

GAINING GROUND: DEFINING PRIORITY RESEARCH FOR RESILIENT SALT MARSHES

March 2022

Summary

The Salt Marsh Working Group (SMWG) has identified three intersecting salt marsh research priorities (Figure 1) to support collaboration and research that promotes resilient salt marsh habitat now and into the future. The SMWG is a network of state, federal, nonprofit, and university researchers, and coastal resource managers from Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island. Primary goals of the SMWG include identifying research gaps and priorities to support collaboration on critical research and ultimately increase the overall resilience of salt marshes. The purpose of this summary document is to communicate SMWG research priorities, developed over a year-long, consensus-based process, and to inspire coordinated, transdisciplinary discussion and action around the complex and intersecting challenges of salt marsh management and resilience.



FIGURE 1. THE SALT MARSH WORKING GROUP HAS DEFINED THREE INTERSECTING PRIORITY RESEARCH NEEDS TO ADVANCE REGIONAL SALT MARSH RESILIENCE

Background & Need

Salt marshes are a vital and valuable natural resource. They provide critical nursery, breeding, and foraging habitat for multiple species and are one of the most productive ecosystems on earth (Taylor et al. 2021). In addition, salt marshes provide multiple socioeconomic benefits including recreational and cultural uses, improved water quality, carbon sequestration, fisheries and aquaculture, and protection for the built environment (Drake et al. 2015, Rendón et al. 2019). Occupying the space between the ocean and land, they serve as a buffer, filtering contaminants from runoff, absorbing flood water, and preventing structural damage by reducing wave energy and erosion (Costanza et al. 2008, Leonardi et al. 2018, Rezaie et al. 2020).

Climate change poses a serious threat to salt marshes, resulting in potential cascading impacts to ecosystem functions and the associated loss of critical ecosystem services (Colombano et al. 2021, Craft et al. 2008, Morris et al. 2002). Sea level is rising, and will continue to rise, in addition to predicted increases in air and water temperature, precipitation, and other changes (EOEEA 2018, Sweet et al. 2022). There is widespread concern and urgency across disciplines to understand marsh processes in the context of a changing climate, identify vulnerabilities, prioritize restoration actions, develop predictions and projections of future impacts, and support resiliency of this critical habitat now, while there is still time to effectively act.

Marshes are complex systems; local environmental conditions, landscape position, and tidal range play a role in formation and function through time as do anthropogenic stressors and modifications (Fagherazzi

et al. 2013, Redfield 1972, Ziegler et al. 2021). The availability of mineral sediments and accumulation of organic matter is a key factor for salt marsh elevation building and persistence (Cahoon et al. 2021, FitzGerald et al. 2020, Weston 2014). If sea level rises beyond the capacity for a salt marsh to maintain or gain elevation through natural processes, the equilibrium of the system will reach a tipping point, hastening degradation and resulting in eventual conversion from a vegetated marsh system to mudflat (Fitzgerald and Hughes 2019, Ganju et al. 2020). While some marshes may migrate inland in response to sea level rise, in many coastal areas built structures, forested land, invasive species (e.g., *Phragmites australis*), and steep topography can create barriers that prevent or slow this process (Fagherazzi et al. 2019, Field et al. 2016, Smith 2013). Legacy activities for the purposes of mosquito control, farming of salt hay, and infrastructure (tidal restrictions) can exacerbate the impact of rising sea level by altering natural hydrology, contributing to lowering of marsh platform elevation (subsidence), and increasing water retention on the marsh surface (Adamowicz et al. 2020, Elsey-Quirk and Adamowicz 2015, Burdick et al. 2020, Kennish 2001, Vincent et al. 2013). Marsh areas upstream of a structure that is restricting tidal flow can become less saline, supporting the invasion of the common reed *P. australis*, and with less opportunity to receive sediments from tidal inundation, may be lower in elevation and thus more vulnerable as sea levels rise (Bowron et al. 2009, Burdick et al. 1997, Saltonstall et al. 2005). Excess nutrient inputs to marshes may also accelerate losses through vegetation changes and degradation of the marsh platform (Deegan et al. 2012, Krause et al. 2020).

