**Housing**

**Capacity Assessment Review Findings**

In the fall of 2022, the Office of Local and Regional Health conducted a Capacity Assessment of local health departments in Massachusetts to evaluate local public health's current ability to provide basic public health services based on their available resources, including staffing levels, funding, and training. The first-ever Massachusetts Local Public Health Performance Standards, which defined basic levels of services and workforce credentials and training, framed the assessment. As part of this assessment, a qualitative review of documentation submitted by municipalities was conducted, focusing on various subject areas to evaluate the implementation of crucial public health services.

The housing documents included: rooming house inspections, condemnation orders, orders to correct, housing inspections, lead determination, and positive lead determinations.

# Capacity Assessment Key Findings

## **1. Thorough and Systematic Inspections**

Inspectors must be trained to approach inspections systematically, document each step properly, and ensure housing inspections meet legal requirements.

* Stronger orientation programs and mentorship for new inspectors can support this process.

## **2. Citation Listing and Completeness**

While many inspection reports provided sufficient descriptions and details about what was observed during the inspection, they often lacked clarity, identification of hazards, and proper citation references.

* Identify and explain violations in accordance with the housing code requirements.
* Always include the citation numbers with violations.
* Ensure all documentation is complete.

## **3. Inconsistent Follow-Up and Timeframes**

Enforcement actions and timeframes were inconsistent and sometimes not in line with the code requirements.

* Timelines should be assigned based on the code.
* Re-inspections should align with the most urgent timeline.
* Always provide evidence of re-inspections to show proper follow-up and enforcement.

## **4. Housing Court Compliance**

Documents must contain all the correct information including signatures and follow the proper legal procedures if they are to be considered valid in court.

* Ensure all necessary documents include signatures.
* Ensure all documents are signed and kept on file.
* Condemnation orders must be advertised for at least three out of five consecutive days in the paper of record.

Effective housing documentation requires clarity and thoroughness. The most exemplary inspections were characterized by clear, organized, and concise reporting that strictly adhered to regulations in the housing code. These housing inspections left no room for confusion or ambiguity.

# Qualitative Findings

The lists below outline the reasons each type of housing document did not meet the proficiency standard. The most frequently selected evaluation criteria are bolded and ordered by frequency from greatest to least. **The most significant issue for Housing overall was the absence or incomplete of an Order to Correct, followed by a missing or insufficient reinspection.**

## Backup Documentation Results

For housing inspections, 1,465 documents were requested. Of those, 386 (26%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 234 (51%) were deemed proficient.

For housing order to correct, 177 documents were requested. Of those, 149 (84%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 100 (67%) were deemed proficient.

For housing condemnation orders, 135 documents were requested. Of those, 113 (84%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 80 (71%) were deemed proficient.

For rooming houses, 111 documents were requested. Of those, 30 (27%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 17 (57%) were deemed proficient.

For lead determinations, 110 documents were requested. Of those, 48 (44%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 35 (73%) were deemed proficient.

For positive lead determinations, 40 documents were requested. Of those, 27 (68%) were submitted, and of those submitted, 21 (78%) were deemed proficient.

## Order to Correct Documents Evaluation Criteria

1. **Order to Correct not completed or documented**
2. Conditions deemed to endanger without proper follow-up action
3. Follow-up action not completed or documented
4. Missing or insufficient reinspection documentation
5. Conditions that may put residents and/or abutters at risk not properly addressed
6. Conditions that may contribute to illness or hazardous living conditions not properly addressed
7. Inspection Report Form does not comply with regulatory requirements

## Condemnation Order Documents Evaluation Criteria

1. **Order to Correct not completed or documented**
2. Conditions that may contribute to illness or hazardous living conditions not properly addressed
3. Violations that may put residents and/or abutters at risk not properly addressed
4. Conditions deemed to endanger without proper follow-up action
5. Inspection Report Form Required and critical fields not completed that would put residents and/or abutters at risk
6. Follow-up action not completed or documented

## Inspections 1/2/3 for 2018/19/21 Documents Evaluation Criteria

1. **Order to Correct not completed or documented**
2. **Reinspection not completed or documented**
3. Initial inspection not completed or documented
4. Follow-up action not completed or documented
5. Inspection report form required and critical fields not completed that would put residents and/or abutters at risk
6. Conditions deemed to endanger without proper documented follow-up action
7. Inspection report form does not comply with regulatory requirements
8. Conditions that may contribute to illness or hazardous living conditions not properly addressed
9. Violations that may put residents and/or abutters at risk not properly addressed

## Lead Determination Documents Evaluation Criteria

1. **Critical fields not completed**
2. Inspection Report Form insufficient or not approved
3. Reinspection not completed or documented
4. Follow-up action not completed or documented
5. Not conducting lead-based inspections where a child under 6 resides

## Positive Lead Determination Documents Evaluation Criteria

1. **Reinspection not completed or documented**
2. Critical fields not completed