded >10% of measurements. |
| Temperature (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1997) | Infrequent excursion from criteria (Table A1) ¹ | Criteria exceeded >10% of measurements. |
| Toxic Pollutants (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1999a) Ammonia-N (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1999b) Chlorine (MassDEP 1996, EPA 1999a) | Infrequent excursion from criteria (Table A1) Ammonia is pH and temperature dependent ² 0.011 mg/L (freshwater) or 0.0075 mg/L (saltwater) total residual chlorine (TRC) ³ | Frequent and/or prolonged excursion from criteria (exceeded >10% of measurements). |
| CHEMISTRY-SEDIMENT** | | |
| Toxic Pollutants (Persaud <i>et al.</i> 1993) | Concentrations ≤ Low Effect Level (L-EL), BPJ | Concentrations ≥ Severe Effect Level (S-EL) ⁴ , BPJ |
| CHEMISTRY-TISSUE | | |
| PCB – whole fish (Coles 1998) | ≤500 µg/kg wet weight | BPJ |
| DDT (Environment Canada 1999) | ≤14.0 µg/kg wet weight | BPJ |
| PCB in aquatic tissue (Environment Canada 1999) | ≤0.79 ng TEQ/kg wet weight | BPJ |

*RBP II analysis may be considered for assessment decision on a case-by-case basis, **For identification of impairment, one or more of the following variables may be used to identify possible causes/sources of impairment: NPDES facility compliance with whole effluent toxicity test and other limits, turbidity and suspended solids data, nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) data for water column/sediments. ¹Maximum daily mean T in a month (minimum six measurements evenly distributed over 24-hours) less than criterion. ²Saltwater is temperature dependent only. ³The minimum quantification level for TRC is 0.05 mg/L. ⁴For the purpose of this report, the S-EL for total polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCB) in sediment (which varies with Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content) with 1% TOC is 5.3 ppm while a sediment sample with 10% TOC is 53 ppm.

Note: National Academy of Sciences/National Academy of Engineering (NAS/NAE) guideline for maximum organochlorine concentrations (i.e., total PCB) in fish tissue for the protection of fish-eating wildlife is 500µg/kg wet weight (ppb, not lipid-normalized). PCB data (tissue) in this report are presented in µg/kg wet weight (ppb) and are not lipid-normalized to allow for direct comparison to the NAS/NAE guideline.

FISH CONSUMPTION USE

Pollutants shall not result in unacceptable concentrations in edible portions of marketable fish or for the recreational use of fish, other aquatic life or wildlife for human consumption. The assessment of this use is made using the most recent list of Fish Consumption Advisories issued by the Massachusetts Executive Office of Health and Human Services, Department of Public Health (MA DPH), Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment (MA DPH 2005 and Krueger 2006). The MA DPH list identifies waterbodies where elevated levels of a specified contaminant in edible portions of freshwater species pose a health risk for human consumption. Hence, the Fish Consumption Use is assessed as non-support in these waters.

In July 2001, MA DPH issued new consumer advisories on fish consumption and mercury contamination (MA DPH 2001).

1. The MA DPH "...is advising pregnant women, women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age to refrain from eating the following marine fish; shark, swordfish, king mackerel, tuna steak and tilefish. In addition, MA DPH is expanding its previously issued statewide fish consumption advisory which cautioned pregnant women to avoid eating fish from all freshwater bodies due to concerns about mercury contamination, to now include women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age (MA DPH 2001)."
2. Additionally, MA DPH "...is recommending that pregnant women, women of childbearing age who may become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under 12 years of age limit their consumption of fish not covered by existing advisories to no more than 12 ounces (or about 2 meals) of cooked or uncooked fish per week. This recommendation includes canned tuna, the consumption of which should be limited to 2 cans per week. Very small children, including toddlers, should eat less. Consumers may wish to choose to eat light tuna rather than white or chunk white tuna, the latter of which may have higher levels of mercury (MA DPH 2001)."