Salt Marsh Working Group

Given the complexity of marsh systems, and the significant threat of climate change, a collaborative, transdisciplinary approach is needed to address data gaps, improve understanding of current and future drivers of change, and inform effective management strategies (Waltham et al. 2021, Wigand et al. 2017). In recognition of this need, the Salt Marsh Working Group (SMWG) was formed in 2018 by the Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management and the University of Massachusetts Amherst Gloucester Marine Station. What began as a grassroots effort with a few key members has grown to comprise a network of over 80 researchers and coastal managers from state, federal, nonprofit and university entities across Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island. The SMWG meets quarterly to advance the following collective goals:

1. Facilitate a coordinated forum between scientists and managers to offer open communication across organizations and regions
2. Share best practices to assess and monitor salt marshes and restoration efforts
3. Identify research gaps and priorities
4. Design collaborative approaches to support critical research that increases the resilience of salt marsh systems

The SMWG is one of five working groups of the Massachusetts Ecosystem Climate Adaptation Network (Mass ECAN). Mass ECAN is a community of practice for climate adaptation practitioners and researchers interested in ecosystem resilience and natural resource conservation in Massachusetts. See Appendix 1 for a list of SMWG participants at the time of this publication.

Process to Identify Research Priorities

Throughout 2021, the SMWG completed a process to identify salt marsh data gaps and research needs. First, through a series of polling exercises, the SMWG selected five priority research areas:

- Sea Level Rise: Impacts to physical structure, ecosystem shifts, functional changes, modeling, management, adaptation
- Hydrology: Historical impacts, tidal restrictions, panne and pool expansion, ditching, restoration, modeling
- Marsh Migration: Processes, modeling, land management, facilitation, conservation
- Sediment Supply: Sediment availability, elevation processes, horizontal/vertical accretion and loss, dredging
- Nutrients: Impacts, associated stressors (e.g., land use), management

Second, subgroups were formed for each of the priority research areas comprised of volunteers from the broader SMWG (45 subgroup participants total; 7-10 per subgroup), creating teams with a broad range of expertise and perspectives (note subgroup participants in Appendix 1). Using an agreed-upon, standardized process, subgroups identified near-term (within the next five years) research needs for their respective priority research areas. Upon completion, the five subgroup leads were convened to discuss overlap and connections among their respective goals. Ultimately, through this collaborative effort, three high level salt marsh research priorities were identified to comprehensively reflect subgroup-identified needs across the five areas.

Priority Research Needs Identified to Advance Salt Marsh Resilience

The three intersecting salt marsh research priorities are not listed in order of importance as they are intended to support and build upon each other (Figure 1). Specific research and data needs to address subgroup-identified gaps are listed for each priority.

1. IMPROVE UNDERSTANDING OF ECOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL PROCESSES WITHIN SALT MARSH SYSTEMS, ESTABLISH BASELINES:

This priority emphasizes the need for research and data to better understand salt marsh ecosystems in the present day, and how past alterations, including anthropogenic stressors, influence current functioning and condition. This need also addresses a priority to establish baseline conditions in marshes, the application of standardized methods, and synthesis of existing datasets to increase research communication and efficient coordination.

