## Final Determination Letter

June 18, 2025

Honorable Robert H. Goldstein, MD

Commissioner

Massachusetts Department of Public Health

250 Washington Street

Boston, MA 02108

Dear Dr. Goldstein:

I am writing to advise you of the U.S. Department of Education’s (Department) 2025 determination under Sections 616 and 642 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The Department has determined that Massachusetts needs assistance in meeting the requirements of Part C of the IDEA. This determination is based on the totality of Massachusetts' data and information, including the Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2023 State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Report (SPP/APR), other State-reported data, and other publicly available information.

Massachusetts' 2025 determination is based on the data reflected in Massachusetts' “2025 Part C Results-Driven Accountability Matrix” (RDA Matrix). The RDA Matrix is individualized for Massachusetts and consists of:

1. a Compliance Matrix that includes scoring on Compliance Indicators and other compliance factors;
2. a Results Matrix (including Components and Appendices) that include scoring on Results Elements;
3. a Compliance Score and a Results Score;
4. an RDA Percentage based on both the Compliance Score and the Results Score; and
5. Massachusetts' Determination.

The RDA Matrix is further explained in a document, entitled “[How the Department Made Determinations under Sections 616(d) and 642 of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act in 2025: Part C](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/how-the-department-made-determinations/)” (HTDMD-C).

The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) is continuing to use both results data and compliance data in making the Department’s determinations in 2025, as it did for Part C determinations in 2016-2024. (The specifics of the determination procedures and criteria are set forth in the HTDMD-C document and reflected in the RDA Matrix for Massachusetts.) For the 2025 IDEA Part C determinations, OSEP also considered performance on timely correction of noncompliance requirements in Indicator 12. While the State’s performance on timely correction of noncompliance was a factor in each State or Entity’s 2025 Part C Compliance Matrix, no State or Entity received a Needs Intervention determination in 2025 due solely to this criterion. However, this criterion will be fully incorporated beginning with the 2026 determinations. For 2025, the Department’s IDEA Part C determinations continue to include consideration of each State’s Child Outcomes data, which measure how children who receive Part C services are improving functioning in three outcome areas that are critical to school readiness:

* positive social-emotional skills;
* acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
* use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

Specifically, the Department considered the data quality, and the child performance levels in each State’s Child Outcomes FFY 2023 data. You may access the results of OSEP’s review of Massachusetts' SPP/APR and other relevant data by accessing the EMAPS SPP/APR reporting tool using your State-specific log-on information at <https://emaps.ed.gov/suite/>. When you access Massachusetts' SPP/APR on the site, you will find, in Indicators 1 through 12, the OSEP Response to the indicator and any actions that Massachusetts is required to take. The actions that Massachusetts is required to take are in the “Required Actions” section of the indicator.

It is important for your State to review the Introduction to the SPP/APR, which may also include language in the “OSEP Response” and/or “Required Actions” sections.

Your State will also find the following important documents in the Determinations Enclosures section:

1. Massachusetts' RDA Matrix;
2. the HTDMD link;
3. “2025 Data Rubric Part C,” which shows how OSEP calculated the State’s “Timely and Accurate State-Reported Data” score in the Compliance Matrix; and
4. “Dispute Resolution 2023-2024,” which includes the IDEA Section 618 data that OSEP used to calculate the State’s “Timely State Complaint Decisions” and “Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions” scores in the Compliance Matrix.

As noted above, Massachusetts' 2025 determination is Needs Assistance. A State’s 2025 RDA Determination is Needs Assistance if the RDA Percentage is at least 60% but less than 80%. A State would also be Needs Assistance if its RDA Determination percentage is 80% or above, but the Department has imposed Specific Conditions on the State’s last three IDEA Part C grant awards (for FFYs 2022, 2023, and 2024), and those Specific Conditions are in effect at the time of the 2025 determination.

Massachusetts' determination for 2024 was also Needs Assistance. In accordance with Section 616(e)(1) of the IDEA and 34 C.F.R. § 303.704(a), if a State is determined to need assistance for two consecutive years, the Secretary must take one or more of the following actions:

1. advise the State of available sources of technical assistance that may help the State address the areas in which the State needs assistance and require the State to work with appropriate entities; and/or
2. identify the State as a high-risk grantee and impose Specific Conditions on the State’s IDEA Part C grant award.