Other statewide advisories that MA DPH has previously issued and are still in effect are as follows (MA DPH 2001):

1. Due to concerns about chemical contamination, primarily from polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCB) and other contaminants, no individual should consume lobster tomalley from any source. Lobster tomalley is the soft green substance found in the tail and body section of the lobster.
2. Pregnant and breastfeeding women and those who are considering becoming pregnant should not eat bluefish due to concerns about PCB contamination in this species.

The following is an overview of EPA's guidance used to assess the status (support or impaired) of the *Fish Consumption Use*. Because of the statewide advisory no waters can be assessed as support for the *Fish Consumption Use*. Therefore, if no site-specific advisory is in place, the *Fish Consumption Use* is not assessed.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | No restrictions or bans in effect | There is a "no consumption" advisory or ban in effect for the general population or a sub-population for one or more fish species or there is a commercial fishing ban in effect. |
| MA DPH Fish Consumption Advisory List | Not applicable, precluded by statewide advisory (Hg) | Waterbody on MA DPH Fish Consumption Advisory List |

Note: MA DPH's statewide advisory does not include fish stocked by the state Division of Fisheries and Wildlife or farm-raised fish sold commercially.

DRINKING WATER USE

The term *Drinking Water Use* denotes those waters used as a source of public drinking water. These waters may be subject to more stringent regulation in accordance with the Massachusetts Drinking Water Regulations (310 CMR 22.00). They are designated for protection as Outstanding Resource Waters in 314 CMR 4.04(3). MassDEP's Drinking Water Program (DWP) has primacy for implementing the provisions of the federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). Except for suppliers with surface water sources for which a waiver from filtration has been granted (these systems also monitor surface water quality) all public drinking water supplies are monitored as finished water (tap water). Monitoring includes the major categories of contaminants established in the SDWA: bacteria, volatile and synthetic organic compounds, inorganic compounds and radionuclides. The DWP maintains current drinking supply monitoring data. The suppliers currently report to MassDEP and EPA the status of the supplies on an annual basis in the form of a consumer confidence report (<http://yosemite.epa.gov/ogwdw/ccr.nsf/Massachusetts>). Below is EPA's guidance to assess the status (support or impaired) of the drinking water use.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|---|--|--|
| | No closures or advisories (no contaminants with confirmed exceedances of maximum contaminant levels, conventional treatment is adequate to maintain the supply). | Has one or more advisories or more than conventional treatment is required or has a contamination-based closure of the water supply. |
| Drinking Water Program (DWP) Evaluation | See note below | See note below |

Note: While this use is not assessed in this report, information on drinking water source protection and finish water quality is available at <http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/drinking.htm> and from local public water suppliers.

SHELLFISHING USE

This use is assessed using information from the Department of Fish and Game's Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF). A designated shellfish growing area is an area of potential shellfish habitat. Growing areas are managed with respect to shellfish harvest for direct human consumption, and comprise at least one or more classification areas. The classification areas are the management units, and range from being approved to prohibited (described below) with respect to shellfish harvest. Shellfish areas under management closures are *not* assessed. Not enough testing has been done in these areas to determine whether or not they are fit for shellfish harvest, therefore, they are closed for the harvest of shellfish.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|---|---|---|
| | SA Waters: Approved ¹ SB Waters: Approved ¹ , Conditionally Approved ² or Restricted ³ | SA Waters: Conditionally Approved ² , Restricted ³ , Conditionally Restricted ⁴ , or Prohibited ⁵ SB Waters: Conditionally Restricted ⁴ or Prohibited ⁵ |
| DMF Shellfish Project Classification Area Information (MA DFG 2000) | Reported by DMF | Reported by DMF |

NOTE: Designated shellfish growing areas may be viewed using the MassGIS datalayer available from MassGIS at <http://www.mass.gov/mgis/dsga.htm>. This coverage currently reflects classification areas as of July 1, 2000.