RESEARCH AND DATA NEEDS

- Research to understand temporal and spatial variability in natural sediment supply and the factors that control this variability (e.g., geomorphic, geologic, and oceanic)
 - Accurate Digital Terrain Models (DTMs) for salt marshes
 - A centralized catalog of geospatial and other data products
 - Inventories of local nitrogen inputs to salt marshes
 - A comprehensive assessment of salt marsh changes over the past century, including analysis of historic marsh migration rates
 - Models and/or data on regional/local sediment availability, supply, and distribution for New England marshes
 - Better understanding of relationships between bordering habitat type and marsh migration rates
 - Development of standard methods to broadly assess marsh condition
 - Synthesis of existing datasets on a local and regional scale to identify gaps, support decision making, and inform effective management measures and actions
-

2. IDENTIFY VULNERABLE MARSHES; COLLECT OR DEVELOP DATA TO TRACK ECOSYSTEM CHANGES AND SUPPORT PREDICTIVE MODELS:

This priority reflects the need to understand how marshes will respond to changes in the future (or how they have responded in the recent past). Given the serious challenge of climate change and sea level rise, examining which factors increase the vulnerability and/or resiliency of marshes and generating data to support models and metrics of future change is critical.

RESEARCH AND DATA NEEDS

- Identification of factors correlated with salt marsh resiliency or vulnerability (e.g., landscape position, tidal range/elevation capital, sediment supply, unvegetated to vegetated ratios, anthropogenic stressors, primary productivity, below-ground biomass, geography)
 - Improved predictive models of marsh migration and changes to marsh platform elevation and habitat; spatially explicit datasets for sensitive parameters and model validation
 - Understanding effects on processes and functions such as carbon sequestration and biomass accumulation; analyses of the impacts of ecosystem changes to microbes, algae, invertebrates, fish, birds, and food webs
 - Modeling to support predictions of changing hydrology and sediment supply with rising sea levels
 - Analyses to understand the compound effects of sea level rise, groundwater rise, and precipitation on marsh migration potential
 - Development of standard methods to identify vulnerable and resilient marshes
 - Coordinated monitoring programs to track changes over the long term
-

3. INFORM RESTORATION AND ADAPTATION ACTIONS TO SUSTAIN SALT MARSHES AND THEIR ASSOCIATED FUNCTIONS AND SERVICES:

This priority addresses the need to generate, review, and synthesize data to support best practices and effective restoration and adaptation actions. While this is a standalone priority, research completed as part of the previous two priorities will also generate results to support this need. Supporting marsh resilience is a foundational SMWG goal. Research needs under this priority are centered on both novel and traditional restoration techniques.

RESEARCH AND DATA NEEDS

- Research to understand the effectiveness of restoration and adaptation approaches to support salt marsh resilience and ecosystem function, including but not limited to sediment-based strategies and restoration of natural hydrology
 - Assessment of what level of research and monitoring effort is required to effectively track results of restoration and adaptation strategies, inform adaptive management, support permitting, and develop best practices
 - Better understanding of site level factor variability (existing soil chemistry, presence of anthropogenic alterations, physical factors, etc.) and how these intersect with restoration and adaptation outcomes
 - Need for comprehensive ecosystem services valuation to provide context for potential losses
 - Analysis of socio-economic drivers as a basis for marsh migration area preservation
 - Evaluation of trade-offs between potential actions and strategies versus a “do nothing” approach given site specific factors
-

Next Steps

The Salt Marsh Working Group is building regional collaborative capacity. It is our shared belief that collaboration is necessary to protect and restore salt marshes and the vital services they provide now and into the future. Our vision is to advance a solid grounding of science to support priority actions that result in collective, positive impact. We intend to pursue these goals through the following actions:

- Communicate priority research needs through dedicated meetings and conversations with stakeholders, public presentations, and publications
- Develop a five-year strategic research agenda centered on the above priorities to coordinate research and fundraising
- Evaluate action on our research priorities annually and examine emerging priorities and factors of salt marsh resiliency
- Identify barriers to research and application of resilience strategies and provide opportunity to address these barriers through information exchange and discussion
- Continue to serve as a network and resource for practitioners, with regular meetings and opportunities to present research, vet ideas, and broaden membership across disciplines
- Inform, learn from, and collaborate with other efforts occurring in the region

The purpose of this document is to both communicate the SMWG research priorities and to inspire coordinated, transdisciplinary discussion and action around the complex and intersecting challenges of salt marsh management and resilience. Together we will leverage the growing expertise of the SMWG to not only advance critical research but also to facilitate partnerships that explore and support innovative research and management approaches and funding strategies.

