

INTERBASIN TRANSFER ACT (ITA) PERFORMANCE STANDARDS UPDATE 2024

June 13, 2024

AGENDA

- Timeline
- Introduction
- Performance Standards Update
- Questions and Discussion





ITA PERFORMANCE STANDARDS UPDATE 2024

TIMELINE



This guidance was updated to conform with the revised ITA regulations (2018) and the revised Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards (2018) and to reflect industry advances in water conservation and water supply and wastewater system management.



INTRODUCTION

- BACKGROUND
- PURPOSE
- APPLICABILITY
- ORGANIZATION
- APPLICATION PROCESS
- REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS
- GUIDE TO ITA CRITERIA AND APPLICABLE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS BASED ON TYPE OF INTERBASIN TRANSFER

CRITERIA FROM ITA REGULATIONS

Criterion #1 – Compliance with MEPA

Criterion #2 – Develop all viable sources in the Receiving Area

Criterion #3 – Must have implemented all practical water conservation measures

Criterion #4 – Implementation of a Forestry Management Program

Criterion #5 – Maintain reasonable instream flow

Criterion #6 – Impacts of groundwater withdrawals

Criterion #7 – Cumulative impacts

CRITERION #1 Compliance with MEPA

2001 PS

MEPA review completed, if required





MEPA review now also requires certain projects located near environmental justice (EJ) populations to take steps to enhance public involvement opportunities for EJ populations and submit analysis of impacts to such EJ populations in an Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

CRITERION #2 Develop all viable sources in the Receiving Area

- Still three reasons for consideration of viability; however, the following changes were made:
 - **1. Environmental:** added a paragraph detailing the types of impacts and considering their mitigation before concluding a source is not viable
 - 2. Technological: added a paragraph detailing types of technical issues that may arise and that may lead to economic infeasibility
 - **3.** Economic: still two parts costs & rates but more detail added, including consideration of affordability by examining the burden of cost increases on low-income households (i.e., households with incomes below the 20th percentile of all households)

CRITERION #2 Develop all viable sources in the Receiving Area

<u>Viable Source</u> means a water source or wastewater service alternative that meets the current regulatory requirements of the permitting authorities, and is <u>environmentally</u> sound, <u>technologically</u> feasible and <u>cost</u>-effective.



CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures General water conservation section changes

2001 PS

Broad descriptions of water conservation program expectations in list form



Water conservation plan vs. program expectations lacking clarity



No clear separation of indoor and outdoor water use



2024 PS

Water conservation section split into multiple sub-sections based on category

Outlines expectations for general water conservation programming and water conservation plan documentation separately

Indoor and outdoor water use expectations described in more detail in separate sections

CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures General water conservation section changes (cont.)

2001 PS

Only brief mentioning of data analysis



No clear separation between residential and non-residential water conservation



Guidance on protection of existing sources in receiving area located in multiple sections





New sub-section with guidance on how to inform water conservation programs with data analysis

Residential and non-residential described in more detail in separate sections

Reorganized to list the majority of source protection information in one sub-section

CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures Water Loss Control Programs

2001 PS

Guidance on water loss control was limited to two items: leak detection and unaccounted-for water (UAW)



Not entirely in line with 2018 Water Conservation standards, MassDEP practices, and industry best practices



Water loss control guidance expanded into a new section



CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures Metering

2001 PS

Guidance on metering was limited to two simplified items



Metering guidance expanded to include more detailed information based on 2018 WC standards

CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures Inflow/Infiltration Control Program

2001 PS

Guidance on I/I was partially outdated due to more recent changes to MassDEP regulations and guidance



Expanded I/I section and aligned with MassDEP regulations (2014) and MassDEP guidance (2017)

CRITERION #3Implement all practical water conservation measures Water Rates and Billing

Presented update to WRC in February and March 2021

2001 PS

Require full-cost recovery

No description of planning horizon





Recommend enterprise fund



2024 PS

Require full-cost recovery; expectation of full-cost *pricing* or timeline to transition to full-cost pricing * This is a directive of the ITA

Expectation of 10-year or longer planning horizon * This helps define full-cost recovery

Expectation of enterprise fund (or equivalent) * This is an industry standard

CRITERION #3 Implement all practical water conservation measures Water Rates and Billing (cont.)



CRITERION #4 Implementation of Forestry Management Plan

2001 PS

required approval of plan by

DCR or other appropriate

specifies approval by DCR Service Forestry Program only

2024 PS

identifies timber harvesting carried out per 304 CMR 11.00 (Forest Practices regulations)



identifies updated regulations 302 CMR 16 (Forest Cutting Practices) **and** requires consistency with any other state policies on harvesting

agency

CRITERION #5 Maintain reasonable instream flow

2001 PS

Described the submittal materials



2024 PS

Identifies specific resources/resource values that will be evaluated to determine if there will be adverse impacts from the transfer

No guidance specific to wastewater transfers in the Criterion 5 section; Criterion 5 I/I language found in Criterion 3 section



Added section applicable to wastewater transfers; moved and modified I/I language related to Criterion 5 from Criterion 3 section to Criterion 5 section

CRITERION #6 Impacts of groundwater withdrawals

2001 PS

Described the submittal materials





Describes the evaluation metrics:

- Static water levels
- Cone of depression
- Adverse impact to adjacent wells, lakes and pond levels

CRITERION #7 Cumulative impacts

2001 PS

Mentioned only streamflow impacts



Clarifies all hydrologic impacts that are considered

QUESTIONS AND DISCUSSION

ITA PERFORMANCE STANDARDS UPDATE 2024