¹ **Approved** - "...open for harvest of shellfish for direct human consumption subject to local rules and regulations..." An approved area is open all the time and closes only due to hurricanes or other major coastwide events.

² **Conditionally Approved** - "...subject to intermittent microbiological pollution..." During the time the area is open, it is "...for harvest of shellfish for direct human consumption subject to local rules and regulations..." A conditionally approved area is closed some of the time due to runoff from rainfall or seasonally poor water quality. When open, shellfish harvested are treated as from an approved area.

³ **Restricted** - area contains a "limited degree of pollution." It is open for "harvest of shellfish with depuration subject to local rules and state regulations" or for the relay of shellfish. A restricted area is used by DMF for the relay of shellfish to a less contaminated area.

⁴ **Conditionally Restricted** - "...subject to intermittent microbiological pollution..." During the time area is restricted, it is only open for "the harvest of shellfish with depuration subject to local rules and state regulations." A conditionally restricted area is closed some of the time due to runoff from rainfall or seasonally poor water quality. When open, only soft-shell clams may be harvested by specially licensed diggers (Master/Subordinate Diggers) and transported to the DMF Shellfish Purification Plant for depuration (purification).

⁵ **Prohibited** - Closed for harvest of shellfish.

PRIMARY CONTACT RECREATION USE

This use is suitable for any recreational or other water use in which there is prolonged and intimate contact with the water with a significant risk of ingestion of water during the primary contact recreation season (1 April to 15 October). These include, but are not limited to, wading, swimming, diving, surfing and water skiing. The chart below provides an overview of the guidance used to assess the status (support or impaired) of the *Primary Contact Recreation Use*. Excursions from criteria due to natural conditions are not considered impairment of use.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|---|---|--|
| Bacteria (105 CMR 445.000) Minimum Standards for Bathing Beaches State Sanitary Code (MassDEP 1996) | <p>At “public bathing beach” areas: Formal beach postings/advisories neither frequent nor prolonged during the swimming season (the number of days posted or closed cannot exceed 10% during the locally operated swimming season).</p> <p>Other waters: Samples* collected during the primary contact season must meet criteria (Table A1).</p> <p>Shellfish Growing Area classified as “Approved” by DMF.</p> | <p>At “public bathing beach” areas: Formal beach closures/postings >10% of time during swimming season (the number of days posted or closed exceeds 10% during the locally operated swimming season).</p> <p>Other waters: Samples* collected during the primary contact season do not meet the criteria (Table A1).</p> |
| <p>Aesthetics (MassDEP 1996) - <i>All surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that settle to form objectionable deposits; float as debris, scum or other matter to form nuisances; produce objectionable odor, color, taste or turbidity; or produce undesirable or nuisance [growth or amount] species of aquatic life</i></p> | | |
| <p>Odor, oil and grease, color and turbidity, floating matter</p> <p>Transparency (MA DPH 1969)</p> <p>Nuisance organisms</p> | <p>Narrative “free from” criteria met or excursions neither frequent nor prolonged, BPJ.</p> <p>Public bathing beach and lakes – Secchi disk depth ≥ 1.2 meters ($\geq 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period).</p> <p>No overabundant growths (i.e., blooms) that render the water aesthetically objectionable or unusable, BPJ.</p> | <p>Narrative “free from” criteria not met - objectionable conditions either frequent and/or prolonged, BPJ.</p> <p>Public bathing beach and lakes - Secchi disk depth < 1.2 meters ($< 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period).</p> <p>Overabundant growths (i.e., blooms and/or non-native macrophyte growth dominating the biovolume) rendering the water aesthetically objectionable and/or unusable, BPJ.</p> |

* Data sets to be evaluated for assessment purposes must be representative of a sampling location (at least five samples per station recommended) over the course of the primary contact season. Samples collected on one date from multiple stations on a river are not considered adequate to assess this designated use. Because of low sample frequency (i.e., less than ten samples per station) an impairment decision will not be based on a single sample exceedance (i.e., the geometric mean of five samples is < 200 cfu/100 ml but one of the five sample exceeds 400 cfu/100 ml). The method detection limit (MDL) will be used in the calculation of the geometric mean when data are reported as less than the MDL (e.g. use 20 cfu/100 ml if the result is reported as < 20 cfu/100 ml). Those data reported as too numerous to count (TNTC) will not be used in the geometric mean calculation; however frequency of TNTC sample results should be presented.