Closing

When the very first survey questions were posed to the SMWG shortly after formation in 2018, unanimously, “resilience” was the top goal that respondents wished to achieve in their near-term work on salt marshes. We hope that this document will inspire members of the SMWG and others who focus on salt marshes to pursue actions to support this critical ecosystem for generations to come. Over 50 years ago John and Mildred Teal expressed in *Life and Death of the Salt Marsh* “*We have only begun to understand the interrelationship of the animals and plants that make the salt marshes and associated estuaries one of the most productive on earth.*” (Teal and Teal 1976). While knowledge of marshes has grown by leaps and bounds since then, there is still much work to do and emerging threats to address. We look forward to tracking progress made by all the dedicated scientists, managers, and practitioners that contributed to this document and have made salt marshes an integral part of their life and work.

References

- Adamowicz SC, Wilson G, Burdick DM, Ferguson W, Hopping R. Farmers in the marsh: Lessons from history and case studies for the future. 2020. *Wetland Science and Practice* 37(3): 183-195. <https://members.sws.org/wetland-science-and-practice/Details/july-2020-wetland-science-practice-46660>
- Burdick DM, Dionne M, Boumans RM, Short FT. 1997. Ecological responses to tidal restoration of two northern New England salt marshes. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 4: 129-144. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01876233>
- Burdick DM, Moore GE, Adamowicz SC, Wilson GM, Peter CR. 2020. Mitigating the legacy effects of ditching in a New England salt marsh. *Estuaries and Coasts* 43:1672–1679. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-019-00656-5>
- Bowron T, Neatt N, van Proosdij D, Lundholm J, and Graham J. 2009. Macro-tidal salt marsh ecosystem response to culvert expansion. *Restoration Ecology* 19(3): 307-322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00602.x>
- Cahoon DR, Mckee KL, Morris J. 2021. How plants influence resilience of salt marsh and mangrove wetlands to sea-level rise. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44: 883–898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00834-w>
- Colombano DD, Litvin SY, Ziegler SL, Alford SB, Baker R, Barbeau MA, Cebrián J, Connolly RM, Currin CA, Deegan LA, Lesser JS, Martin CW, McDonald AE, McLuckie C, Morrison BH, Pahl JW, Risse LM, Smith JAM, Staver LW, Turner RE, Waltham NJ. 2021. Climate Change Implications for Tidal Marshes and Food Web Linkages to Estuarine and Coastal Nekton. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44(6):1637–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00891-1>
- Costanza R, Perez-Maqueo O, Martinez ML, Sutton P, Anderson SJ, Mulder K. 2008. The value of coastal wetlands for hurricane protection. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment* 37(4):241-248. [https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447\(2008\)37\[241:tvocwf\]2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2008)37[241:tvocwf]2.0.co;2)
- Craft C, Clough J, Ehman J, Joye S, Park R, Pennings S, Guo H, Machmuller M. 2009. Forecasting the effects of accelerated sea-level rise on tidal marsh ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. 7(2):73-78. <https://doi.org/10.1890/070219>
- Deegan LA, Johnson DS, Warren RS, Peterson BJ, Fleeger JW, Fagherazzi S, Wollheim WM. 2012. Coastal eutrophication as a driver of salt marsh loss. *Nature* 490(7420): 388–392. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11533>
- Drake K, Halifax H, Adamowicz SC, Craft C. 2015. Carbon Sequestration in Tidal Salt Marshes of the Northeast United States. *Environmental Management* 56:998–1008. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0568-z>
- Elsy-Quirk T, Adamowicz SC. 2015. Influence of physical manipulations on short-term salt marsh morphodynamics: examples from the North and Mid-Atlantic Coast, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts* 39(2):423–439. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12237-015-0013-9>

[EOEEA] Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs. 2018. MA Climate Change Projections –Statewide and For Major Drainage Basins. Guidebook Supplement. https://eea-nescaum-dataservices-assets-prd.s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/resources/production/MA%20Statewide%20and%20MajorBasins%20Climate%20Projections_Guidebook%20Supplement_March2018.pdf.

