Juvenile Justice Policy and Data Board

Data Subcommittee

Virtual Meeting May 19, 2020 1pm – 2:30pm



Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
 - Virtual Meeting Guidelines
- Review/Approval of February meeting minutes

Review of Juvenile Justice Data Website

 Presentation/Discussion re: Draft race/ethnicity reporting standards



Juvenile Justice Data Webpage Review



Juvenile Justice Date Reporting Standards Recommendation



Criteria for recommendations

- 1. Adherence to State and Federal Laws Regarding Confidentiality of Private Information
- 2. Feasibility of Implementation
- 3. Level of Detail
- 4. Recognition of Complexity of Identity
- 5. Alignment with Other Systems



- 1) Collect Data Using the Following Race/Ethnicity Categories at a Minimum:
 - White
 - Hispanic/Latino
 - Black or African-American
 - Asian
 - American Indian or Alaska Native
 - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
 - Unknown (to be used as minimally as possible)



1 (cont'd.) Two ways to collect data:

- "Combined question" format: allows a youth the option of identifying as Hispanic/Latino without selecting a separate race.
- "Two-question" format: asks if a youth identifies as Hispanic/Latino before asking other race identities.

Recommendation #3 provides guidance on how to combine the results from two-question format into one.



2) When Possible, Allow Youth to Self-Identify and Give the Option of Selecting More than One Race Category

When agencies collect data in this manner, they are also encouraged to include as much of the following information in data reports as feasible:

- Total number selecting only one race/ethnicity categories
- Total number selecting multiple race/ethnicity categories
- Detailed distributions of the different race/ethnicity combinations
 - For example, reporting how many youth identify as both "Black" and "Hispanic/Latino," or how many youth identify as "Asian" and "White")

2) When Possible, Allow Youth to Self-Identify and Give the Option of Selecting More than One Race Category

When agencies collect data in this manner, they are also encouraged to include as much of the following information in data reports as feasible:

- Total number selecting only one race/ethnicity categories
- Total number selecting multiple race/ethnicity categories
- Detailed distributions of the different race/ethnicity combinations
 - For example, reporting how many youth identify as both "Black" and "Hispanic/Latino," or how many youth identify as "Asian" and "White")

3) Youth Should Not Be Double-Counted. Although Reporting Distributions as Described Above is Preferable, if Data Must be Aggregated into Larger Categories, Data Reports Should Follow Standard Rules for Grouping Youth:

When youth have selected more than one race/ethnicity, but need to be aggregated into a single category for reporting purposes, use the following prioritization model to determine the race/ethnicity category for reporting purposes:

- Black
- Hispanic/Latino
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- White



3) Youth Should Not Be Double-Counted. Although Reporting Distributions as Described Above is Preferable, if Data Must be Aggregated into Larger Categories, Data Reports Should Follow Standard Rules for Grouping Youth:

When youth have selected more than one race but need to be aggregated into a single category for reporting purposes, use the following prioritization model to determine the race/ethnicity category for reporting purposes:

- Black
- Hispanic/Latino
- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- White

Lots of feedback on these parts!
Discussion to follow....



- Not every agency will be able to report at the same level of detail, whether that is a result of database or data collection limitations, or as a result of confidentiality rules as described above.
- To remedy: established six levels of reporting, to allow for agencies to report at the maximum level of detail possible while still allowing for cross-agency comparison.
- Each level lists guidelines for how data should be aggregated (in more or less detail) to allow for comparison with other agencies.



Level 1:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%

Include an explanation as to why no further breakdown is possible.

Level 2:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
White	1	5%
All other races	20	95%

Include an explanation as to why no further breakdown is possible.



Level 3:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
White	1	5%
Black or African American	6	29%
Hispanic/Latino	5	24%
All Other Races	9	43%

If possible, agencies should report how many youth identify as one race/ethnicity, and how many youth identify as more than one race/ethnicity:

Level 3 optional:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
Respondents reporting one identified race:	6	29%
Respondents reporting more than one identified race:	15	71%

Include an explanation as to why no further breakdown is possible.



Level 4:	n	Percentage
White	1	5%
Black or African American	6	29%
Hispanic/Latino	5	24%
American Indian/Alaska Native	4	19%
Asian	3	14%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	10%
Level 4 optional:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
Respondents reporting one identified race:	6	29%
Respondents reporting more than one identified race:	15	71%

Level 5:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
Respondents reporting one identified race:	6	29%
Respondents reporting more than one identified race:	15	71%
White	1	5%
Black or African American	1	5%
Black/AA and some other race	5	24%
Hispanic/Latino	1	5%
Hispanic/Latino and some other race	4	19%
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	5%
AI/AN and some other race	3	14%
Asian	1	5%
Asian and some other race	2	10%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	5%
NH/OPI and some other race	1	5%

Level 6:	n	Percentage
Total Youth:	21	100%
Respondents reporting one identified race:	6	29%
Respondents reporting more than one identified race:	15	71%
White	1	5%
Black or African American	1	5%
Black/ AA and Hispanic/Latinx	1	5%
Black/ AA and NH/OPI	1	5%
Black/ AA and Asian	1	5%
Black/ AA and AI/AN	1	5%
Black/ AA and White	1	5%
Hispanic/Latinx	1	5%
Hispanic/Latinx and NH/OPI	1	5%
Hispanic/Latinx and Asian	1	5%
Hispanic/Latinx and AI/AN	1	5%
Hispanic/Latinx and White	1	5%
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	5%
AI/AN and Asian	1	5%
AI/AN and NH/OPI	1	5%
AI/AN and White	1	5%
Asian	1	5%
Asian and White	1	5%
Asian and NH/OPI	1	5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	5%
NH/OPI and White	1	5%

Feedback (so far)

- Categorize youth as Hispanic/Latino in report whenever more than one race + Hispanic/Latino is selected (e.g. prioritize Hispanic/Latino over Black in Recommendation #3)
- Include "Refuse to Answer" in the "Unknown" Category
- Urge agencies to adopt combined question format to prevent undercounting youth
- Include Middle Eastern/North African (MENA) Reporting Category



Where we're headed...

June 30, 2020: Data Meeting SOGIE Data & Website Discussion	 SOGIE Data Presentation MA Commission on LGBTQ Youth & GLAD Website Presentation Part 2 	 Draft Gender & SOGIE reporting standards recommendation
July Date TBD: Offense Data & Website Discussion	 Data requests for FY20 Data Offense type data reporting discussion Website Presentation Part 3 	 Draft offense type reporting standards recommendation

August no in-person meeting: Data requests for FY2020

Next Meeting Date

June 30, 2020 1pm-3pm Virtual Meeting



Contact

Melissa Threadgill
Director of Juvenile Justice Initiatives
melissa.threadgill@mass.gov
617-979-8368

Kristi Polizzano
Juvenile Justice Specialist

Kristine.Polizzano@mass.gov
617-979-8367