SECONDARY CONTACT RECREATION USE

This use is suitable for any recreation or other water use in which contact with the water is either incidental or accidental. These include, but are not limited to, fishing, boating and limited contact incident to shoreline activities. Following is an overview of the guidance used to assess the status (support or impaired) of the *Secondary Contact Use*. Excursions from criteria due to natural conditions are not considered impairment of use.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|---|---|--|
| | Criteria are met, no aesthetic conditions that preclude the use | Frequent or prolonged violations of criteria, or severe aesthetic conditions that preclude the use |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria (MassDEP 1996) | Other waters: Samples* collected must meet the Class C or SC criteria (see Table A1). | Other waters: Samples* collected do not meet the Class C or SC criteria (see Table A1). |
| Aesthetics (MassDEP 1996) - <i>All surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that settle to form objectionable deposits; float as debris, scum or other matter to form nuisances; produce objectionable odor, color, taste or turbidity; or produce undesirable or nuisance [growth or amount] species of aquatic life</i> | | |
| Odor, oil and grease, color and turbidity, floating matter | Narrative "free from" criteria met or excursions neither frequent nor prolonged, BPJ. | Narrative "free from" criteria not met - objectionable conditions either frequent and/or prolonged, BPJ. |
| Transparency (MA DPH 1969) | Public bathing beach and lakes – Secchi disk depth ≥ 1.2 meters ($\geq 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period). | Public bathing beach and lakes - Secchi disk depth < 1.2 meters ($< 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period). |
| Nuisance organisms | No overabundant growths (i.e., blooms) that render the water aesthetically objectionable or unusable, BPJ. | Overabundant growths (i.e., blooms and/or non-native macrophyte growth dominating the biovolume) rendering the water aesthetically objectionable and/or unusable, BPJ. |

*Data sets to be evaluated for assessment purposes must be representative of a sampling location (at least five samples per station recommended) over time. Because of low sample frequency (i.e., less than ten samples per station) an impairment decision will not be based on a single sample exceedance. Samples collected on one date from multiple stations on a river are not considered adequate to assess this designated use.

AESTHETICS USE

All surface waters shall be free from pollutants in concentrations or combinations that settle to form objectionable deposits; float as debris, scum or other matter to form nuisances; produce objectionable odor, color, taste or turbidity; or produce undesirable or nuisance species of aquatic life. The aesthetic use is closely tied to the public health aspects of the recreational uses (swimming and boating). Below is an overview of the guidance used to assess the status (support or impaired) of the *Aesthetics Use*.

| Variable | Support | Impaired |
|--|---|--|
| | Narrative "free from" criteria met | Objectionable conditions frequent and/or prolonged |
| Odor, oil and grease, color and turbidity, floating matter | Narrative "free from" criteria met or excursions neither frequent nor prolonged, BPJ. | Narrative "free from" criteria not met - objectionable conditions either frequent and/or prolonged, BPJ. |
| Transparency (MA DPH 1969) | Public bathing beach and lakes – Secchi disk depth ≥ 1.2 meters ($\geq 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period). | Public bathing beach and lakes - Secchi disk depth < 1.2 meters ($< 4'$) (minimum of three samples representing critical period). |
| Nuisance organisms | No overabundant growths (i.e., blooms) that render the water aesthetically objectionable or unusable, BPJ. | Overabundant growths (i.e., blooms and/or non-native macrophyte growth dominating the biovolume) rendering the water aesthetically objectionable and/or unusable, BPJ. |