Fagherazzi S, Anisfield SC, Blum LK, Long EV, Feagin RA, Fernandes A, Kearney WS, Williams K. 2019. Sea level rise and the dynamics of the marsh-upland boundary. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 7(25). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00025>

Fagherazzi S, FitzGerald DM, Fulweiler RW, Hughes Z, Wiberg PL, McGlathery KJ, Morris JT, Tolhurst TJ, Deegan LA, Johnson DS, Lesser JS, Nelson JA. 2013. Ecogeomorphology of Salt Marshes. Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. *Treatise on Geomorphology* 12:182-200. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374739-6.00329-8>

Field CR, Gjerdrum C, Elphick CS. 2016. Forest resistance to sea-level rise prevents landward migration of tidal marsh. *Biological Conservation* 201: 363-369 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.035>

FitzGerald DM, Hughes Z. 2019. Marsh processes and their response to climate change and sea-level rise. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 47:481–517. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-082517-010255>

FitzGerald D, Ryerson O, Hughes Z, Black S, Georgiou I, Hein C, Novak A. 2020. Long-term variability in inorganic sediment contributions to the Great Marsh, Massachusetts. *Journal of Coastal Research* 95(sp1):490. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2112/SI95-095.1>

Ganju NK, Defne Z, Fagherazzi. 2020. Are elevation and open-water conversion of salt marshes connected? *Geophysical Research Letters* 47(3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086703>

Kennish MJ. 2001. Coastal salt marsh systems in the U.S.: a review of anthropogenic impacts. *Journal of Coastal Research* 17(3):731-748. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4300224>

Krause JR, Burke Watson E, Wigand C, Maher N. 2020. Are Tidal Salt Marshes Exposed to Nutrient Pollution more Vulnerable to Sea Level Rise? *Wetlands* 40:1539–1548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-019-01254-8>

Leonardi N, Carnacina I, Donatelli C, Ganju NK, Plater AJ, Schurech M, Temmerman S. 2018. Dynamic interactions between coastal storms and salt marshes: A review. *Geomorphology* 301:92-107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.11.001>

Morris JT, Sundareshwar PV, Nietch CT, Kjerfve B, Cahoon DR. 2002. Responses of coastal wetlands to rising sea level. *Ecology* 83(10): 2869-2877. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2002\)083\[2869:ROCWTR\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2869:ROCWTR]2.0.CO;2)

Redfield AC. 1972. Development of a New England salt marsh. *Ecological Monographs* 42(2): 201-237. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1942263>

Rendón OR, Garbutt A, Skov M, Möller I, Alexander M, Ballinger R, Wyles K, Smith G, McKinley E, Griffin J, Thomas M, Davidson K, Pagès JF, Read S, Beaumont N. 2019. A framework linking ecosystem services and human well-being: Saltmarsh as a case study. *People and Nature* 1(4):486-496. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10050>

