## Case 18-1170, Document 255, 06/05/2019, 2580827, Page1 of 2 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP PARTNERS RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047

TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950) JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(202) 223-7321

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(202) 204-7393

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

janderson@paulweiss.com

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10019-6064 TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

HONG KONG CLUB BUILDING, 12TH FLOOR 3A CHATER ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

> ALDER CASTLE 10 NOBLE STREET LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

> FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE 77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100 PO. BOX 226 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3 TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410

June 5, 2019

JUSTIN ANDERSON DAVID J. BALL J. STEVEN BAUGHMAN CRAIG A. BENSON JOSEPH J. BIAL PATRICK S. CAMPBELL ANDREW J. FORMAN KENNETH A. GALLO ROBERTO J. GONZALEZ JONATHAN S. KANTER MARK F. MENDELSOHN JANE B. O'BRIEN ALEX YOUNG K. OH CHARLES F. "RICK" RULE TERRY E. SCHIMEK KANNON K. SHANMUGAM

PARTNERS NOT RESIDENT IN WASHINGTON MATTHEW W. ABBOTT\* EDWARD T. ACKERMAN\* JOHN C. JACOB A. ADLERSTEIN\* BRIAN J. ROBERT A. ATKINS\* ALLAN J. ARFFA\* KYLE J. ROBERT A. ATKINS\* ALLAN J. ARFFA\* KYLE J. ROBERT A. ATKINS\* ANIEL JOHN F. BAUGHMAN\* LORT H. CHELL L. BERG\* GREGO' DAVID M. BERGMAN BRICC BIERNROIM\* H. CHERENROIM\* H. CHERENROIM\* LORETT H. CHERENROIM\* H. CHERENROIM\* H. CHERENROIM\* LORETT LORETT H. CHERENROIM\* LORETT LORETOPHER CONN DAVID W. BROWN\* SUSANNA M. BUERGEL\* LLIZAN JEANETTE K. CHAN\* CATHEF ELLEN N. CHING\* KELLEY A. CORNISH\* CARLE VALERI\* LOREN LOREN THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III\* ARIEL J. DECKELBAUM\* ALICE BELISLE EATON\* ANDERW J. EFFRE PETER E. FISCHMAN\* KENNE\* MARTIN FLINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLINKEGAN\* ROBERT ANDERW J. FRICHO\* JACOUE PETER E. FISCHMAN\* MADEW J. FRICHO\* JACOUE RAD J. FINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLUMENBAUM ANDERW J. FRICHO\* JACOUE RAD J. FINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLUMENBAUM ANDERW J. FRICHO\* JACOUE RAD J. FINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLUMENBAUM ANDERW J. FRICHO\* JACOUE BRAD J. FINKELSTEIN\* MARTIN FLUMENBAUM ANDERW J. FRICHMAN\* MANDERW J. GORDON\* MANUEL S. FREY\* AUDER ANDREW J. GORDON\* MANUEL S. FREY\* AUDER ANDREW J. GORDON\* MARTHERW G. GORDON\* MARTHERW M. GIVERTZ\* SALVATORE GOGLIORMELLA\* ANDREW J. GORDON\* MARTHERW ALEFERIN\* BRIAN S. GRIEVE\* BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* JACOUE BRUEL S. HALPERIN\* MARTHERW J. GOLOSTEIN\* BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* JACOUE BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* JACOUE BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* JACON JANGON BRIAN\* BRIAN S. HUNTINGTON\* JACON JANG BRIAN

IINGTON PATRICK N. KARSNITZ\* JOHN C. KENNEDY\* BRIAN KIM\* KYLE J. KIMPLER DAVID M. KLEIN\* KYLE J. KIMPLER DAVID K. KLEIN\* GALANW, KORNEERS ALANW, KORNEERS ALANW, KARNEERS DAVID K. LANKDHIR JOHN E. LANGE\* GREGORY F. LAUFER\* BRIAN C. LAVIN\* XIAOYU GREG LIU\* LORETTA F. L'NCH-DAVID W. MAYO\* ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM\* ALVARO MEMBRILLERA\* CLAUDINE MEREDITH-GOUJON\* WILLIAM B. MICHAEL\* JUDIE NG SHORTEL\* GRAD R. OKIN\* KELLEYD PARKER\* LINDSAY B. PARKS\* VALERIE R. ROWANER\* GRAD R. OKIN\* KELLEYD PARKER\* LINDSAY B. PARKS\* VALERIE R. RUGANANER\* JEFFREY J. RECHER\* CARL L. REISNER\* LORIN L. REISNER\* LORIN L. REISNER\* CARL L. REISNER\* CARL L. REISNER\* BRIAN SCOTX\* RAPHAEL M. RUSSO\* RAPHAEL M. SOCKSTEDER\* JUGHAN J. SOLOWA\* AUDRA J. SOLOWA\* 

\*NOT AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE DC BAR

## **BY ECF**

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe Clerk of Court U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Healey, No. 18-1170 — Response to FRAP 28(j) Letter

Dear Ms. Wolfe:

We write on behalf of Exxon Mobil Corporation ("ExxonMobil") in response to the New York Attorney General's ("NYAG") May 31, 2019 letter concerning the Supreme Court's decision in *Nieves* v. *Bartlett. Nieves* addressed whether evidence of probable cause doomed a retaliatory arrest claim at summary judgment. Slip op. at 15. The claim, procedural posture, and context are entirely alien to those raised in the captioned appeal.

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

ExxonMobil has not alleged any form of retaliation, much less a retaliatory arrest. But the NYAG would artificially and improperly constrain ExxonMobil's constitutional claims by imposing legal standards applicable to "split-second judgments" that officers must make "when deciding whether to arrest" a suspect whose "speech may convey vital information—for example, if he is 'ready to cooperate' or rather 'present[s] a continuing threat." Slip Op. 8. That context bears no resemblance to ExxonMobil's allegation that the Attorneys General made a calculated decision, after consultation with each other and special interests, to discriminate against ExxonMobil's speech by launching a pretextual investigation. A retaliatory arrest standard cannot be applied here. (ExxonMobil's Opening Br. 32–44; Reply Br. 11–20.)

The NYAG also attempts to impose evidentiary burdens applicable on summary judgment even though this appeal concerns a dismissal for failure to state a claim. The NYAG previously urged applying the summary judgment standard in *Hartman* v. *Moore*, 547 U.S. 250 (2006), but the District Court refused to rely on *Hartman*, which it recognized was "not precisely on point" because the decision concerns "summary judgment." (SPA-34 n.24.) This Court should likewise reject the NYAG's reliance on the summary judgment standard in *Nieves*.

Even if *Nieves* provided an appropriate framework to evaluate ExxonMobil's claims, its application here would compel reversing the District Court's ruling. *Nieves* confirms that *Hartman's* no-probable-cause requirement is "insufficiently protective of First Amendment rights" because probable cause to arrest for broad-based violations, like jaywalking, would defeat any retaliatory arrest claim. Slip op. at 14. Such broad discretion is equally present here where the Attorneys General can single out virtually anyone for an abusive, pretextual investigation. In this context, the *Hartman* standard does not apply.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Justin Anderson</u> Justin Anderson

cc: All counsel of record (by ECF)