REFERENCES

- Coles, J.F. 1998. *Organochlorine compounds in fish tissue for the Connecticut, Housatonic, and Thames River Basins study unit, 1992-94*. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4075. U.S. Geological Survey, National Water Quality Assessment Program, Water Resources Division, Marlborough, MA.
- Costello, C. 2003. *Mapping Eelgrass in Massachusetts, 1993-2003*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Resource Protection, Boston, MA.
- Environment Canada. 1999. *Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines* [Online]. Environment Canada. Retrieved 04 November 1999 from <http://www.ec.gc.ca/CEQG-RCQE/English/default.cfm> updated 28 September 1998.
- EPA. 1997. *Guidelines for Preparation of the Comprehensive State Water Quality Assessments (305(b) Reports) and Electronic Updates Report Contents*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Assessment and Watershed Protection Division (4503F); Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds; Office of Water, Washington D.C.
- EPA. 1999a. *Federal Register Document* [Online]. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. Retrieved 19 November 1999 from <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1998/December/Day-10/w30272.htm>.
- EPA. 1999b. *1999 Update of Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water and Office of Science and Technology, Washington, D.C. and Office of Research and Development, Duluth, MN.
- EPA. 2002. *Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology – toward a compendium of best practices*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds; Washington, D.C.
- FDA. 2003. *Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish 2003 Revision*. [Online]. Updated 12 November 2004. United States Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, National Shellfish Sanitation Program. <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~ear/nss2-toc.html>. Accessed 2005 December 5.
- Grubbs, G.H. and R.H. Wayland III. 2000. Letter to Colleague dated 24 October 2000. *EPA recommendations on the use of fish and shellfish consumption advisories and certain shellfish growing area classifications in determining attainment of water quality standards and listing impaired waterbodies under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act*. United States Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds; Washington, D.C.
- Howes, B.L., R. Samimy, and B. Dudley. 2003. *Massachusetts Estuaries Project Site-Specific Nitrogen Thresholds for Southeastern Massachusetts Embayments: Critical Indicators Interim Report Revised December 22, 2003*. University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, School of Marine Science and Technology (SMAST), Coastal Systems Laboratory. New Bedford, MA and Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Lakeville, MA.
- Krueger, E.T. 2006. Letter to the members of the Interagency Committee on Fish Toxics dated 29 June 2006. *Re: Public Health Fish Consumption Advisories Being Issued*. Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Environmental Toxicology Program, Boston, MA.
- MassDEP. 1996. (Revision of 1995 report). *Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards (Revision of 314 CMR 4.00, effective June 23, 1996)*. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Water Pollution Control, Technical Services Branch, Westborough, MA.
- MA DFG. 2000. *Designated Shellfish Growing Areas Datalayer – July 2000*. Published by MassGIS in October 2000. Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game, Division of Marine Fisheries, Boston, MA.
- MA DPH. 1969. *Article 7 Regulation 10.2B of the State Sanitary Code*. Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Boston, MA.
- MA DPH. 2001. *MA DPH Issues New Consumer Advisories on Fish Consumption and Mercury Contamination*. Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment, Boston, MA.
- MA DPH. 2002. *105 CMR 445.000: Minimum Standards For Bathing Beaches, State Sanitary Code, Chapter VII* [Online]. Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Division of Community Sanitation Regulations and Statutes, Boston, MA. Retrieved 19 September 2002 from <http://www.state.ma.us/dph/dcs/csanregs.htm>.
- MA DPH. 2005. *Freshwater Fish Consumption Advisory List – May 2005*. Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Bureau of Environmental Health Assessment, Boston, MA.

Persaud, D., R. Jaagumagi, and A. Hayton. 1993. *Guidelines for the protection and management of aquatic sediment quality in Ontario*. Water Resources Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Ontario, Canada.

Wayland III, R.H. 2001. Memorandum to EPA Regional Water Management Directors, EPA Regional Science and Technology Directors, and State, Territory and Authorized Tribe Water Quality Program Directors dated 19 November 2001. Re: *2002 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report Guidance*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds; Washington, D.C.

Page intentionally left blank