- Rezaie AM, Loerzel J, Ferreira CM. 2020. Valuing natural habitats for enhancing coastal resilience: wetlands reduce property damage from storm surge and sea level rise. *PLoS ONE* 15(1): 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226275>
- Saltonstall K, Burdick D, Miller S, Smith B. 2005. Native and non-native phragmites: challenges in identification, research, and management of the common reed. National Estuarine Research Reserve Technical Report Series 2005. Greenland, NH
- Smith JAM. 2013. The role of *Phragmites australis* in mediating inland salt marsh migration in a Mid-Atlantic estuary. *PLoS ONE* 8(5): e65091. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065091>
- Sweet WV, Hamlington BD, Kopp RE, Weaver CP, Barnard PL, Bekaert D, Brooks W, Craghan M, Dusek G, Frederikse T, Garner G, Genz AS, Krasting JP, Larour E, Marcy D, Marra JJ, Obeysekera J, Osler M, Pendleton M, Roman D, Schmied L, Veatch W, White KD, Zuzak C. 2022. Global and Regional Sea Level Rise Scenarios for the United States: Updated Mean Projections and Extreme Water Level Probabilities Along U.S. Coastlines. NOAA Technical Report NOS 01. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Ocean Service, Silver Spring, MD, 111 pp. <https://oceanservice.noaa.gov/hazards/sealevelrise/sealevelrise-tech-report.html>
- Taylor MD, Baker R, Simenstad C, Weinstein MP. 2021. Concepts and Controversies in Tidal Marsh Ecology revisited. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44:1493-1496. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12237-021-00960-z>
- Teal J, Teal M. Life and Death of the Salt Marsh. New York: Random House; 1969. 274 pgs.
- Vincent RE, Burdick DM, Dionne M. 2013. Ditching and ditch plugging in New England salt marshes: effects on hydrology, elevation, and soil characteristics. *Estuaries and Coasts* 36: 610–625. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12237-012-9583-y>
- Waltham NJ, Alcott C, Barbeau MA, Cebrian J, Connolly RM, Deegan LA, Dodds K, Goodridge Gaines LA, Gilby BL, Henderson CJ, McLuckie CM, Minello TJ, Norris GS, Ollerhead J, Pahl J, Reinhardt JF, Rezek RJ, Simenstad CA, Smith JAM, Sparks EL, Staver LW, Ziegler SL, Weinstein MP. 2021. Tidal marsh restoration optimism in a changing climate and urbanizing seascape. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44(6):1681-1690. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00875-1>
- Weston NB. 2014. Declining sediments and rising seas: an unfortunate convergence for tidal wetlands. *Estuaries and Coasts* 37: 1-23. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12237-013-9654-8>
- Wigand C, Ardito T, Chaffee C, Ferguson W, Paton S, Raposa K, Vandemoer C, Watson E. 2017. A climate change adaptation strategy for management of coastal marsh systems. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40(3): 682–693. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12237-015-0003-y>
- Ziegler SL, Baker R, Crosby SC, Colombano DD, Barbeau MA, Cebrian J, Connolly RM, Deegan LA, Gilby BL, Mallick D, Martin CW, Nelson JA, Reinhardt JF, Simenstad CA, Waltham NJ, Worthington TA, Rozas LP. 2021. Geographic variation in salt marsh structure and function for nekton: a guide to finding commonality across multiple scales. *Estuaries and Coasts*. 44:1497-1507. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00894-y>