Pursuant to these requirements, the Secretary is advising Massachusetts of available sources of technical assistance, including OSEP-funded technical assistance centers and resources at the following website: [Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Topic Areas](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/topic-areas/), and requiring Massachusetts to work with appropriate entities. The Secretary directs Massachusetts to determine the results elements and/or compliance indicators, and improvement strategies, on which it will focus its use of available technical assistance, in order to improve its performance. We strongly encourage Massachusetts to access technical assistance related to those results elements and compliance indicators for which Massachusetts received a score of zero. Massachusetts must report with its FFY 2024 SPP/APR submission, due February 2, 2026, on:

1. the technical assistance sources from which Massachusetts received assistance; and
2. the actions Massachusetts took as a result of that technical assistance.

As required by IDEASections 616(e)(7) and 642 and 34 C.F.R. § 303.706, Massachusetts must notify the public that the Secretary of Education has taken the above enforcement action, including, at a minimum, by posting a public notice on its website and distributing the notice to the media and to early intervention service (EIS) programs.

The Secretary is considering modifying the factors the Department will use in making its determinations in June 2026 and beyond, as part of the Administration’s priority to empower States in taking the lead in developing and implementing policies that best serve children with disabilities, and empowering parents with school choice options. As we consider changes to data collection and how we use the data reported to the Department in making annual IDEA determinations, OSEP will provide parents, States, entities, and other stakeholders with an opportunity to comment and provide input through a variety of mechanisms.

For the FFY 2024 SPP/APR submission due on February 1, 2026, OSEP is providing the following information about the IDEA Section 618 data. The 2024-25 IDEA Section 618 Part C data submitted as of the due date will be used for the FFY 2024 SPP/APR and the 2026 IDEA Part C Results Matrix and data submitted during correction opportunities will not be used for these purposes. States will not be able to resubmit their IDEA Section 618 data after the due date. The 2024-25 IDEA Section 618 Part C data that States submit will automatically be prepopulated in the SPP/APR reporting platform for Part C SPP/APR Indicators 2, 5, 6, 9, and 10 (as they have in the past). Under EDFacts Modernization, States are expected to submit high-quality IDEA Section 618 Part C data that can be published and used by the Department as of the due date. States are expected to conduct data quality reviews prior to the applicable due date. OSEP expects States to take one of the following actions for all business rules that are triggered in the appropriate EDFacts system prior to the applicable due date: 1) revise the uploaded data to address the edit; or 2) provide a data note addressing why the data submission triggered the business rule. States will be unable to submit the IDEA Section 618 Part C data without taking one of these two actions. There will not be a resubmission period for the IDEA Section 618 Part C data.

As a reminder, Massachusetts must report annually to the public, by posting on the State lead agency’s website, on the performance of each early intervention service (EIS) program located in Massachusetts on the targets in the SPP/APR as soon as practicable, but no later than 120 days after Massachusetts' submission of its FFY 2023 SPP/APR. In addition, Massachusetts must:

1. review EIS program performance against targets in Massachusetts' SPP/APR;
2. determine if each EIS program “meets the requirements” of Part C, or “needs assistance,” “needs intervention,” or “needs substantial intervention” in implementing Part C of the IDEA;
3. take appropriate enforcement action; and
4. inform each EIS program of its determination.

Further, Massachusetts must make its SPP/APR available to the public by posting it on the State lead agency’s website. Within the upcoming weeks, OSEP will be finalizing a State Profile that:

1. includes Massachusetts' determination letter and SPP/APR, OSEP attachments, and all State attachments that are accessible in accordance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and
2. will be accessible to the public via the ed.gov website.

OSEP appreciates Massachusetts' efforts to improve results for infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families and looks forward to working with Massachusetts over the next year as we continue our important work of improving the lives of children with disabilities and their families. Please contact your OSEP State Lead if you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance.

Sincerely,



David J. Cantrell

Deputy Director

Office of Special Education Programs

cc: State Part C Coordinator