Appendix 1

Salt Marsh Working Group Participant List*

Susan Adamowicz ² , US Fish & Wildlife Service	Amber Hardy ³ , University of Rhode Island
Kate Ackerman, US Geological Survey, Woods Hole Coastal and Marine Science Center	Matt Harke ³ , Gloucester Marine Genomics Institute
Hannah Baranes ³ , UMass Amherst Geosciences	Mitch Hartley ³ , US Fish and Wildlife Service
Alice Besterman ³ , Woodwell Climate Research Center	Russ Hopping ³ , Trustees
Alison Bowden ³ , The Nature Conservancy	Patricia Huckery, MassWildlife
Robert Buchsbaum ³ , Mass Audubon (retired)	Zoe Hughes ³ , Boston University
David Burdick ² , University of New Hampshire	Scott Jackson ² , University of Massachusetts Amherst, Environmental Conservation
Marc Carullo ² , Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management	Rachel Jakuba, Buzzards Bay Coalition
Wayne Castonguay ³ , Ipswich River Watershed Association	Katie Kahl ¹ , University of Massachusetts Amherst, Gloucester Marine Station
Elisabeth Cianciola ³ , Massachusetts Department of Fish and Game	Georgeann Keer ³ , Massachusetts Division of Ecological Restoration
Tim Cook ³ , University of Massachusetts Amherst, Geosciences	Cristina Kennedy ² , Massachusetts Division of Ecological Restoration
Joe Costa, Buzzards Bay National Estuary Program	Kevin Kroeger, US Geological Survey, Woods Hole Coastal and Marine Science Center
Linda Deegan ³ , Woodwell Climate Research Center	Kevin Lucey, New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services
Sean Duffey ³ , Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management	David Moon, Mass Audubon
Sergio Fagherazzi, Boston University	Jordan Mora, Association to Preserve Cape Cod
Wenley Ferguson ³ , Save the Bay	Gordon Ober ³ , Endicott College
Beckie Finn, Aquinnah Tribe	Melissa Ocana, University of Massachusetts Amherst
Emily Freda, University of Massachusetts Amherst	Tom O'Shea, Trustees
Neil Ganju ³ , US Geological Survey, Woods Hole Coastal and Marine Science Center	Adrienne Pappal ¹ , Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management
Melanie Gárate ³ , Mystic River Watershed Association	Nancy Pau ³ , US Fish & Wildlife Service, Parker River National Wildlife Refuge
Anne Giblin ³ , Marine Biological Laboratory	Virginia Parker, Buzzards Bay Coalition
Meagan Eagle, US Geological Survey	Danielle Perry ³ , Mass Audubon
Sara Grady ³ , MassBays National Estuary Partnership/North and South Rivers Watershed Association	Catherine Pedemonti, Mystic River Watershed Association
Torrie Hanley ³ , MassBays National Estuary Partnership/Northeastern University	Peter Phippen ³ , MassBays National Estuary Partnership

*Defined as attending meetings during Oct 2021-Feb 2022 and/or participated in the development of the research needs

¹Salt Marsh Working Group Co-lead, ²Salt Marsh Working Group Subgroup lead, ³Salt Marsh Subgroup participant

Salt Marsh Working Group Participant List (continued)*

Kenny Raposa ³ , Narragansett Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve	Rob Vincent ³ , MIT Sea Grant
Sean Riley ³ , Massachusetts Dept of Conservation & Recreation	Barbara Warren, MassBays National Estuary Partnership /Salem Sound Coast Watch
Mary Schoell ³ , Narragansett Bay NERR	Robert Wernerehl ³ , Department of Fisheries and Wildlife
Stephen Smith, Cape Cod National Seashore - National Park Service	Cathy Wigand ³ , Environmental Protection Agency Region 1
Hillary Sullivan ³ , Woodwell Climate Research Center	Geoff Wilson ³ , Northeast Wetland Restoration
Michelle Staudinger ³ , USGS; Northeast Climate Adaptation Science Center	April Wobst, Association to Preserve Cape Cod
James Turek ³ , NOAA Greater Atlantic Fisheries Office, Office of Habitat Conservation/Habitat Restoration	Jon Woodruff ² , University of Massachusetts Amherst, Geosciences
Megan Tyrrell ³ , Waquoit Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve	Brian Yellen ³ , University of Massachusetts Amherst, Geosciences
Prassede Vella ³ , MassBays/Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management	

*Defined as attending meetings during Oct 2021-Feb 2022 and/or participated in the development of the research needs

¹Salt Marsh Working Group Co-lead, ²Salt Marsh Working Group Subgroup lead, ³Salt Marsh Subgroup participant

Suggested Citation:

Pappal, A. and K. Kahl. 2022. Gaining Ground: Defining Priority Research for Resilient Salt Marshes. Salt Marsh Working Group, a working group of the Massachusetts Ecosystem Climate Adaptation Network. www.umass.edu/ses/gloucester-marine-station/coastal-resilience